



WIREs RNA

## Regulation and functions of bacterial PNPase

Journal:	<i>WIREs RNA</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Advanced Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Briani, Frederica; Università degli Studi di Milano, Dip. di Bioscienze Carzaniga, Thomas; Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche e Cliniche "Luigi Sacco" Dehò, Gianni; Università degli Studi di Milano, Dip. di Bioscienze
Keywords:	PNPase, post-transcriptional regulation, RNA degradation, RNA processing, DNA repair and recombination, RNA degradosome
Choose 1-3 topics to categorize your article:	Regulation of RNA Stability (RHAC) < RNA Turnover and Surveillance (RHAA), Protein–RNA Interactions—Functional Implications (RDAE) < RNA Interactions with Proteins and Other Molecules (RDAA)

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts



<input type="checkbox"/>	Overview	Overviews will provide a broad and relatively non-technical treatment of important topics at a level suitable for advanced students and for researchers without a strong background in the field.	5,000-8,000 words ≤ 16 figures/tables 50-100 references
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Advanced Review	Advanced Reviews, aimed at researchers and advanced students with a strong background in the subject, will review key areas of research in a citation-rich format similar to that of leading review journals.	4,000-6,000 words ≤ 10 figures/tables 50-75 references
<input type="checkbox"/>	Focus Article	Focus articles are short articles, sometimes included within a larger article, that describe specific real-world issues, examples, implementations, etc. These articles will be technical in nature.	2,500-4,000 words ≤ 7 figures/tables 40-60 references
<input type="checkbox"/>	Opinion	Opinions provide a forum for thought-leaders, hand-picked by the editors, to provide a more individual perspective on the field in question.	2,000-4,000 words ≤ 5 figures/tables 30-60 references

## Regulation and functions of bacterial PNPase

Federica Briani\*, Thomas Carzaniga<sup>1</sup> and Gianni Dehò

*Dipartimento di Bioscienze, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy*

<sup>1</sup>Present address: Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche e Cliniche "Luigi Sacco"

Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

\*Corresponding author. Mailing address:

Dipartimento di Bioscienze

Università degli Studi di Milano

Via Celoria 26 / A4

20133 Milano

Phone: (+39)02.5031.5033

Fax: (+39)02.5031.5044

E-mail: federica.briani@unimi.it

**Keywords:** PNPase; post-transcriptional regulation; RNA degradation; RNA processing; DNA repair and recombination; RNA degradosome

**ABSTRACT**

Polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase) is an exoribonuclease that catalyzes the processive phosphorolytic degradation of RNA from the 3'-end and the reverse reaction of polymerization of nucleoside diphosphates that generates heteropolymeric tails at the RNA 3'-end. The enzyme is widely conserved and plays a major role in RNA decay in both Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria. Moreover, it participates in maturation and quality control of stable RNA. PNPase autoregulates its own expression at post-transcriptional level through a complex mechanism that involves the endoribonuclease RNase III and translation control. The activity of PNPase is modulated in an intricate and still unclear manner by interactions with small molecules and recruitment in different multiprotein complexes. Not surprisingly, given the wide spectrum of PNPase substrates, PNPase-defective mutations in different bacterial species have pleiotropic effects and perturb the execution of genetic programs involving drastic changes in global gene expression such as biofilm formation, growth at suboptimal temperatures, and virulence. Moreover, PNPase reversibly catalyzes phosphorolysis of ssDNA and is involved in DNA repair and recombination in distantly related bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*; it thus appears that this enzyme stands at the crossroad of RNA and DNA worlds.

In 1959 Severo Ochoa and Arthur Kornberg were awarded the Nobel Prize "*for their discovery of the mechanisms in the biological synthesis of ribonucleic acid and deoxyribonucleic acids*", respectively. As for Ochoa, the award referred to his studies on the *Azotobacter vinelandii* polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase, polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase, EC 2.7.7.8), which reversibly catalyzes the 3'-to-5' phosphorolysis of polyribonucleotides, releasing nucleoside diphosphates (NDPs), and the reverse template

1  
2  
3 independent 5'-to-3' polymerization of ribonucleoside diphosphates, releasing inorganic  
4  
5 phosphate (Pi),<sup>1</sup> as described by the equation  
6



8  
9  
10 The reversibility of this reaction leads to the exchange between inorganic phosphate and the  
11  
12  $\beta$ -phosphate of NDPs, a reaction exploited as a sensitive PNPase assay.<sup>2,3</sup> PNPase was the  
13  
14 first enzyme to be found that was “capable of catalyzing the synthesis of RNA... in the test  
15  
16 tube from simple, naturally occurring precursors”.<sup>4</sup> Soon after, with the discovery of the  
17  
18 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase, it became apparent that the template-independent RNA  
19  
20 polymerizing activity of PNPase could not be implicated in transcription (Ref.<sup>5</sup> and  
21  
22 references therein) and the reverse reaction, *i.e.* RNA degradation, was then assumed as the  
23  
24 main *in vivo* activity of this enzyme.<sup>6</sup> More recently, however, it was shown that PNPase can  
25  
26 add heteropolymeric tails to RNA 3'-ends (reviewed in Ref.<sup>7</sup>). The biological role of PNPase  
27  
28 was far from obvious and six decades of biochemical, genetic and molecular studies  
29  
30 delineated a complex scenario leaving several unsolved issues. PNPase is widely conserved  
31  
32 in *Bacteria* and in eukaryotic organelles of bacterial origin (*i.e.* chloroplasts and  
33  
34 mitochondria) with the exception of some unicellular organisms, whereas it is absent from  
35  
36 *Archaea*.<sup>8</sup> This rather abundant enzyme (PNPase is in the top 5% of the most expressed  
37  
38 proteins in *E. coli* and other bacteria<sup>9</sup>) is finely regulated at the level of its activity by diverse  
39  
40 cellular factors and autogenously regulated at post-transcriptional level; PNPase may be  
41  
42 associated in macromolecular complexes of diverse composition dedicated to RNA  
43  
44 degradation, such as the RNA degradosomes, but also to ribosomes; although in most species  
45  
46 analyzed PNPase is not an essential protein, it has been implicated in the control of a variety  
47  
48 of complex phenotypes such as response to cold shock, oxidative and other environmental  
49  
50 stresses, biofilm formation and virulence. Besides the key role of PNPase in modulating the  
51  
52 abundance of a number of mRNAs and small RNAs (sRNAs), and thus the expression of  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 many genes, other features have emerged that link this enzyme to DNA metabolism. RNA  
4 phosphorolysis generates CDP, a precursors of both CTP and dCTP;<sup>10</sup> PNPase deficient *E.*  
5 *coli* mutants are more sensitive to UV<sup>11</sup> and exhibit a lower frequency of mutation;<sup>12</sup>  
6  
7 remarkably, it was found that PNPase can catalyze template independent synthesis of DNA  
8 from dNDPs and DNA phosphorolysis.<sup>13-19</sup> While initially the latter observation had only  
9 practical consequences (PNPase was exploited in the early era of molecular biology for the  
10 synthesis of oligoribonucleotides and oligodeoxyribonucleotides), recent data implicate  
11 PNPase in DNA recombination, repair, and mutagenesis.<sup>11, 12, 17, 18</sup>

12  
13 Finally, to further complicate this intricate framework, it is becoming clear that PNPase  
14 evolved biochemical peculiarities and different functional properties in different organisms.  
15 Thus this review will not aim a full coverage of this pleiotropic and still elusive enzyme;  
16 rather it will be focused on the regulation of bacterial PNPase expression and activity,  
17 attempting to trace common trends emerging from what, in many cases, are still scattered  
18 observations. The most studied *E. coli* PNPase (*Ec*PNPase) will be taken as the reference,  
19 whereas other bacterial PNPase will be considered to highlight either conserved features or  
20 peculiarities of different orthologues.

