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#### Abstract

The Cogne magnetite deposit (Western Alps, Italy) is the largest in a series of apatite and sulphidefree magnetite orebodies that are hosted in serpentinites belonging to western Alpine ophiolitic units. The nearly endmember composition of magnetite, which is unusual for an ultramafic setting, and the relatively high tonnage of the deposit ( $18 \cdot 10^{6}$ tonnes at $45-50 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Fe}$ ) make Cogne an intriguing case study to explore magnetite-forming processes in ophiolites. The Cogne magnetite shows variable textures, including nodular ores, veins and fine-grained disseminations in serpentinites after tectonitic peridotites and totally serpentinized melt-impregnated peridotites (troctolites). An increase in $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio from magnetite-poor serpentinized peridotites (0.05) to nodular magnetite ores $(>1)$ is observed. Trace element analyses of magnetite from different sites and lithologies by laser-ablation inductively-coupled mass spectrometry indicate that magnetites


have typical hydrothermal compositions, characterized by high Mg and Mn (median values up to $\sim 24100$ and $\sim 5000 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively), and low Cr , Ti and V (median values up to $\sim 30, \sim 570$ and $\sim 60 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). Moreover, the variations in trace element compositions distinguish magnetite that is hydrothermal fluid-controlled [highest ( $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn}$ )/Ni ratios] from magnetite whose composition is affected by host-rock chemistry (highest $\mathrm{Ni} \pm \mathrm{Ti} \pm \mathrm{V}$ ). U- $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pb}$ dating of magnetite-associated uraninite constrains the formation of the deposit to the Late Jurassic (ca. 150 Ma ), during an advanced stage of the opening of the Alpine Tethys. Thermodynamic modelling of fluid-rock interactions indicates that fluids produced by seawater-peridotite or seawater-Fe-gabbro are not sufficiently Fe-rich to account for the formation of the Cogne deposit. This suggests that fractionation processes such as phase separation were critical to generate hydrothermal fluids capable to precipitate large amounts of magnetite in various types of ultramafic host-rocks. The oceanic setting and geochemical and mineralogical similarities with some modern ultramafic-hosted volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits on mid-ocean ridges suggest that the exposed mineralized section at Cogne may represent the deep segment of a seafloor, hightemperature $\left(\sim 300-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ hydrothermal system. The occurrence of similar magnetite enrichments in present-day oceanic settings could contribute to explain the presence of significant magnetic anomalies centred on active and inactive ultramafic-hosted hydrothermal fields.

## 1. Introduction

The Cogne mining district (southern Valle d'Aosta region, Western Alps, Italy; Fig. 1) consists of a set of mines, which exploited a magnetite-rich serpentinite unit from the Middle Ages to 1979. The tonnage of the ore deposit was estimated at 18 Mt (Nazionale "Cogne" S.p.a., 1954), and the run-ofmine ore produced in the 1960s had an iron grade of $45-50 \%$ (Di Colbertaldo et al., 1967). The Cogne deposit is the largest in a series of apatite and sulphide-free serpentinite-hosted magnetite orebodies that crop out in ophiolitic units along the western Alpine collisional suture in Valle d'Aosta (Castello, 1981; Diella et al., 1994; Rossetti et al., 2009; Stella, 1921) and in its southern extension in Corsica (Farinole mine; Routhier, 1963). In southern Valle d'Aosta, most of these magnetite orebodies occur in the Mt. Avic serpentinite massif (located ca. 15 km ENE of the Cogne serpentinite; Fig. 1) and have been interpreted as former metasomatized podiform chromitites, based on their high Cr concentration and the presence of chromite relicts (Diella et al., 1994; Della Giusta et al., 2011; Rossetti et al., 2009). A similar origin has been proposed for analogous Mesozoic (probably Jurassic), ophiolite-hosted magnetite deposits in Greece (Vermion, Olympus and Edessa regions and Skyros island; Paraskevopoulos and Economou, 1980). The Cogne deposit differs from the above occurrences because its magnetite has a nearly pure endmember composition and contains only trace amounts of compatible elements such as $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Ti}$ and V (Carbonin et al., 2014; Compagnoni et al., 1981). This geochemical fingerprint, which is unusual for an ultramafic setting, as well as the relatively high tonnage of the deposit, make Cogne an interesting and still poorly studied example of ophiolite-hosted magnetite deposit. Understanding its genesis may have implications for our interpretation of magnetic anomalies reported from modern ultramafic-hosted hydrothermal sites on slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges (Fujii et al., 2016; Szitkar et al., 2014; Tivey and Dyment, 2010).

Several hypotheses have been put forward to explain the genesis of the Cogne deposit. Di Colbertaldo et al. (1967) proposed a genesis by magmatic segregation from an ultramafic melt.

Based on the Cr and Ti-poor composition of the magnetite, Compagnoni et al. (1979, 1981) ascribed the formation of the Cogne magnetite to high-temperature serpentinization of oceanic peridotites and consequent Fe mobilization, but they did not discuss this hypothesis in detail. Recently, Carbonin et al. (2014) investigated some of the magnetite-associated lithologies and suggested their possible hydrothermal origin; however, the ore-forming processes were not explored.

In this paper, we present new petrographic and geochemical data on the Cogne deposit, focusing on the textural relationships and the trace element composition of magnetite. The latter has been a valuable tool in the identification of the petrogenetic environment (e.g., Boutroy et al., 2014; Dare et al., 2014; Dupuis and Beaudoin, 2011; Nadoll et al., 2014; Nadoll et al., 2015). In addition, we determine for the first time the radiometric age of the magnetite orebody by $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pb}$ dating of uraninite. We will show that the magnetite geochemistry and age support a seafloor oceanic hydrothermal setting for the Cogne deposit, and we will explore the possible formation mechanisms, using constraints from geochemical modelling of seawater-rock reactions.

## 2. Geology of the Cogne mining area

The Cogne serpentinite is a 2.5 km long sliver, with an average thickness of 100 m (Di Colbertaldo et al. 1967), which is exposed on the $S$ and $W$ slopes of Montzalet (Fig. 1 and 2). The serpentinite is tectonically sandwiched between two different metasedimentary sequences. The foot wall sequence consists of tectonically juxtaposed slivers of calcschists, marbles, dolomitic marbles and quartzites formed in a continental margin and in other paleogeographic domains (Cogne Unit; Polino et al., 2014). The hanging wall sequence is represented by calcschists, marbles and minor, Fe - and $\mathrm{Mn}-$ bearing metacherts. The basal contact of the serpentinite is a thrust fault (Elter, 1971), while the upper limit is marked by a few cm - to 3 m-thick boudinaged rodingite, which we tentatively interpret as a tectonically activated, primary serpentinite-sediment interface. According to Elter
(1971), the Cogne serpentinite and the hanging wall metasediments form the core of a km-scale isoclinal fold that repeats the Cogne unit in its upper limb (Fig. 2b). The Cogne serpentinite and the hanging wall metasediments are considered to be part of the same greenschist- to blueschist-facies ophiolite-bearing unit (Aouilletta Unit; Polino et al., 2014), which is sandwiched together with the foot wall marbles and quartzites between two eclogite-facies ophiolitic units (Grivola-Urtier Unit and Zermatt-Saas Unit; Dal Piaz et al., 2010). These ophiolitic units are remnants of the Jurassic Piedmont-Liguria ocean (Alpine Tethys; Schmid et al., 2004; Stampfli, 2000). From Late Cretaceous to Eocene, these ophiolitic units followed different P-T paths related to their subduction beneath the Adriatic micro-plate, as a result of Africa-Europe convergence (Schmid et al., 2004). In the Zermatt-Saas Unit (in southern Valle d'Aosta), the high-pressure (eclogitic) metamorphic peak was reached in the Eocene (45-42 Ma; Dal Piaz et al., 2001), contemporaneously with the closure of the ocean (Dal Piaz et al., 2003), and was followed by a greenschist-facies overprint during Late Eocene-Early Oligocene (Dal Piaz et al., 2001, 2003). No P-T-time estimate is available for the Cogne serpentinite and its host Aouilletta Unit.

The Cogne magnetite mineralization is confined to the serpentinite body (Compagnoni et al., 1979; Di Cobertaldo et al., 1967) and it is exposed in three zones, henceforward referred to as Site 1, Site 2 and Site 3 (Fig. 2). At Site 1 (which includes the mines of Liconi, 45.612509 N 7.395377 E, Colonna, 45.609716 N 7.391322 E, and Costa del Pino, 45.610466 N 7.378247 E), the orebody is a $50-70 \mathrm{~m}$-thick, 600 m -long continuous lens that dips and wedges out northward (Di Colbertaldo et al., 1967). This orebody was extensively exploited in the second half of the twentieth century by sublevel caving. At Site 2 (western slope of Montzalet, 45.618124 N 7.386316 E) and Site 3 (Larsinaz mine, 45.619119 N 7.377135 E ), the intensely mineralized rock volumes are much smaller, and consist of disseminations and veins at Site 2 and of a less than 10 m-thick lens at Site 3 (Stella, 1916). The mineralized serpentinite was subjected to only low degrees of Alpine deformation and metamorphism (Carbonin et al., 2014), which allowed extensive preservation of the original structures (see below).

## 3. Materials and methods

### 3.1. Petrographic and mineralogical analysis

Sixty-eight rock samples from the Cogne mining area were collected from mine dumps and outcrops and studied by means of optical microscopy in thin polished sections. No potential source of lead was present during any stage of the sample preparation, to avoid contamination that could invalidate the subsequent geochronological analyses. Mineral identification was aided by microRaman spectroscopy, using a Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{DXR}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ confocal Raman system at the Chemistry Department of the University of Padua (Italy). We chose a 532 nm laser working at a power of $5-10 \mathrm{~mW}$. All of the Raman spectra were collected with a 50x LWD objective lens, reaching a spatial resolution of $\sim 1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Raman spectroscopy was crucial for the identification of serpentine minerals, for which we followed the guidelines by Groppo et al. (2006) and Carbonin et al. (2014). Selected samples were further investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Back-scattered electron images were obtained using a CamScan MX 2500 SEM at the Department of Geosciences of the University of Padua (Italy) equipped with a $\mathrm{LaB}_{6}$ crystal, working at 20 kV accelerating voltage and 140 nA current.

Mineral compositions of major minerals were determined by electron microprobe analysis (EPMA) using a CAMECA SX-50 electron microprobe, equipped with four WDS spectrometers and one EDS spectrometer, at IGG-CNR, Padua (Italy). The K $\alpha$ emission lines of ten elements (Na, $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}$ ) were measured using the following natural and synthetic minerals and oxides as standards: albite $(\mathrm{Na})$, diopside $(\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ca})$, orthoclase $(\mathrm{K}), \mathrm{MgO}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, $\mathrm{MnTiO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. Working conditions were $20 \mathrm{kV}, 20 \mathrm{nA}, 10 \mathrm{~s}$ for peak and 5 s for the background on each side of the peak.

### 3.2. Bulk rock compositions

Fourteen rock samples, representative of the main lithologies encountered in and around the deposit, were analysed for major, minor and selected trace elements by X-ray fluorescence (XRF). The samples were prepared as fine powder by means of a Retsch M0 agate mortar grinder and a Retsch RS100 vibratory disk mill, equipped with agate disks. The powder samples, fused into beads, were then analysed using a Philips PW2400 XRF wavelength-dispersive sequential spectrometer equipped with a Rh tube at the Department of Geosciences of the University of Padua (Italy). Reference standards were natural geological samples (Govindaraju, 1994). The relative analytical precision is estimated to within $\pm 0.6 \%$ for major and minor elements and within $\pm 3 \%$ for trace elements. The relative accuracy is within $\pm 0.5 \%$ for $\mathrm{Si}, \pm 3 \%$ for the other major and minor elements, and $\pm 5 \%$ for trace elements. Detection limits are better than $0.01 \mathrm{wt} \%$ for $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and Na , $0.2 \mathrm{wt} \%$ for Si and $0.005 \mathrm{wt} \%$ for $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{K}$ and P. For trace elements, the detection limits are 3 ppm for $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Th}$, and $\mathrm{U}, 5 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Ga}$, and $\mathrm{Pb}, 6 \mathrm{ppm}$ for Cr , and 10 ppm for $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Ce}$, and Nd .

The geochemistry of seven whole-rock samples was further investigated by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and emission spectroscopy (ICP-MS/ES) analyses, which were performed by Bureau Veritas Mineral Laboratories (Canada). The pulverised rock samples were mixed with $\mathrm{LiBO}_{2} / \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ flux and fused. The cooled beads were then digested with ACS grade nitric acid. The detection limits for trace elements are: 1 ppm for $\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Sn}$, and $\mathrm{Ba} ; 8 \mathrm{ppm}$ for V ; 14 ppm for $\mathrm{Cr} ; 0.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ for Co and $\mathrm{Th} ; 20 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{Ni} ; 0.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Sr}$ and $\mathrm{W} ; 0.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ for Y , $\mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Hf}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Ce}$; 0.3 ppm for Nd .

