Research Article

Modifiable and Non-Modifiable Factors Related to HPV Infection and Cervical Abnormalities in Women at High Risk: A Cross-Sectional Analysis from the VALHIDATE Study

Orlando G¹, Tanzi E^{2*}, Rizzardini G¹, Chatenoud L³, Zanchetta N⁴, Esposito S⁵, Tisi G⁶, Fasolo M¹, Bosari S⁷, Boero V⁸, Matteelli A⁹, Bianchi S², Frati ER², Casolati E¹⁰, Fadelli S¹¹, Lunghi G¹², Antonacci C^{13†}, Arcidiacono I¹⁴, Gargiulo F¹⁵, Marucci R¹⁶, Bertazzoli E¹⁷, Degiuli A¹⁸, Zuccotti G¹⁹, Galli C²⁰, Gramegna M²¹, and VALHIDATE Study Group²²

¹Department of Infectious Diseases 1st, L Sacco University Hospital, Italy ²Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, University of Milan, Italy ³Department of Epidemiology, IRCCS Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri," Italy ⁴Clinical Microbiology, Virology and Bio emergency, L. Sacco Teaching Hospital, Italy ⁵Pediatric Highly Intensive Care Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore, Italy ⁶Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy ⁷Division of Pathology, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy ⁸Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, IRCSS Fondazione Cà Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy ⁹Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, University of Brescia, Italy ¹⁰Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, L Sacco University Hospital, Italy ¹¹Health Centre "Opera San Francesco per i poveri Onlus", Italy 12 Virology Unit, IRCCS Fondazione Ca' Granda Policlinico, Milan, Italy ¹³Pathology Unit, L Sacco University Hospital, Italy ¹⁴Department of Infectious Diseases, Lodi Hospital, Italy ¹⁵Laboratory of Microbiology, Spedali Civili General Hospital, Italy ¹⁶Pathology Unit, Spedali Civili General Hospital, Italy ¹⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lodi Hospital, Italy ¹⁸Blood Transfusion Center, Lodi Hospital, Italy ¹⁹Department of Pediatrics, University of Milan, Italy ²⁰Department of Pathology, Lodi Hospital, Italy ²¹DG Salute, UO Governo della prevenzione e tutela sanitaria, Regione Lombardia, Italy ²²VALHIDATE Study Group The eVALuation and monitoring of HPV Infections and relATEd

cervical diseases in high-risk women (VALHIDATE) study group

†Deceased

Abstract

Migrant women, and women infected with HIV, are at enhanced risk of cervical HPV infection and HPV-related cancers. We investigated factors that can reduce these risks through public health preventive and screening interventions. We studied the prevalence and risk factors for cervical HPV infection/ lesions in women with HIV-infection (HIW), in migrant women (RMW) and in a control group of resident women (SPW) who were enrolled in the study for the eVALuation and monitoring of HPV Infections and relATEd cervical diseases in high-risk women (VALHIDATE). Among 3093 evaluable women, age-standardized HPV prevalence was 36.3% (95%CI: 28.1–44.4) in HIW, 21.6% (95%CI: 15.7–27.5) in RMW, and 14.3% (95%CI: 12.5–16.1) in SPW. Adjusted prevalence of HPV infection was 2.07 times higher among HIW (95%CI: 1.75–2.45), and 1.45 times higher among RMW (95%CI: 1.17–1.80) than in SPW. Prevalence-ratios of SIL and HG-SIL were 2.67 (95%CI: 2.06–3.45) and 2.82 (95%CI: 1.28–6.20), respectively, in HIW compared to controls. A multivariate log-binomial regression model showed modifiable risk factors associated with HPV infection/lesion to have different patterns among groups. Specific public-health intervention, including health and sexual-health education, safe-sex procedures, and improvements to screening programmes, could favorably affect these highly vulnerable women.

Annals of Virology and Research

*Corresponding author

Elisabetta Tanzi, Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, University of Milan, via Carlo Pascal 36, 20133 - Milan, Italy, Tel: 39-0250315139; Fax: 39-0250315120; Email: elisobetta.tanzi@unimi.it

Submitted: 07 June 2016

Accepted: 30 June 2016

Published: 01 July 2016

Copyright

© 2016 Tanzi et al.

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords

- HPV
- HIV infected women
- Migrant women
- Pap screening
- HPV testing

Cite this article: Orlando G, Tanzi E, Rizzardini G, Chatenoud L, Zanchetta N, et al. (2016) Modifiable and Non-Modifiable Factors Related to HPV Infection and Cervical Abnormalities in Women at High Risk: A Cross-Sectional Analysis from the VALHIDATE Study. Ann Virol Res 2(2): 1013.

ABBREVIATIONS

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; HPV: Human Papillomavirus; HIW: Women with HIV infection; RMW: Recent Migrant Women; SPW: Women who attended Spontaneous Papscreening Programs; VALHIDATE: eVALuation and Monitoring of HPV Infections and relATEd Cervical Diseases in High-Risk Women; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; STI: Sexually Transmitted Infection; HR-HPVs: High-Risk HPV; HG-SIL: High Grade Intraepithelial Lesions; eCRF: Electronic Case Report Form; ASC-US: Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance; ASC-H: Atypical Squamous Cells that cannot exclude High-Grade SIL; LG/LSIL: Low Grade SIL; HSIL: High-Grade SIL; CA: Carcinoma; UNS: Unsatisfactory Specimens; CIN 1: Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia Grade 1; CIN 2: Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia Grade 2; PRs: Prevalence Ratios; PR_{adi}: Prevalence Ratios Adjusted; PR_{crude} : Crude Prevalence Ratios; Cis: Confidence Intervals; cART: Combined Antiretroviral Treatment

INTRODUCTION

Infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) is a very common sexually transmitted infection (STI); the life-time risk of cervical HPV infection in women is estimated to be 80% [1]. Several co-factors beyond sexual exposure, such as age at first sexual intercourse, host susceptibility, other STIs, smoking, use of oral contraceptives and parity have also been associated to increased risk of infection and/or disease progression [2,3]. Although up to 90% of these infections clear within 2 years, persistent infection from a high-risk HPV genotype (HR-HPVs) can evolve to cancer. Although cervical cancer screening programs have led to a substantial decrease in its incidence, cervical cancer remains the third most common female cancer worldwide with the highest burden in developing countries, where more than 80% of all the cervical cancer cases are detected [3,4]. The higher burden in resource limited settings primarily reflects lack of access to screening programs, which also contributes to the high prevalence of HPV infections and cancers in women migrating to industrialized world, who face language and social-economic barriers for healthcare access [5-13]. Host genetic factors [14] and impaired cell-mediated immunity, as with HIV-infected patients, are also associated with more persistent HPV infection and, thus, to increased risk of progression to cancer [15-19]. If the main cause of increased risk of progression to high grade intraepithelial lesions (HG-SIL) in HIV-infected patients is their inability to control replication and expression of HPV because of compromised immunity, the more widespread infection incidence seen in this population is probably also related to exposure to sexually transmitted infections and not entirely to impaired immunity.

Epidemiological studies on cervical HPV prevalence in migrant women and in HIV-infected women showed wide variation in setting, age, cytology, and tests used, with prevalence ranging from 38–80%, but generally higher than those found in the control immune-competent population [5,7,12,20,21]. Disparities in distribution of HPV infection and HPV-related cervical diseases in highly vulnerable groups reflect the health system's ability to reach all the target population, and underscore the need of specifically addressed public health interventions.

Nowadays, prevention of HPV related diseases relies on HPV vaccine, regular screening and follow up, and sexual health education. This study aimed to provide an overview on factors associated with HPV infection and disease in two high-risk groups of women. We compared HIV* women and migrant women in their first year of migration who had no health insurance, with a control group of resident women enrolled, between Nov 2010 and Dec 2013, in the Lombardy Region epidemiological study for the eVALuation and monitoring of HPV infections and relATEd cervical diseases in high-risk women (VALHIDATE). This is an ongoing longitudinal prospective cohort study that focuses on clinical, virological and epidemiological characteristics of HPV infection and related cervical diseases in women at high risk for cervical cancer [22].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

The present study is the cross sectional report of the baseline evaluation of female population recruited in the VALHIDATE study [22] according to the protocol approved by the Ethical Committees of the participating centers. Enrollment was conducted inviting all women consecutively visiting seven clinical centers in four general hospitals (4 gynecology units and 3 infectious diseases units) and one faith-based outpatient department for migrants lacking health insurance. Each clinical centre provides a good experience in the implementation of population-based screening programs for cervical cancer which include a three-year recall of all women aged 25-64 years, in accordance with national Italian guidelines [23]. Migrant women who had arrived in Italy within 1 year (recent migrant women, RMW) and HIV-infected women (HIW) were considered as two distinct study groups of women at high risk for HPV infection and were compared with a control group of resident women aged 18-65 years who attended spontaneous Pap-screening programs (SPW). Exclusion criteria were history of cervical cancer or surgically treated high-grade precancerous lesions, and refusal to sign the informed consent. Moreover, women in the SPW and RMW groups had not declared HIV status.

The enrollment was lower than expected by the protocol for all groups, reaching 77% of expected for HIW, 70% for SPW and 42% for RMW. The enrollment of young women less than 26 years of age was 38% of expected (508 women). Therefore, this group was analyzed together with SPW group. No protocol violation was recorded for RMW and HIW groups.

Baseline evaluation

Baseline evaluations of the women were based on medical assessment by a gynecologist, and by an infectious disease specialist for the HIW patients; demographic, socioeconomic, behavioral data and medical history were collected and recorded on a specially designed electronic case report form (eCRF) from each participating centre. In the first screening round, all consenting women received both HPV testing and cytology. Cervical specimens were collected using a brush (Cytobrush Plus® Medscand Medical AB, Sweden) to perform a conventional Pap smear evaluated according to the 2001 Bethesda System terminology by expert cytopathologists of the participating

centers. Samples were classified as negative for cellular abnormalities (NEG), atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US), atypical squamous cells that cannot exclude high-grade SIL (ASC-H), low grade SIL (LSIL), high-grade SIL (HSIL), or carcinoma (CA). Unsatisfactory (UNS) specimens were excluded from the analysis. Colposcopy was performed in women with findings of ASC-US or worse and colposcopic driven biopsies were performed when indicated. Women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) grade 2 or more severe histological findings were referred for treatment. In cases of discordant cytology and histology, the more severe result was retained for analysis. Cyto/histological findings were then classified as lowgrade SIL (LG-SIL) encompassing ASC-US, LSIL, CIN1, and highgrade SIL (HG-SIL) encompassing ASC-H, HSIL, CIN2 or worse.

The same cervical brush used for cytology was immersed and rinsed in 20 ml of PreservCyt® solution (ThinPrep Pap Test, Hologic Italia Srl, Italy), and stored at room temperature until HPV testing performed by the reference virology laboratory. Ten milliliters of each PreservCyt® solution containing cervical cells were centrifuged at 3800 ×g for 15 min at room temperature, then re-suspended in 1 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), transferred into a new 1.5 mL collection test tube and stored at -20°C until nucleic acids extraction by NucliSENS® EasyMAG™ (bioMérieux, Lyon, France) commercial kit. The concentration and purity of the extracted DNA were evaluated using a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000c; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Wilmington, DE). HPV DNA was detected by PCR amplification of a 450-bp segment of ORF L1 using the degenerate primer pair ELSI-f (5'-gCNCARggHCATAAYAATgg-3') and ELSI-r (5'-CgNCCHAADggAAAYTgATC-3') [24]. HPV DNA+ samples were genotyped by the INNO-LiPA[™]HPV Genotyping Extra (Fujirebio Italia, Roma, Italy) in microbiology laboratories of participating centres. Oncogenic risk of HPV types was assessed by the 2011 IARC classification [25]; genotypes classified as "carcinogenic", "probably carcinogenic" or "possibly carcinogenic" to humans, all included in the High-Risk clade, were considered High-Risk HPV (HR-HPV) in these analyses.