## 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 **PNPase CATALYTIC ACTIVITY AND STRUCTURE**

42  
43 Characterization of enzymatic properties of PNPase from several organisms has been the  
44 subject of intense study in the first twenty years after its discovery. The complicated overall  
45 picture that emerged has been reviewed by Littauer and Grunberg-Manago.<sup>1</sup> For our purposes  
46 it will be sufficient to remind that PNPase catalysis with ribo-substrates requires Mg<sup>2+</sup> and is  
47 inhibited by high Mn<sup>2+</sup> concentration.<sup>4, 20</sup> On the contrary, polymerization of dNDPs and  
48 DNA phosphorolysis require Mn<sup>2+</sup> (or other ions such as Fe<sup>2+</sup>) and are inhibited by Mg<sup>2+</sup>.<sup>14-18</sup>  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Moreover, a large variety of nucleoside diphosphates may serve as substrates for the  
4  
5 polymerizing reaction (see for instance Refs.<sup>21,22</sup>).  
6

7  
8 *In vivo*, the enzyme is an important component of the bacterial RNA decay machinery<sup>23</sup> and  
9  
10 promotes the processive degradation of RNA molecules endowed with 10-12 nt long single  
11  
12 stranded 3'-ends. PNPase is stopped by stable secondary structures,<sup>24, 25</sup> however, PNPase  
13  
14 promotes also the reverse RNA polymerization reaction, thereby providing the RNA 3'-end  
15  
16 with heteropolymeric tails.<sup>7</sup> In *Bacteria*, both heteropolymeric- and poly(A) tails, which in *E.*  
17  
18 *coli* are added to the mRNA 3'-end by polyadenylpolymerase (PAPI) have an RNA  
19  
20 destabilizing effect, as they function as toeholds for the recruitment of the exoribonucleases  
21  
22 involved in RNA decay, which do not bind double stranded RNAs.<sup>7, 26</sup> It has been proposed  
23  
24 that, while degrading a single RNA molecule, PNPase could go through cycles of  
25  
26 polymerization and phosphorolysis triggered by changes in the local concentration of Pi and  
27  
28 NDPs that could be instrumental in attacking structured regions.<sup>27</sup> Whereas in *E. coli* both  
29  
30 PNPase and PAPI can elongate the RNA 3'-ends,<sup>27-29</sup> *Streptomyces* spp. lacks PAPI and thus  
31  
32 RNA-tailing relies on PNPase.<sup>30, 31</sup>  
33  
34

35  
36 PNPase is a homotrimer (Fig. 1A); the 711 aa-long protomer, encoded in *E. coli* by the *pnp*  
37  
38 gene, is constituted by two RNase PH-like domains (PH1 and PH2; Fig. 1B), supposedly the  
39  
40 result of an ancestral duplication event, connected by an all  $\alpha$ -helical region (AAHD) and  
41  
42 followed at the C-terminus by the KH and S1 RNA binding domains.<sup>32-34</sup> Although the  
43  
44 molecular mechanism of PNPase catalysis is still unclear, mutagenesis and structural data  
45  
46 locate the active site in the PH2 region; however, mutations in both PH1 and AAHD domains  
47  
48 affect the enzymatic activity, suggesting that also these domains contribute to the overall  
49  
50 architecture of the active site.<sup>32, 35-37</sup> The crystal structures of PNPase from different bacteria  
51  
52 and of the *Ec*PNPase-RNA complex have been solved (Fig. 1A).<sup>32, 34, 38, 39</sup> In the doughnut-  
53  
54 shaped enzyme, the RNA binding domains are superimposed on the ring made by the six  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 RNase PH-like domains. The RNA is fed in the central hole by the S1 and KH RNA binding  
4 domains, which contribute to the enzyme processivity.<sup>34, 40, 41</sup> Deletion of the S1 and KH  
5 domains severely impairs, but does not completely abolish RNA binding, which still occurs  
6 at the active site.<sup>35, 41, 42</sup> Moreover, although altered in the kinetic behaviour, the mutant  
7 PNPase is still enzymatically active, suggesting that the mutation does not compromise the  
8 enzyme catalytic site.<sup>35, 41</sup> Interestingly, deletion of the RNA binding domains of  
9 *Mycobacterium smegmatis* PNPase, while affecting RNA phosphorolysis and polymerization,  
10 enhances DNA synthesis and degradation by PNPase, suggesting that the S1 and KH domains  
11 may also contribute to increase PNPase specificity for RNA vs. DNA.<sup>19</sup>  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 **Box 1. A common architecture for RNase PH, PNPase and the exosomes**

26 The archaeal and eukaryotic exosomes are multiprotein complexes that processively degrade  
27 RNA from the 3'-end. They share with the bacterial phosphorolytic exoribonucleases-  
28 nucleotidyltransferases PNPase and RNase PH (a prokaryotic enzyme composed of three  
29 homodimers and mainly implicated in tRNA processing) a common architecture based on six  
30 RNase PH-like domains forming a pseudo-hexameric ring (Fig. 1A) (reviewed by Ref.<sup>43</sup>).  
31 The hexameric configuration of the archaeal exosomes is obtained by the assembly of three  
32 Rrp41-Rrp42 heterodimers. Since only Rrp41 is catalytically active, the complex has three  
33 active sites and, like PNPase, is capped by S1 and KH RNA binding domains that are carried  
34 by three copies of the Rrp4 or Csl4 subunits. The eukaryotic exosome is more complex. Its  
35 hexameric ring is formed by three catalytically inert RNase PH-like heterodimers (i.e. Rrp41-  
36 Rrp45, Rrp43-Rrp46 and Mtr3-Rrp42) assembled with three additional subunits on the top of  
37 the ring, which provide the RNA binding domains and stabilize the ring. The catalytic  
38 activity is conferred by a tenth subunit, either Rrp44 or Rrp6, evolutionarily related to RNase  
39 II/R and RNase D, respectively. Thus the eukaryotic exosome, despite its structural  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



relatedness with phosphorolytic exoribonucleases and the archaeal exosome, is a hydrolytic RNA-degrading machine. Evolutionarily, it is remarkable that the ancestral RNase PH imprinted the structure of several enzymes and complexes implicated in the RNA metabolism notwithstanding the loss of its enzymatic activity.

### **PNPase IS A COMPONENT OF HETEROGENOUS MULTIPROTEIN COMPLEXES**

PNPase participates in the assembly of multiprotein complexes with variable composition. Early studies discovered that, besides the enzyme A form, which corresponds to the homotrimer, a B form of PNPase was present in crude extract. This heavier form derived from the association of the homotrimer with a dimer of a 48 kDa subunit that was lately identified as the RNA helicase RhlB, which confers to PNPase the ability of degrading structured RNAs.<sup>44, 45</sup> This strongly widens the spectrum of RNAs that can be degraded by PNPase to oligoribonucleotides and in fact the interaction with a helicase represents a conserved feature that bacterial PNPases share not only with their organelle counterparts, but even with the eukaryotic and archaeal exosomes.<sup>8</sup>

The propensity of factors involved in RNA decay to form composite machines, in which different enzymatic activities can be coordinated, has been further substantiated in bacteria by the discovery of the *E. coli* RNA degradosome, a high molecular weight complex assembled on the C-terminal region of the endonuclease RNase E that includes PNPase, RhlB and the metabolic enzyme enolase.<sup>46</sup> The definition of “RNA degradosome”, however, has been subsequently stretched in order to include a variety of multiprotein complexes involved in RNA decay identified in other bacteria. For instance, in the Gram positive *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* RNA degradosomes, RNase E, RhlB, and enolase are replaced by the endonuclease RNase Y, the helicase CshA, and the metabolic enzyme phosphofructokinase as partners of PNPase.<sup>47</sup> Even in a single bacterial specie as *E. coli*, the

1  
2  
3 composition of the RNA degradosome is highly dynamic and different components, only  
4 some of which with an established role in RNA metabolism, are present in substoichiometric  
5 amount in degradosome preparations. Moreover, RhlB is replaced by another helicase, CsdA,  
6 upon cold shock, a condition that leads to the stabilization of RNA secondary structures.<sup>48</sup>  
7  
8 This suggests that the transient association of different factors may confer peculiar properties  
9 to the RNA degradosome that can be instrumental in responding to specific environmental  
10 stimuli (Ref.<sup>47</sup> and references therein).  
11  
12

13  
14 The assembly of PNPase in multiprotein complexes impacts not only on its physiological  
15 role, but also on its spatial localization within the cell. In fact, there is evidence that PNPase,  
16 as other components of the RNA degradation apparatus, is not evenly distributed in the cell  
17 cytoplasm and that its localization may depend on the association to other factors, in  
18 particular RNase E (reviewed by Ref.<sup>49</sup>). Indeed, the assembly in the RNA degradosome,  
19 which has been reported to interact with translating ribosomes, accounts for the co-  
20 sedimentation of part of PNPase with the ribosomal fraction, as the co-sedimentation is lost  
21 in an RNase E mutant lacking the degradosome scaffold.<sup>50-52</sup> Moreover, a recent survey of *E.*  
22 *coli* peripherome has detected PNPase and other components of the degradosome among  
23 proteins located at the inner face of the cell membrane, in agreement with the documented  
24 association of RNase E with the cytoplasmic membrane.<sup>47, 53</sup>  
25  
26

27  
28 Interestingly, the uneven distribution of PNPase-containing RNA degradation machineries is  
29 maintained also in other bacteria, such as *Caulobacter crescentus*, where the RNA  
30 degradosome is located in proximity of the nucleoid, and *B. subtilis*, whose RNase Y-based  
31 degradosome is membrane-associated.<sup>47</sup> The functional consequences of the spatial  
32 organization of the RNA decay and the mechanisms of its compartmentalization in the  
33 bacterial cells still need to be ascertained.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## REGULATION OF PNPase EXPRESSION AND ACTIVITY

### The *E. coli pnp* gene control: an example of post-transcriptional regulation

The *pnp* gene is located immediately downstream of the *rpsO* gene,<sup>54</sup> which encodes the ribosomal protein S15. Regulation of the *rpsO-pnp* locus mainly occurs at post-transcriptional level, through modulation of mRNA stability and translatability and the study of regulatory mechanisms acting at this locus has greatly contributed to the development of current models of mRNA stability control in Gram-negative bacteria. Control of *rpsO* expression<sup>55</sup> (Ref. <sup>56</sup> and references therein) is largely independent from the autogenous regulation of *pnp* and will not be hereby reviewed.