### 3.3. U-Th-Pb dating

Thirteen thin sections of magnetite ore were scanned for uraninite crystals by back-scattered electron imaging with a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). Four of these samples showed uraninite grains of sufficient size to allow their chemical analysis by EPMA and successive dating by the U-Th-Pb method. Uraninite compositions were measured at the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Milan (Italy), using a JEOL JXA-8200 electron microprobe equipped with five WDS and one EDS spectrometers. An accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a beam current intensity of 20 nA were used. Nine elements were measured by WDS spectrometry using the following X-ray lines: $\mathrm{Mg} K \alpha, \mathrm{Si} K \alpha, \mathrm{Ti} K \alpha, \mathrm{Ca} K \alpha, \mathrm{Cr} K \alpha, \mathrm{Fe} K \alpha, \mathrm{U} M \beta, \mathrm{Th} M \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Pb} M \alpha$. The counting time was 60 s for the peak and 30 s for the background for all analysed elements. The standards were olivine $(\mathrm{Mg})$, grossular ( Si and Ca ), ilmenite $(\mathrm{Ti})$, fayalite $(\mathrm{Fe})$, pure Cr and synthetic $\mathrm{UO}_{2}, \mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ and PbO . Detection limits for elements relevant to geochronology are 290 ppm for U , and 170 ppm for Th and Pb . Relative errors (relative standard errors) of $0.1 \%, 0.5 \%$ and $0.7 \%$ for $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Th}$ and Pb concentrations, respectively, were calculated on the basis of 5 repetitions of the same measurement. However, a more realistic minimum relative error for $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Th}$ and Pb concentrations $>7500 \mathrm{ppm}$ is $2 \%$ (Cocherie and Albarede, 2001).

Chemical maps were preliminarily acquired on the uraninite grains to assess the presence of chemical zoning. In order to collect a significant amount of data, we performed both single spot analyses and automated traverses. The latter method allowed us to obtain a large number of data points, although the proportion of mixed or poor-quality analyses increased. Thus, prior to calculating ages, we excluded the analyses which showed obvious contaminations, low totals or anomalously low Pb contents, if compared to adjacent points in the same traverse.

The possibility of dating uraninite with EPMA was discussed by Bowles (1990) and calculated ages were demonstrated to be accurate and consistent with independent isotopic measurements (Bowles, 2015; Cross et al., 2011). According to Bowles (2015), the best accuracy is obtained for ages from $\sim 2 \mathrm{Ma}$ to $700-1000 \mathrm{Ma}$ : the lower limit is imposed by the EPMA detection limit of Pb and the upper limit is linked to metamictization of the uraninite crystal lattice, which may lead to Pb
loss. Meaningful ages are obtained if the initial concentration of non-radiogenic Pb is negligible and the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pb}$ system remained closed after uraninite crystallization. The former assumption is considered to hold true because $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ is incompatible in the uraninite crystal structure (Alexandre and Kyser, 2005), whereas the latter assumption needs to be assessed by careful sample examination.

The formula used to calculate the age $t$ (in years) is (Montel et al., 1996):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Pb}=208(\mathrm{Th} / 232) \cdot\left[\exp \left(\lambda^{232} \cdot \mathrm{t}\right)-1\right]+206(\mathrm{U} / 238.04) \cdot\left[1-\left({ }^{235} \mathrm{U} /{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\exp \left(\lambda^{238} \cdot \mathrm{t}\right)\right]+ \\
& \left.+207(\mathrm{U} / 238.04) \cdot\left({ }^{235} \mathrm{U} /{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\exp \left(\lambda^{235} \cdot \mathrm{t}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where Th and $U$ are the measured concentrations in ppm, $\lambda^{232}, \lambda^{238}, \lambda^{235}$ are the decay constants of ${ }^{232} \mathrm{Th},{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ and ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$, respectively, and ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U} /{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ is the bulk Earth's uranium isotopic ratio. The values used in the calculations are: $\lambda^{232}=4.9475 \cdot 10^{-11} \mathrm{a}^{-1}$ (LeRoux and Glendenin, 1963); $\lambda^{238}$ $=1.55125 \cdot 10^{-10} \mathrm{a}^{-1}$ and $\lambda^{235}=9.8485 \cdot 10^{-10} \mathrm{a}^{-1}$ (Jaffey et al., 1971); ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}=0.0072559$ (Hiess et al., 2012). To obtain an initial guess of $t$ we used the formula (modified from Bowles, 2015):
$t=\left(1 / \lambda^{238}\right) \cdot \ln \left(1+\mathrm{Pb} /\left\{\left[1-\left({ }^{235} \mathrm{U} /{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right)\right] \cdot 206(\mathrm{U} / 238.04)\right\}\right)$.
Then the difference between the calculated and the measured values of Pb is minimized by leastsquares method, varying $t$. Whenever possible, ages were obtained as weighted averages of several analyses, after outlier rejection based on a modified $2 \sigma$ set of criteria (Ludwig, 2012).

### 3.4. Trace elements in magnetite

Trace elements in magnetite were measured by laser-ablation inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) at the Petrology of the Ocean Crust Laboratory, University of Bremen (Germany), using a high-resolution double-focussing ThermoFinnigan Element2, equipped with a solid-state laser with a wavelength of 193 nm (New Wave UP193). Magnetite grains were analysed on standard thin polished sections using a $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ laser spot size, a pulse frequency of 5 Hz , an
irradiance at the sample of $\sim 1.3 \mathrm{GW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and an acquisition time of 60 s , comprehensive of 25 s for background measurement. To avoid any risk of contamination on the surface of the rock section, the zone to be investigated was pre-ablated using two laser pulses with $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spot size. The analysed elements ( ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg},{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si},{ }^{43} \mathrm{Ca},{ }^{47} \mathrm{Ti},{ }^{51} \mathrm{~V},{ }^{53} \mathrm{Cr},{ }^{55} \mathrm{Mn},{ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{59} \mathrm{Co},{ }^{60} \mathrm{Ni},{ }^{66} \mathrm{Zn},{ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr},{ }^{98} \mathrm{Mo}$ ) were measured in low-resolution mode in order to shorten the acquisition time, although preserving high counts per second (cps). We opted for this configuration to avoid deep ablation pits, thus reducing the probability of hitting inclusions or adjacent minerals. The Fe concentration of magnetite as determined by EPMA was used as an internal standard. External standards (reference materials NIST61, BCR-2G and BHVO) were analysed under the same conditions as the samples every 5 to 9 analyses during the same session, in order to check for possible drift. Signal files, reporting intensities (cps) vs. time, were inspected for possible heterogeneities related to the presence of inclusions and chemical zoning. Integration of the signal and calculation of concentrations were performed with the GeoPro ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software (CETAC Technologies). Detection limits (DL) were calculated on reference materials using the formula:
$D L_{i}=\left[3 \sqrt{ } 2 s_{b k g d} /\left(\bar{X}_{s g l}-\bar{X}_{b k g d}\right)\right] \cdot C_{i}$,
where $i$ is the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ element, $s_{b k g d}$ is the sample standard deviation of the background (in cps ), $\bar{X}_{s g l}$ and $\bar{X}_{b k g d}$ are the average signal and the background (in cps) respectively, and $C_{i}$ is the concentration (in $\mathrm{ppm})$ of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ element in the reference materials.

The statistical relationships between chemical elements in magnetite were explored by robust principal component analysis (PCA), using the function "pcaCoDa" in the "robCompositions" library for R software (Templ et al., 2011). Robust PCA was preferred to "classical" PCA because it is less sensible to outliers (Filzmoser et al., 2009; Filzmoser and Hron, 2011). Four analyses (out of ninety-four) with V contents below the detection limit were excluded from calculations.

### 3.5. Geochemical modelling

In an attempt to simulate the genesis of the Cogne deposit in a seafloor hydrothermal model system, fluid-rock interactions were modelled with the EQ3/6 (Version 8.0a) software package (Wolery, 2013), using the database compiled by Klein et al. (2009), which contains thermodynamic properties of minerals and solutes in the $0-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range at the fixed pressure of 500 bar . The database was modified to include revised data for $\mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ (Ho et al., 2001), $\mathrm{NaCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ (Ho et al., 1994), $\mathrm{KCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ (Ho et al., 2000), $\mathrm{FeCl}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}$ and $\mathrm{FeCl}^{+}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ (Ding and Seyfried, 1992). The modelling procedure, which in the first steps follows that of Klein et al. (2009), is described below.

First, 1 kg of modern seawater (Table 1; composition from Klein et al., 2009) is speciated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 bar . Then, seawater is heated and reacted with 1 g of fresh harzburgite (Table 2) in a closed system to the desired temperature (at $\mathrm{P}=500 \mathrm{bar}$ ), to simulate a downward fluid path towards the reaction zone (Klein et al., 2009). The chosen pressure of 500 bar simulates conditions at $\sim 2000 \mathrm{~m}$ below seafloor, which do not exceed the reported depth of magma chambers fuelling hydrothermal fields on slow-spreading ridges ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~km}$; Singh et al., 2006), assuming a $3000-\mathrm{m}$ water column, which is a typical value for modern slow-spreading ridge hydrothermal systems (Edmonds, 2010). All the produced minerals are removed at the end of the run, because in a real fluid pathway they would be left behind by downwelling seawater. A positive effect of this step is to narrow down the $f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ range in following calculations, thus improving the code stability (Wolery and Jarek, 2003). In the successive step, which simulates a reaction zone, 1 kg of the resulting hydrothermal fluid is reacted at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an increasing amount of either fresh harzburgite or Fe-gabbro (Table 2) in a closed system (Wolery and Jarek, 2003). We chose the temperature of $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ because it maximizes Fe solubility, which is strongly temperature-dependent (Seyfried et al., 2004), and is also compatible with estimates of fluid temperatures in modern subseafloor reaction zones ( $\mathrm{T}>375^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Berndt et al., 1989; T $\sim 400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ based on the maximum amount of heat that water can carry by buoyancy-driven advection, Jupp and Schultz, 2004 and references therein) and with measured temperatures of modern seafloor vent fluids (e.g., Edmonds, 2010). The reaction path is terminated after the maximum value of dissolved Fe is reached. Finally, the Fe -rich hydrothermal fluid is
titrated in a closed system with selected lithologies (Table 2) at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in accordance with the temperature range estimated for hydrothermal mineral assemblages by Carbonin et al. (2014). The equilibrium mineral assemblages and the relative abundances of the phases obtained for different water/rock (W/R) ratios are then compared to those observed in the natural rocks. The model does not account for solid solutions, hence, by suppressing Fe-Mg exchange in secondary phases, it maximises the extent of magnetite production.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Petrographic features of the magnetite ores and mineral compositions

The Cogne magnetite ore is heterogeneous in terms of texture and gangue mineral assemblage. Three main textural types of magnetite ore are distinguished, which are termed here nodular, finegrained disseminated and vein.

The nodular ores (Fig. 3a, b) are characterized by mm to cm-sized magnetite crystals in a silicate matrix, giving the rock a macroscopic appearance similar to that of nodular chromitites. The nodular textures show a continuum between three major subtypes, which are termed here leopard, harrisitic and massive subtype, respectively. In the leopard subtype, the magnetite crystals, which mostly consist of aggregates of subgrains, are subrounded and constitute up to $50 \mathrm{vol} \%$ of the rock. In the harrisitic subtype, the magnetite crystals form up to 10 cm -long rods, mimicking the texture shown by dendritic olivine in harrisite. In the massive subtype, the magnetite content is as high as $80-90 \mathrm{vol} \%$, but subrounded crystals similar to those of the nodular ores are still recognizable.

The fine-grained disseminated ores consist of bands in the host-rock, which contain variable proportions of sub-millimetric magnetite grains (up to $\sim 70$ vol). The vein ores (Fig. 3c) are $\mathrm{cm}-$
thick, dismembered veins composed of magnetite, chalcopyrite and antigorite; the proportion of opaque minerals over the associated silicates is $\sim 50 \mathrm{vol} \%$.

Since the distribution of the different ore types is not uniform across the deposit, we will treat each sampling site separately.