Statistical analysis

Demographic and behavioral characteristics (age, geographical origin, marital status, level of education, smoking habits), reproductive and sexual health data (age at first sexual intercourse, parity, number of pregnancies and deliveries, spontaneous abortion, use of contraceptives and condoms, use of estroprogestinic drugs, menopause, number of lifetime and recent sexual partners, adherence to Pap screening programs, result of the Pap Test, history of sexually transmitted infections) and clinical data (history of diseases grouped by the major diagnostic categories scheme) were included in the analysis for the association with the prevalence of HPV infection. Differences in distribution of these factors between the control group and the RMW and HIW groups were compared using Pearson's chisquare test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Crude and age-standardized prevalence of HPV infection (applying direct method and using world population), were calculated with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for each study group. Prevalence of HPV was also calculated in strata of each factor considered in the analysis. To determine which factors were associated with HPV prevalence, prevalence ratios (PRs) for HPV infection, and corresponding 95% CIs, were calculated for each factor, using log-binomial regression in the three study groups. Multivariable models (PR_{ad}) were used to control for potential confounding by factors that were significantly associated with HPV prevalence in the crude analysis, and for group-specific factors. When appropriate, X^2 test for trend was also assessed. Adjustments are specified in table footnotes. Statistical analyses used SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

A similar analysis was performed for prevalence of cyto/ histological abnormalities, which were defined as the more severe finding of the Pap test or the cervical biopsy results. Crude prevalence was estimated for each study group, in strata of each factor considered in the analysis, and for HG-SIL only. Prevalence ratios for cyto/histological abnormality of any grade (and corresponding 95% CIs), were calculated for each study group using multivariable-models including study centre, age and number of lifetime sexual partners as covariates, when appropriate.

RESULTS

Among 3185 women aged 18-65 years who gave their written informed consent, 3093 women were included in the analysis after the exclusion of 92 women (3%) for whom HPV or Pap test results were missing or inadequate (75 from the SPW group, 14 from the HIW group, 3 from the RMW group). Subjects included 1910 controls (SPW), 766 HIV infected women (HIW), and 417 recently immigrated women (RMW) (Table 1). The average refusal rate was 15%, with 3 out of the 8 centers showing a refusal rate lower than 10% and only 1 centre with a higher value of about 30%. Of the women in the HIW group, 708 (92.8%) were on combined antiretroviral treatment (cART), with mean CD4⁺ cells counts of 599.9 cells/µL (95% CI: 578.8-621.1) and 636 (83.9%) had suppressed HIV viral loads (<50 copies/ μL). The most represented geographical origins of women in the RMW group were Latin America (237/417, 56.8%), followed by Eastern Europe (116/417, 27.8%).

Women with HIV infection (HIW)

The women included in the HIW group were significantly different from the SPW group for most of the factors considered (Table 1). They were older, with a median age of 43 years compared with 34 years for the SPW group, they were more frequently foreign born (19% vs 7%), less frequently unmarried (27% vs 52%), less educated (51% were educated to the high school or university level, compared with 86% of the SPW group) and they were less frequently never-smokers (34% vs 61%). Women in the HIW group were younger at the time of their first sexual intercourse, 39% had more than five lifetime sexual partners compared with 22% in the control group, but tended to have had fewer partners in the last 6 months (26% declared no partners in the last 6 months compared with 7% in the SPW group). Condoms were the preferred contraceptive method in the HIW group (52.5%), whereas estroprogestinic drugs were preferred in the SPW group (70%). Parity was higher among HIW patients (76.8% vs 45.8%), and a higher percentage were in menopause than the SPW group (19% vs 7%).

Table 1: Distribution of selected socio-demographics characteristics, smoking and sexual habits, reproductive and gynecological history, in the three study groups: controls (SPW), HIV infected women (HIW), and recent migrant women (RMW).

	S N=	SPW =1910	HIV N=7	N 66	R N=	MW =417	Statistic ^a (p-value)	Statistic ^a (p-value)
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	HIW vs SPW	RMWvs SPW
Age (years)	508	26.6%	33	4 3%	153	36.7%		
18-25	500	20.070		1.5 /0	100	50.770	_	
26-35	525	27.5%	120	15.7%	143	34.3%	< 0.01	<0.01
36-45	620	32.5%	333	43.5%	117	28.0%	.0.01	\$0.01
>45	257	13.4%	280	36.5%	4	1.0%		
Median / Mean (95% CI)	34.0 (33.	0 / 34.3 8-34.8)	43.0 / (41.4-4	42.0 42.6)	29.0 (29.4	/ 30.1 4-30.9)	<0.01	<0.01
Geographical origin								
Italy	1768	92.6%	620	80.9%	0	0.0%		
Africa	15	0.8%	83	10.8%	44	10.6%		
Asia	6	0.3%	9	1.2%	20	4.8%	< 0.01	< 0.01
Europe	66	3.4%	25	3.3%	116 ^b	27.8%		
Latin America	55	2.9%	29	3.8%	237	56.8%		
Marital status					100			
Unmarried	988	51.7%	209	27.3%	180	43.2%		
Married	681	35.7%	266	34.7%	159	38.1%	_	
Cohabitant	103	5.4%	113	14.8%	35	8.4%	< 0.01	< 0.01
Other (divorced or widow)	138	7.2%	178	23.2%	43	10.3%		
Education								
Primary school	269	14.1%	375	49.0%	148	35.5%		
Secondary school	1047	54.8%	332	43.3%	205	49.2%	< 0.01	< 0.01
University degree	594	31.1%	58	7.6%	64	15.3%		
Smoking habit	0,71	011170		,10,10	01	101070		
Never smoker	1173	61.4%	261	34.1%	345	82.7%		
Ex smoker	206	10.8%	153	20.0%	14	3.4%	< 0.01	<0.01
Current smoker	531	27.8%	352	45.9%	58	13.9%		
1-10 cig /day	377	71.0%	140	39.8%	40	69.0%		
> 10 cig./day	154	29.0%	212	60.2%	18	31.0%	< 0.01	NS
Age at first sexual	151	2 5.0 70	212	00.270	10	51.070		
intercourse ^c								
≤16	476	24.9%	298	38.9%	111	26.6%		
17-18	713	37.3%	276	36.0%	141	33.8%	< 0.01	NS
≥19	710	37.2%	181	23.6%	164	39.3%		
Median / Mean	18.0	0 / 18.5	17.0 /	17.4	18.0	/ 18.4	< 0.01	NS
(95% CI)	(18.	4-18.7)	(17.2-	17.6)	(18.	1-18.8)		
	N=	SPW =1910	HIV N=7	W 66	R N:	MW =417	Statistic ^a	Statistic ^a (n-value)
	N	%	N	%	N	%	HIW vs SPW	RMWvs SPW
Number of lifetime sexual partners ^c								
1	465	24.3%	69	9.0%	140	33.6%		
2-5	1029	53.9%	389	50.8%	248	59.5%	< 0.01	< 0.01
>5	414	21.7%	302	39.4%	28	6.7%		
Number of sexual partners in the last 6 months ^c								

	S N=	PW 1910	HIV N=7	W 66	R N:	MW =417	Statistic ^a (p-value)	Statistic ^a (p-value)
	N	%	N	%	N	%	HIW vs SPW	RMWvs SPW
0	138	7.2%	198	25.8%	150	36.0%		
1	1647	86.2%	543	70.9%	247	59.2%	< 0.01	< 0.01
≥2	119	6.2%	16	2.1%	16	3.8%		
Use of any type of contraceptive methods ^c								
Never	197	10.3%	67	8.7%	91	21.8%		
Only past use	747	39.1%	276	36.0%	176	42.2%	NS	< 0.01
Current use	962	50.4%	418	54.6%	150	36.0%		
Use of condom ^c								
Never	764	40.0%	146	19.1%	242	58.0%		
Only past use	663	34.7%	208	27.2%	83	19.9%	< 0.01	< 0.01
Current use	468	24.5%	402	52.5%	68	16.3%		
Use of IUD ^c								
Never	1761	92.2%	688	89.8%	356	85.4%		
Only past use	93	4.9%	64	8.4%	20	4.8%	< 0.01	0.03
Current use	39	2.0%	3	0.4%	17	4.1%		
Use of other contraceptive methods ^{c,d}								
Never	1870	97.9%	738	96.3%	320	76.7%		
Only past use	22	1.2%	13	1.7%	71	17.0%	NS	< 0.01
Current use	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.5%		
Use of Estro-progestinic drugs (EP) ^c								
Never	573	30.0%	392	51.2%	255	61.2%		
Only past use	851	44.6%	341	44.5%	99	23.7%	<0.01	<0.01
Only current use	197	10.3%	2	0.3%	20	4.8%	<0.01	<0.01
Past and current use	272	14.2%	18	2.4%	19	4.6%		
Years of use ^c ≤5	135	49.6%	10	55.6%	14	73.7%	NC	0.02
>5	124	45.6%	8	44.4%	4	21.1%	INS	0.03
	5 N=	SPW 1910	HI N=7	W /66	R	2MW =417	Statistic ^a (p-value)	Statistic ^a (p-value)
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	HIW vs SPW	RMW vs SPW
Number of children ^c								
0	1144	59.9%	343	44.8%	165	39.6%	_	
1	314	16.4%	248	32.4%	126	30.2%	< 0.01	< 0.01
≥2	450	23.6%	174	22.7%	126	30.2%		
Number of pregnancies ^c								
0	1035	54.2%	178	23.2%	127	30.5%		
1	298	15.6%	226	29.5%	108	25.9%	.0.01	.0.01
2	348	18.2%	190	24.8%	86	20.6%	<0.01	<0.01
≥3	226	11.8%	170	22.2%	96	23.0%		
Spontaneous abortion ^c								
No	1696	88.8%	643	83.9%	365	87.5%	-0.01	NC
Yes	212	11.1%	122	15.9%	52	12.5%	NU.U1	GNI

	S N=	PW 1910		HIW N=766			RMW N=417	Statistic ^a (p-value)	Statistic ^a (p-value)
	Ν	%	N		%	Ν	%	HIW vs SPW	RMW vs SPW
Menopause ^c									
No	1753	91.8%	60	4	78.9%	401	96.2%	0.01	0.01
Yes	140	7.3%	14	4	18.8%	16	3.8%	<0.01	0.01
History of STIs and/or genital infections ^c									
No	1281	67.1%	37	0	48.3%	328	78.7%	<0.01	<0.01
Yes	622	32.6%	38	8	50.7%	85	20.4%	<0.01	<0.01
History of STIs and/or genital infections by types ^c									
Genital warts	86	4.5%	13	9	18.2%	5	1.2%	<0.01	<0.01
Mycosis	485	25.4%	18	7	24.4%	47	11.3%	NS	< 0.01
Bacterial vaginosis	63	3.3%	96	5	12.5%	24	5.8%	<0.01	0.02
HSV	39	2.0%	53	3	6.9%	1	0.2%	<0.01	0.01
Trichomoniasis	23	1.2%	28	3	3.7%	0	0.0%	<0.01	-
Chlamydia	26	1.4%	17	7	2.2%	1	0.2%	NS	NS
Syphilis	1	0.1%	23	3	3.0%	1	0.2%	<0.01	NS
Gonorrhea	0	0.0%	6		0.8%	1	0.2%	-	-
LGV	1	0.1%	2		0.3%	1	0.2%	NS	NS
At least one STI, other than warts	536	28.1%	24	9	32.5%	80	19.2%	<0.01	<0.01
		S N=	PW 1910		HIW N=766	I N	RMW =417	Statistic ^a (p-value)	Statistic ^a (p-value)
		N	%	N	%	N	%	HIW vs SPW	RMW vs SPW
Previous PAP screening	timing ^c								
Regular timing (every 2-3	years)	1296	67.9%	569	74.3%	114	27.3%		
Irregular timing		299	15.7%	158	20.6%	87	20.9%	< 0.01	< 0.01
Never done		309	16.2%	33	4.3%	216	51.8%		
Previous PAP screening	result ^c								
Never done		309	16.2%	33	4.3%	216	51.8%		
Negative		1518	79.5%	614	80.2%	195	46.8%	< 0.01	< 0.01
Positive		57	3.0%	85	11.1%	4	0.9%		
Unknown		24	1.3%	30	3.9%	2	0.5%		
History of chronic or relevan	t diseases ^{c,e}								
No		1240	64.9%	389	50.8%	278	66.7%	-0.01	NC
Yes		668	668 35.0%		49.2%	139	33.3%	<0.01	IN S

NS: Not significant.