#### *Autogenous regulation of pnp in E. coli*

The *pnp* gene can be transcribed from two promoters, namely P1, located upstream of *rpsO*, and P2, mapping in the intergenic *rpsO-pnp* region.<sup>57</sup> The post-transcriptional regulation steps of PNPase expression are outlined in Fig. 2. Transcripts from both P1 and P2 are quickly and efficiently processed by the endonuclease RNase III, which makes a staggered cut in a long stem-loop located at the 5'-end of the *pnp* 5'-untranslated region (5'-UTR) (step a), thus generating a new 5'-end of the *pnp* mRNA (step b) 80 nt upstream of the *pnp* UUG start codon. (Ref.<sup>58</sup>; our unpublished data). In RNase III-deficient (*rnc*) mutants, the non-processed *pnp* mRNA is more stable than in *rnc*<sup>+</sup> strains and PNPase expression increases.<sup>59</sup>  
<sup>60</sup> However, PNPase acts as a translational repressor on the primary (RNase III-unprocessed) *pnp* mRNAs,<sup>61</sup> thus exerting a first level of autoregulation. Interestingly, this RNase III-independent (RTI) regulatory pathway requires PNPase RNA binding, but not its catalytic activity.<sup>61</sup> It has been proposed that PNPase represses translation by binding the primary transcript at an unmapped site downstream of the 5'-stem-loop, in proximity of the translation initiation region (steps a, b).

1  
2  
3 Once cleaved by RNase III, the *pnp* mRNA enters an RNase E-dependent degradative  
4 pathway promoted by PNPase (steps b-e). This second autoregulatory mechanism requires  
5 both catalytic and RNA binding activities of PNPase.<sup>35, 37, 41, 62</sup> According to the elegant  
6 model proposed by Claude Portier,<sup>63</sup> after RNase III cleavage, the 5'-monophosphate end of  
7 the processed mRNA remains annealed with a small RNA (sRNA) corresponding to the first  
8 37 nt of the transcripts from P2 (RNA37; step b). This double-stranded structure would  
9 protect the mRNA from RNase E.<sup>64</sup> Then PNPase, with the dispensable assistance of PAPI,<sup>63,</sup>  
10 <sup>65</sup> digests the short RNA, thus releasing the processed *pnp* mRNA, which is terminally  
11 degraded through a PNPase-independent pathway triggered by a first cut by RNase E within  
12 the 8th codon of the *pnp* open reading frame (ORF; step c).<sup>66</sup> Thus PNPase targets its own  
13 messenger to a decay pathway by degrading a sRNA, generated by RNase III cleavage, that  
14 protects it from RNase E.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 A former model for *pnp* autoregulation proposed by the same author postulated that PNPase  
31 could act as a translational repressor of the processed *pnp* mRNA by binding to a specific  
32 region in the 5'-UTR and targeting the untranslated mRNA to degradation by other  
33 nucleases.<sup>67</sup> Experimental evidence suggests that translation efficiency may indeed play a  
34 role in *pnp* autoregulation mechanism. In fact, while the primary transcript and the processed  
35 mRNA paired with the RNA37 are translatable, the unpaired *pnp* mRNA seems to be poorly,  
36 if at all, translated both in *vivo* and in *vitro*.<sup>61, 63, 65</sup> The molecular basis of the untranslatability  
37 of this mRNA is not known. RNA secondary structure prediction suggests that, in the absence  
38 of the interacting RNA37, the mRNA 5'-end could pair with codons 3-7 of the ORF (step d).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 A hypothesis that awaits experimental testing is that this structure may inhibit translation.  
51  
52 This implies that by degrading RNA37, PNPase would promote the transition of the 5'-UTR  
53 from a translation-competent to an inhibitory secondary structure that, in turn, would  
54 destabilize the *pnp* untranslated transcript. This could explain the derepressed phenotype  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 exhibited by a mutant with a base substitution in the *pnp* 4th codon (GFX5311 mutant in  
4 Ref.<sup>67</sup>) and would reconcile the current view of PNPase autoregulation<sup>63</sup> with the former  
5 model<sup>67</sup> by postulating that PNPase could indirectly act as a translational repressor also on  
6 the processed *pnp* mRNA by degrading a translation activator (the RNA37 sRNA).  
7  
8 Thus PNPase autoregulates its own expression by several mechanisms. Whereas after the  
9 RNase III cleavage PNPase irreversibly targets the *pnp* mRNA to degradation, the upstream  
10 RNase III-independent pathway would represent a reversible step in PNPase autoregulation.  
11  
12 What is the relative impact of the two autoregulation steps on PNPase expression and  
13 whether it may change in different physiological conditions are open questions. However, as  
14 in *rnc*<sup>+</sup> strains the primary *pnp* transcript is very quickly processed by RNase III, it is  
15 plausible that the RNase III-dependent pathway plays the major role in PNPase expression  
16 regulation.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29  
30 Interestingly, autoregulation of *pnp* expression involving RNase III-dependent  
31 processing of the *pnp* transcript is a conserved mechanism not only in other Gram negative  
32 bacteria such as *Photobacterium luminescens*, *Pseudomonas putida* and *Yersinia*  
33 *enterocolitica*,<sup>68-70</sup> but also in the soil Gram positive bacterium *Streptomyces coelicolor*.<sup>71, 72</sup>  
34  
35 RNase III controls the expression of both stable RNAs and a large number of mRNAs<sup>73, 74</sup>  
36 and its activity is affected by a variety of stimuli, including environmental stresses (recently  
37 reviewed in Ref. <sup>75</sup>). We speculate that the inverse relationship between RNase III activity  
38 and PNPase expression might partially compensate RNase III activity variations under certain  
39 conditions and provide alternative PNPase-dependent decay pathways to a subset of its target  
40 RNAs. The evolutionarily conserved RNase III-dependent regulation of PNPase highlights  
41 the need for a coordinate expression of the players of seemingly redundant degradation  
42 pathways.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### *Cold shock relieves pnp autoregulation*

Cold (i.e. a temperature below 20°C cell for mesophilic bacteria) is a physical stress that influences enzyme kinetics, membrane fluidity and affects conformation, topology and reciprocal interactions of macromolecules. In *E. coli*, during the cold acclimation phase the rate of synthesis of several proteins (cold shock proteins) transiently increases, whereas the synthesis of most other gene products appears to be repressed. Post-transcriptional mechanisms, notably control of mRNA stability and translatability, play a major role in the adaptive response to cold temperature. In particular, mRNA stabilization has been identified as the major factor responsible for the dramatic increase of several cold shock transcripts.<sup>76</sup> PNPase belongs to the cold shock proteins and its expression transiently increases about twofold in the acclimation phase. However, contrarily to mRNAs of other cold shock genes, *pnp* transcripts do not seem to be efficiently translated. In fact, in contrast with the two-fold increase of PNPase levels, the cumulative expression of the monocistronic and polycistronic *pnp* mRNAs, which trespass *pnp* terminator  $t_{pnp}$ , rises about 10-fold in the first two hours after the shift to low temperature.<sup>77</sup> This enhancement is due to transient suppression of both autoregulation and transcription termination at intrinsic terminators.<sup>77-81</sup> Whereas abrogation of transcription termination is not specific for the *pnp* locus,<sup>81</sup> reversal of autoregulation should occur through a specific mechanism as PNPase appears to be active upon cold shock.<sup>79, 82, 83</sup> Although the enzyme is still able to degrade *in vitro* the RNA37 annealed to the *pnp* mRNA at 20 °C,<sup>63</sup> it is possible that *in vivo* the augmented stability of the RNA duplex may protect the paired RNA37. In agreement with this hypothesis, we observed increased abundance of RNA37 in the acclimation phase (unpublished data). However, differential stability of the RNA duplex at different temperatures cannot be the only operating mechanism, as autogenous regulation occurs at low temperature in acclimated cells. It is possible that upon cold shock, the general impairment of translation may transiently increase

1  
2  
3 the pool of ribosomal subunits available for binding, and thus stabilizing, the relatively small  
4 number of translation-competent mRNAs, among which the *pnp* mRNA. Accordingly, at the  
5 end of acclimation phase, when general translation resumes, also *pnp* autoregulation is  
6 restored.<sup>77</sup>

7  
8  
9  
10  
11 PNPase induction in the cold has been reported also for *S. coelicolor* and the psychrotrophic  
12 bacteria *P. luminescens* and *Y. enterocolitica*. However, in such systems, a different  
13 mechanism based on the activation of specific promoters at low temperature is involved.<sup>68, 69,</sup>  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
72 Conversely, in *P. putida*, the *pnp* mRNA level strongly decreases at 9 °C, but the  
messenger appears to be translatable since the amount of PNPase remains constant in cells  
growing in the cold.<sup>70</sup>

### **PNPase activity is modulated by cellular effector molecules**

Another layer of PNPase regulation is modulation of its catalytic activity by several low  
molecular weight effectors, which connect PNPase enzymatic activity to the cell metabolic  
state. However, a caveat is needed because for some effectors, the actual *in vivo*  
physiological relevance of the *in vitro* interaction is unsettled.