### 4.1.1. Site 1

The magnetite orebody lies below magnetite-poor (3-6 vol\% Mag) serpentinized tectonitic harzburgites. The harzburgites show a more or less developed foliation, and are characterized by the presence of lizardite + antigorite + magnetite $\pm$ talc pseudomorphs after former olivine and orthopyroxene (distinguished based on the presence of mesh and bastite textures, respectively) and relicts of accessory Mg -Al-rich chromite (Table 3). Magnetite is fine-grained ( $<20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and Cr bearing (Carbonin et al., 2014). A detailed description of the mineralogy and conditions of subseafloor serpentinization of these rocks was given in Carbonin et al. (2014; $\mathrm{T}=200-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \log$ $f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=-36$ to $-30, \log \Sigma \mathrm{~S}=-2$ to -1$)$.

Only nodular ores can be found at this site (Fig. 3a, b). Independently of the ore texture, the gangue mineral assemblage is fairly uniform and comprises, in the order of decreasing abundance, antigorite, lizardite, forsterite, brucite, clinochlore, carbonates, and Ti-rich chondrodite (Table 3). Antigorite composes more than $90 \mathrm{vol} \%$ of the matrix between the magnetite crystals. It usually shows an interlocking texture (average grains size $=150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), but it can form euhedral, randomly oriented blades when in contact with lizardite or magnetite, forming indented crystal boundaries with the latter mineral (Fig. 4a). This feature was already described in rocks from the western Alps by Debret et al. (2014), who interpreted it as a prograde dissolution texture produced during Alpine subduction metamorphism; however, the antigorite studied by Debret et al. (2014) generally has higher Fe content (up to $8 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{FeO}$ ) than antigorite at Cogne (mostly $<3 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{FeO}$ ). Lizardite forms yellowish aggregates of submicron-sized crystals, which are interstitial between euhedral antigorite and magnetite. The $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ content of lizardite ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) is systematically higher than that
in antigorite ( $<1 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ). Forsterite ( $\mathrm{Fo}_{99}$ ) forms up to $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ anhedral crystals, usually arranged into elongated aggregates, which replace and seldom form pseudomorphs after antigorite. The forsterite crystals are often altered to fine-grained antigorite along the rim and the fractures. Brucite is of nearly pure Mg-endmember composition and forms subhedral, tabular crystals up to $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size. Clinochlore forms tabular crystals and intergrowths with antigorite. These intergrowths probably result from the breakdown of Al-rich lizardite. The carbonates (calcite, magnesite, dolomite) form anhedral patches which include antigorite, brucite and fine-grained ( $<50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) anhedral magnetite. Calcite is the most common carbonate and is also present as late veins. Ti-rich chondrodite forms up to $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, colourless to pale yellow, anhedral crystals, which are sometimes surrounded by a corona of olivine. Rare accessory minerals are xenotime, sphalerite, Ni-bearing linnaeite, pyrrhotite and uraninite.

Magnetite-rich ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{vol} \%$ ) diopsidites have also rarely been found. In these peculiar rocks, magnetite is interstitial between mm - to cm-sized diopside crystals and coexists with antigorite, andradite and clinochlore. The assemblage antigorite + andradite appears to replace diopside. Samples of this kind were thoroughly described by Carbonin et al. (2014).

### 4.1.2. Site 2

At this site, the serpentinized tectonitic peridotite can be either replaced by fine-grained disseminated magnetite or crosscut by cm-thick magnetite + chalcopyrite + antigorite veins. The disseminated and vein ores are deformed and dismembered into lenses by Alpine deformation, which at small scale results in an anastomosing pattern of mm- to cm -spaced cleavage planes. This deformation is associated with dynamic recrystallization of antigorite and magnetite, the latter forming elongated porphyroclasts.

The disseminations occur as cm-sized magnetite-enriched bands in antigorite serpentinite and typically show relict features of the former serpentinized peridotite, i.e., bastites (Fig. 4b) and Mg-Al-rich chromite grains (Fig. 4c, d; Table 3). The Mg-Al-rich chromite grains (Fig. 4d) are anhedral
and fractured. They are irregularly altered along the rims and fractures into $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{rich}(\sim 41 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{FeO}$ ) chromite + fine-grained Cr -rich ( $\sim 4-6 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, determined by SEM-EDS) chlorite and are mantled by a continuous rim of Cr-bearing magnetite intergrown with antigorite and minor secondary diopside.

In the vein ores, magnetite forms elongated, millimetric patches with a chalcopyrite core in an antigorite matrix (Fig. 4e). Magnetite shows well developed crystal boundaries towards chalcopyrite, while the contacts to the surrounding antigorite are irregular. The limit between the vein and the host serpentinite is sharp.

In both disseminations and veins, antigorite shows an interlocking texture. When it is in contact to magnetite or lizardite it forms up to $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-long euhedral lamellar crystals, producing typical indented boundaries. A generation of nearly pure diopside (Table 3) always accompanies magnetite mineralization. In magnetite disseminations, diopside forms up to $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-long isolated needles, intergrown with antigorite (Fig. 4c, d), while in the vein ore, it forms rare aggregates of 10-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long crystals disseminated in the vein selvages. From textural relationships, diopside appears to postdate the formation of bastite pseudomorphs after orthopyroxene in the host serpentinite.

### 4.1.3 Site 3

At this site, the magnetite ores exhibit nodular textures, but only the leopard and massive subtypes are found. Antigorite is commonly the sole gangue mineral, but the leopard subtype can be characteristically enriched in diopside $\pm$ chlorite (Fig. 3d, 4f; Table 3). Antigorite shows an interlocking texture or forms euhedral crystals when in contact to magnetite or diopside. Antigorite veins crosscutting diopside crystals have been observed. Diopside has a nearly pure endmember composition. In the leopard ores, it forms a granofels composed of mm- to cm-sized subhedral crystals, which include subhedral millimetric magnetite. A late generation of smaller subhedral crystals ( $<50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) fills the interstices between larger grains. The diopside crystals may show patchy or concentric oscillatory zoning, determined by slight variations in Fe content. Textural
relationships indicate that diopside formed during a late stage of magnetite growth (Fig. 4f), which was then locally overprinted by antigorite. Veins made up of euhedral diopside in a matrix of lizardite $\pm$ chlorite are commonly observed. Clinochlore is found in diopside-rich leopard ores and has variable Mg \# ratios and Al contents (Table 3): the Al-rich variety is found as large (up to 1 mm ) subhedral tabular crystals associated with diopside and magnetite; the Al-poor clinochlore is finegrained and fills the interstices between larger clinochlore and diopside crystals. Calcite is found as interstitial material between diopside crystals and as late veins. Rare accessory minerals are andradite, uraninite, talc and apatite.

### 4.1.4. Inclusions in magnetite

The magnetite crystals can be rich in mineral inclusions, which, in the largest poikiloblats, are typically concentrated in their cores (Fig. 4a).

At Site 1, the most common inclusions are clinochlore and brucite lamellae (Table 3, often oriented along magnetite (111), anhedral calcite, anhedral sphalerite, rare anhedral pyrite, rare lizardite and forsterite, and very rare euhedral uraninite and apatite. Antigorite inclusions are often present in the outermost zones of the magnetite crystals. Composite inclusions made up of clinochlore + brucite or, rarely, clinochlore + calcite are also observed.

At Site 2, the most abundant inclusions are euhedral antigorite and anhedral sulphides. The sulphides consist of fine lamellar chalcopyrite-cubanite intergrowths and unmixed "bornite solid solution" grains, composed of lamellar intergrowths of bornite and chalcocite. Also present are pyrrhotite, which shows exsolution of Co-rich pentlandite, and sphalerite. Other minor included minerals are lamellar clinochlore and anhedral andradite.

At Site 3, the inclusions are mainly composed of clinochlore, which can be associated with rare andradite (Table 3) and very rare diopside and uraninite; antigorite inclusions are only present near the rims of the magnetite crystals.

### 4.2. Petrography of peculiar host rocks at sites 1 and 3

At sites 1 and 3, serpentinites showing a pegmatoid texture are associated with the magnetite ore and can be variably enriched in magnetite. In the barren rocks (Fig. 3e), cm-sized amoeboid domains made of dominant euhedral coarse-grained ( $50-300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) antigorite that replaces yellow, fine-grained (sub-micron sized) lizardite are interweaved with domains composed of mesh textured antigorite + lizardite and very fine-grained magnetite (magnetite I) lining the rims of the mesh. Lizardite is Al-rich in both domains (Raman peak at $382-385 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating Al substitution for Si in the tetrahedral sites; Groppo et al., 2006). Coronae of interlocking antigorite line the boundaries between the two domains. In magnetite-enriched rocks, the early fine-grained ( $<30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), usually euhedral magnetite (I) associated with Al-rich lizardite (Table 3) is overgrown by a new generation of coarser-grained, subhedral to anhedral disseminated magnetite (magnetite II) + euhedral antigorite + lamellar clinochlore. Clinochlore probably forms as consequence of the transformation of Al-rich lizardite to Al-poor antigorite, since its content is proportional to the amount of antigorite that replaces lizardite. The two magnetite generations have similar major element compositions (Table 3). Possible variations in trace element compositions could not be determined because of the small crystal size of magnetite I. Magnetite II can completely replace the lizardite-rich domains, but the amoeboid shape of the domains and the antigorite coronae are usually preserved (Fig. 3f, Fig. $4 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~h})$. On the contrary, the antigorite domains and coronae show only scarce anhedral magnetite (Fig. $4 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~h}$ ). A magnetite-rich diopsidite, composed of dominant fine-grained diopside ( $<50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), subordinate magnetite and minor euhedral antigorite ( $<150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; Fig. 3f; Tables 3 and 4), has been observed in contact with the magnetite-rich pegmatoid serpentinite.

### 4.3. Bulk rock geochemistry

Bulk rock compositions are reported in Table 4. The magnetite-poor ( $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}<8.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) serpentinized peridotites have major and trace element concentrations typical for refractory peridotites (cf. Andreani et al., 2014; Bodinier and Godard, 2003; Niu, 2004; Paulick et al., 2006), such as low $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\sim 1-3 \mathrm{wt} \%)$ and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}(0.02-0.1 \mathrm{wt} \%)$, high $\mathrm{Ni}(\sim 1400-2800 \mathrm{ppm})$ and Cr ( $\sim 1900-2600 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and low $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio ( $\sim 0.05-0.07$ ). The Cu and Zn contents ( $\sim 20$ and $\sim 40-50$ ppm, respectively) are also typical for upper mantle peridotites (cf. O'Neill and Palme, 1998; Niu 2004; Fouquet et al. 2010). The pegmatoid serpentinites have variable $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\sim 0.8-2.6 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( $\sim 4.5-10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Ni}(\sim 500-2000 \mathrm{ppm})$, and $\mathrm{Cr}(\sim 10-2700 \mathrm{ppm})$ contents and $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratios ( $\sim 0.05-$ 0.2). However, Cu and Zn show very little variation ( $\sim 20$ and $\sim 30-40 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively).

The magnetite-enriched $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}>28 \mathrm{wt} \%\right)$ serpentinites have different compositions reflecting their distinct host rocks. The magnetite-rich pegmatoid serpentinite has a high $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ content ( $\sim 2$ wt\%) and a high $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio ( $\sim 0.4$ ), but low $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Zn contents ( $\sim 280, \sim 10, \sim 70, \sim 60 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). The fine-grained disseminated ore has $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Cr}$ and Ni contents ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{wt} \%, \sim 2200$, $\sim 1200 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively) in the same range as the magnetite-poor serpentinized peridotites, but has higher Cu and Zn contents ( $\sim 200$ and $\sim 120 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively) and a higher $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio ( $\sim 0.1$ ). With further increase in magnetite content, the concentrations of $\mathrm{Cr}(\sim 1300 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\sim 240 \mathrm{ppm})$ decrease, but the $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio increases ( $\sim 0.6$ ). The magnetite vein ore $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \sim 44 \mathrm{wt} \%\right)$ has low Ni ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cr}(\sim 70 \mathrm{ppm})$ contents and an intermediate $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio ( $\sim 0.3$ ). Moreover, it exhibits moderately high $\mathrm{Zn}(130 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the highest Cu content ( $\sim 14000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), which reflects the presence of chalcopyrite. The magnetite-rich diopsidite $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \sim 21 \mathrm{wt} \%\right)$ has a trace element composition similar to that of the pegmatoid serpentinite it is in contact with, but it has a higher $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio ( $\sim 0.9$ ).