^aChi-square test, Fisher exact Test or T test.

^bAll women came from Eastern Europe.

^cThe sum does not up to the total because of missing values.

^dOther contraceptive methods include: injections of hormones, ovules, tube tied, vaginal ring. ^eDiseases classified according to Major Diagnostic Categories (MDC – version 24).

History of STIs was significantly higher for HIW, 51% of whom reported having had at least one condition compared with 33% in the SPW group, and genital warts were exceedingly higher in the HIW group (18%) than in the SPW (4.5%). Only 4% of the HIW group had never had a Pap smear previously compared with 16% of the SPW group, and they had more frequent positive Pap screening results (11% vs 3%).

Recent migrant women (RMW)

The RMW group also differed from controls for most of the factors considered. Women in this group were younger than controls, with a median age of 29 years. They were less educated, 65% were educated to the high school or university level compared with 86% in the control group and most of them were never-smokers (83% *vs* 61%). About 34% claimed only one partner in their lives and 36% claimed no partners in the last six months, compared with 24% and 7%, respectively, in the control group. A higher percentage of the RMW group claimed to use no contraceptive method than did the SPW group (22% *vs* 10%). Parity was higher in RMW (69.5% *vs* 45.8%). History of STIs was significantly lower for RMW only 20% of whom reported having had at least one condition. Finally, about 52% of them declared that they had never had a Pap smear previously, compared with 16% of the control group.

Prevalence of HPV infection and SIL

A total of 572 women (18.5%; 95% CI: 17.13–19.86) had a positive HPV DNA test among the 3093 women analyzed: SPW: 273; HIW: 215; RMW: 84. Age-standardized HPV prevalence was SPW: 14.3% (95% CI: 12.5–16.1); HIW: 36.3% (95% CI: 28.1–44.4); RMW: 21.6% (95% CI: 15.7–27.5) (Figure 1a). Most

infections were sustained by HR-HPV types in all groups. Among HPV-infected women, crude prevalence of infection from at least one HR-HPV type was SPW: 85.72%; HIW: 76.74%; RMW: 85.60%. The adjusted prevalence ratio (PR_{adj}) of HPV infection compared with controls was HIW: 2.07 (95% CI: 1.75–2.45); RMW: 1.45 (95% CI: 1.17–1.80) (Table 2).

Squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL) were found in cytological and/or histological samples in 288 women (9.3%; 95% CI: 8.29-10.34)—specifically, SPW: 128 (6.7%, 95% CI: 5.6-7.8); HIW: 125 (16.3%, 95% CI: 13.7-18.9); RMW: 35 (8.4%, 95% CI: 5.9-11.5) (Figure 1b). When type of cyto/histological abnormality was considered, HG-SIL was seen in 13 women in the SPW group (0.7%; 95% CI: 0.4-1.2), 17 in the HIW group (2.2%; 95% CI: 1.3-3.5), and 6 in the RMW group (1.4%; 95% CI: 0.5-3.1) (Figure 1b).

The HIW had significantly higher prevalence of any grade SIL (PR_{adj}: 2.67; 95% CI: 2.06–3.45) and HG-SIL (PR_{adj}: 2.82; 95% CI: 1.28–6.20) than did the controls. The RMW group had higher prevalence of any grade SIL than did the controls, (PR_{adj}: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.88–1.81) and HG-SIL (PR_{adj}: 2.22; 95% CI: 0.83–5.92) but the adjusted prevalence rate did not reach a statistical significance (Table 2).

Effect of age on prevalence of HPV infection and SIL

A significant decreasing trend in HPV prevalence by age was observed in the three study groups (Figure 2a, Table 3), and was most pronounced in the HIW group, with HPV prevalence of 51.5% (95% CI: 33.5–69.2) in the 18–25 years old (y.o.) subgroup (considered as reference category), followed by 41.7% in 26–35 y.o. women and 24% in both those 36–45 y.o. and >45 y.o.,









group (or w), the first intected women group (int w), and the recent ingrant women

reflecting risk reductions of about 19% (PR_{crude} : 0.81) and 53% (PR_{crude} : 0.47) respectively (Table 3).

The control group showed a similar trend, although HPV prevalence was always lower than in the HIW group (Figure 2a). Age-specific HPV prevalence decreased from 21.3% to 8.4 in 18–25 y.o., 36-45 y.o. women (PR_{crude} : 0.39, 95% CI: 0.29–0.54). The RMW group showed a less marked downward trend; age-specific prevalence was always higher than controls, and ranged from 27.5% in 18–25 y.o. women to 12.8% in 36–45 y.o. women

 $(PR_{crude}: 0.47; 95\% CI: 0.27-0.80)$. We had too few subjects older than 45 years in this study group to allow any comparison for this age group. The prevalence ratio of SIL (any grade) was reduced in SPW older than 25 years and stable for HIW and RMW. Correlation between age and HG-SIL were not investigated because of the low number of events observed in all study groups (Table 4). In the HIW group, the prevalence of SIL was significantly higher through all age groups compared with controls, with a prevalence of 24% (95% CI: 11.1-42.3) for women aged 18-25 years and 17%



group (SPW), the HIV infected women group (HIVW), and the recent migrant women group (RMW).

(95% CI: 12.9–22.1) for women aged 45 years or older (Table 4). Because of the low number of SIL observed in the RMW group (35 out of 417 women), the result interpretation was not possible for this study group.

Other factors associated to HPV prevalence

We investigated the association between a wide range of factors and the prevalence of HPV infection for each study group (Table 3) and summarized the factors significantly associated to HPV prevalence also when major confounders were considered (Figure 3a). In the multivariate log-binomial regression model, the main factors significantly associated to HPV prevalence in at least one of the three study groups were age, marital status, smoking habits, number of lifetime sexual partners, use of any contraceptive method, use of condoms, number of pregnancies and deliveries, having had STI (mainly genital warts), and previous positive Pap screening results; however, the strength (and significance) of the associations differed among groups (Table 3). Figure 3a shows all the factors modifiable by specifically addressed health information campaigns and significantly associated with change in HPV prevalence for at least one of the groups studied in this analysis.

Other factors associated to SIL

The prevalence of any grade SIL and its corresponding estimated prevalence ratio, and the prevalence of HG-SIL, for all factors considered in the HPV analysis are shown in Table (4). Figure 3b summarizes factors associated significantly to prevalence of any grade SIL in the adjusted models (which considered only major potential confounders-study centre, age and number of partners, when appropriate-because of a low number of positive tests). The main factors significantly associated to SIL in at least one of the three study groups were age, marital status, smoking habits, number of lifetime sexual partners, condom use, history of genital warts, adherence to Pap

screening programs and results of previous Pap screening (Table 4). Figure (3b) shows modifiable factors significantly found to change prevalence in cervical abnormalities for at least one of the groups analyzed.

DISCUSSION

We report the results of the cross-sectional part of the VALHIDATE study based on a co-testing evaluation (cytology and HPV testing plus genotyping), designed to be representative of women at high risk of HPV infection and related cervical diseases across a wide age range. Although this study is from a limited geographic area, it is the first population-based study on prevalence, age distribution, and risk factors for HPV infection and related cervical lesions in HIV-infected women (HIW) and recently migrant women (RMW) compared with a control group (SPW) of women resident in Lombardy (Italy). The crude, agestandardized prevalence and adjusted prevalence ratio of HPV infection are significantly higher in the testing groups than in the control group of resident women; and the crude and adjusted prevalence ratios of cervical intraepithelial abnormalities (any grade and high-grade SIL) were higher in HIW than in the control group. Cervical cancer prevention strategies are evolving because of the introduction of preventive vaccines and the availability of HPV testing for primary screening in addition to the wellestablished effectiveness of cytology screening introduced in the mid-50s.

Randomized trials [26-28] have shown that HPV testing provides greater sensitivity than cytology for detection of CIN, and that the detection of high-risk HPV types could be a better predictor of increased risk [29,30]. However, as reflex cytology is needed to detect underlying cellular abnormalities in HPV⁺ women, HPV testing is recommended for those older than 30 years when the prevalence of transient infections falls. In the ARTISTIC trial [31] infection prevalence from high risk HPV





controls: SPW, the HIV-positive women: HIVW and the recent migrant women: RMW). Diamonds correspond to significant PR.

 Table 2: Prevalence and prevalence ratios (PR) comparing the HIW and the RMW groups versus the SPW group, and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), for HPV infection, and cyto/histological abnormalities (any grade and HG-SIL).

inter vals (er), for the value ester of instoregreat abnormal	the (any g	ado ana ma bib					
	N	SPW =1910	H N=	IIW =766	R N=	MW =417	
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	
HPV positive test result	273	14.3%	215	28.1%	84	20.1%	
Crude PR ^a (95% CI)		1 ^c	1.96 (1	.68-2.30)	1.41 (1.13-1.76)		
Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)		1 ^c	2.07 (1	.75-2.45)	1.45 (1	.17-1.80)	
Any grade SIL	128	6.7%	125	16.3%	35	8.4%	
Crude PR ^a (95% CI)		1 ^c	2.44 (1	.93-3.07)	1.25 (0.88-1.79)		
Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)		1 ^c	2.67 (2	.06-3.45)	1.26 (0.88-1.81)		
HG-SIL	13	0.7%	17	2.2%	6	1.4%	
Crude PR ^a (95% CI)	1 ^c		3.26 (1	.59-6.68)	2.11 (0.81-5.53)		
Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)		1 ^c	2.82 (1	.28-6.20)	2.22 (0.83-5.92)		

^aPrevalence ratio estimates from univariate log-binomial regression model.

^bPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression model adjusted for age groups, smoking habit, and number of lifetime sexual partners.

^cReference category.

^dPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression model adjusted for age groups and number of lifetime sexual partners.

Table 3: Number (N), prevalence (P), and prevalence ratios (PR) of HPV infection and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs), according to selected socio-demographics characteristics, smoking and sexual habits, reproductive and gynecological history, in the control group (SPW), the HIV group (HIW), and the recent migrant group (RMW).