This is not the case of the Krebs cycle metabolite citrate, whose effect on PNPase has been  
examined *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In particular, magnesium-chelated citrate inhibits PNPase by  
binding, and conceivably occluding, its catalytic site, whereas the metal-free citrate acts as an  
allosteric activator of PNPase by interacting with a vestigial active site of the enzyme. *In*  
*vivo*, a PNPase-dependent strain, defective for both RNase II and RNase R, grows slowly on  
magnesium-citrate; on the other hand, PNPase activity impacts on the cell metabolome.<sup>84</sup>

While this interaction establishes a link between PNPase and the central metabolism, the  
finding that *in vitro* *Ec*PNPase is allosterically inhibited by ATP suggests that PNPase  
activity responds to the cell energy state.<sup>85, 86</sup> In particular, PNPase should be maximally

1  
2  
3 active *in vivo* when the energy charge is low, such as upon transition from exponential to  
4 stationary phase and in late stationary phase.<sup>87,88</sup> Albeit still awaiting an experimental  
5 demonstration, this seems a sensible assumption considering that RNA phosphorolysis and  
6 tailing by PNPase, by generating and consuming NDPs, respectively, are more energy-saving  
7 processes than RNA hydrolysis by ribonucleases, which produce NMPs, and polyadenylation  
8 by PAPI, which consumes ATP.<sup>85,89</sup> Accordingly, the alarmone ppGpp, whose concentration  
9 peaks at the entry in stationary phase,<sup>87</sup> stimulates *in vitro* the catalytic activity of  
10 *Ec*PNPase.<sup>86</sup> Conversely, the same effector acts as an inhibitor for the PNPase orthologues of  
11 the Gram-positive *Nonomuraea*, which is not affected by ATP,<sup>86</sup> and *Streptomyces*. This  
12 observation has been rationalized in the light of the rich secondary metabolism of such  
13 actinomycetes, which lack RNase II and R and for which shutting off PNPase in stationary  
14 phase may represent a strategy to counterbalance the decrease in transcription efficiency by  
15 preserving RNA from degradation.<sup>86,90</sup>

16  
17  
18 All the above effectors have been found to interact with purified PNPase, whereas another  
19 modified nucleotide, namely cyclic di-GMP (c-di-GMP), may modulate *Ec*PNPase when the  
20 enzyme is part of a specialized RNA degradosome containing, besides RNase E and enolase,  
21 the termination factor Rho, the diguanylate cyclase DosC and the phosphodiesterase DosP.  
22 Thanks to the interaction with c-di-GMP synthesized by DosC in the presence of oxygen, the  
23 activity of PNPase in the complex would be inhibited in aerobiosis.<sup>91</sup> However, further  
24 analyses are required to strengthen this model. In fact, the actual concentration of c-di-GMP  
25 associated with the complex at different [O<sub>2</sub>] was not determined, an aspect that appears to be  
26 relevant since the c-di-GMP degradative activity of DosP is also activated by oxygen.  
27  
28 Moreover, c-di-GMP neither activates nor inhibits purified PNPase.<sup>91,92</sup>

## 57 **ROLE OF PNPase IN RNA DECAY AND QUALITY CONTROL**



1  
2  
3 RNA degradation relies on the concerted actions of endoribonucleases, which cut RNA at  
4 internal sites and in most cases catalyze the first step in RNA decay, and exoribonucleases,  
5 which processively degrade from one end the RNA fragments generated by the  
6 endoribonucleases. Accessory functions, mainly RNA helicases and enzymes that modify the  
7 RNA ends to either facilitate or prevent the access of exoribonucleases to the substrate, are  
8 also involved (recently reviewed by ref.<sup>93</sup>). Besides oligoribonuclease, which degrades RNA  
9 fragments 2-5 nt long, the principal *E. coli* RNA exonucleolytic activities responsible of  
10 RNA decay are PNPase and the hydrolytic ribonucleases RNase II and RNase R (encoded by  
11 *rnb* and *rnr* genes, respectively), which, like PNPase, degrade the RNA from the 3'- to the 5'-  
12 end without sequence specificity.<sup>94</sup> Only oligoribonuclease is essential<sup>95</sup>; however, while the  
13 cells can tolerate the concomitant lack of RNase II and R, deletion of *pnp* gene is lethal in the  
14 absence of either RNases.<sup>24, 96</sup> This suggests that PNPase can substitute for RNase II and R  
15 specific functions that are not shared between the two hydrolytic RNases. Indeed, like RNase  
16 R and unlike RNase II, in complex with RhlB or the RNA degradosome PNPase can degrade  
17 structured RNA.<sup>97, 98</sup> On the other hand, RNase R, which in the exponential phase is mostly  
18 associated with ribosomes, could be targeted to specific decay pathways<sup>99</sup> thus being not  
19 suitable to sustain the entire burden of bulk RNA decay.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **Control of mRNA decay by PNPase**

44  
45 Decay pathways have been analyzed only for a handful of model mRNAs, which have been  
46 taken as paradigmatic examples, and mainly in *E. coli*.<sup>100</sup> Whereas this has allowed to  
47 reconstruct some decay routes that specifically involve different exoribonucleases, the sample  
48 of model RNAs is too small to draw general conclusions on the relative impact on gene  
49 expression regulation exerted *in vivo* by each exoribonuclease. Early estimates suggested that  
50 degradation of unstable RNA mainly occurs through hydrolysis in *E. coli*<sup>101</sup> and that RNase II  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 plays quantitatively the most relevant role in RNA degradation in crude extracts.<sup>89</sup> While it  
4  
5 seems sound that this abundant enzyme may have a relevant role in bulk RNA degradation,  
6  
7 this does not necessarily implies a major role in mRNA decay. Indeed, spacer regions left by  
8  
9 maturation of the rRNA and tRNA primary transcripts or the rRNA itself represent in fast and  
10  
11 slowly growing cells, respectively, the most abundant substrates for the degradative  
12  
13 machinery.<sup>102</sup> As a matter of fact, microarray analysis of the transcriptome of *E. coli* mutants  
14  
15 lacking either PNPase or RNase II showed that much more mRNAs (17.3% vs 7.4%) were  
16  
17 overexpressed in the absence of PNPase than RNase II. Conversely, in the RNase II mutant,  
18  
19 one third of cellular mRNAs resulted more unstable, suggesting that RNase II may indeed  
20  
21 have a protective role on many mRNAs as already shown for the *rpsO* mRNA.<sup>103, 104</sup> In  
22  
23 agreement with these results, a recent RNA-Seq global mRNA profiling of *E. coli* mutants  
24  
25 lacking either PNPase, RNase II or RNase R has shown that the three enzymes control the  
26  
27 expression of a comparable number of transcripts (about 200 transcripts each). However,  
28  
29 most RNAs were actually destabilized by the absence of RNase II or RNase R (66.9 and 54.5  
30  
31 %, respectively), whereas the lack of PNPase caused an increase in the level of the majority  
32  
33 (the 59 %) of its target RNAs.<sup>105</sup> On the whole, these data confer a prominent role to PNPase  
34  
35 in mRNA degradation.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **rRNA and tRNA as PNPase targets**