In the nodular ores, the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and MgO contents are inversely proportional to the amount of magnetite present. The $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and CaO concentrations are variable and reflect the different relative abundances of clinochlore and diopside (or carbonates), respectively. The $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ content is generally
low ( $0.02 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ), but in the ore from Site 1 it can be slightly higher ( $\sim 0.06 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ), consistently with the presence of Ti-rich chondrodite. The nodular ores are virtually Cr -free ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), have low Ni ( $\sim 10-110 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and relatively high Co ( $\sim 320-440 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) contents, which translate into the highest observed $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratios ( $\sim 3-30$ ). Moreover, compared to serpentinized peridotites and pegmatoid serpentinites, they show somewhat higher Cu and $\mathrm{Zn}(\sim 30-50 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\sim 80-100 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). The nodular ores, the magnetite-rich pegmatoid serpentinite and the magnetite-rich diopsidite share significant U and Th contents, which reach the maximum values at Site $1(\mathrm{U}=2.9 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Th}=0.9$ $\mathrm{ppm})$. In both magnetite-poor and magnetite-enriched serpentinized tectonitic peridotites, U and Th contents are below the detection limits of ICP-MS analysis ( $<0.01$ and $<0.02 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively).

The relationships between magnetite enrichment, $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio and Cr content are shown in Figure 5. Magnetite enrichment is generally accompanied by an increase in the $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio, but shows no correlation with the Cr content. In particular, the Cr content is very low ( $<30 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in the nodular ores, in the diopsidites and in most pegmatoid serpentinites (both magnetite-poor and magnetite-enriched) and is higher ( $\mathrm{Cr}>1200 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in both barren and magnetite-enriched serpentinites after peridotites.

### 4.4. Age of the deposit

The high $\mathrm{U}(+\mathrm{Th})$ contents in nodular ores from Site 1 and Site 3 can be attributed to the presence of uraninite inclusions in magnetite. Uraninite forms anhedral to euhedral cuboctahedral crystals, ranging in size from $\sim 1$ to $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 6a-c, e, g). Textural evidence suggests that uraninite and magnetite (+clinochlore) were contemporaneous (Fig. 6b, g). The compositions of the uraninite crystals are reported in Table 5. The U/Th ratios are variable (3 to 21), especially at Site 1, where both the highest and the lowest Th contents were measured. The FeO and CaO concentrations are relatively high $(\mathrm{FeO}=0.8-4.9 \mathrm{wt} \% ; \mathrm{CaO}=0.06-1.2 \mathrm{wt} \%)$, but they are unrelated to PbO contents,
which excludes late-stage alteration (Alexandre and Kyser, 2005). Excitation of the host magnetite within the microprobe interaction volume could explain the presence of Fe in the analyses. On the contrary, the Ca content is considered to be primary and ascribed to lattice-bound substitutions of Ca for U . A less than $1 \mu$ m-thick, U-rich rim is sometimes observed in uraninite crystals (Fig. 6e), and is ascribed to partial alteration.

The $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pb}$ ages calculated for a group of three small ( $<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) uraninite grains from Site 1 (Fig. 6a-c) are plotted in Fig. 6d. Due to the small grain size, only single-spot analyses were acquired. The weighted average age is $161.8 \pm 3.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (MSWD $=0.51$ ). Figure 6 f shows the ages obtained for an aggregate of zoned grains from Site 1 (Fig. 6e). The crystals have a U-rich rim, which testifies for partial alteration. Therefore, we only considered sixteen analyses that form a plateau for $\mathrm{PbO}, \mathrm{UO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{ThO}_{2}$ concentrations (Fig. 7). The weighted average age for the plateau, after rejecting two outliers, is $150.8 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{Ma}$ (MSWD $=1.03$ ). The weighted average age calculated for a big ( $\sim 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), unzoned uraninite crystal from Site 3 (Fig. 6 g ), which is intergrown with magnetite and contains chlorite, is $151.9 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{Ma}$ (MSWD $=0.91$ ) (Fig. 6h). Also in this case only plateau PbO values were considered (Fig. 7). The two age determinations that yield the lowest uncertainties and best MSWD values (i.e., close to unity) are within errors of each other and are considered to be the most reliable. However, considering the limited age scatter, it is reasonable to combine all the data into a single age determination, which yields a value of $152.8 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{Ma}$ $(M S W D=1.3 ;$ Fig 6i).

### 4.5. Geochemistry of Cogne magnetite

The compositions of the Cogne magnetites are reported in Tables 3 and 6 . The magnetites show significant substitutions of Fe by Mg and Mn (Fig. 8). The concentrations of these metals are the highest at Site 1 (median $=\sim 24100 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\sim 5000 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). Concentrations of $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Si}$,
$\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Zr}$ and Cr are generally below or close to the ICP-MS detection limits; only magnetite forming the disseminated ore from Site 2 has significant Cr contents, which can be as high as $\sim 150 \mathrm{ppm}$. Among the other trace elements, the concentrations of $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ti}$, and Zn are generally an order of magnitude higher than those of V (Fig. 8). The highest concentrations of Co are found at Site 1 $($ median $=\sim 570 \mathrm{ppm})$, whereas the lowest concentrations are in magnetite in disseminated ore from Site 2 (median $=\sim 80 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). In spite of across-site variations, the Co content is fairly constant in magnetite from the same sample. The Ti content is the highest in magnetite from disseminated ore from Site $2($ median $=\sim 570 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the lowest in magnetite from diopside-rich rocks from Site 1 and Site 3 (median $=\sim 60 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). The Ni and V contents are highest in magnetite from the magnetiterich pegmatoid serpentinite (median $=\sim 670 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\sim 60 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). The lowest Ni and V contents are observed in Site 1 ore $($ median $=\sim 80 \mathrm{ppm})$ and in vein magnetite $($ median $=\sim 6 \mathrm{ppm})$, although in the latter both elements are highly variable. The Zn contents show minor variability: the highest values are found in the vein magnetite (median: $\sim 160 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the minimum values are found in magnetite from Site 3 (median: $\sim 80 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

Robust PCA indicates that the two first principal components (PC1 and PC2) can explain 97\% of the variability of the magnetite compositional data and thus can adequately be used to describe the various magnetite populations. As shown by the loading plot (Fig. 9), $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}$ and Zn are highly correlated, while Ni is anti-correlated and V and Ti vary independently from the other elements. In the PC1 vs. PC2 plot, one cluster of samples, which encompasses the magnetites in the nodular ores from Site 1 and Site 3 and the magnetite-rich diopsidite, is characterized by the highest $(\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn}) / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratios. High Ni contents are instead distinctive of disseminated magnetite in serpentinized peridotite and in the magnetite-rich pegmatoid serpentinite from Site 3 (Fig. 8). These high-Ni magnetites form two distinct groups, in which high Ni is associated with high Ti (and Cr ) and high V , respectively. Vein magnetites, having a very variable Ni and low overall V , plot in an intermediate position between high-Ni and low-Ni magnetites. When plotted on the Zn vs. Co plane
(Fig. 10), most of the magnetites show a nearly constant $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Co}$ ratio of $\sim 0.28$. Magnetites in the veins and in the fine-grained disseminated ore have higher $\mathrm{Zn} /$ Co ratios ( $\sim 1$ ).

### 4.6. Thermodynamic modelling

We attempted to reproduce the mineral assemblages observed at Cogne in a model seafloor hydrothermal system. The fluids produced by interaction at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of modified seawater with harzburgite and Fe-gabbro (Table 2), respectively, provide two potential endmember compositions for fluids circulating in and reacting with the original oceanic substrate rocks. Harzburgite composes the uppermost part of the Cogne deposit and is the most common type of abyssal peridotite (Mével, 2003). Fe-gabbro is the most Fe-rich rock that can be found in the ophiolitic units of southern Valle d'Aosta (Benciolini et al., 1988; Bocchio et al., 2000; Dal Piaz et al., 2010; Polino et al., 2014) and it can be an efficient source of iron if altered at high temperature. Based on our calculations, dissolved Fe in the harzburgite-reacted fluid (Fig. 11a) increases from W/R $\sim 1$ to W/R $\sim 7$ where it reaches a maximum value of $\sim 11 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}(604 \mathrm{ppm})$. The increase in Fe concentration follows the pH decrease that is in turn controlled by hydrolysis of mantle orthopyroxene, which is much more reactive than olivine at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Charlou et al. 2002). In general, the Fe -gabbro-reacted fluids are more acidic and more Fe-rich. The Fe concentration is up to one order of magnitude higher (Fig. 11b), reaching a maximum value of $\sim 26 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}(1439 \mathrm{ppm})$ at $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R} \sim 80$. Such a high dissolved Fe content again reflects a pH minimum, which immediately follows the total breakdown of plagioclase. This is consistent with experimental evidence that plagioclase alteration to Mg silicates (chlorite, epidote, talc) by seawater at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and high W/R buffers pH to low values (Seyfried, 1987; Seyfried et al., 2010). Other major differences between the two fluid types at their Fe peak concern the concentrations of Mg and Si , which are about one order of magnitude lower and two order of magnitude higher, respectively, in the Fe-gabbro-reacted fluid. The high W/R
ratios required to maximize the Fe contents could potentially be achieved in a highly fractured substrate, such as at the foot wall of a detachment fault in an oceanic core complex (e.g., McCaig et al., 2007).

The harzburgite-reacted and Fe -gabbro-reacted fluids carrying the maximum dissolved Fe were further reacted at either $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the different lithologies listed in Table 2. We considered temperatures $\geq 300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to account for the ubiquitous presence of antigorite (predominant at $\mathrm{T}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Evans, 2004, 2010) in all ore assemblages at Cogne and because these high temperatures disfavour substitution of Fe for Mg in minerals (especially in brucite; Klein et al., 2009), thus accounting for the very high $100 \cdot \mathrm{Mg} /(\mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{Fe})_{\text {mol }}$ ratios ( $\mathrm{Mg} \#>90$ ) of gangue minerals in the Cogne deposit (Table 3). Moreover, at the high temperatures considered, and especially at high W/R ratios, the thermodynamic properties of the very Mg-rich gangue minerals are well approximated by their Mg endmembers, hence neglecting solid solutions can be considered to be a minor problem. The only mineral phase that significantly deviates from the ideal composition is lizardite, which is always Al-rich (Table 3). However, textural evidence indicates that lizardite is a minor relict phase that was formed during an early serpentinization event and rarely survived the successive higher temperature ore-forming process (cf. section 4.1). Accounting for the presence of Al-rich lizardite would not have significantly influenced the modelling of the fluid-rock system at high temperature.

The mineral assemblages produced by hydrothermal fluid-rock interactions are shown in Figure 12. Magnetite is stable for both fluids over the whole considered $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R}$ range at both $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (with the exception of fresh troctolites reacting with harzburgite-reacted fluid at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Under rock-dominated conditions ( $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R}<1$ ), the final alteration mineral assemblages are similar for both fluids: forsterite and brucite are generally formed in addition to magnetite, but their stability is dependent on temperature, with forsterite being stable at higher temperature (Fig. 12b, d) than brucite (Fig. 12a, c). Fayalite is predicted to form at both $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in fresh troctolites and pegmatoid serpentinites. The presence of pure fayalite may be an artefact induced by neglecting
solid solutions in olivine. Clinochlore is present in all mineral assemblages at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (with the exception of the model of a fresh dunite reacting with harzburgite-reacted fluid), but at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it forms in abundant quantities only in troctolites (both fresh and serpentinized) and pegmatoid serpentinites. Diopside is abundant only in Ca-rich rocks, i.e. harzburgites and troctolites (Table 2), and in troctolites it is associated with tremolite. In these rocks also minor anhydrite forms. At $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in fresh harzburgites and serpentinized dunites the diopside is soon destabilized and the liberated Mg and Si combine with dissolved Al to form clinochlore. At higher temperatures this reaction is limited to higher $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R}$ ratios. Some phlogopite is produced during alteration of fresh troctolites. At intermediate $W / R$ ratios, diopside disappears at both $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In troctolites, diopside breakdown is accompanied by an increase in the modal amount of tremolite (and fayalite at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). In serpentinized harzburgites, diopside reacts at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with brucite and magnetite to form andradite and antigorite (cf. reaction n. 44 in Frost and Beard, 2007; Fig. 12a, c). Talc becomes abundant in pegmatoid serpentinites at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it only forms when the rocks react with Fe -gabbro derived fluid. Formation of talc is enhanced by the low pH , high Si and low Ca activities of the Fe-gabbro-reacted hydrothermal fluid. At high $W / R$ ratios, in both fresh and serpentinized dunites and harzburgites, brucite reacts with either the harzburgite-reacted fluid or the Fe-gabbro reacted fluid to form antigorite or clinochlore, respectively. Talc is formed in Si-rich systems, i.e. those involving Si-rich lithologies (troctolites, pegmatoid serpentinite) or fluids ( $\mathrm{Fe}-$ gabbro-reacted fluids). In the systems dominated by Fe -gabbro-reacted fluids, talc replaces forsterite and antigorite, thus forming talc + magnetite + clinochlore assemblages.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. Cogne as an ultramafic-hosted subseafloor hydrothermal deposit

5.1.1. Constraints from magnetite geochemistry and ocean seafloor studies

Important clues about the origin of the Cogne magnetite can be derived from the comparison with existing published datasets for magnetite from various genetic environments. The Cogne magnetite is poor in Ti and Cr ( $<640 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $<150 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively), which is a typical feature for hydrothermal magnetite (Fig. 13). In fact, based on the data compiled by Dare et al. (2014), hydrothermal magnetite can be distinguished from magmatic magnetite, because the former has generally low Ti contents ( $<10000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and high $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Cr}$ ratios $(\geq 1)$, in virtue of the higher mobility of Ni in aqueous fluids. Cogne magnetite is also poor in V ( $<140 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and rich in $\mathrm{Mn}(>2500$ ppm), similar to hydrothermal magnetite from skarn deposits (Fig. 14). However, the Cogne magnetite ore was not emplaced in carbonate rocks but in mantle serpentinites, as testified by the geochemical and textural features of the host rocks.