	SPW (N=191	.0)		HIW (N=766	5)		RMW (N=	RMW (N=417)			
	HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)	HPV infection N=215 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^c (95% CI)	HPV infection N=84 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)		
Age (years)											
18-25	108 (21.3)	1 ^e	1 ^e	17 (51.5)	1 ^e	1 ^e	42 (27.5)	1 ^e	1 ^e		
26-35	92 (17.5)	0.82 (0.64-1.06)	0.72 (0.56-0.93)	50 (41.7)	0.81 (0.55-1.20)	0.84 (0.60-1.17)	27 (18.9)	0.69 (0.45-1.05)	0.66 (0.43-1.02)		
36-45	52 (8.4)	0.39 (0.29-0.54)	0.40 (0.29-0.55)	80 (24.0)	0.47 (0.32-0.68)	0.48 (0.34-0.67)	15 (12.8)	0.47 (0.27- 0.80)	0.46 (0.27-0.78)		
>45	21 (8.2)	0.38 (0.25-0.60)	0.45 (0.29-0.69)	68 (24.3)	0.47 (0.32-0.70)	0.49 (0.35-0.70)	0 (0.0)	-	-		
χ²trend (<i>p</i> -value)		43.42 (<0.001)	36.67 (<0.001)		20.23 (<0.001)	22.60 (<0.001)	8.63 (0.003)	10.36 (0.001)		
Geographical origin											
Italy	250 (14.1)	0.87 (0.59-1.29)	0.84 (0.59-1.21)	168 (27.1)	0.84 (0.64-1.10)	0.90 (0.67-1.19)	-	-	-		
Africa	3 (20.0)	1.40 (0.51-3.89)	2.03 (0.77-5.40)	27 (32.5)	1.18 (0.85-1.65)	1.15 (0.81-1.61)	8 (18.2)	0.89 (0.46-1.72)	0.96 (0.50-1.83)		
Asia	0 (0.0)	-	-	1 (11.1)	0.39 (0.06-2.50)	NA	1 (5.0)	0.24 (0.04-1.63)	NA		
Europe	12 (18.2)	1.28 (0.76-2.17)	1.10 (0.68-1.79)	8 (32.0)	1.15 (0.64-2.05)	1.04 (0.59-1.82)	23 (19.8)	0.98 (0.64-1.50)	0.92 (0.60-1.41)		
Latin America	8 (14.5)	1.02 (0.53-1.95)	1.28 (0.70-2.34)	11 (37.9)	1.37 (0.85-2.21)	1.27 (0.77-2.09)	52 (21.9)	1.23 (0.83-1.83)	1.20 (0.81-1.78)		
Marital status											
Unmarried	196 (19.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e	77 (36.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e	47 (26.1)	1 ^e	1 ^e		
Married	35 (5.1)	0.26 (0.18-0.37)	0.44 (0.30-0.65)	54 (20.3)	0.55 (0.41-0.74)	0.65 (0.47-0.89)	21 (13.2)	0.51 (0.32- 0.81)	0.61 (0.37-1.00)		
Cohabitant	17 (16.5)	0.83 (0.53-1.31)	0.89 (0.57-1.40)	36 (31.9)	0.86 (0.63-1.19)	0.90 (0.66-1.24)	8 (22.9)	0.88 (0.45-1.69)	0.90 (0.47-1.72)		

Table 3: Number (N), prevalence (P), and prevalence ratios (PR) of HPV infection and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs), according to selected socio-demographics characteristics, smoking and sexual habits, reproductive and gynecological history, in the control group (SPW), the HIV group (HIW), and the recent migrant group (RMW).

	SPW (N=1	910)	HIW (N=7	HIW (N=766)				RMW (N=417)					
	HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)		Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)	HPV infection N=215 N (P)		Crude PRª (95% CI)		Adjusted PR ^c (95% CI)	HI inf N= N	PV Tection 84 (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
Other (divorced o widow)	^{or} 25 (18.1)	0.91 (0.63-1.33	3)	1.31 (0.86-1.98)	48 (27.0)		0.73 (0.54-0.99)	0.88 (0.63-1.21)		8 (18.6)	0.71 (0.36- 1.39)	0.83 (0.41-1.67)
Education													
Primary school	28 (10.4)	1 ^e		1 ^e	107 (28.5)		1 ^e		1 ^e		32 (21.6) 1 ^e	1 ^e
Secondary school	148 (14.1)	1.36 (0.93-1.99))	1.07 (0.74-1.55]	89 (26.8)		0.94 (0.74-1.19)	0.92 (0.73-1.16)		42 (20.5	0.95) (0.63- 1.43)	0.86 (0.57-1.29)
University degree	e 97 (16.3)	1.57 (1.06-2.3	3)	1.20 (0.81-1.76)	18 (31.0)		1.09 (0.72-1.65))	1.13 (0.76-1.67)		10 (15.6) 0.72 (0.38-1.38)	0.68 (0.36-1.28)
χ²trend (p-value)		5.09 (0.02	24)	1.23 (0.268	3)		0.00 (0.967	7)	0.00 (0.960)		0.81 (0.369)	1.56 (0.211)
	SPW (N=191	0)			HIW (N=766)				RMW	/ (N=417)	
	HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Ad PR (95	justed 5% CI)	HPV infection N=215 N (P)	Cr PI (9	rude Rª 95% CI)	Ac PF (9	djusted R ^c 5% CI)	HPV infec N=84 N (P)	tion	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
Smoking habit													
Never/ Ex smoker	170 (12.3)	1 ^e	1 ^e		99 (24.0)	1e	2	1e		73 (2	0.3)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Current smoker	103 (19.4)	1.57 (1.26-1.97)	1.3 (1.	80 04-1.62)	116 (33.0)	1. (1	.38 1.10-1.73)	1.: (1	38 1.10-1.73)	11 (1	9.0)	0.93 (0.53-1.65)	0.84 (0.47-1.51)
1-10 cig/day	71 (18.8)	1.53 (1.19-1.97)	1.1 (0.9	.8 92-1.52)	44 (31.4)	1. (0	31).97-1.77)	1.: (1	39 .04-1.88)	8 (20	.0)	1.98 (0.51-1.89)	0.87 (0.45-1.68)
>10 cig/day	32 (20.8)	1.69 (1.20- 2.37)	1.5 2.1	56 (1.13- 16)	72 (34.0)	1. 1.	.42 (1.10- .83)	1. 1.	37 (1.06- 76)	3 (16	.7)	0.82 (0.29-2.35)	0.78 (0.27-2.24)
χ ² trend (p-value)		15.53 (<0.001)	7.3	86 (0.007)		7.	73 (0.005)	6.	18 (0.013)			0.11 (0.745)	0.35 (0.556)
Age at first sexua intercourse	al												
≤16	83 (17.4)	1 ^e	1 ^e		93 (31.2)	1 ^e	2	1e		28 (2	5.2)	1e	1 ^e

meercourse									
≤16	83 (17.4)	1 ^e	1 ^e	93 (31.2)	1 ^e	1 ^e	28 (25.2)	1 ^e	1 ^e
17-18	105 (14.7)	0.84 (0.65-1.10)	1.07 (0.83-1.39)	69 (25.0)	0.80 (0.61-1.04)	0.92 (0.71-1.20)	28 (19.9)	0.79 (0.50-1.25)	0.84 (0.53-1.33)
≥19	84 (11.8)	0.68 (0.51-0.90)	1.18 (0.88-1.59)	47 (26.0)	0.83 (0.62-1.12)	1.01 (0.72-1.41)	28 (17.1)	0.68 (0.43-1.08)	0.78 (0.49-1.26)
χ^2 trend (<i>p</i> -value)		7.44 (0.006)	0.35 (0.554)		2.02 (0.155)	0.02 (0.885)		2.67 (0.102)	1.00 (0.317)
Number or lifetin	me sexual pai	rtners							
1	19 (4.1)	1 ^e	1 ^e	11 (15.9)	1 ^e	1 ^e	23 (16.4)	1 ^e	1 ^e
2-5	154 (15.0)	3.66 (2.30-5.82)	3.42 (2.15-5.44)	106 (27.2)	1.71 (0.97-3.01)	1.59 (0.91-2.78)	51 (20.6)	1.25 (0.80-1.96)	1.31 (0.84-2.05)
>5	100 (24.2)	5.91 (3.69-9.48)	5.47 (3.39-8.81)	95 (31.5)	1.97 (1.12-3.48)	1.68 (0.96-2.97)	10 (35.7)	2.17 (1.17-4.05)	2.25 (1.23-4.14)
χ^2 trend (<i>p</i> -value)		70.16 (<0.001)	60.87 (<0.001)		5.75 (0.017)	2.32 (0.128)		4.39 (0.036)	5.37 (0.021)
Number of sexua	l partners in	the last 6 mo	nths						
0	21 (15.2)	1 ^e	1 ^e	62 (31.3)	1 ^e	1 ^e	31 (20.7)	1 ^e	1 ^e
1	210 (12.8)	0.84 (0.55-1.27)	0.76 (0.51-1.13)	146 (26.9)	0.86 (0.67-1.10)	0.82 (0.65-1.05)	50 (20.2)	0.98 (0.66-1.46)	0.89 (0.59-1.33)
≥2	42 (35.3)	2.32 (1.46-3.68)	1.11 (0.70-1.76)	5 (31.3)	1.00 (0.47-2.12)	0.82 (0.35-1.92)	3 (18.8)	0.91 (0.31-2.64)	0.74 (0.25-2.13)

	SPW (N=191	0)		HIW (N=766))		RMW (N=41	L7)	
	HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)	HPV infection N=215 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^c (95% CI)	HPV infection N=84 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
χ²trend (p-value)		22.73 (<0.001)	1.67 (0.196)		1.01 (0.316)	2.56 (0.110)		0.03 (0.867)	0.52 (0.470)
Use of any type of	of contraceptiv	ve methods							
Never	18 (9.1)	1 ^e	1 ^e	26 (38.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e	19 (20.9)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Only past use	90 (12.1)	1.32 (0.82-2.13)	1.11 (0.69-1.77)	69 (25.0)	0.64 (0.45-0.93)	0.68 (0.48-0.96)	34 (19.3)	0.93 (0.56-1.53)	0.79 (0.47-1.32)
Current use	165 (17.2)	1.88 (1.18-2.98)	1.19 (0.75-1.89)	116 (27.8)	0.72 (0.51-1.00)	0.69 (0.51-0.95)	31 (20.7)	0.99 (0.60-1.65)	0.80 (0.48-1.34)
	SPW (N=191	.0)		HIW (N=766)			RMW (N=4	17)	
	HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)	HPV infection N=215 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^c (95% CI)	HPV infection N=84 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
Use of condom									
Never	104 (13.6)	1 ^e	1 ^e	47 (32.2)	1 ^e	1º	50 (20.7)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Only past use	90 (13.6)	1.00 (0.77-1.30)	0.77 (0.60-1.00)	51 (24.5)	0.76 (0.54-1.06)	0.75 (0.54-1.04)	17 (20.5)	0.99 (0.61-1.62)	0.83 (0.51-1.36)
Current use	77 (16.5)	1.21 (0.92-1.59)	0.84 (0.64-1.10)	112 (27.9)	0.87 (0.65-1.15)	0.76 (0.58-1.00)	10 (14.7)	0.71 (0.38-1.33)	0.61 (0.33-1.15)
Use of IUD									
Never	260 (14.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e	187 (27.2)	1 ^e	1 ^e	70 (19.7)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Only past use	8 (8.6)	0.58 (0.30-1.14)	1.00 (0.50-1.98)	21 (32.8)	1.21 (0.83-1.75)	1.30 (0.90-1.86)	2 (10.0)	0.51 (0.13-1.93)	0.65 (0.17-2.45)
Current use	4 (10.3)	0.69 (0.27-1.77)	1.01 (0.41-2.52)	1 (33.3)	1.23 (0.25-6.10)	NA	5 (29.4)	1.50 (0.70-3.22)	1.78 (0.83-3.79)
Use of Estro-pro	gestinic drugs	; (EP)							
Never	76 (13.3)	1 ^e	1 ^e	109 (27.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e	51 (20.0)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Only past use	108 (12.7)	0.96 (0.73-1.26)	0.92 (0.71-1.20)	92 (27.0)	0.97 (0.77-1.23)	0.97 (0.77-1.23)	17 (17.2)	0.86 (0.52-1.41)	0.81 (0.50-1.33)
Only current use	33 (16.8)	1.26 (0.87-1.84)	0.99 (0.68-1.42)	1 (50.0)	1.80 (0.45-7.26)	NA	5 (25.0)	1.25 (0.56-2.78)	1.00 (0.45-2.21)
Past and current use	55 (20.2)	1.52 (1.11-2.09)	1.18 (0.87-1.61)	7 (38.9)	1.40 (0.77-2.55)	1.36 (0.76-2.42)	4 (21.1)	1.05 (0.43-2.60)	1.13 (0.50-2.71)
Years of use ≤5	31 (23.0)	1.73 (1.19-2.51)	1.20 (0.83-1.74)	4 (40.0)	1.44 (0.66-3.12)	1.58 (0.73-3.40)	1 (7.1)	0.36 (0.05-2.40)	NA
>5	20 (16.1)	1.22 (0.77-1.91)	1.06 (0.69-1.64)	3 (37.5)	1.35 (0.54-3.35)	1.05 (0.44-2.52)	2 (50.0)	2.50 (0.91-6.87)	2.63 (0.93-7.46)
χ²trend (p-value)		2.67 (0.102)	0.29 (0.589)		0.98 (0.323)	0.27 (0.603)		0.11 (0.743)	-
Number of deliv	eries								
0	218 (19.1)	1 ^e	1 ^e	105 (30.6)	1 ^e	1 ^e	38 (23.0)	1 ^e	1 ^e
1	32 (10.2)	0.53 (0.38-0.76)	0.69 (0.48-1.01)	69 (27.8)	0.91 (0.70-1.17)	0.92 (0.72-1.19)	24 (19.0)	0.83 (0.52-1.30)	0.91 (0.58-1.43)
≥2	23 (5.1)	0.27 (0.18-0.41)	0.45 (0.28-0.72)	41 (23.6)	0.77 (0.56-1.05)	0.78 (0.57-1.08)	22 (17.5)	0.76 (0.47-1.21)	1.02 (0.59-1.76)
χ² <i>t</i> rend (<i>p</i> -value)		47.37 (<0.001)	12.44 (<0.001)		2.75 (0.097)	2.23 (0.136)		1.43 (0.232)	0.00 (0.963)
Number of preg	nancies								
0	200 (19.3)	1 ^e	1 ^e	55 (30.9)	1 ^e	1 ^e	25 (19.7)	1 ^e	1 ^e
1	36 (12.1)	0.63 (0.45-0.87)	0.76 (0.54-1.06)	68 (30.1)	0.91 (0.70-1.17)	1.01 (0.76-1.34)	27 (25.0)	1.27 (0.79-2.05)	1.36 (0.85-2.19)
2	25 (7.2)	0.37 (0.25-0.55)	0.58 (0.37-0.90)	44 (23.2)	0.76 (0.53-1.09)	0.77 (0.57-1.07)	18 (20.9)	1.06 (0.62-1.83)	1.27 (0.73-2.23)