44  
45 In *E. coli* PNPase has been implicated in the processing of 16S rRNA 3'-end<sup>106</sup> and in the  
46  
47 maturation and 3'-end repair of tRNAs<sup>107-110</sup>, two processes characterized by high  
48  
49 redundancy. For instance, besides PNPase, the RNases T, PH, D, II and BN are also  
50  
51 implicated in tRNA 3'-end processing; however, in single mutants lacking any of these  
52  
53 enzymes, tRNA precursors do not accumulate.<sup>111</sup> This suggests that several alternative  
54  
55 pathways recruiting any of these enzymes can indifferently perform this process. Moreover,  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *Ec*PNPase is involved in quality control pathways that eliminate RNA molecules deriving  
4 from the incorrect maturation of 16S and 23S rRNAs. Also in this case, another  
5 exoribonuclease, RNase R, can fully replace PNPase.<sup>112</sup> The accumulation of RNA fragments  
6 interfering with correct ribosome maturation has been causally related to the loss of viability  
7 of *rnr pnp* double mutants, which lacks both enzymes.<sup>112</sup> PNPase is also implicated in quality  
8 control systems that eliminate defective tRNA precursors and in tRNA degradation.<sup>105, 113</sup>  
9  
10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Less clear is whether in *E. coli* PNPase participates in rRNA degradation that occurs upon carbon starvation<sup>114, 115</sup> or at the entry in stationary phase.<sup>116</sup> On the contrary, in *Deinococcus radiodurans*, PNPase is converted into a degradation machine specific for structured RNA, named RYPER, by its recruitment in a ribonucleic complex that comprises the Ro orthologue Rsr and the small noncoding Y RNA. Ro protein and Y RNAs, together with La protein, form the core of Ro ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes, originally identified in humans as major targets of autoantibodies, whose still controversial functions in animal cells include stabilization of newly synthesized RNA polymerase III transcripts.<sup>117</sup> In *D. radiodurans*, RYPER is responsible for extensive rRNA decay in stationary phase.<sup>118, 119</sup> A RYPER-like complex has been purified also from *Salmonella enterica* and putative Y RNAs have been identified in > 250 bacteria and phages encoding a Ro orthologue, which have thus the potential to assemble RYPER-like complexes.<sup>119, 120</sup> These findings open the interesting possibility that PNPase, by the assembly in ribonucleic machines with different composition, may acquire specificity towards peculiar classes of RNAs.

### **Regulation of gene expression by PNPase via degradation and processing of sRNAs**

Recent research by different groups has revealed a central role exerted by PNPase in the stability control of small noncoding RNAs (sRNAs).<sup>105, 121</sup> It has been suggested that *Ec*PNPase protects several sRNAs from degradation by other nucleases in exponential

1  
2  
3 cultures, whereas it would be responsible of their decay upon entry in stationary phase, an  
4 activity counteracted by the RNA chaperone Hfq.<sup>122-124</sup> However, this seems not generally  
5 applicable, as some sRNAs appear to be more abundant in exponentially growing cultures of  
6 a *pnp* mutant, suggesting that they could be degraded by PNPase in this growth phase.<sup>105</sup>  
7  
8 Similarly to what occurs with rRNAs and tRNAs, besides its role as a degradative enzyme,  
9 PNPase is also responsible for the maturation of some sRNAs from longer RNA precursors,  
10 thus implying that depending on the substrate, PNPase can switch from processive RNA  
11 digestion to the precise removal of few nucleotides. This is for instance the case of the CI  
12 RNA, the immunity factor of bacteriophage P4. PNPase plays a major role, with PAPI, in the  
13 correct CI RNA 3'-end formation, which in turn stimulates the 5'-end processing by RNase  
14 P.<sup>125, 126</sup> Interestingly, ca. 600 CI-like encoding loci, not necessarily associated with  
15 integrated phages, are scattered in sequenced proteobacterial genomes (see c4 antisense RNA  
16 motif, Table 1 in ref.<sup>127</sup>).

17  
18 Recently, PNPase has been found to be responsible for the maturation of the CRISPR RNA  
19 RliB in *Listeria monocytogenes*; interestingly, PNPase seems to contribute also to the DNA  
20 interference activity of RliB. It remains to be established whether PNPase role in this process  
21 is restricted to modulation of RliB stability or entails a direct action on DNA.<sup>128</sup>

## 22 PNPase CONTROLS COMPLEX CELL ADAPTIVE RESPONSES

### 23 PNPase is essential for growth at low temperature

24 The *pnp* gene is essential for growth at low temperature in different Gram positive and  
25 negative bacteria such as *B. subtilis*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *E. coli* and *Yersinia*  
26 *enterocolitica*.<sup>69, 77, 129, 130</sup> On the other hand, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium *pnp*  
27 mutants show limited cold sensitivity and PNPase is not required for *Pseudomonas putida*  
28 growth at temperature as low as 5 °C.<sup>70, 96, 131</sup> The hypothesis that PNPase may be involved in  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 a cold-essential process conserved in the first group of bacteria and absent in the second one  
4  
5 seems unlikely in the light of the lack of correlation between the cold sensitivity of *pnp*  
6  
7 mutants of different bacterial species and their evolutionary distance or adaptation to specific  
8  
9 ecological niches. Most likely, in some bacteria the lack of PNPase at low temperature could  
10  
11 be at least partially compensated by other factors, and in particular other nucleases. The idea  
12  
13 that nuclease-dependent pathways may have partially redundant functions in the cold is  
14  
15 supported by the observation that in *E. coli*, the overexpression of RNase II can suppress the  
16  
17 growth defect of a *pnp* mutant at low temperature. However, *pnp* strains overexpressing  
18  
19 RNase R remain cold sensitive, indicating once again that RNases are not completely  
20  
21 interchangeable.<sup>132</sup>  
22  
23

24  
25 The molecular bases of PNPase essentiality in the cold have been mainly investigated in *E.*  
26  
27 *coli*. In such system, incubation at temperatures  $\leq 20$  °C has a bacteriostatic, reversible effect  
28  
29 on the growth of *pnp* mutants, which seem to be stalled in the cold acclimation phase.<sup>82</sup>  
30  
31 Moreover, both PNPase RNA degradation and binding activities are essential in the cold.<sup>35, 41,</sup>  
32  
33

34  
35 <sup>132</sup> On the whole, these observations suggest that PNPase may be required to degrade RNAs  
36  
37 that prevent the cells from exiting the cold acclimation phase and resuming growth. Indeed,  
38  
39 both in *E. coli* and in *Y. enterocolitica*, PNPase is required to promote the degradation of the  
40  
41 mRNAs of cold inducible members of CspA family proteins, which are transiently induced in  
42  
43 the acclimation phase and have been proposed to sequester the ribosomes.<sup>82, 83, 133-135</sup> In fact,  
44  
45 ribosomal subunits and monosomes accumulate in a  $\Delta pnp$  *E. coli* strain at 15 °C, suggesting  
46  
47 that translation is impaired.<sup>132</sup>  
48

49  
50 PNPase may be also required for ribosome assembly in the cold. Indeed, in a double *pnp rph*  
51  
52 mutant, which lacks also the second phosphorolytic exoribonuclease RNase PH, the number  
53  
54 of 50S ribosomal subunits is reduced at 31 °C, but not at 42 °C, and the 23S rRNA is  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 degraded.<sup>133</sup> This suggests that ribosome maturation at sub-optimal temperature may require  
4  
5 at least one of the phosphorolytic ribonucleases.  
6  
7

### 8 9 **PNPase controls the expression of aggregation factors that promote biofilm formation**

10  
11 Biofilms are multicellular communities of microorganisms adhered to a surface. Biofilm  
12  
13 formation is a complex developmental process that involves cell morphological changes, such  
14  
15 as the expression of pili at the cell surface and the secretion of an extracellular polymer  
16  
17 matrix mainly constituted by exopolysaccharides (EPS). A complex network of regulators  
18  
19 including quorum sensing molecules and modified nucleotides controls the transition from  
20  
21 planktonic to sessile life style and biofilm maturation.<sup>136</sup> Evidence from different groups  
22  
23 suggest that PNPase contributes to biofilm regulation in *E. coli* and *Salmonella*.<sup>105, 137, 138</sup> In  
24  
25 particular, in *E. coli* C, PNPase inhibits the synthesis of the EPS poly-N-acetylglucosamine  
26  
27 (PNAG) by negatively regulating the PNAG biosynthetic operon *pgaABCD* at post-  
28  
29 transcriptional level through a still unclear mechanism that involves the 5'-UTR of the  
30  
31 operon. As a consequence of PNAG overexpression, cellular aggregation and biofilm  
32  
33 production are stimulated in a  $\Delta pnp$  mutant.<sup>137</sup> In *E. coli* K12, mRNAs related to the  
34  
35 production of several adhesion factors (including *pgaC* and *pgaD* PNAG biosynthetic genes)  
36  
37 are specifically overexpressed in  $\Delta pnp$ , but not in strains lacking either RNase R or II.<sup>105</sup>  
38  
39 However, in such genetic background, the role of PNPase in biofilm formation is less clear as  
40  
41 deletion of *pnp* has been reported to either stimulate<sup>137</sup> or, as in *Salmonella*,<sup>138</sup> prevent<sup>105</sup>  
42  
43 biofilm formation. These differences, whose molecular bases are unknown, could be imputed  
44  
45 to strain-specific mechanisms that may have evolved to respond to the variety of  
46  
47 environmental signals impacting on biofilm development.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