Serpentinization of peridotites can produce magnetite that is depleted in $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{V}$ and Ni compared to the primary magmatic magnetite (Boutroy et al., 2014). However, serpentinization alone cannot account for the amount of magnetite observed in most of Cogne rocks. In fact, magnetite production during serpentinization is limited by the amount of FeO available in the peridotite, which is commonly less than $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Bodinier and Godard, 2003). Therefore, an efficient mechanism of mobilization and concentration of Fe is needed to explain the formation of the Cogne deposit.

Low-T ( $100-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) hydrothermal fluids causing peridotite serpentinization at high $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R}$ can leach Fe from the peridotite and precipitate it as magnetite in veins (up to a few cm-thick), as reported for the Bou Azzer ophiolite, Morocco (Gahlan et al., 2006). However, the compositions of Bou Azzer vein magnetites, although considerably depleted in trace elements as a consequence of their low formation temperatures (Nadoll and Koenig, 2011), are very different from those of Cogne magnetites. The latter have higher $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratios (0.2-67 vs. $0.004-0.12$ ) and are richer in Mn (2600-5000 vs. $400-470 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{Zn}(80-160$ vs. $3-20 \mathrm{ppm})$ and Mg ( $5600-24000$ vs. $97-1000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). These differences suggest that the formation of Cogne magnetite took place under substantially dissimilar physicochemical conditions.

Some indications on the various factors that controlled the composition of Cogne magnetite can be derived from the PCA (Fig. 9). The PC1 clearly discriminates high-( $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ) magnetites in nodular ores and diopsidites from high- $(\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Ti})$ magnetites in fine-grained disseminated ore and in magnetite-rich pegmatoid serpentinite. The relatively low $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}$ and Zn contents in the host rocks and the fluid-compatible nature of these metals suggests that the composition of the high- $(\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn})$ magnetites was controlled by an externally-buffered fluid (cf. Dare et al., 2014; Nadoll et al., 2014). The high Co/Ni ratios these magnetites (Table 6) also support this hypothesis, because it would suggest a mafic metal source (cf. Melekestseva et al., 2013), which is in contrast with the ultramafic nature of most of the Cogne host rocks. On the contrary, the high- $(\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Ti})$ magnetites are more enriched in elements that are weakly mobile and/or relatively abundant in the host rocks, suggesting formation under rock-buffered conditions (cf. Nadoll et al., 2014). The PC1 may thus be interpreted as reflecting magnetite formation under different W/R ratios from possibly similar parent fluids. The PC2 further discriminates between the different host rocks (i.e. high-V magnetite in pegmatoid serpentinite and high-Ti magnetite in serpentinized tectonitic peridotites). Magnetite in veins shows intermediate geochemical features between hydrothermal fluid-buffered and host rock-affected compositions.

Hydrothermal fluids carrying a significant load of transition metals (high $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn} \pm$ $\mathrm{Co} \pm \mathrm{Ni})$ issue from ultramafic substrates in high- $\mathrm{T}\left(>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ hydrothermal systems associated with oceanic core complexes in slow-spreading mid-oceanic ridges, such as at Rainbow and Logatchev on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Douville et al. 2002; Andreani et al., 2014). In particular, the hydrothermal vent fluids at Rainbow are the richest in Co (Douville et al., 2002), have the highest $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratios ( $\sim 4$ ) and are probably saturated in magnetite + chlorite + talc (Seyfried et al., 2011). The surveyed portion of the Rainbow hydrothermal deposit is almost entirely made up of sulphides (Fouquet et al., 2010; Marques et al., 2006, 2007), as expected for the upper part of a seafloor hydrothermal system, where the hot hydrothermal fluid mixes with seawater (Janecky and Seyfried, 1984). Notwithstanding this, at Rainbow, hydrothermal magnetite is locally abundant in
serpentinites hosting sulphide stockworks and in semi-massive sulphides, where magnetite sometimes replaces pyrite (Marques, 2005). Magnetite forming coarse-grained disseminations in the serpentinites that host stockworks at Rainbow precipitated later than the sulphides during a distinct hydrothermal stage (Marques et al., 2006) and, notably, has a similar geochemical fingerprint as magnetite in fine-grained disseminations in serpentinized peridotites at Cogne (the concentrations of the trace elements, with the exception of Si , are in the same order of magnitude). Recently, Yıldırım et al. (2016) described a hydrothermal magnetite mineralization in a nonmetamorphic volcanogenic massive-sulphide (VMS) deposit from the Upper Triassic-Upper Cretaceous Koçali complex, a Tethyan ophiolite in Turkey. These findings and the above observations support the possibility that Cogne magnetite has directly formed in a seafloor hydrothermal system. The presence of a positive magnetic anomaly at Rainbow has been ascribed to a $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ magnetite-rich stockwork zone (Szitkar et al., 2014). If this volume was entirely composed of magnetite, it would correspond to 10 Mt of mineral, which is on the same order of magnitude as the estimated amount of magnetite at Cogne ( $\sim 12 \mathrm{Mt}$ ). It is worth noting that the Rainbow hydrothermal system is still highly active (Fouquet et al. 2010) and its vent fluids are magnetite-saturated (Seyfried et al., 2011). It can thus be inferred that the Rainbow hydrothermal system may eventually produce at depth a magnetite deposit of comparable size as Cogne.

In such a scenario, the general scarcity of sulphides at Cogne, along with their presence in the veins above the main magnetite bodies, suggest that the exposed mineralized section represents the deep segment of a seafloor, ultramafic-hosted, high-temperature hydrothermal system, which was possibly associated with shallower, now eroded, sulphide-rich bodies. According to this interpretation, the magnetite + sulphide veins and fine-grained disseminations in the hanging wall serpentinite (Site 2) may mark the transition between the magnetite-rich and the sulphide-rich portions of the hydrothermal system (Fig. 15).

### 5.1.2. Geological, geochronological and textural constraints

The Cogne mantle peridotites underwent complete serpentinization at $200-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ beneath the seafloor of the Jurassic Piedmont-Liguria ocean (Carbonin et al., 2014). Our radiometric data on magnetite-associated uraninite ( $152.8 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) places the ore-forming event in proximity of the Kimmeridgian-Tithonian boundary ( $152.1 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). This age overlaps with that of the spreading of the Piedmont-Liguria ocean, as inferred by biochronological dating of supra-ophiolitic deep-sea sediments (radiolarites), whose oldest ages span from Late Bajocian to Middle Bathonian ( $\sim 168$ Ma; Cordey et al., 2012), and by radiometric dating of magmatic rocks, which places the latest magma pulses (mainly plagiogranites) in the Western Alps and Liguria in the KimmeridgianTithonian ( $\sim 157.3 \pm 1.0-\sim 145.5 \mathrm{Ma}$; Lombardo et al., 2002; Manatschal and Müntener, 2009 and references therein).

Very little information can be obtained about the original lithological and thermal structure of the oceanic lithosphere at Cogne, because of the limited exposure. Some indirect information can be obtained from the nearby Mt. Avic serpentinite massif (Fig. 1). Although located in a different structural position in the orogen (see Dal Piaz et al., 2010), the Mt. Avic massif provides the most complete section of the oceanic lithosphere of the Alpine Tethys in the southern Valle d'Aosta region. In the Mt. Avic massif, dominant serpentinized mantle peridotites, associated with gabbroic intrusions (Mg-metagabbros), rodingitic dykes, minor Fe-Ti-oxide metagabbros and other metabasites (Dal Piaz et al., 2010; Fontana et al. 2008, 2015; Panseri et., al 2008), are thought to have been exposed on the seafloor in an oceanic core complex (Tartarotti et al., 2015). This is consistent with the proposed slow- to ultra-slow nature of the Piedmont-Liguria ocean (Manatschal et al., 2011; Manatschal and Müntener, 2009; Piccardo et al., 2008). Jurassic magmatic activity in the Mt. Avic massif was sufficient to sustain high-temperature hydrothermal convection cells, as testified by widespread, small, massive sulphide ( $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Zn}$ ) deposits, which are mostly associated with metabasites (Castello et al., 1980; Castello, 1981; Martin et al., 2008; Dal Piaz et al., 2010; Fantone et al., 2014) and are thought to have formed in the seafloor (Martin et al., 2008). The distinctive enrichment in Co and Cu observed in Cogne nodular and vein magnetite ores,
respectively, as well as the low Ni content in all magnetite ore types, suggests a contribution from mafic sources or a combined contribution from ultramafic and mafic sources, as observed in some ultramafic-hosted, mid-ocean ridge, hydrothermal deposits (e.g. Rainbow, Fouquet el al., 2010; Marques et al., 2006; Semenov, Melekestseva et al., 2014) and in other ultramafic-hosted VMS deposits in ophiolitic belts (Melekestseva et al., 2013). In analogy with these modern and ancient examples, also at Cogne the presence of deep magmatic intrusions (gabbro) would be required to provide heat and suitable chemical conditions (low pH ) to produce metal-rich fluids (e.g., Marques et al., 2006; Seyfried et al., 2011). Gabbroic intrusions, mainly represented by gabbros and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Ti}$ gabbros, are not observed in the small Cogne unit, but are common in the wider Mt. Avic area (see above) and in the other ophiolitic units in southern Valle d'Aosta (Grivola-Urtier and Zermatt-Saas units; Benciolini et al., 1988; Bocchio et al., 2000; Dal Piaz et al., 2010; Polino et al., 2014). Therefore, we infer that similar rock types could have occurred also at Cogne in the original oceanic lithosphere section.

The texture, geochemistry (low $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$, high Cr ) and relict mineralogy (bastites, $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Al}$-rich chromite) of Site 2 magnetite-enriched serpentinites suggest that the host rock was a harzburgitic mantle tectonite, with composition comparable with that of modern abyssal peridotites. However, chemical and textural evidence from both Site 1 and Site 3 indicates that part of the hydrothermal ore was emplaced in more atypical serpentinites, which exhibit a ghost pegmatoid texture marked by interlobate domains separated by coronae structures (Fig. 3f). Similar textures have been described in some troctolites from modern oceanic and ancient ophiolitic settings (Blackman et al., 2006; Renna and Tribuzio, 2011). These rocks are interpreted to have formed from meltimpregnation and melt-peridotite reactions, which dissolved orthopyroxene and partially dissolved olivine producing rounded or embayed grain boundaries (Drouin et al., 2009; Renna and Tribuzio, 2011; Suhr et al., 2008). In particular, olivine-rich troctolites originating from melt-peridotite reactions are usually coarse-grained and can show a harrisitic texture (Renna and Tribuzio, 2011), which is reminiscent of the "harrisitic" texture of some nodular ores at Cogne. This suggests that
many, if not most, nodular ores at Cogne formed by hydrothermal alteration of original serpentinized troctolites, with magnetite preferentially replacing the original olivine domains.

### 5.1.3. Insights from thermodynamic modelling

From a qualitative point of view, interaction of various types of fresh or serpentinized mantle rocks with either a harzburgite-reacted fluid at intermediate to high W/R or a Fe-gabbro-reacted hydrothermal fluid at intermediate $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R}$ (Fig. 12) can produce mineral assemblages made of magnetite + antigorite + clinochlore $\pm$ brucite $\left(\right.$ at $\left.300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \pm$ forsterite (at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), which resemble the most common mineral assemblages in the Cogne magnetite ores. However, even when the natural mineral assemblage is qualitatively reproduced, the calculated modal magnetite content invariably remains too low to produce a magnetite ore. This indicates that our model fluids are not sufficiently Fe-rich to account for the formation of the Cogne deposit. Note that a Rainbow-type fluid (Table 7) would produce broadly similar mineral assemblages as our model fluids, since its $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cl}$ concentrations are fairly similar. We could not envisage any other reasonable substrate lithology which could have released significantly higher Fe to the hydrothermal fluids under reasonable conditions. This suggests that additional processes other than simple seawater/rock reactions have played a role in the formation of the magnetite parent fluids.