SPW (N=1910)			HI	IW (N=766)					RMW (N=417)						
	HP infe N= N (V ection 273 P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Ad PR (9	ljusted R ^b 5% CI)	HI N= N	PV infection =215 (P)	Cru PRª (95	de % CI)	Ac PF (9	ljusted ^{3°} 5% CI)	HPV infec N=8 N (P)	ction 4)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
≥3	12 (5.3)	0.27 (0.16-0.48)	0.4 (0	44).24-0.80)	48	3 (28.2)	0.7 (0.	79 48-1.30)	0 ((.90 0.66-1.25)	14 (1	14.6)	0.74 (0.41-1.35)	0.99 (0.52-1.88)
χ²trend (p-value)			43.93 (<0.001)	11 (0	.06 .001)			1.1	2 (0.290)	1	.35 (0.248)			0.98 (0.322)	0.03 (0.859)
		SPW (N=1	1910)				HIW (N=76	66)				RM	4W (N=4	17)	
		HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)		Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)		HPV infection N=215 N (P)	C: P (9	rude Rª 95% CI)	/ 	Adjusted PR ^c (95% CI)	HF inf N= N (PV Fection =84 (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
Spontaneous ab	ortic	n													1
No		255 (15.0)) 1 ^e		1 ^e		180 (28.0)		1 ^e		1 ^e	74	(20.3)	1e	1 ^e
Yes		18 (8.5)	0.56 (0.36-0.8	9)	0.80 (0.50-1.28))	35 (28.7)		1.02 (0.75-1.39)	1.05 (0.78-1.41)	10	(19.2)	0.95 (0.52-1.72)	1.01 (0.56-1.81)
Menopause		260 (140	1.		1.0		172 (20 ()		1.0		1.0		(20.0)	1.0	1.0
NO		260 (14.8) 1° 0.43		1° 0.95		173 (28.6)		1° 0.82		1°	80	(20.0)	1°	1° 1 73
Yes		9 (6.4)	(0.23-0.8	2)	(0.48-1.88))	34 (23.6)		(0.60-1.13	3)	(0.79-1.56)	4 ([25.0]	(0.52-2.99)	(0.69-4.31)
Self reported His	story	y of past S	TIs and/or g	enit	al infectio	ns									
No		166 (13.0)) 1 ^e		1 ^e		78 (21.1)		1 ^e		1º	65	(19.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Yes		106 (17.0)) 1.32 (1.05-1.6	5)	1.23 (0.99-1.53)	134 (34.5)		1.64 (1.29-2.0	8)	1.42 (1.10-1.84) ¹⁹	(22.4)	1.13 (0.72-1.77)	1.06 (0.68-1.65)
Self reported His	story	of past S	TIs and/or g	enit	tal infectio	ns	by types								
Genital warts		26 (30.2)	2.23 (1.59-3.1	4)	1.80 (1.31-2.49))	59 (42.5)	1	1.72 [1.35-2.18])	1.49 (1.17-1.89)	3 ([60.0]	3.02 (1.44- 6.35)	3.26 (1.55-6.88)
Mycosis		70 (14.4)	1.01 (0.79-1.3))	0.98 (0.77-1.25))	65 (34.8)	1	1.35 [1.06-1.72])	1.12 (0.85-1.48)	10	(21.3)	1.05 (0.59-1.89)	1.06 (0.60-1.88)
Bacterial vaginos	is	14 (22.2)	1.58 (0.99-2.5	5)	1.35 (0.86-2.13))	23 (24.0)	().84 [0.58-1.22]		0.68 (0.47-1.01)	3 ([12.5)	0.60 (0.20-1.76)	0.53 (0.18-1.55)
HSV		14 (35.9)	2.59 (1.68-4.0	0)	2.33 (1.58-3.43	5)	22 (41.5)	1	1.54 [1.09-2.17])	1.39 (1.01-1.91)	0 ([0.0]	-	-
Trichomoniasis		3 (13.0)	0.91 (0.32-2.6)	3)	0.81 (0.29-2.28))	11 (39.3)	(1.43 [0.89-2.29]		1.61 (1.00-2.61)	-		-	-
Chlamydia		4 (15.4)	(0.43-2.6)	7)	1.01 (0.42-2.44))	5 (29.4)	- ([0.50-2.22]		1.09 (0.52-2.26)	0 ([0.0]	-	-
Syphilis		0 (0.0)	-		-		9 (39.1)	([0.84-2.39]		(0.79-2.03)	0 ((0.0)	-	-
Gonorrhea		-	-		-		1 (16.7)	3	3.57 (0.10 ⁻ 3.57)		NA	0	(0.0)	-	-
LGV		0 (0.0)	-		-		0 (0.0)	-			-	0	(0.0)	-	-
At least one STI, other than warts		80 (14.9)	1.15 (0.90 1.47))-	1.09 (0.86- 1.38)		75 (30.1)	1	l.43 (1.09- l.88)	•	1.26 (0.94- 1.70)	16	(20.0)	1.01 (0.62- 1.65)	0.95 (0.59- 1.55)
	SPV	V (N=1910))			HI	IW (N=766)					RMV	<i>N</i> (N=41)	7)	
	HPV infe N=2 N (F	r ction 273 ?)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Ad PR (95	justed ^b 5% CI)	HF inf N= N	PV fection =215 (P)	Cruc PRª (95%	le % CI)	Adj PR ^o (95	justed 5% CI)	HPV infec N=8 N (P	ction 4)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)
Previous PAP sc	reen	ing timin	5												
Regular timing (every 2-3 years)	180	(13.9)	1 ^e	1 ^e		15	52 (26.7)	1 ^e		1e		27 (2	3.7)	1 ^e	1 ^e
Irregular timing	38 (12.7)	0.92 (0.66-1.27)	1.0 (0)2 .75-1.40)	46	6 (29.1)	1.0 (0.	9 82-1.44)	1.0 (0	02).78-1.34)	15 (1	7.2)	0.73 (0.41-1.28)	0.78 (0.45-1.38)

	SPW (N=191	0)		HIW (N=766)		RMW (N=417)			
	HPV infection N=273 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^b (95% CI)	HPV infection N=215 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^c (95% CI)	HPV infection N=84 N (P)	Crude PRª (95% CI)	Adjusted PR ^d (95% CI)	
Never done	55 (17.8)	1.28 (0.97-1.69)	1.03 (0.77-1.37)	14 (42.4)	1.59 (1.04-2.42)	1.19 (0.77-1.83)	42 (19.4)	0.82 (0.54-1.26)	0.90 (0.55-1.47)	
Previous PAP sc	reening and r	esult								
Never done	55 (17.8)	1.42 (1.08-1.87)	1.12 (0.83-1.50)	14 (42.4)	1.81 (1.19-2.76)	1.42 (0.90-2.23)	42 (19.4)	0.92 (0.63-1.36)	0.99 (0.63-1.54)	
Negative	190 (12.5)	1 ^e	1 ^e	144 (23.5)	1 ^e	1 ^e	41 (21.0)	1 ^e	1 ^e	
Positive	23 (40.4)	3.22 (2.29-4.54)	2.00 (1.46-2.74)	46 (54.1)	2.31 (1.81-2.94)	1.88 (1.46-2.43)	1 (25.0)	1.19 (0.21-6.63)	NA	
Unknown	5 (20.8)	-	-	8 (26.7)	-	-	0 (0.0)	-	-	
VALHIDATE cyte	o/histological	test result								
Negative	197 (11.1)	1 ^e	1 ^e	136 (21.2)	1 ^e	1 ^e	64 (16.8)	1 ^e	1 ^e	
Positive	76 (59.4)	5.41 (4.46-6.57)	4.09 (3.38-4.95)	79 (63.2)	2.98 (2.44-3.64)	2.53 (2.01-3.18)	20 (57.1)	3.41 (2.37-4.91)	3.01 (2.07-4.38)	

NA, Not Appropriate: prevalence ratio estimate from multivariate log-binomial regression model was not reported because only one patient was positive to the HPV test in this factor's level.

^aPrevalence ratio estimates from univariate log-binomial regression models.

^bPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression models adjusted for study center, age, level of education, smoking habit and number of lifetime sexual partners, when appropriate.

^cPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression models adjusted for study center, age, smoking habit, number of lifetime sexual partners and number of CD4, when appropriate.

^dPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression models adjusted for age, geographical origin and number of lifetime sexual partners, when appropriate. ^eReference category.

Table 4: Number (N), prevalence (P), and prevalence ratios (PR) of cyto/histological abnormality (any lesion) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs), according to selected socio-demographics characteristics, smoking and sexual habits, reproductive and gynecological history, in the control group (SPW), the HIV group (HIW), and the recent migrant group (RMW). The number (N) and prevalence (P) of high grade intraepithelial lesions (HG-SIL) were also showed.