### 55 56 **PNPase as a virulence regulator in pathogenic bacteria**

57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Virulence regulation by PNPase in various bacteria has been recently reviewed<sup>139</sup> and we will  
4  
5 limit here to some general comments. Disparate virulence-related functions respond to  
6  
7 PNPase in pathogenic bacteria and the molecular mechanisms by which PNPase controls  
8  
9 virulence functions are also variable. Only in some systems PNPase contribution to  
10  
11 pathogenesis is clearly imputable to its activity as an exoribonuclease.<sup>140</sup> In fact, in other  
12  
13 cases, PNPase RNA binding rather than enzymatic activity is required for virulence  
14  
15 regulation. For instance, PNPase confers increased resistance to killing by murine  
16  
17 macrophages to *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and *Yersinia pestis*,<sup>139</sup> an effect that has been  
18  
19 linked to secretion of Yop effectors by type 3 secretion system (T3SS) specifically promoted  
20  
21 by PNPase. Interestingly, the ability to modulate T3SS function is retained by a *pnp* mutant  
22  
23 defective in the catalytic activity, whereas it is lost when the PNPase S1 binding domain is  
24  
25 deleted. Moreover, the overexpression of the isolated S1 domain (from PNPase or other  
26  
27 proteins) suppresses T3SS downregulation in a *pnp* deletion mutant.<sup>141</sup> Overall, these data  
28  
29 suggest that PNPase may act in this system by binding (and maybe stabilizing) an  
30  
31 unidentified RNA.  
32  
33

34  
35  
36 Interestingly, while RNase R, like PNPase, has an established function in bacterial  
37  
38 pathogenesis<sup>142</sup> and in growth at low temperature<sup>143-145</sup> of various bacteria, to our knowledge  
39  
40 RNase II has not been found to be essential in the cold or implicated in virulence in any  
41  
42 bacteria. It is unclear whether this may reflect an actual specificity in the roles of different  
43  
44 bacterial ribonucleases, with overlapping functions between RNase R and PNPase, or only  
45  
46 the paucity of studies specifically addressing RNase II role in these phenomena.  
47  
48  
49  
50

### 51 **PNPase and nucleic acids stress: removal of damaged RNA and DNA repair**

52  
53  
54 In the last years several apparently unrelated observations implicated PNPase in the response  
55  
56 to nucleic acid damage and/or DNA recombination and repair. It should be mentioned that  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 although a direct role of PNPase in these mechanisms has been proposed, in most cases an  
4 indirect effect of PNPase, which could control the expression of factors operating in the  
5  
6 above pathways, has not been ruled out.  
7  
8

9  
10 Concerning RNA damage, it has been shown that *Ec*PNPase binds RNA containing 8-  
11 hydroxyguanine (8-oxoG) residues with higher affinity than normal RNA<sup>146, 147</sup> and that  
12  
13 PNPase defective *E. coli* mutants are more sensitive to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment<sup>147</sup>, which increases the  
14  
15 levels of oxidized ribo- and deoxyriboguanosines. Although the effects of RNA modifications  
16  
17 on the physiology of the bacterial cell are still largely unexplored, it is believed that, by  
18  
19 altering base-pairing interactions, RNA modifications may interfere with the functions of  
20  
21 both mRNA and stable RNAs, thus impairing translation and regulation of gene  
22  
23 expression.<sup>148</sup> Thus PNPase, by acting as a specific scavenger of oxidized RNA, may protect  
24  
25 the cell from the many harmful consequences caused by oxidized bases.  
26  
27

28  
29 Recently, PNPase has been implicated in both spontaneous and induced mutagenesis in *E.*  
30  
31 *coli*.<sup>12</sup> It has been shown that the increased frequency of spontaneous mutations caused by the  
32  
33 lack of either the mismatch repair (MMR) system, which corrects spontaneous mutations  
34  
35 resulting from replication errors, or the MutT protein, which destroys the pool of oxidized  
36  
37 dGTP, is suppressed in *pnp* deletion mutants. Moreover, such mutants exhibit lower levels of  
38  
39 mutagenesis induced by some base analogues such as 5-bromodeoxyuridine.<sup>12</sup> An  
40  
41 explanation of these facts has been related to phosphorolysis of RNA, which generates NDPs  
42  
43 that can be converted to dNDPs by ribonucleotide reductase. It was hypothesized that the  
44  
45 pool of dNDPs derived from PNPase activity could *per se* be responsible for the spontaneous  
46  
47 mutations observed in MMR- and MutT-deficient backgrounds upon treatment with 5-  
48  
49 bromodeoxyuridine.<sup>12</sup> Such hypothesis takes in account only the ability of PNPase to bind  
50  
51 and degrade RNA. However, other observations have more directly implicated PNPase in  
52  
53 DNA repair and recombination in evolutionary distant bacteria, possibly through its ability to  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 bind, degrade and/or polymerize DNA. In *B. subtilis* PNPase copurifies with RecN, a key  
4 protein for the repair of DNA double strand breaks (DSB),<sup>149</sup> and it is required for the  
5 formation of RecN-promoted discrete repair centers upon DNA DSBs induction, where it  
6 provides the RecN-associated 3'→5' ssDNA exonucleolytic activity.<sup>17, 18</sup> Notably, unlike *E.*  
7 *coli*, which is endowed with a panoply of 3'→5' exodeoxyribonucleases, *B. subtilis* is lacking  
8 other genes encoding such activity. Moreover, PNPase activity on ssDNA degradation and  
9 polymerization is modulated *in vitro* by RecN, RecA, and SSB.<sup>17, 18</sup> In *E. coli* PNPase was  
10 found to co-purify with RecA.<sup>150</sup> More recently, it was observed that PNPase deficient  
11 mutants are sensitive to UV and that in the absence of nucleotide excision repair system, *pnp*  
12 mutants show hypersensitivity to UV radiation. This *pnp* phenotype is epistatic to *uvrD*, *recB*  
13 and *ruvA*, thus implicating PNPase in the recombinational repair process.<sup>11</sup>

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
The ability of PNPase to resect and synthesize ssDNA 3'-ends and its interaction with  
recombination and repair proteins leave open the possibility that PNPase directly participates  
in recombination, repair and mutagenesis pathways.

## CONCLUSION

After 60 years of research, we are still far from having a complete picture of the multifaceted  
role played by PNPase in bacterial cells. It is interesting that a "non-essential" protein has  
been so widely conserved through evolution in *Bacteria* and *Eukarya*, notwithstanding the  
functional redundancy of RNA decay pathways. On the other hand, PNPase exhibits a great  
evolutionary plasticity as it appears involved in a variety of processes and supramolecular  
structures in which this protein may play diversified structural and/or enzymatic roles in  
different bacterial species and eukaryotic organelles. Moreover, the ability to operate on  
either RNA or DNA, modulated by divalent cations, further expands the repertoire of  
functions in which PNPase is implicated and opens new research fields that may elucidate

1  
2  
3 new interconnections between RNA and DNA metabolism. A deeper knowledge of PNPase  
4 assembly in (nucleo)protein complexes like the various RNA degradosomes, RYPERS, and  
5 DNA recombination/repair centers, interactions with small molecules, and modulation of its  
6 activity in response to growth phase and environmental stimuli is needed to fully understand  
7 PNPase physiological role. Lastly, the spatial localization of PNPase and other factors of the  
8 RNA decay and DNA transactions apparatus in specific cell districts is a fascinating aspect  
9 emerged in the last years. Relating such localization to the functioning of the RNA and DNA  
10 transactions machineries is a major challenge for future research in the field.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

## 20 21 22 23 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

24 We would like to thank Sandro Zangrossi and all other past members of our lab that  
25 contributed to our research on PNPase. We apologize with all colleagues whose work has not  
26 been cited because of space constraints.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 **REFERENCES**