One such process could be phase separation in the hydrothermal fluid, which could have produced brines enriched in weakly volatile Fe . Phase separation is commonly invoked to explain the wide chlorinity range observed in modern seafloor hydrothermal vent fluids (e.g., Bischoff and Rosenbauer, 1987; Charlou et al., 2002; Douville et al., 2002; Foustoukos and Seyfried, 2007; Pester et al., 2014; Seyfried et al., 2011). A higher chlorinity would enhance solubility of metals as chloride complexes. At the same time, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ partitioning into the vapour phase would cause sulphide undersaturation in the brine (Bischoff and Rosenbauer, 1987; Fouquet et al., 2010; Seyfried et al., 2004; Seyfried at al., 2010; Von Damm, 2004), thus delaying sulphide precipitation. This is in agreement with the general scarcity of sulphides in the Cogne magnetite ores. The presence of
chalcophile metals in the fluid is still testified by Cu sulphides in magnetite veins from Site 2 . In this case, the transition from bornite + magnetite to chalcopyrite + magnetite assemblages suggests a progressive variation in the parent fluids towards higher $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ activity or lower $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ratios (cf. Seyfried et al., 2004, 2010).

Another process which could potentially lead to enhanced Fe concentrations in the fluid is the incorporation of a magmatic gaseous component, which could promote acidification and thus increase Fe solubility (cf. Berkenbosch et al., 2012; de Ronde et al., 2011). However, assuming a gas composition similar to that of gases emitted from mafic lavas (Erta 'Ale volcano, Ethiopia; Sawyer et al., 2008), it can be calculated that a relatively high condensed gas/fluid mass ratio of 1:10 would increase the Fe concentrations only by a factor of $\sim 2.3$. This increase is too small to allow a significant increase in the final amount of precipitated magnetite. Therefore, phase separation remains the most likely hypothesis.

Another feature that is not explained by our models is the diopside-rich gangue observed at Site 3. Textural relationships suggest that diopside formed during a late stage of magnetite mineralization, most likely from a fluid with higher pH and/or higher $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ activity (see Fig. 9 in Bach and Klein, 2009). This fluid could have derived from serpentinization of country peridotites and troctolites, and may thus have some affinity with rodingite-forming fluids. Alternatively, a higher Ca content could result from more extensive interaction with gabbroic rocks. The possible role of gabbroic rocks in producing $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{Si}-(\mathrm{Al})$-rich fluids has been suggested, for instance, for fluids responsible for strong calcic metasomatism in fault zones in modern oceanic core complexes (Boschi et al., 2006).

### 5.2. Alternative hypotheses

As ultramafic rocks in ophiolitic massifs often contain accumulations of chromite (e.g., Bédard and Hébert, 1998), a potential origin of magnetite in Sites 1 and 3 could be by leaching of Cr from former chromitite bodies. Indeed, Cr appears to be mobile during high-temperature ( $>500-550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) peridotite-water interactions, as shown by Arai and Akizawa (2014) for the Oman ophiolite. Also, in the Mt. Avic massif, some small-scale magnetite ores were apparently formed after former chromitites (Diella et al., 1994; Rossetti et al., 2009). There are two lines of evidence against this hypothesis for the Cogne magnetite. First, in the Mt. Avic ores, chromite is still preserved in the cores of the magnetite grains (Diella et al., 1994; Fontana et al., 2008; Rossetti et al., 2009), whereas neither chromite relicts nor Cr-rich magnetite cores are found in nodular and vein ores at Cogne. Second, there is no evidence for a high-temperature alteration at Cogne such as that described in the Oman ophiolite by Arai and Akizawa (2014). At the temperatures under which serpentinization and successive hydrothermal metasomatism at Cogne took place (200-300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $300^{\circ}-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively; Carbonin et al., 2014), Cr is essentially immobile and any Cr dissolved at higher temperatures deeper in the system should be precipitated (Arai and Akizawa, 2014). The immobility of Cr during magnetite mineralization is testified by the mantle tectonites containing the fine-grained disseminated magnetite from Site 2 , which have similar bulk-rock Cr content as their magnetite-poor counterparts (Fig 5). In these rocks, the original Mg -Al-rich chromite (the main Cr carrier) was replaced with no Cr loss by Fe-rich chromite +Cr -rich chlorite, according to reactions of the type $24(\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Fe})(\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cr})_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+18(\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Al})_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}+12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow$

Mg -Al-rich chromite serpentine
$12(\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cr})_{5}\left(\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{Al}\right) \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{OH})_{8}+14(\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Fe})\left(\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Al}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Cr-rich chlorite Fe-rich chromite
(cf. Mellini et al., 2005; Merlini et al., 2009), and then overgrown by Cr-poor magnetite (Fig. 4d). The P-T conditions for the subsequent Alpine metamorphism at Cogne are not precisely known. However, assuming a typical subduction geothermal gradient $\left(<10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{km}\right)$, the coexistence of
lizardite and antigorite in both serpentinized peridotites and pegmatoid serpentinites suggests temperatures not exceeding $390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Schwartz et al., 2013), which are too low to determine significant mobilization of Cr .

Iron ( Mn ) oxyhydroxides and Fe sulphide deposits are the most common forms of Fe accumulation in modern seafloor hydrothermal settings (e.g., Rona, 1988). In principle, magnetite may form by reduction and dehydration of Fe -oxyhydroxides or by desulphurization of Fe sulphides during metamorphism. However, our geochronological data demonstrate that the magnetite-forming event was coeval with the spreading of the Piedmont-Liguria ocean and thus predates Alpine metamorphism. Also the geochemistry of Cogne magnetite ores and associated rocks contradicts the metamorphic hypothesis. In fact, in Fe-oxyhydroxide accumulations, an enrichment in trace elements such as P and Sr is typically observed (e.g., Hekinian et al., 1993; Puteanus et al., 1991). A similar enrichment is indeed preserved in seafloor hydrothermal Mn -( Fe ) deposits in southern Valle d'Aosta ophiolites (median $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.06 \mathrm{wt} \%$, median $\mathrm{Sr}=1650 \mathrm{ppm}$; Tumiati et al., 2010), which were metamorphosed up to eclogite-facies conditions ( $T=550 \pm 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{P}=2.1 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{GPa}$; Martin et al., 2008; Tumiati et al., 2015), but it is not observed in Cogne ores $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \leq 0.01 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$, median $\left.\mathrm{Sr}=1.6 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. In the same ophiolites, sulphide (pyrite + chalcopyrite) deposits show no evidence of S mobilization and depletion linked to subduction metamorphism (Giacometti et al., 2014). Consistently, serpentinized mantle tectonites overlying the Cogne magnetite orebody are not depleted in $S$ (Table 4).

### 5.3. The role of the Alpine event

The present structural position of the Cogne serpentinite, the lithological associations and the shape of the orebodies are in part the result of the tectonic activity that accompanied the Alpine orogenesis. The main magnetite orebodies at Site 1 and Site 3 behaved as rigid masses during the early ductile deformation events and they were affected by only low degrees of shear deformation,
thus preserving the original textures and the proportions between magnetite and gangue minerals. The Alpine deformation was more intense at Site 2, which was probably located in a peripheral position with respect to the main orebody, where the fine-grained disseminated ores and the associated veins were dismembered into lenses. The Alpine metamorphism did not promote significant magnetite remobilization, as testified by the lack of isotopic resetting in uraninite inclusions in magnetite. The Alpine metamorphism is possibly responsible for the transformation of lizardite into antigorite, which is observed also in rocks that do not contain hydrothermal mineralization (i.e. magnetite-poor serpentinized peridotites and pegmatoid serpentinites). In any case the metamorphic temperatures were not sufficient to cause significant serpentine dehydration, since neoblastic forsterite is not widespread and is only found within the nodular ore at Site 1 . The restriction of neoblastic forsterite to this specific site suggests that its formation could be related to higher temperature conditions $\left(\sim 400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ being attained locally during the magnetite hydrothermal event, rather than to the subsequent metamorphism. Based on the above considerations, we conclude that Alpine metamorphism did not play a significant role in concentrating magnetite, although Alpine deformation may have pulled away portions of the deposit (now exposed at sites 1, 2 and 3) that could have been much closer to one another in their original oceanic setting.

### 5.4 Stages of formation of the Cogne deposit

Considering all available data, we propose the following sequence of events for the formation of the Cogne deposit (Fig. 15):

1) Formation of an oceanic core complex made of tectonitic peridotites, containing bodies of gabbros and Cr-poor melt-impregnated peridotites (troctolites).
2) Extensive low-temperature serpentinization, producing lizardite serpentinites containing a first generation of disseminated magnetite (Cr-bearing in mantle tectonites and Cr -free in melt
impregnated peridotites). This process probably occurred at high water/rock ratios and determined the complete serpentinization of the primary silicates and an extensive loss of Ca .
3) Production of a high-temperature, Fe-rich hydrothermal fluid by reaction of downwelling seawater with substrate rocks. The involvement of Fe-gabbros in the reaction zone is likely, as this would enhance the content of Fe in the fluid.
4) Phase separation in the upwelling hydrothermal fluid, producing a more Fe-rich brine.
5) Reaction of the upwelling hot brine $\left(\sim 300-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with various lithologies (serpentinites after mantle tectonites and troctolites) at various fluid/rock ratios, producing the dissolution of lizardite and the precipitation of abundant magnetite along with antigorite and clinochlore ( $\pm$ brucite and forsterite), forming fine-grained disseminated, nodular and massive replacive ores. Further upwelling of the magnetite-buffered fluid produced magnetite +Cu -sulphide + antigorite veins and fine-grained disseminations in shallower serpentinites.
6) Circulation of late fluids with higher pH and/or higher $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ activity, producing diopside-rich, magnetite-bearing metasomatic rocks.

## 6. Conclusions

The Cogne magnetite deposit was formed at $\sim 150$ Ma by hydrothermal processes during an advanced stage of the opening of the Piedmont-Liguria ocean. Based on geological and petrographic features and on geochemical and mineralogical similarities with some modern ultramafic-hosted VMS deposits on mid-ocean ridges, the exposed mineralized section at Cogne may represent the deep segment of a seafloor, high-temperature $\left(\sim 300-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ hydrothermal system, which was possibly associated with shallower, now eroded, sulphide-rich bodies (Fig. 15). As suggested by thermodynamic modelling, simple seawater-rock interactions cannot produce the Fe endowment observed at Cogne. Fractionation processes such as phase separation were probably critical to generate sufficiently Fe -rich hydrothermal fluids capable to precipitate large amounts of
magnetite in various types of mantle host-rocks. The possible occurrence of similar ultramafichosted magnetite deposits in present-day oceanic settings could contribute to explain the presence of significant magnetic anomalies centred on active and inactive ultramafic-hosted hydrothermal fields (Fujii et al., 2016; Szitkar et al., 2014; Tivey and Dyment, 2010).
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## Figure captions

Fig. 1. Geological map of the southern Valle d'Aosta region. Redrawn and modified after De Giusti et al. (2003) and Dal Piaz et al. (2010).

Fig. 2. a) Simplified geologic map of the Cogne mining district showing the structural relationships between the Cogne serpentinite and the associated units. Numbered stars indicate the sampling sites (see text for details). Units after Dal Piaz et al. (2010); digital terrain map (DTM) from "Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione Ambientale" (ARPA) Piemonte. b) Geological profile through the Cogne serpentinite. Redrawn and modified after Elter (1971). c) Pseudostratigraphic columns of the three sampling sites.

Fig. 3. Typical magnetite ore and rock samples from Site 1 (a, b, e), Site 2 (c) and Site 3 (d, f). a) Nodular ore sample, showing leopard (lower left corner), harristic (cm-sized iso-oriented rods of magnetite in the centre) and massive (upper portion) texture. The light matrix is serpentine ( + brucite + olivine). b) Massive ore, with minor serpentine gangue. Arrows indicate rounded magnetite crystals. c) Deformed magnetite (+ chalcopyrite + antigorite) vein (outlined by dashed lines) in serpentinized peridotite. Chalcopyrite is completely weathered into Fe -oxyhydroxides and secondary copper minerals. The pen is 14 cm -long. d) Fine-grained leopard ore in diopside gangue. e) Magnetite-poor pegmatoid serpentinite. Note the presence of dark and light interlobate domains. The former are composed of antigorite and minor magnetite, the latter are formed by antigorite only. f) Contact between a magnetite-rich diopsidite (left) and a pegmatoid serpentinite (right) with amoeboid magnetite-rich domains (light grey) interweaved with magnetite-free domains. Dark antigorite coronae contour the two domains. Magnetite content increases in the right part of the sample, but embayed boundaries are still recognizable. Cut and polished sample.