	SPW (N=191	SPW (N=1910)			56)		RMW (N=417)			
	Any lesion N=128		HG-SIL N=13	Any lesion N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6	
	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^b (95% CI)	N (P)	
Age (years)										
18-25	53 (10.4)	1 ^c	3 (0.6)	8 (24.2)	1 ^c	0 (0.0)	16 (10.5)	1 ^c	1 (0.7)	
26-35	32 (6.1)	0.53 (0.34-0.82)	5 (1.0)	29 (24.2)	0.96 (0.48-1.89)	3 (2.5)	9 (6.3)	0.53 (0.24-1.17)	0 (0.0)	
36-45	29 (4.7)	0.44 (0.28-0.68)	3 (0.5)	40 (12.0)	0.47 (0.24-0.91)	5 (1.5)	9 (7.7)	0.69 (0.32-1.50)	5 (4.3)	
>45	14 (5.4)	0.56 (0.32-0.99)	2 (0.8)	48 (17.1)	0.67 (0.35-1.29)	9 (3.2)	1 (25.0)	NA	0 (0.0)	
χ²trend (<i>p</i> -value)		10.42 (0.001)			2.70 (0.100)			1.18 (0.277)		
Geographical origin										
Italy	121 (6.8)	1.36 (0.65-2.84)	13 (0.7)	97 (15.7)	0.79 (0.53-1.19)	12 (1.9)	-	-	-	
Africa	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	17 (20.5)	1.30 (0.81-2.11)	3 (3.6)	3 (6.8)	0.86 (0.28-2.69)	1 (2.3)	
Asia	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	2 (22.2)	1.39 (0.40-4.80)	1 (11.1)	2 (10.0)	2.01 (0.49-8.14)	0 (0.0)	
Europe	4 (6.1)	0.86 (0.33-2.25)	0 (0.0)	3 (12.0)	0.72 (0.25-2.12)	0 (0.0)	11 (9.5)	1.14 (0.58-2.26)	2 (1.7)	
Latin America	3 (5.5)	0.89 (0.29-2.68)	0 (0.0)	6 (20.7)	1.34 (0.64-2.81)	1 (3.4)	19 (8.0)	0.84 (0.45-1.59)	3 (1.3)	

Table 4: Number (N), prevalence (P), and prevalence ratios (PR) of cyto/histological abnormality (any lesion) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs), according to selected socio-demographics characteristics, smoking and sexual habits, reproductive and gynecological history, in the control group (SPW), the HIV group (HIW), and the recent migrant group (RMW). The number (N) and prevalence (P) of high grade intraepithelial lesions (HG-SIL) were also showed.

	SPW (N=1910))		HIW (N=7	66)		RMW (N=	417)	
	Any lesion N=128		HG-SIL N=13	Any lesion N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6
	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR [♭] (95% CI)	N (P)
Marital status									
Unmarried	80 (8.1)	1 ^c	7 (0.7)	46 (22.0)	1 ^c	7 (3.3)	20 (11.1)	1 ^c	0 (0.0)
Married	26 (3.8)	0.67 (0.40-1.11)	4 (0.6)	34 (12.8)	0.60 (0.40-0.91)	4 (1.5)	8 (5.0)	0.53 (0.23-1.18)	3 (1.9)
Cohabitant	7 (6.8)	0.89 (0.42-1.89)	1 (1.0)	16 (14.2)	0.65 (0.38-1.09)	1 (0.9)	3 (8.6)	0.85 (0.27-2.69)	1 (2.9)
Other (divorced or widow)	15 (10.9)	1.86 (0.98-3.53)	1 (0.7)	29 (16.3)	0.73 (0.46-1.14)	5 (2.8)	4 (9.3)	0.79 (0.28-2.28)	2 (4.7)
Education									
Primary school	12 (4.5)	1 ^c	0 (0.0)	64 (17.1)	1 ^c	5 (1.3)	16 (10.8)	1 ^c	3 (2.0)
Secondary school	81 (7.7)	1.45 (0.80-2.62)	8 (0.8)	54 (16.3)	0.92 (0.65-1.28)	12 (3.6)	14 (6.8)	0.60 (0.30-1.18)	2 (1.0)
University degree	35 (5.9)	1.07 (0.56-2.03)	5 (0.8)	6 (10.3)	0.59 (0.27-1.31)	0 (0.0)	5 (7.8)	0.67 (0.26-1.74)	1 (1.6)
χ²trend (p-value)		0.25 (0.615)			1.42 (0.233)			1.43 (0.232)	
	SPW (N=1910))		HIW (N=7	66)		RMW (N=4	17)	
	Any lesion		HG-SIL	Any lesion		HG-SIL	Any lesion		HG-SIL
	N=128	חח	N=13	N=125	קסס	N=17	N=35	DDh	N=6
	N (P)	(95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	(95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	(95% CI)	N (P)
Smoking habit									
Never/ex smoker	81 (5.9)	1 ^c	7 (0.5)	55 (13.3)	1 ^c	6 (1.5)	29 (8.1)	1 ^c	5 (1.4)
Current smoker	47 (8.9)	1.34 (0.95-1.90)	6 (1.1)	70 (19.9)	1.54 (1.09-2.17)	11 (3.1)	6 (10.3)	1.04 (0.45-2.41)	1 (1.7)
1-10 cig./day	30 (8.0)	1.16 (0.77-1.74)	4 (1.1)	27 (19.3)	1.51 (0.98-2.31)	6 (4.3)	4 (10.0)	1.00 (0.37-2.73)	0 (0.0)
>10 cig./day	17 (11.0)	1.81 (1.10-2.96)	2 (1.3)	43 (20.3)	1.56 (1.06-2.29)	5 (2.4)	2 (11.1)	1.11 (0.29-4.30)	1 (5.6)
χ^2 trend (<i>p</i> -value)		4.66 (0.031)			5.37 (0.020)			0.02 (0.901)	
Age at first sexual interco	urse								
≤16	38 (8.0)	1°	4 (0.8)	50 (16.8)	1 ^c	8 (2.7)	14 (12.6)	1 ^c	2 (1.8)
17-18	49 (6.9)	1.00 (0.66-1.51)	4 (0.6)	44 (15.9)	0.98 (0.67-1.42)	7 (2.5)	13(9.2)	0.75 (0.37-1.53)	3 (2.1)
≥19	40 (5.6)	0.98 (0.62-1.54)	5 (0.7)	28 (15.5)	0.96 (0.61-1.50)	1 (0.6)	8 (4.9)	0.46 (0.20-1.07)	1 (0.6)
χ^2 trend (<i>p</i> -value)		0.01 (0.927)			0.04 (0.841)			3.30 (0.069)	
Number or lifetime sexua partners	1								
1	19 (4.1)	1 ^c	1 (0.2)	11 (15.9)	1 ^c	2 (2.9)	6 (4.3)	1 ^c	2 (1.4)
2-5	70 (6.8)	1.62 (0.99-2.66)	8 (0.8)	57 (14.7)	0.93 (0.52-1.69)	7 (1.8)	28 (11.3)	2.71 (1.15-6.41)	4 (1.6)
>5	39 (9.4)	2.28 (1.34-3.88)	4 (1.0)	55 (18.2)	1.19 (0.65-2.16)	7 (2.3)	1 (3.6)	NA	0 (0.0)
χ²trend (<i>p</i> -value)		9.71 (0.002)			1.19 (0.275)			-	
Number of sexual partner	s in the last 6	months							
0	7 (5.1)	1 ^c	1 (0.7)	37 (18.7)	1 ^c	7 (3.5)	11 (7.3)	1 ^c	3 (2.0)
1	102 (6.2)	1.15 (0.55-2.43)	10 (0.6)	84 (15.5)	0.81 (0.57-1.17)	10 (1.8)	23 (9.3)	1.12 (0.56-2.24)	3 (1.2)

	SPW (N=1910)			HIW (N=766)			RMW (N=417)				
	Any lesion N=128		HG-SIL N=13	Any lesion N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6		
	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^ь (95% CI)	N (P)		
≥2	18 (15.1)	1.96 (0.83-4.63)	1 (0.8)	3 (18.8)	0.89 (0.30-2.61)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)		
χ²trend (<i>p</i> -value)		3.60 (0.058)			0.99 (0.320)			-			
	SPW (N=191	SPW (N=1910)			HIW (N=766)			RMW (N=417)			
	Any lesion N=128		HG-SIL N=13	Any lesion N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6		
	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR [♭] (95% CI)	N (P)		
Use of any type of cont	raceptive meth	ods									
Never	8 (4.1)	1 ^c	2 (1.0)	17 (25.4)	1 ^c	4 (6.0)	7 (7.7)	1 ^c	0 (0.0)		
Only past use	38 (5.1)	1.16 (0.55-2.44)	4 (0.5)	44 (15.9)	0.61 (0.36-1.01)	5 (1.8)	13 (7.4)	0.76 (0.31-1.86)	4 (2.3)		
Current use	82 (8.5)	1.67 (0.81-3.46)	7 (0.7)	63 (15.1)	0.54 (0.33-0.88)	7 (1.7)	15 (10.0)	0.96 (0.40-2.31)	2 (1.3)		
Use of condom											
Never	49 (6.4)	1 ^c	7 (0.9)	32 (21.9)	1 ^c	6 (4.1)	20 (8.3)	1 ^c	4 (1.7)		
Only past use	41 (6.2)	0.83 (0.55-1.25)	4 (0.6)	30 (14.4)	0.63 (0.40-0.99)	4 (1.9)	5 (6.0)	0.62 (0.24-1.61)	1 (1.2)		
Current use	37 (7.9)	0.98 (0.64-1.50)	2 (0.4)	61 (15.2)	0.62 (0.41-0.93)	6 (1.5)	9 (13.2)	1.30 (0.61-2.75)	0 (0.0)		
Use of IUD											
Never	123 (7.0)	1 ^c	13 (0.7)	113 (16.4)	1 ^c	14 (2.0)	30 (8.4)	1 ^c	4 (1.1)		
Only past use	4 (4.3)	0.83 (0.31-2.24)	0 (0.0)	10 (15.6)	1.01 (0.56-1.85)	2 (3.1)	2 (10.0)	1.40 (0.36-5.38)	1 (5.0)		
Current use	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	NA	0 (0.0)	2 (11.8)	1.55 (0.41-5.84)	0 (0.0)		
Use of Estro-progestini	c drugs (EP)										
Never	33 (5.8)	1 ^c	4 (0.7)	70 (17.9)	1 ^b	11 (2.8)	22 (8.6)	1 ^b	4 (1.6)		
Only past use	47 (5.5)	0.94 (0.61-1.44)	4 (0.5)	48 (14.1)	0.79 (0.56-1.11)	4 (1.2)	9 (9.1)	0.96 (0.46-2.01)	1 (1.0)		
Only current use	20 (10.2)	1.51 (0.88-2.59)	3 (1.5)	2 (100.0)	-	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)	NA	0 (0.0)		
Past and current use	27 (9.9)	1.52 (0.93-2.48)	2 (0.7)	3 (16.7)	0.98 (0.34-2.80)	1 (5.6)	2 (10.5)	1.25 (0.32-4.84)	0 (0.0)		
Years of use≤5	19 (14.1)	1.73 (0.99-3.03)	2 (1.5)	2 (20.0)	1.31 (0.38-4.51)	0 (0.0)	1 (7.1)	NA	0 (0.0)		
>5	8 (6.5)	1.00 (0.48-2.11)	0 (0.0)	1 (12.5)	0.67 (0.11-4.19)	1 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)		
χ²trend (<i>p</i> -value)		0.39 (0.535)			0.05 (0.832)						
	SPW (N=192	SPW (N=1910)		HIW (N=766)			RMW (N=417)				
	Any lesion N=128		HG-SIL Any lesion N=13 N=125			HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6		
	N (P)	PRª (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PRª (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^b (95% CI)	N (P)		
Number of deliveries	I										
0	90 (7.9)	1 ^c	10 (0.9)	54 (15.7)	1 ^c	6 (1.7)	17 (10.3)	1 ^c	1 (0.6)		
1	15 (4.8)	0.81 (0.46-1.45)	2 (0.6)	48 (19.4)	1.23 (0.86-1.75)	8 (3.2)	5 (4.0)	0.41 (0.15-1.08)	0 (0.0)		
≥2	23 (5.1)	1.07 (0.61-1.88)	1 (0.2)	23 (13.2)	0.85 (0.53-1.36)	3 (1.7)	13 (10.3)	1.22 (0.54-2.73)	5 (4.0)		
χ²trend (<i>p</i> -value)		0.01 (0.934)			0.09 (0.766)			0.03 (0.868)			