- 39  
40  
41  
42 1. Littauer UZ, and Grunberg-Manago, M. . Polynucleotide phosphrylase. In: Creighton  
43 TE, ed. *Encyclopedia of Mol Biol*. Vol. 3. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1999,  
44 1911-1918.  
45  
46  
47  
48 2. Grunberg-Manago M, Ortiz PJ, Ochoa S. Enzymatic synthesis of nucleic acid-like  
49 polynucleotides. *Science* 1955, 122:907-910.  
50  
51  
52  
53 3. Grunberg-Manago M, Ortiz PJ, Ochoa S. Enzymic synthesis of polynucleotides. I.  
54 Polynucleotide phosphorylase of *Azotobacter vinelandii*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1956,  
55 20:269-285.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 4. Ochoa S. Enzymatic synthesis of ribonucleic acid. *Nobel Lectures 1959, Stockholm*  
4  
5 1959.
- 6  
7 5. Kresge N, Simoni, R. D., and Hill, R. L. The discovery and isolation of RNA  
8  
9 polymerase by Jerard Hurwitz. *J Biol Chem* 2006, 281:e12.
- 10  
11 6. Deutscher MP, Li Z. Exoribonucleases and their multiple roles in RNA metabolism.  
12  
13 *Prog Nucl Acid Res Mol Biol* 2001, 66:67-105.
- 14  
15 7. Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. Bacterial/archaeal/organelar polyadenylation. *WIREs-*  
16  
17 *RNA* 2011, 2:256-276. doi: 10.1002/wrna.51
- 18  
19 8. Lin-Chao S, Chiou NT, Schuster G. The PNPase, exosome and RNA helicases as the  
20  
21 building components of evolutionarily-conserved RNA degradation machines. *J*  
22  
23 *Biomed Sci* 2007, 14:523-532. doi: 10.1007/s11373-007-9178-y
- 24  
25  
26  
27 9. <http://pax-db.org/#!protein/6883773>.
- 28  
29 10. Danchin A. Comparison between the *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* genomes  
30  
31 suggests that a major function of polynucleotide phosphorylase is to synthesize CDP.  
32  
33 *DNA Res* 1997, 4:9-18.
- 34  
35 11. Rath D, Mangoli SH, Pagedar AR, Jawali N. Involvement of *pnp* in survival of UV  
36  
37 radiation in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Microbiology-Sgm* 2012, 158:1196-1205. doi:  
38  
39 10.1099/mic.0.056309-0
- 40  
41  
42 12. Becket E, Tse L, Yung M, Cosico A, Miller JH. Polynucleotide phosphorylase plays  
43  
44 an important role in the generation of spontaneous mutations in *Escherichia coli*. *J*  
45  
46 *Bacteriol* 2012, 194:5613-5620. doi: 10.1128/JB.00962-12
- 47  
48  
49 13. Kaufmann G, Littauer UZ. Deoxyadenosine diphosphate as substrate for  
50  
51 polynucleotide phosphorylase from *Escherichia coli*. *FEBS Lett* 1969, 4:79-83. doi:  
52  
53 10.1016/0014-5793(69)80201-2
- 54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 14. Chou JY, Singer MF. Deoxyadenosine diphosphate as a substrate and inhibitor of  
4 polynucleotide phosphorylase of *Micrococcus luteus* . I. Deoxyadenosine  
5 diphosphate as a substrate for polymerization and the exchange reaction with  
6 inorganic 32 P. *J Biol Chem* 1971, 246:7486-7496.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 15. Gillam S, Smith M. Enzymatic synthesis of deoxyribo-oligonucleotides of defined  
12 sequence. Properties of the enzyme. *Nucl Acids Res* 1974, 1:1631-1647.  
13  
14 16. Beljanski M. *De novo* synthesis of DNA-like molecules by polynucleotide  
15 phosphorylase *in vitro*. *J Mol Evol* 1996, 42:493-499. doi: 10.1007/Bf02352279  
16  
17 17. Cardenas PP, Carrasco B, Sanchez H, Deikus G, Bechhofer DH, Alonso JC. *Bacillus*  
18 *subtilis* polynucleotide phosphorylase 3'-to-5' DNase activity is involved in DNA  
19 repair. *Nucl Acids Res* 2009, 37:4157-4169. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp314  
20  
21 18. Cardenas PP, Carzaniga T, Zangrossi S, Briani F, Garcia-Tirado E, Dehò G, Alonso  
22 JC. Polynucleotide phosphorylase exonuclease and polymerase activities on single-  
23 stranded DNA ends are modulated by RecN, SsbA and RecA proteins. *Nucl Acids Res*  
24 2011, 39:9250-9261. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr635  
25  
26 19. Unciuleac MC, Shuman S. Distinctive effects of domain deletions on the manganese-  
27 dependent DNA polymerase and DNA phosphorylase activities of *Mycobacterium*  
28 *smegmatis* polynucleotide phosphorylase. *Biochemistry* 2013, 52:2967-2981. doi:  
29 10.1021/bi400281w  
30  
31 20. Reiner AM. Characterization of polynucleotide phosphorylase mutants of *Escherichia*  
32 *coli*. *J Bacteriol* 1969, 97:1437-1443.  
33  
34 21. Kakiuchi N, Fukui T, Ikehara M. Polynucleotides. LVII. Synthesis and properties of  
35 poly (2'-chloro-2'-deoxyinosinic acid). *Nucl Acids Res* 1979, 6:2627-2636.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 22. Kikuchi Y, Hirai K, Sakaguchi K. Novel monofunctional substrates of polynucleotide  
4 phosphorylase. The "single-addition" of 2'(3')-O-dihydrocinnamoyl-nucleoside 5'-  
5 diphosphate to a primer oligonucleotide. *J Biochem (Tokyo)* 1975, 77:469-472.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 23. Silva IJ, Saramago M, Dressaire C, Domingues S, Viegas SC, Arraiano CM.  
11 Importance and key events of prokaryotic RNA decay: the ultimate fate of an RNA  
12 molecule. *WIREs-RNA* 2011, 2:818-836. doi: 10.1002/wrna.94  
13  
14  
15  
16 24. Donovan WP, Kushner SR. Polynucleotide phosphorylase and ribonuclease II are  
17 required for cell viability and mRNA turnover in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Proc Natl*  
18 *Acad Sci U S A* 1986, 83:120-124.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 25. Coburn GA, Mackie GA. Reconstitution of the degradation of the mRNA for  
24 ribosomal protein S20 with purified enzymes. *J Mol Biol* 1998, 279:1061-1074. doi:  
25 10.1006/jmbi.1998.1842  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 26. Régnier P, Hajnsdorf E. Poly(A)-assisted RNA decay and modulators of RNA  
31 stability. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 2009, 85:137-185. doi: 10.1016/S0079-  
32 6603(08)00804-0.  
33  
34  
35  
36 27. Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. Polynucleotide phosphorylase functions both as a 3' right-  
37 arrow 5' exonuclease and a poly(A) polymerase in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad*  
38 *Sci USA* 2000, 97:11966-11971. doi: 10.1073/pnas.220295997  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 28. Cao GJ, Sarkar N. Poly(A) RNA in *Escherichia coli*: Nucleotide Sequence at the  
44 Junction of the Lpp Transcript and the Polyadenylate Moiety. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*  
45 *USA* 1992, 89:7546-7550. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.16.7546  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 29. Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. The majority of *Escherichia coli* mRNAs undergo post-  
51 transcriptional modification in exponentially growing cells. *Nucl Acids Res* 2006,  
52 34:5695-5704. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl684  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 30. Sohlberg B, Huang J, Cohen SN. The *Streptomyces coelicolor* polynucleotide  
4 phosphorylase homologue, and not the putative poly(A) polymerase, can  
5 polyadenylate RNA. *J Bacteriol* 2003, 185:7273-7278. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.24.7273-  
6 7278.2003  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 31. Jones GH, Mackie GA. *Streptomyces coelicolor* polynucleotide phosphorylase can  
12 polymerize nucleoside diphosphates under phosphorolysis conditions, with  
13 implications for the degradation of structured RNAs. *J Bacteriol* 2013, 195:5151-  
14 5159. doi: 10.1128/JB.00936-13  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 32. Symmons MF, Jones GH, Luisi BF. A duplicated fold is the structural basis for  
22 polynucleotide phosphorylase catalytic activity, processivity, and regulation.  
23 *Structure* 2000, 8:1215-1226. doi: 10.1016/S0969-2126(00)00521-9  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 33. Bermudez-Cruz RM, Ramirez F, Kameyama-Kawabe L, Montanez C. Conserved  
29 domains in polynucleotide phosphorylase among eubacteria. *Biochimie* 2005, 87:737-  
30 745. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2005.03.005  
31  
32  
33  
34 34. Shi Z, Yang WZ, Lin-Chao S, Chak KF, Yuan HS. Crystal structure of *Escherichia*  
35 *coli* PNPase: central channel residues are involved in processive RNA degradation.  
36 *RNA* 2008, 14:2361-2371. doi: 10.1261/rna.1244308  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 35. Briani F, Del Favero M, Capizzuto R, Consonni C, Zangrossi S, Greco C, De Gioia L,  
42 Tortora P, Dehò G. Genetic analysis of polynucleotide phosphorylase structure and  
43 functions. *Biochimie* 2007, 89:145-157. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2006.09.020  
44  
45  
46  
47 36. Carzaniga T, Mazzantini E, Nardini M, Regonesi ME, Greco C, Briani F, De Gioia L,  
48 Dehò G, Tortora P. A conserved loop in polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase)  
49 essential for both RNA and ADP/phosphate binding. *Biochimie* 2014, 97:49-59. doi:  
50 10.1016/j.biochi.2013.09.018  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 37. Jarrige A, Brechemier-Baey D, Mathy N, Duche O, Portier C. Mutational analysis of  