Fig. 4. Microstructural features in Cogne rocks. a) Magnetite poikiloblast in leopard ore from Site 1, showing indented boundaries with antigorite. Inclusions in magnetite are clinochlore (usually anhedral), brucite (small and euhedral) and antigorite (large euhedral crystals). Gangue is antigorite with minor calcite, which forms late impregnations and thin veins. Back-scattered electron (BSE) image. b) Nearly massive fine-grained disseminated ore from Site 2. Magnetite (white) is associated with acicular diopside (grey) and forms a corona around an antigorite (dark grey) bastite. Reflected plane-polarized light. c) Fine-grained disseminated ore from Site 2. Magnetite (white), antigorite (black) and diopside (medium grey) replace former silicates, but bastite sites (round black areas) and Mg -Al-rich chromites (framed; see Fig. 4d for a close-up) are still preserved. BSE image. d) Mg -Al-rich chromite crystal (medium gray), partly altered along the rims and fractures into Fe -rich chromite (light grey) + Cr-rich chlorite (black) and mantled by magnetite, intergrown with antigorite (black) and diopside (dark grey). e) Magnetite + chalcopyrite patch associated with antigorite in a dismembered vein from Site 2. Bluish inclusions in magnetite are bornite. Antigorite occurs both within and around the magnetite, forming indented boundaries with it. Reflected planepolarized light. f) Euhedral magnetite crystals in a diopside-rich portion of a leopard ore sample from Site 3. Diopside forms randomly-oriented subhedral prismatic crystals (medium gray) with interstitial antigorite (dark grey). Black mineral included in magnetite or interstitial between diopside crystals (right) is clinochlore. BSE image. g) Serpentinized pegmatoid ultramafic rock from Site 3 (see Fig. 3f), showing interlobate domains separated by coronae structures. Transmitted light, crossed polars. h) Enlargement of framed area in c). Light-coloured domain (upper left) is composed of coarse-grained interlocking antigorite; dark domain (right) is made up of isotropic lizardite, clinochlore (anomalous brown interference colour), antigorite (white-light grey) and magnetite (opaque). Fine-grained interlocking antigorite lines the boundary between the two domains. Transmitted light, crossed polars (upper) and plane polarized light (lower). Mineral abbreviations (after Whitney and Evans, 2010) - Mag: magnetite; Atg: antigorite; Clc: clinochlore;

Brc: brucite; Cal: calcite; Di: diopside; Lz: lizardite; Ccp: chalcopyrite; Bn: bornite; Chr: chromite; Chl: chlorite.

Fig. 5. Covariation of $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ ratio and Cr content vs $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ content in Cogne rocks (this work and Carbonin et al., 2014) and ores. Data for abyssal peridotites after Niu (2004), Paulick et al. (2006), Andreani et al. (2014) are shown for comparison.

Fig. 6. Uraninite microstructural features and $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Pb}$ dating. $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ) Uraninite in Site 1 leopard ore and related dating [b) and c) from the same ore sample]. e-f) Aggregate of uraninite crystals in Site 1 leopard ore and related dating. Chemical map shows a U-rich rim. g-h) Inclusion-rich (magnetite, dark grey; clinochlore, black) uraninite crystal in Site 3 leopard ore and related dating. The chemical map reveals a homogeneous composition. i) Combination of all single-spot ages. Images and maps were obtained by SEM-BSE and EPMA, respectively. Geochronological data plotted using ISOPLOT (v. 3.75) Visual Basic add-in for Excel® (Ludwig, 2012). MSWD: mean square of weighted deviates.

Fig. 7. Electron microprobe traverses across uraninite crystals (see Fig. 6 for their position). Horizontal dashed lines indicate PbO plateau.

Fig. 8. Box and whiskers plot of magnetite trace element composition.

Fig. 9. Robust-PCA of magnetite trace element composition. Coordinates of datapoints (scores) are on left and lower horizontal axes. Coordinates of variables (loadings) are on right and upper horizontal axes.

Fig. 10. Co vs. Ni relationships in magnetite. Regression line (dashed) for magnetite-rich samples from Site 1 and 3 shows linear relationship between Co and Ni .

Fig. 11. Variation in $\mathrm{pH}, f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ and element concentrations in modified seawater equilibrated with harzburgite (a) or Fe -gabbro (b) at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at various W/R.

Fig. 12. Mineral assemblages and variation in $f_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ produced by reaction of model hydrothermal fluids with selected rock types. Harzburgite-reacted fluid reacting with rocks at a) $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 500 bar b) $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 500 bar. Fe-gabbro-reacted fluid reacting with rocks at c) $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 500 bar d) $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 500 bar.

Fig. 13. Compositions of Cogne magnetites plotted in the discrimination diagram by Dare et al. 2014. Magnetites with Cr contents above detection limit are circled. The other data points are plotted assuming a Cr value equal to the detection limit of 8 ppm . Although this may have unduly shifted the points to lower $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Cr}$ ratios, the strong hydrothermal character of the Cogne magnetites remains evident.

Fig. 14. Compositions of Cogne magnetites in the discrimination diagram of Dupuis and Beaudoin 2011. LA-ICP-MS data are not available for Al (generally $\ll 0.1 \mathrm{wt} \%$ based on EPMA data), therefore the plotted $(\mathrm{Mn}+\mathrm{Al})$ contents should be considered as minimum values.

Fig. 15. Interpreted schematic evolution of the Cogne deposit. a) Formation of an oceanic core complex made up of mantle peridotites intruded by gabbros and Fe -gabbros, and locally impregnated by melts. Early circulation of hydrothermal fluids produces extensive serpentinization at relatively low-T (lizardite stability field). High water/rock ratios are possibly attained thanks to fluid focussing along fractures and faults. b) Convective circulation of seawater produces high-T
hydrothermal fluids that leach metals from harzburgites and Fe-gabbros. These fluids undergo phase separation and produce a magnetite-rich body at depth and a sulphide mound on the seafloor. A magnetite-sulphide stockwork zone marks the transition between the magnetite orebody and the sulphide mound. c) Close-up of the framed region in b). Phase separation produces an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$-rich vapour that quickly escapes from the system and a dense metal-rich brine. Then, the upwelling brine reacts with the serpentinites at various fluid/rock ratios and precipitates magnetite, producing fine-grained disseminated, nodular and replacive massive ores. Further upwelling of the magnetitesaturated fluids along fractures produces magnetite + chalcopyrite veins (stockwork zone) and finegrained disseminations in shallower serpentinites.
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AU: Aouliletta Unit
CO: Combin Unit
Serpentinites
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CU: Cogne Unit
PC: Pancherot-Cime Bianche Unit
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## .

## Table 1

Seawater composition*

| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | 464.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 546.0 |
| $\mathrm{HCO}^{-}$ | 2.34 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | 10.2 |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ | 53.0 |
| $\mathrm{~K}^{+}$ | 9.8 |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2(\text { aq })}$ | 0.11 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | 0.0000015 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{3+}$ | 0.000037 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 28.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2(\text { aq })}$ | 0.25 |
| pH | 7.8 |
| $* \mathrm{After}$ Klein et al. (2009). |  |

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

## Table 2

Rock compositions used in thermodynamic modelling.

| Rock <br> Type | Fe- <br> gabbro $^{1}$ | Dunite $^{1}$ | Serp. <br> Dunite $^{2}$ | Harzburgite $^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Serp. |
| :--- |
| Harzburgite $^{2}$ | | Pegmatoid $_{\text {serpentinite }^{3}}$Troctolite |
| :--- |
| Ox. wt\% |

[^1]Table 3
EPMA mineral compositions.
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| K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | $0$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $0$ | 0 | 0 | $0$ |  | $0$ |  |  |  |  | $0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $0$ |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| O | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |




## ${ }^{1}$ s: sample standard deviation.

${ }^{2}$ Mineral abbreviations: Mag, magnetite; Atg, antigorite; Lz, lizardite; Clc, clinochlore; Di, diopside

Table 4
Bulk chemistry of representative rock types.

| Location | Site 1 | Site 1 | Site 1 | Site 1 | Site 1 | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 2 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 3 | Site 3 | Site 3 | Site 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample | LIC1B | CDP15 | MZ18 | LIC12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIC1 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIC1 } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | ECL1 | MZL3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRY } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | LAR4 | LAR2-1 | LAR2- $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CGN } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CGN } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rock <br> type | Serpentini zed peridotite | Pegmato <br> id <br> serpenti nite | Pegmato id serpenti nite | Serpentini zed peridotite | Nodul ar ore | Nodul ar ore | Finegrained dissemina ted ore | Nearly massive finegrained dissemina ted ore | Vein ore | Serpentini zed peridotite | Mag- <br> rich <br> pegmato <br> id <br> serpenti nite | Mag- <br> rich <br> diopsid ite | Di- <br> rich <br> nodul <br> ar ore | Nodul ar ore |
| Mineral assembl age | Atg, Mag, sulph. | Atg, Lz, <br> Mag | Atg, Lz, <br> Mag, sulph. | Atg, Lz, <br> Mag, Tlc, <br> Mg-Al- <br> Chr, <br> sulph. | Mag, <br> Atg, <br> Fo, <br> Bre, <br> Clc, <br> Lz, <br> Ti- <br> Chn, <br> sulph. | Mag, <br> Atg, <br> Cal, <br> Clc, <br> Bre, <br> Lz | Mag, <br> Atg, Di, <br> Lz, Mg- <br> Al-Chr, <br> Chl, <br> sulph. | Mag, Di, Atg, Lz, sulph. | Mag, <br> Atg, Сср, sulph | Atg, Mag, Clc, Lz, Cal, sulph. | Atg, <br> Mag, <br> Lz, Clc, <br> Di | Di, <br> Mag, <br> Atg | Mag, <br> Di, <br> Clc, <br> Atg, <br> Cal , <br> Adr | Mag, <br> Atg, <br> Clc, <br> Lz, <br> Adr, <br> Di |
| Ox. wt\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 41.95 | 40.22 | 40.92 | 39.54 | 22.39 | 20.51 | 32.20 | 9.08 | 24.65 | 39.10 | 33.15 | 42.40 | 6.52 | 10.06 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 1.04 | 0.77 | 2.59 | 1.01 | 0.62 | 1.08 | 0.92 | 1.15 | 0.43 | 2.67 | 2.31 | 0.27 | 0.86 | 0.31 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 5.46 | 10.07 | 4.47 | 8.32 | 41.05 | 47.36 | 31.10 | 79.89 | 43.51 | 4.95 | 28.04 | 21.44 | 85.73 | 78.71 |
| MgO | 38.31 | 36.58 | 37.91 | 37.61 | 28.22 | 21.07 | 25.72 | 5.03 | 22.39 | 33.42 | 30.69 | 16.01 | 3.90 | 9.17 |
| MnO | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.40 |
| CaO | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 2.21 | 2.63 | 3.01 | 0.04 | 5.85 | 0.14 | 18.19 | 1.50 | 0.03 |
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* element concentrations measured by ICP-MS.
- = not determined.