	SPW (N=1910)			HIW (N=766)			RMW (N=417)		
	Any lesion N=128		HG-SIL N=13	Any lesion N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6
	N (P)	PRª (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^ь (95% CI)	N (P)
Number of pregnancies									
0	80 (7.7)	1 ^c	8 (0.8)	28 (15.7)	1 ^c	4 (2.2)	12 (9.4)	1°	0 (0.0)
1	19 (6.4)	1.08 (0.65-1.80)	3 (1.0)	40 (17.7)	1.10 (0.71-1.72)	7 (3.1)	7 (6.5)	0.71 (0.29-1.73)	1 (0.9)
2	21 (6.0)	1.23 (0.71-2.15)	2 (0.6)	30 (15.8)	1.01 (0.63-1.62)	2 (1.1)	7 (8.1)	0.89 (0.35-2.26)	1 (1.2)
≥3	8 (3.5)	0.77 (0.35-1.70)	0 (0.0)	27 (15.9)	1.00 (0.61-1.63)	4 (2.4)	9 (9.4)	1.11 (0.45-2.73)	4 (4.2)
χ^2 trend (<i>p</i> -value)		0.01 (0.922)			0.02 (0.886)			0.05 (0.825)	
Spontaneous abortion									
No	119 (7.0)	1 ^c	13 (0.8)	107 (16.6)	1 ^c	15 (2.3)	30 (8.2)	1 ^c	5 (1.4)
Yes	9 (4.2)	0.76 (0.38-1.50)	0 (0.0)	18 (14.8)	0.89 (0.56-1.41)	2 (1.6)	5 (9.6)	1.09 (0.44-2.71)	1 (1.9)
Menopause									
No	119 (6.8)	1 ^c	11 (0.6)	101 (16.7)	1 ^c	12 (2.0)	34 (8.5)	1 ^c	5 (1.2)
Yes	6 (4.3)	0.89 (0.39-2.06)	2 (1.4)	19 (13.2)	0.85 (0.52-1.41)	4 (2.8)	1 (6.3)	NA	1 (6.3)
	SPW (N=1910) Any lesion N=128		F		HIW (N=766)		RMW (N=417)		
			HG-SIL N=13	Any lesion N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6
	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR [♭] (95% CI)	N (P)
Self reported history of pa	st STIs and/or	genital infection	ons						
No	80 (6.2)	1 ^c	7 (0.6)	51 (13.8)	1 ^c	3 (0.8)	24 (7.3)	1 ^c	6 (1.8)
Yes	47 (7.6)	1.21 (0.86-1.72)	6 (1.0)	71 (18.3)	1.27 (0.87-1.84)	13 (3.4)	10 (11.8)	1.47 (0.73-2.96)	0 (0.0)
Self reported history of pa	st STIs and/or	genital infection	ons by typ	es					
Genital warts	15 (17.4)	2.67 (1.63-4.36)	3 (3.5)	35 (25.2)	1.75 (1.22-2.51)	6 (4.3)	2 (40.0)	4.68 (1.58-13.90)	0 (0.0)
Mycosis	27 (5.6)	0.80 (0.53-1.20)	3 (0.6)	33 (17.7)	0.99 (0.64-1.52)	5 (2.7)	5 (10.6)	1.34 (0.55-3.28)	0 (0.0)
Bacterial vaginosis	7 (11.1)	1.58 (0.77-3.24)	0 (0.0)	14 (14.6)	0.79 (0.45-1.39)	2 (2.1)	3 (12.5)	1.30 (0.43-3.98)	0 (0.0)
HSV	5 (12.8)	1.99 (0.86-4.59)	0 (0.0)	14 (26.4)	1.63 (1.00- 2.66)	2 (3.8)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)
Trichomoniasis	2 (8.7)	1.30 (0.34-4.93)	0 (0.0)	5 (17.9)	0.86 (0.23-3.26)	2 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)
Chlamydia	2 (7.7)	1.16 (0.30-4.40)	0 (0.0)	2 (11.8)	0.73 (0.19-2.71)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)
Syphilis	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	5 (21.7)	1.35 (0.61-3.01)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)
Gonorrhea	-	-	-	2 (33.3)	2.17 (0.69-6.78)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)
LGV	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)
At least one STI, other than warts	32 (6.0)	0.96 (0.65-1.44)	3 (0.6)	36 (14.5)	1.10 (0.70-1.75)	7 (2.8)	8 (10.0)	1.26 (0.59-2.71)	0 (0.0)
Previous PAP screening ti	ming								
Regular timing (every 2-3 years)	85 (6.6)	1 ^c	11 (0.8)	89 (15.6)	1°	10 (1.8)	8 (7.0)	1 ^c	2 (1.8)
Irregular timing	10 (3.3)	0.54 (0.28-1.02)	1 (0.3)	26 (16.5)	1.10 (0.73-1.64)	4 (2.5)	5 (5.7)	0.86 (0.29-2.53)	0 (0.0)

	SPW (N=19	SPW (N=1910)			HIW (N=766)			RMW (N=417)		
	Any lesion N=128	Any lesion N=128		-SIL Any lesion 13 N=125		HG-SIL N=17	Any lesion N=35		HG-SIL N=6	
	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR ^a (95% CI)	N (P)	N (P)	PR [♭] (95% CI)	N (P)	
Never done	33 (10.7)	1.44 (0.93-2.21)	1 (0.3)	8 (24.2)	1.44 (0.72-2.86)	2 (6.1)	22 (10.2)	1.76 (0.80-3.88)	4 (1.9)	
Previous PAP screen	ing and result									
Never done	33 (10.7)	1.96 (1.26-3.06)	1 (0.3)	8 (24.2)	1.85 (0.92-3.72)	2 (6.1)	22 (10.2)	1.95 (0.98-3.91)	4 (1.9)	
Negative	75 (4.9)	1 ^c	11 (0.7)	73 (11.9)	1 ^c	5 (0.8)	12 (6.2)	1 ^c	2 (1.0)	
Positive	19 (33.3)	5.88 (3.79-9.14)	1 (1.8)	38 (44.7)	3.81 (2.74-5.31)	8 (9.4)	1 (25.0)	NA	0 (0.0)	
Unknown	1 (4.2)	-	0 (0.0)	4 (13.3)	-	1 (3.3)	0 (0.0)	-	0 (0.0)	

NA, Not Appropriate: prevalence ratio estimate from multivariate log-binomial regression model was not reported because only one patient was positive to the cyto/histological test in this factor's level.

^aPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression models adjusted for study center, age, and number of lifetime sexual partners. ^bPrevalence ratio estimates from multivariate log-binomial regression models adjusted for age and number of lifetime sexual partners. ^cReference category.

types fell from 27.3% in 20–29-y.o. women to 10.3% in those aged 30–39 years. In our study we confirmed that HPV infection is strongly linked to age with the highest burden in younger ages, both in the general population and in the testing groups although at different prevalence levels: prevalence fall from 27.5% to 12.8% in RMW, from 51.5% to 24% in HIW, and from 21.3% to 8.4% in SPW in the 18–26 y.o. and 36–45 y.o. subgroups, respectively. The prospective longitudinal study to evaluate sensitivity and positive predictive value of HPV testing in these high-risk populations is ongoing.

The small sample size and young age of the RMW group could have affected the ability to identify statistical associations between risk factors and HPV infection or cervical lesions. We chose to enroll only migrant women in their first year of migration, a population with a large proportion of young and healthy women who rarely seek medical attention except for pregnancy and emergencies. The HPV prevalence estimated from the RMW group (20.1%; 95% CI: 16.2-24.0), reflects both their young age and geographical origins (i.e. Latin America and Eastern Europe) [32-34]. The VALHIDATE study, which recruited migrant women from a Catholic outpatient primary health care ward for uninsured migrating people, may thus provide interesting data on health, sexual and reproductive habits of this population with limited access to public health facilities and for whom such data are limited, even if they only partially represent the migrant population in Lombardy [35].

The greater susceptibility to infection in high-risk women enrolled in this study has been attributed to several factors ranging from immunological, behavioral or social causes [36]. Lack of access to screening programs is suggested to be the major cause of an excess burden of cervical pre-cancer and cancers in migrant women [5]. In HIV-infected people, increased risk of reactivation of latent infections and reduced viral clearance by the impaired immune system, as well as greater exposure to STIs has been proposed to explain the higher prevalence of HPV infection in all age classes, its longer persistence and the higher risk of invasive diseases [37].

Our data are not addressed to verify the role of immune mechanisms underlying the greatest susceptibility of HIVinfected women to HPV diseases but we investigated the behavioral or social causes associated with higher risk of infection that can be modified through specifically addressed public health intervention and cervical cancer screening. We found that in the HIW group, cigarette smoking and history of past STIs (namely, history of genital warts, genital HSV infection and trichomoniasis) are independently associated with increased risk of HPV infection, whereas being married and using contraceptivesparticularly condoms-are associated with decreased risk of HPV infection. The smaller association in the HIW group between number of lifetime sexual partners and HPV infection than in the other groups could be explained by their high use of condoms. Condoms were used by most of the HIV-infected patients who were cared for and followed up in outpatient wards for HIV⁺ persons as part of safe-sex procedures recommended to prevent transmission of HIV and other STIs [38]. The effectiveness of condom use in preventing HPV transmission is not proven but several studies show a trend of protective effect [39,40] along with protection against other STIs. In the other two groups, SPW and RMW, who prefer estroprogestinic drugs as contraceptive method, the number of lifetime and recent sexual partners play an important role in risk for HPV infection, as already reported in literature [41]. The risk of HPV-related cervical abnormalities is affected differently by some factors that could be addressed through public health intervention. Cigarette smoking and STIs are associated with increased risk, and condom use with reduced risk, of SIL in HIV-infected women. High number of sexual partners and STIs are related to increased risk of SIL in SPW and RMW.

Adherence to Pap screening programs has been reported to influence the prevalence of SIL [42]. More than 50% of migrant women declared that they never had a Pap smear previously, in compared with 16% of the controls and 4% of the HIV-infected women. Risk of SIL was found to be greater in women who had never had Pap screening than for those with a previous positive Pap test, even when adjusted by age. A low adherence to Pap

screening programs was strongly associated with increased HPV risk in both RMW and SPW. This parameter was not confirmed for risk of SIL in HIW, although a higher prevalence of SIL was found in HIW who had never had a previous Pap test, compared with those with regular or even irregular Pap screening (24.2% *vs* 15.6 and 16.5% respectively). Some questions on best practices for HPV screening in the general population and in high risk women (age to begin HPV screening, interval between the two HPV screening rounds, time and screening method after HPV vaccination) [26-28,43-45] remain unanswered.

CONCLUSIONS

Several conclusions can be inferred from our data. HPV-based screening provides greater prevention than Pap screening when performed in women over 30 years of age, allowing an earlier detection of invasive diseases at the first screening round; this gain could be larger in settings where the quality of cytology is lower; moreover HPV-based screening allows a safe extension of screening intervals to 5 years [28,42]. In this work we found some important differences in risk factors that influence HPV infection in selected populations, and provide some data to guide specific public health interventions for cancer prevention. Development of the optimal screening strategy depends on several considerations besides the most appropriate screening test. Knowledge of modifiable epidemiological factors that affect HPV infections in women could help identify a global strategy of intervention that includes health education and sexual health education, promotion of safe sex procedures, and allocation of resources for measures to promote adhesion to screening and preventing programs in more vulnerable people.

