Editorial





Commentary The Importance of Cost Estimation for Molecular Epidemiology Studies

Carlo La Vecchia

Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

Received April 9, 2016; accepted April 10, 2016; released online July 2, 2016

Copyright © 2016 Carlo La Vecchia. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Key words: molecular epidemiology; cost; study design

In the current issue of the *Journal of Epidemiology*, Mishiro et al¹ present a very careful and detailed cost estimation for a molecular epidemiology cohort study in a model region of Japan. Their approach and key points can be extended to other studies worldwide in order to rationalize planning and costs of molecular epidemiology cohort studies. Of particular interest—though subject to a range of errors due to unexpected events—is their careful allocation and definition of time requested for each unit of personnel, since personnel costs account for the major proportion of the total costs of epidemiological studies.

Such a detailed definition of costs may well become a benchmark for other epidemiology studies worldwide. There are two aspects, however, that require additional attention. First, the new cohort described by Mishiro et al,¹ which includes 7400 subjects, is relatively small for the subsequent analysis of most of its possible outcomes, despite its comprehensive and innovative data collection compared to cohorts defined in the 1980s and 1990s.^{2,3} Thus, this dataset will inevitably need to be integrated with data from other cohort studies from Japan and other areas of the world; a similar project was recently developed within a network of cohort studies that included 23 European and 3 non-European studies (the Consortium of Health and Ageing), which includes over 680 000 elderly.⁴ The same line of reasoning applies to the potential integration of some data from this project for the design of nested case-control studies in related consortia.⁵ Such integration has to be considered in the study design and planning phases and may have some-though partial and modest-influence on definition of cost estimations.

An additional issue in planning a molecular epidemiology cohort study is the definition of the biological material to be stocked and subsequently analyzed, as well as the modalities of its stocking, in order to assure greater and optimal utilization in the long term, an element which may not necessarily be easy to define in advance. This correlates with the definition of epidemiological information to be collected, which again has implications for study costs.

In addition, starting from planning and cost estimation of a cohort study, the burden of its follow-up should be considered in advance as an important future cost element. Record linkage across several databases now offers important potentialities for optimizing follow-up at low cost,^{6,7} but this may not cover the practical totality of subjects to be followed (ie, generally over 95% of the original cohort). Consequently, active follow-up may be required,⁸ and this may appreciably influence subsequent costs of the study. Thus, the burden of follow-up should also be considered and optimized in study planning.

Despite these limitations, the paper by Mishiro et al¹ constitutes an important exercise towards cost estimation of a baseline survey for a cohort study that includes biological and molecular information. Therefore, this manuscript should be regarded as an example for practical definition and methodology optimization of future studies in other populations.

Carlo La Vecchia

Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS -

The work of Dr. Carlo La Vecchia is partly supported by the Italian Foundation of Research on Cancer (FIRC).

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES -

1. Mishiro I, Sawada N, Iwasaki M, Ohashi K, Tsugane S. Minimum cost estimation of a baseline survey for a molecular epidemiology cohort study: collecting participants in a model region in Japan.

Address for correspondence. Carlo La Vecchia, Universita degli Studi di Milano, Via Augusto Vanzetti 5 Milano 20133, Italy (e-mail: carlo.lavecchia@unimi.it).

J Epidemiol. 2016 Mar 19. [Epub ahead of print] doi:10.2188/ jea.JE20150163.

- Cao Y, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci EL. Light to moderate intake of alcohol, drinking patterns, and risk of cancer: results from two prospective US cohort studies. BMJ. 2015;351:h4238.
- Riboli E. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): plans and progress. J Nutr. 2001;131:170S–5S.
- 4. Boffetta P, Bobak M, Borsch-Supan A, Brenner H, Eriksson S, Grodstein F, et al. The Consortium on Health and Ageing: Network of Cohorts in Europe and the United States (CHANCES) project—design, population and data harmonization of a largescale, international study. Eur J Epidemiol. 2014;29:929–36.
- 5. Pelucchi C, Lunet N, Boccia S, Zhang ZF, Praud D, Boffetta P, et al. The stomach cancer pooling (StoP) project: study design and presentation. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2015;24:16–23.
- 6. Corrao G, La Vecchia C. A new scope and a vision for record linkage studies. EBPH. 2013;10:e9036-1-e-3.
- Negri E, Zambelli A, Franchi M, Rossi M, Bonifazi M, Corrao G, et al. Effectiveness of trastuzumab in first-line HER2+ metastatic breast cancer after failure in adjuvant setting: a controlled cohort study. Oncologist. 2014;19:1209–15.
- Pelucchi C, Galeone C, Polesel J, Manzari M, Zucchetto A, Talamini R, et al. Smoking and body mass index and survival in pancreatic cancer patients. Pancreas. 2014;43:47–52.