## Table 5

Uraninite compositions and calculated single-spot ages.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
& 1- & 7 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
& 1 & 1 & 7 & . & . & . & . & . & 0 \\
& 4 & . & 3 & 4 & 0 & 6 & 2 & 5 & 3 \\
& 0 & 7 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 8 & 2 & 7
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1- & 6 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 0 & 8 \\
2 & 8 & . & . & . & . & . & . & 4 . \\
6 & . & 2 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 4 & 6 & 3 \\
& 0 & 9 & 8 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 4
\end{array} . \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
& 1- & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 \\
2 & 0 & . & . & . & . & 3 & . & 2 . \\
7 & . & 6 & 4 & 2 & 5 & . & 5 & 9 \\
& 3 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \text { T } \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1- & 7 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 9 \\
2 & 7 & 7 & . & . & . & . & . & 4 . \\
8 & . & 2 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\
& 2 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 9 & 7
\end{array} . \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
\\
\mathrm{T} & \begin{array}{c}
1- \\
2
\end{array} & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 1 \\
& 9 & 9 & . & . & . & . & 6 & . & 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}
. & 6 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 2 & 5 & 5 & 4 & . & 7 & 6 & 8 & 2 & . & 2 & 1 . \\
9 & 9 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 7 & 9 & 0 & 6
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 6 & 9 & 1 & 7 \\
9 & 4 & & & \\
& & & 2 & 6
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
\\
\mathrm{T} & 1- & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 9 \\
3 & - & . & . & . & . & 7 & . & 4 . \\
& 0 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 9 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 5 \\
& 4 & 5 & 0 & 8 & 5 & 9 & 4 & 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
1- & 8 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} & 1 & 9 \\
1 & 4 & & & & & & & & \\
& 1 & - & 8 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\
& 6 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 7
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
\\
\mathrm{T} & 1- & 8 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 9 \\
1 & 8 & . & . & . & . & . & . & 6 \\
& 2 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\
& 1 & 0 & 9 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 6
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
\\
\mathrm{T} & 1- & 8 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\
1 & 0 & & & . & . & . & . & & 3 . \\
& 3 & - & 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 9 & 9 & 1 \\
& 5 & 4 & 9 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 9 & 9 \\
& 0 & & & & & & &
\end{array} \\
& \text { T 1- } \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& 1- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
\mathrm{~T} & 3 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 2 . \\
& 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\
& & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 3
\end{array} \\
& \text { T 1- }
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllllll}
1 & 7 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 7 & 0 & 4 \\
4 & 6 & 2 & . & . & . & . & . & 2 . & 1 & 8 & 5 \\
& . & . & 5 & 0 & 2 & 8 & 9 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 7 \\
& 1 & 3 & 7 & 3 & 8 & 8 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 5 \\
& 9 & 3 & & & & & & & 5 & 8 &
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
4 \\
6
\end{array} \\
& \text { T } \begin{array}{lllllllll} 
& 1- & 6 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 9 & 8 & 1 & 9 \\
1 & . & & . & . & . & . & . & 0 \\
5 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 8 & 6 & 2 \\
& 1 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 3 & 9 & 7
\end{array} \\
& \text { T } \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1- & 7 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\
1 & 9 & . & . & . & . & . & . & 0 . \\
6 & - & 0 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 9 & 8 \\
& 8 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 5 & 8 & 8 & 5
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
\\
\mathrm{T} & \begin{array}{c}
1- \\
1
\end{array} & 2 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 \\
& 7 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 3 . \\
& 5 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 8 & 2 & 5 \\
& 7 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 8 & 3 & 0 & 8
\end{array} \\
& \text { T } \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 
& & 7 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 8 \\
8 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
& & 6 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 9 & 8 \\
& & & &
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~T} \quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}
1- & 7 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & . & . & . & . & . & 9 . \\
9 & . & . & 4 & 0 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 0 \\
& 2 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 6
\end{array} . \\
& \begin{array}{lllllllll}
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
5 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 3 . \\
& 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & . & 0 & 0 \\
& 5 & 0 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 4 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~T} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
3 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 2 . \\
6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & . & 0 & 7 \\
& 4 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 8 & 2 & 1 & 5
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
& 1- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
\mathrm{~T} & . & . & . & . & . & 0 & . & 2 . \\
7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & . & 0 & 6 \\
& 4 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 3 & 9 & 1 & 8
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~T} \quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}
1- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
3 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 2 . \\
8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & . & 0 & 7 \\
& 1 & 0 & 3 & 9 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 8
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~T} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
3 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 3 . \\
9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & . & 0 & 0 \\
& 4 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 8 & 4 & 0 & 0
\end{array} . \\
& \mathrm{T} \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
4 & . & . & . & . & . & 1 & . & 3 . \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & . & 0 & 1 \\
& 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 5 & 1 & 0
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\text { te } & \mathrm{G} \\
3 & \mathrm{~N} \\
& 3
\end{array} \\
& 2 \\
& \begin{array}{rlllllllllll}
2 & 8 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 4 & 4 & 7 \\
& 4 & . & . & . & . & . & . & 8 . & 8 & 4 & 0 \\
& . & 6 & 8 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 5 & 8 \\
& 9 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\
& 5 & & & & & & & & 5 & &
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
5 \\
6
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
2- & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 6 \\
1 & 5 & . & . & 2 & 5 & 3 & & \\
3 & . & 8 & 4 & . & . & 9 & 1 & 9 \\
& 5 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 9 \\
& 8 & & & 5 & 6 & & & 9
\end{array} . \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
\\
\mathrm{T} & \begin{array}{c}
2- \\
1
\end{array} & 6 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 6 \\
& 4 & 7 & 6 & 2 & . & . & 3 & . & 7 . \\
& 9 & 6 & 4 & 8 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 8 & 5
\end{array} \\
& \text { T } \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
2- & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 6 \\
1 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & . & . & . \\
5 & 7 & 1 & 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 & 4 \\
& 7 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 7 & 5 & 7
\end{array} . \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& 2- & 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 7 \\
1 & . & . & . & 9 & 2 & 4 & 0 & . & 0 . \\
& 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 & . & . & 1 & 0 & 6 \\
& & 3 & 2 & 9 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 6 & 9
\end{array} . \\
& \text { T } \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
2- & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 6 \\
1 & . & . & . & 2 & 9 & . & . & 8 . \\
7 & 7 & 0 & 1 & . & . & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
& 8 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 9 & 4 & 1
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \quad 3 \quad 8 \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
2- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 6 \\
1 & . & . & . & 1 & 9 & . & 0 & . \\
9 & 4 & 0 & 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
& 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 9 & 1 & 5 & 5
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& & 8 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 \\
\mathrm{~T} & 1- & 1 & 0 & & & \\
& 7 & . & . & . & . & . & . & 6 . \\
& & 9 & 8 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\
& & 9 & 5 & 8 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 4
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\mathrm{T} & 1- & 8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 \\
& 8 & 0 & 2 & . & . & . & . & . & 5 .
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{T} \quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}
2- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 6 \\
2 & . & . & . & 8 & 1 & . & . & 5 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & . & . & 3 & 0 & 6 \\
& 5 & 6 & 2 & 8 & 7 & 8 & 3 & 2
\end{array} . \\
& \mathrm{T} \quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}
2- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 6 \\
2 & . & . & . & 0 & 9 & & . & . \\
4 . \\
1 & 6 & 0 & 0 & . & . & 1 & 0 & 6 \\
& 7 & 9 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 4 & 1
\end{array} . \\
& \left.\begin{array}{clllllllll} 
\\
\mathrm{T}
\end{array} \begin{array}{lllllll}
2- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 5
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathrm{T} \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
2- & & & & & & \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 5 \\
& 3 & . & . & . & 8 & 4 & . & . \\
& 9 .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
9 & 2 & 0 & . & . & 8 & 0 & 9 \\
4 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 4 \\
& & & 3 & 3 & & &
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc}
2- & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 9 & 0 & 5 \\
2 & . & . & . & 4 & 1 & . & . & 7 . \\
4 & 6 & 4 & 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 & 7 \\
& 4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& 2- & 8 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 6 \\
\mathrm{~T} & 2 & . & . & . & 7 & 1 & 0 & & . \\
\\
5 & 4 & 2 & 0 & . & . & . & 1 & 4 \\
& 2 & 4 & 7 & 8 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\
& & 4 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1
\end{array} . \\
& \left.\begin{array}{llllllllll} 
\\
\mathrm{T}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccccccc}
2- & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right) 7 . \\
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 
& 2- & 3 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 9 \\
\mathrm{~T} & 2 & 4 & . & . & . & . & 6 & . & 0 . \\
& 7 & \cdot & 6 & 2 & 9 & 9 & . & 2 & 6 \\
& 7 & 2 & 9 & 6 & 9 & 7 & 7 & 3
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { T 2- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
1 & 8 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 5 \\
4 & 3 & 0 & . & . & . & . & . & 7 & 5 & 1 & 8 & 6 \\
& . & . & 8 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 8 & 9 & \\
& 4 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 9 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 5 & \\
& 8 & 9 & & & & & & & 7 & & &
\end{array}
$$




| P |
| :--- |
| b |
| 1 |
| o |
| S |
| s |

$\mathrm{T} \quad \begin{array}{lllllllll}2- & 8 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & . & 9 \\ & 0 & - & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\ 6\end{array}$.

[^2]Table 6
Trace element composition of magnetite (LA-ICP-MS).

| Location |  | Site 1 |  |  | Site |  |  | Site 2 |  |  | Site |  |  | Site 3 |  |  | Site 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample |  | CO14 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIC1 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ECL1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRY } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAR } \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | CGN3 |  |  |
| Rock Type |  | Mag-rich diopsidite |  |  | Nodular ore |  |  | Fine-grained disseminated ore |  |  | Vein ore |  |  | Mag-rich pegmatoid serpentinite |  |  | Di-rich nodular ore |  |  |
|  | DL | $\mathrm{n}=16$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=16$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=10$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=14$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=25$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=13$ |  |  |
| (ppm) | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{ppm}) \pm \\ & 2 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | min | Max | Medi an | min | Max | Medi <br> an | min | Max | Media <br> n | min | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ma} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | Medi an | min | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ma} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | Medi an | min | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ma} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | Medi <br> an |
| ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ | $11 \pm 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 935 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1021 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2102 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 295 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2411 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 6149 | $\begin{aligned} & 725 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 6696 | 7773 | $\begin{aligned} & 848 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 8146 | 4173 | $\begin{aligned} & 815 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 5629 | 5992 | $\begin{aligned} & 694 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6311 |
| ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1020 \pm \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <10 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <102 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | BDL |  |  | <1020 | $\begin{aligned} & 157 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | <1020 | BDL |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <102 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <102 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | BDL |  |  |
| ${ }^{43} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325 \pm \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | BDL |  |  | BDL |  |  | BDL |  |  | BDL |  |  | BDL |  |  | BDL |  |  |
| ${ }^{47} \mathrm{Ti}$ | $6 \pm 4$ | 42 | 75 | 59 | 44 | 214 | 182 | 396 | 635 | 570 | 54 | 387 | 263 | 227 | 435 | 315 | 41 | 108 | 70 |
| ${ }^{51} \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \pm \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 43 | 23 | 5 | 81 | 29 | 7 | 30 | 17 | <0.3 | 135 | 5 | 40 | 118 | 64 | <0.3 | 33 | 6 |
| ${ }^{53} \mathrm{Cr}$ | $8 \pm 3$ | BDL |  |  | BDL |  |  | <8 | 154 | 32 | <8 | 14 | <8 | <8 | 64 | <8 | BDL |  |  |
| ${ }^{55} \mathrm{Mn}$ | $4 \pm 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 424 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 4025 | 4318 | $\begin{aligned} & 536 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 4991 | 2558 | $\begin{aligned} & 270 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 2620 | 2903 | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 2984 | 2927 | $\begin{aligned} & 339 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 3154 | 2797 | $\begin{aligned} & 301 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 2940 |
| ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Co}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \pm \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | 376 | 411 | 393 | 540 | 603 | 565 | 74 | 86 | 78 | 132 | 171 | 151 | 316 | 365 | 332 | 264 | 289 | 273 |
| ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Ni}$ | $8 \pm 5$ | 175 | 257 | 223 | 9 | 256 | 82 | 413 | 482 | 429 | 80 | 726 | 484 | 505 | 762 | 672 | 49 | 111 | 89 |
| ${ }^{66} \mathrm{Zn}$ | $2 \pm 1$ | 100 | 125 | 109 | 117 | 173 | 157 | 96 | 104 | 101 | 133 | 195 | 163 | 46 | 103 | 96 | 70 | 80 | 77 |

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

| ${ }^{98} \mathrm{Mo}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \pm \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BD} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ |  | $<0.5$ | 1.3 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.2 | <0.5 | BDL |  | <0.5 | 1.3 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \pm \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BD} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ |  | $<0.1$ | 0.7 | $<0.1$ | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | nd |  | $<0.1$ | 2.1 | 0.1 | <0.1 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Ni}$ |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 3.1 |

## Table 7

Composition of model hydrothermal fluids and the Rainbow vent fluid ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ solution).

|  | Harzburgite- <br> reacted | Fe-gabbro- <br> reacted | Rainbow |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Na | 460.2 | 464.4 | 570.0 |
| Ca | 9.2 | 12.0 | 67.7 |
| Mg | 15.6 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| Si | 0.3 | 17.5 | 7.3 |
| Fe | 10.8 | 25.8 | 22.2 |
| Al | 4.7 | 27.6 | 0.0029 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 535.4 | 527.3 | 757.0 |
|  |  |  |  |




[^0]:    Fig. 3

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Artificial" rock.
    ${ }^{2}$ Andreani et al. (2014).
    ${ }^{3}$ Sample CDP15, Site 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sanfilippo et al. (2014).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Ti}$ and Cr are systematically below the detection limit, therefore they are not reported.
    ${ }^{2} 5 \%$ relative error (equivalent to the accuracy on Pb analysis; Bowles 1990).
    ${ }^{3}$ Average of 5 repetitions on the same point.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rejected analyses, i.e out of the plateau beacuse of Pb loss or mixed/contaminated (cont.), are in italics.