In conclusion, our results showed that a very wide range of social and behavioral determinants can affect women's sexual health and their risk of cervical HPV infections and diseases. Several factors included in our analyses could be modified through public health intervention and programs; interventions specifically aimed at decreasing social and behavioral risk factors could be added to HPV screening and vaccine, both for the general population and for those who are more vulnerable to HPV infection and related cancers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the Health General Direction, Regione Lombardia, Italy (DGR 345 10813/2009). The authors would like to thank Emanuele Castelli who developed and provided technical support for the eCRF. The Authors thank the Edanz group who provided English language editing.

The Valhidate study group: Francesca Mazza, Elena R Frati, Daniela Colzani, Ester Fasoli, Marianna Martinelli, PaolaBertuccio, Valentina Rosato, Maria Rita Gismondo, Valentina Montinaro, Walter PevesRios, Paolo Donarini, Raffaella Bassani, Francesco Pallotti, Giada Libutti, Fabiana Fanetti, Pier Francesco Giorgetti, Lucia Urbinati, Irene Cetin, Paola Pileri, Martina Adamoli, Anna Orlandi, Elisabetta Omodeo Zorini, Francesco Pagano, Marcella Falchetti, Daniela Caetani, Di Mario Marco, Giuseppe Cambiè, Laura Pogliani, Danilo Cereda, Liliana Coppola

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that have neither conflicts of interest

to be declared in relation to the article nor funding or personal relationships with people or organizations that could inappropriately influence this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tommasino M. The human papillomavirus family and its role in carcinogenesis. Semin Cancer Biol. 2014; 26: 13-21.
- Burchell AN, Winer RL, de Sanjosé S, Franco E. Chapter 6: Epidemiology and transmission dynamics of genital HPV infection. Vaccine. 2006; 24:52-61.
- 3. ICO (InstitutCatalàd'Oncologia) Information Centre on HPV and Cancer. Human Papillomavirus and Related Diseases Report. 2014.
- IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). GLOBOCAN 2012: Estimated Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012.
- del Amo J, González C, Losana J, Clavo P, Muñoz L, Ballesteros J, et al. Influence of age and geographical origin in the prevalence of high risk human papillomavirus in migrant female sex workers in Spain. Sex Transm Infect. 2005; 81: 79–84.
- Eggleston KS, Coker AL, Das IP, Cordray ST, Luchok KJ. Understanding barriers for adherence to follow-up care for abnormal pap tests. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2007; 16: 311-330.
- Giovannelli L, Vassallo R, Matranga D, Affronti M, Caleca MP, Bellavia C, et al. Prevalence of cervical human papillomavirus infection and types among women immigrated to Sicily, Italy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2009; 88: 737-742.
- Arnold M, Razum O, Coebergh JW. Cancer risk diversity in non-western migrants to Europe: An overview of the literature. Eur J Cancer. 2010; 46: 2647-2659.
- 9. Downs LS Jr, Scarinci I, Einstein MH, Collins Y, Flowers L. Overcoming the barriers to HPV vaccination in high-risk populations in the US. Gynecol Oncol. 2010; 117: 486-490.
- 10. Pantanowitz L, Michelow P. Review of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and squamous lesions of the uterine cervix. Diagn Cytopathol. 2011; 39: 65-72.
- 11. Kobetz E, Kish JK, Campos NG, Koru-Sengul T, Bishop I, Lipshultz H, et al. Burden of Human Papillomavirus among Haitian Immigrants in Miami, Florida: Community-Based Participatory Research in Action. J Oncol. 2012.
- 12. Chironna M, Tafuri S, De Robertis AL, Sallustio A, Morea A, Napoli A, et al. Prevalence of HPV Infection and Genotype Distribution in Women from Africa Seeking Asylum in Puglia, Italy. J Immigr Minor Health. 2013; 15: 159-163.
- 13.Brankovic I, Verdonk P, Klinge I. Applying a gender lens on human papillomavirus infection: cervical cancer screening, HPV DNA testing, and HPV vaccination. Int J Equity Health. 2013; 12: 14.
- 14.de Araujo Souza PS, Sichero L, Maciag PC. HPV variants and HLA polymorphisms: the role of variability on the risk of cervical cancer. Future Oncol. 2009; 5: 359-370.
- 15. Moscicki AB, Ellenberg JH, Farhat S, Xu J. Persistence of human papillomavirus infection in HIV-infected and -uninfected adolescent girls: risk factors and differences, by phylogenetic type. J Infect Dis. 2004; 190: 37-45.
- 16. Clifford GM, Gonçalves MA, Franceschi S; HPV and HIV Study Group. Human papillomavirus types among women infected with HIV: a meta-analysis. AIDS. 2006; 20: 2337-2344.
- 17. Veroux M, Corona D, Scalia G, Garozzo V, Gagliano M, Giuffrida G, et al.

Surveillance of human papilloma virus infection and cervical cancer in kidney transplant recipients: preliminary data. Transplant Proc. 2009; 41:1191-1194.

- 18.Fife KH, Wu JW, Squires KE, Watts DH, Andersen JW, Brown DR. Prevalence and persistence of cervical human papillomavirus infection in HIV-positive women initiating highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune DeficSyndr. 2009; 51: 274–282.
- 19.Keller MJ, Burk RD, Xie X, Anastos K, Massad LS, Minkoff H, et al. Risk of Cervical Precancer and Cancer among HIV-Infected Women with Normal Cervical Cytology and no Evidence of Oncogenic HPV Infection. JAMA. 2012; 308: 362-369.
- 20.Ronco G, Ghisetti V, Segnan N, Snijders PJ, Gillio-Tos A, Meijer CJ, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus infection in women in Turin, Italy. Eur J Cancer. 2005; 41: 297-305.
- 21.Singh DK, Anastos K, Hoover DR, Burk RD, Shi Q, Ngendahayo L, et al. Human Papillomavirus Infection and Cervical Cytology in HIV Infected and HIV-Uninfected Rwandan Women. J Infect Dis. 2009; 199: 1851-1861.
- 22.Orlando G, Tanzi E, Chatenoud L, Gramegna M, Rizzardini G, VALHIDATE Study Group. Rationale and design of a multicenter prospective cohort study for the eVALuation and monitoring of HPV infections and relATEd cervical diseases in high-risk women (VALHIDATE study). BMC Cancer. 2012; 12: 204.
- 23. The Italian Ministry of Health. Raccomandazioni per la pianificazione e l'esecuzione degli screening di popolazione per la prevenzione del cancro della mammella, del cancro della cervice uterina e del cancro del colon retto. Roma. 2006.
- 24. Tanzi E, Bianchi S, Fasolo MM, Frati ER, Mazza F, Martinelli M, et al. High performance of a new PCR-based urine assay for HPV-DNA detection and genotyping. J Med Virol. 2013; 85: 91-98.
- 25.International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). A Review of Human Carcinogens: Biological Agents. IARC Monographs. 2011; 100B: 261-319.
- 26.Bulkmans NW, Berkhof J, Rozendaal L, van Kemenade FJ, Boeke AJ, Bulk S, et al. Human papillomavirus DNA testing for the detection of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 and cancer: 5-year followup of a randomised controlled implementation trial. Lancet. 2007; 370:1764–1772.
- 27. Naucler P, Ryd W, Törnberg S, Strand A, Wadell G, Elfgren K, et al. Human papillomavirus and Papanicolaou tests to screen for cervical cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357: 1589-1597.
- 28.Ronco G, Giorgi-Rossi P, Carozzi F, Confortini M, Dalla Palma P, Del Mistro A, et al. New Technologies for Cervical Cancer screening (NTCC) Working Group. Efficacy of human papillomavirus testing for the detection of invasive cervical cancers and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2010; 11: 249–257.
- 29. Castle PE, Stoler MH, Wright TC Jr, Sharma A, Wright TL, Behrens CM. Performance of carcinogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) testing and HPV16 or HPV18 genotyping for cervical cancer screening of women aged 25 years and older: a subanalysis of the ATHENA study. Lancet Oncol. 2011; 12: 880–890.
- 30. Kitchener HC, Gilham C, Sargent A, Bailey A, Albrow R, Roberts C, et al. A comparison of HPV DNA testing and liquid based cytology over

three rounds of primary cervical screening: extended follow up in the ARTISTIC trial. Eur J Cancer. 2011; 47: 864-871.

- 31.Sargent A, Bailey A, Almonte M, Turner A, Thomson C, Peto J, et al. Prevalence of type-specific HPV infection by age and grade of cervical cytology: data from the ARTISTIC trial. Br J Cancer. 2008; 98: 1704-1709.
- 32. de Sanjosé S, Diaz M, Castellsagué X, Clifford G, Bruni L, Muñoz N, et al. Worldwide prevalence and genotype distribution of cervical human papillomavirus DNA in women with normal cytology: a meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007; 7:453-459.
- 33.Ursu RG, Onofriescu M, Nemescu D, Iancu L. HPV prevalence and type distribution in women with or without cervical lesions in the Northeast region of Romania. Virol J. 2011; 8: 558.
- 34. Tornesello ML, Giorgi-Rossi P, Buonaguro L, Buonaguro FM, HPV Prevalence Italian Working Group. Human papillomavirus infection and cervical neoplasia among migrant women living in Italy. Front Oncol. 2014; 4: 31.
- 35. ISTAT. Immigrati. Stat, dati e indicatori su immigrati e nuovicittadini.
- 36. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. A comprehensive approach to HIV/STI prevention in the context of sexual health in the EU/EEA. 2013 ECDC Technical Report.
- 37. Ahdieh L, Klein RS, Burk R, Cu-Uvin S, Schuman P, Duerr A, et al. Prevalence, incidence, and type-specific persistence of human papillomavirus in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative women. J Infect Dis. 2001; 184:682-690.
- 38. Vermund SH, Hayes RJ. Combination prevention: new hope for stopping the epidemic. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2013; 10: 169-186.
- 39.Burchell AN, Coutlée F, Tellier PP, Hanley J, Franco EL. Genital transmission of human papillomavirus in recently-formed, heterosexual couples. J Infect Dis. 2011; 204:1723-1729.
- 40. Winer RL, Hughes JP, Feng Q, O'Reilly S, Kiviat NB, Holmes KK, et al. Condom use and the risk of genital human papillomavirus infection in young women. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354: 2645-2654.
- 41. Gravitt PE. The known unknowns of HPV natural history. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121: 4593-4599.
- 42. Sankaranarayanan R, Nene BM, Shastri SS, Jayant K, Muwonge R, Budukh AM, et al. HPV Screening for cervical cancer in rural India. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360: 1385-1394.
- 43. Kitchener HC, Almonte M, Thomson C, Wheeler P, Sargent A, Stoykova B, et al. HPV testing in combination with liquid-based cytology in primary cervical screening (ARTISTIC): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2009; 10: 672-682.
- 44. Kitchener HC, Canfell K, Gilham C, Sargent A, Roberts C, Desai M, et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of primary Human Papillomavirus cervical screening in England: extended follow-up of the ARTISTIC randomized trial cohort through three screening rounds. Health Technol Assess. 2014; 18:1-196.
- 45. Rijkaart DC, Berkhof J, Rozendaal L, van Kemenade FJ, Bulkmans NW, Heideman DA, et al. Human papillomavirus testing for the detection of high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cancer: final results of the POBASCAM randomized controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012; 13: 78–88.

Cite this article

Orlando G, Tanzi E, Rizzardini G, Chatenoud L, Zanchetta N, et al. (2016) Modifiable and Non-Modifiable Factors Related to HPV Infection and Cervical Abnormalities in Women at High Risk: A Cross-Sectional Analysis from the VALHIDATE Study. Ann Virol Res 2(2): 1013.