4 polynucleotide phosphorylase from *Escherichia coli*. *J Mol Biol* 2002, 321:397-409.  
5 doi: 10.1061/S0022-2836(02)00645-9  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 38. Hardwick SW, Gubbey T, Hug I, Jenal U, Luisi BF. Crystal structure of *Caulobacter*  
11 *crenscentus* polynucleotide phosphorylase reveals a mechanism of RNA substrate  
12 channelling and RNA degradosome assembly. *Open Biol* 2012, 2:120028. doi:  
13 10.1098/rsob.120028  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 39. Nurmohamed S, Vaidialingam B, Callaghan AJ, Luisi BF. Crystal structure of  
19 *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase core bound to RNase E, RNA and  
20 manganese: implications for catalytic mechanism and RNA degradosome assembly. *J*  
21 *Mol Biol* 2009, 389:17-33. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.03.051  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 40. García-Mena J, Das A, Sanchez-Trujillo A, Portier C, Montanez C. A novel mutation  
28 in the KH domain of polynucleotide phosphorylase affects autoregulation and mRNA  
29 decay in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Microbiol* 1999, 33:235-248. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-  
30 2958.1999.01451.x  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 41. Matus-Ortega ME, Regonesi ME, Pina-Escobedo A, Tortora P, Dehò G, García-Mena  
37 J. The KH and S1 domains of *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase are  
38 necessary for autoregulation and growth at low temperature. *Biochim Biophys Acta*  
39 2007, 1769:194-203. doi: 10.1016/j.bbaexp.2007.01.008  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 42. Regonesi ME, Briani F, Ghetta A, Zangrossi S, Ghisotti D, Tortora P, Dehò G. A  
46 mutation in polynucleotide phosphorylase from *Escherichia coli* impairing RNA  
47 binding and degradosome stability. *Nucl Acids Res* 2004, 32:1006-1017. doi:  
48 10.1093/nar/gkh268  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 43. Januszyk K, Lima CD. Structural Components and Architectures of RNA Exosomes.  
55 *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2010, 702:9-28. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-7841-7  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 44. Lin PH, Lin-Chao S. RhlB helicase rather than enolase is the beta-subunit of the  
4  
5 *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase)-exoribonucleolytic complex.  
6  
7 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, 102:16590-16595. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0500994102  
8  
9  
10 45. Portier C. Quaternary structure of polynucleotide phosphorylase from *Escherichia*  
11  
12 *coli*: evidence of a complex between two types of polypeptide chains. *Eur J Biochem*  
13  
14 1975, 55:573-582. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1975.tb02194.x  
15  
16 46. Bandyra KJ, Bouvier M, Carpousis AJ, Luisi BF. The social fabric of the RNA  
17  
18 degradosome. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2013, 1829:514-522. doi:  
19  
20 10.1016/j.bbagr.2013.02.011  
21  
22 47. Ait-Bara S, Carpousis AJ. RNA degradosomes in bacteria and chloroplasts:  
23  
24 classification, distribution and evolution of RNase E homologs. *Mol Microbiol* 2015,  
25  
26 97:1021-1135. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13095  
27  
28  
29 48. Prud'homme-Genereux A, Beran RK, Iost I, Ramey CS, Mackie GA, Simons RW.  
30  
31 Physical and functional interactions among RNase E, polynucleotide phosphorylase  
32  
33 and the cold-shock protein, CsdA: evidence for a 'cold shock degradosome'. *Mol*  
34  
35 *Microbiol* 2004, 54:1409-1421.  
36  
37  
38 49. Evguenieva-Hackenberg E, Roppelt V, Lassek C, Klug G. Subcellular localization of  
39  
40 RNA degrading proteins and protein complexes in prokaryotes. *RNA Biol* 2011, 8:49-  
41  
42 54. doi: 10.4161/rna.8.1.14066  
43  
44  
45 50. Tsai YC, Du D, Dominguez-Malfavon L, Dimastrogiovanni D, Cross J, Callaghan AJ,  
46  
47 Garcia-Mena J, Luisi BF. Recognition of the 70S ribosome and polysome by the RNA  
48  
49 degradosome in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucl Acids Res* 2012, 40:10417-10431. doi:  
50  
51 10.1093/nar/gks739  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 51. Delvillani F, Papiani G, Dehò G, Briani F. S1 ribosomal protein and the interplay  
4 between translation and mRNA decay. *Nucl Acids Res* 2011, 39:7702-7715. doi:  
5 10.1093/nar/gkr417  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 52. Kimhi Y, Littauer UZ. The intracellular distribution of polynucleotide phosphorylase  
11 in *Escherichia coli* cells. *Biochemistry* 1967, 6:2066-2073.  
12  
13  
14 53. Papanastasiou M, Orfanoudaki G, Koukaki M, Kountourakis N, Sardis MF, Aivaliotis  
15 M, Karamanou S, Economou A. The *Escherichia coli* peripheral inner membrane  
16 proteome. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2013, 12:599-610. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M112.024711  
17  
18  
19  
20 54. Portier C, Migot C, Grumberg-Manago M. Cloning of *E. coli pnp* gene from an  
21 episome. *Mol Gen Genet* 1981, 183:298-305.  
22  
23  
24  
25 55. Régnier P, Hajnsdorf E. Decay of mRNA encoding ribosomal protein S15 of  
26 *Escherichia coli* is initiated by an RNase E-dependent endonucleolytic cleavage that  
27 removes the 3' stabilizing stem and loop structure. *J Mol Biol* 1991, 217:283-292. doi:  
28 10.1016/0022-2836(91)90542-E  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 56. Andrade JM, Hajnsdorf E, Régnier P, Arraiano CM. The poly(A)-dependent  
34 degradation pathway of *rpsO* mRNA is primarily mediated by RNase R. *RNA*. 2009,  
35 15:316-326. doi: 10.1261/rna.1197309  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 57. Portier C, Régnier P. Expression of the *rpsO* and *pnp* genes: structural analysis of a  
41 DNA fragment carrying their control regions. *Nucl Acids Res* 1984, 12:6091-6102.  
42 doi: 10.1093/nar/12.15.6091  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 58. Régnier P, Portier C. Initiation, attenuation and RNase III processing of transcripts  
48 from the *Escherichia coli* operon encoding ribosomal protein S15 and polynucleotide  
49 phosphorylase. *J Mol Biol* 1986, 187:23-32. doi: 10.1016/0022-2836(86)90403-1  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 59. Portier C, Dondon L, Grunberg-Manago M, Régnier P. The first step in the functional  
4  
5 inactivation of the *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase messenger is a  
6  
7 ribonuclease III processing at the 5' end. *EMBO J*. 1987, 6:2165-2170.  
8  
9  
10 60. Takata R, Mukai T, Hori K. RNA Processing by RNase-III is Involved in the  
11  
12 Synthesis of *Escherichia coli* Polynucleotide Phosphorylase. *Mol Gen Genet* 1987,  
13  
14 209:28-32. doi: 10.1007/Bf00329832  
15  
16 61. Carzaniga T, Dehò G, Briani F. RNase III-independent autogenous regulation of  
17  
18 *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase via translational repression. *J*  
19  
20 *Bacteriol* 2015. doi: 10.1128/JB.00105-15  
21  
22 62. Wong AG, McBurney KL, Thompson KJ, Stickney LM, Mackie GA. S1 and KH  
23  
24 domains of polynucleotide phosphorylase determine the efficiency of RNA binding  
25  
26 and autoregulation. *J Bacteriol* 2013, 195:2021-2031. doi: 10.1128/JB.00062-13  
27  
28  
29 63. Jarrige AC, Mathy N, Portier C. PNPase autocontrols its expression by degrading a  
30  
31 double-stranded structure in the *pnp* mRNA leader. *EMBO J* 2001, 20:6845-6855.  
32  
33 doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.23.6845  
34  
35  
36 64. Mackie GA. Ribonuclease E is a 5'-end-dependent endonuclease. *Nature* 1998,  
37  
38 395:720-723. doi: 10.1038/27246  
39  
40  
41 65. Carzaniga T, Briani F, Zangrossi S, Merlino G, Marchi P, Dehò G. Autogenous  
42  
43 regulation of *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase expression revisited. *J*  
44  
45 *Bacteriol* 2009, 191:1738-1748. doi: 10.1128/JB.01524-08  
46  
47 66. Hajnsdorf E, Carpousis AJ, Régnier P. Nucleolytic inactivation and degradation of the  
48  
49 RNase III processed *pnp* message encoding polynucleotide phosphorylase of  
50  
51 *Escherichia coli*. *J Mol Biol* 1994, 239:439-454. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.1994.1387  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 67. Robert-Le Meur M, Portier C. Polynucleotide phosphorylase of *Escherichia coli*  
4 induces the degradation of its RNase III processed messenger by preventing its  
5 translation. *Nucl Acids Res* 1994, 22:397-403. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.3.397  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 68. Clarke DJ, Dowds BCA. The Gene Coding for Polynucleotide Phosphorylase in  
11 *Photorhabdus* Sp Strain-K122 Is Induced at Low-Temperatures. *J Bacteriol* 1994,  
12 176:3775-3784.  
13  
14  
15  
16 69. Goverde RLJ, Veld JHJHI, Kusters JG, Mooi FR. The psychrotrophic bacterium  
17 *Yersinia enterocolitica* requires expression of *pnp*, the gene for polynucleotide  
18 phosphorylase, for growth at low temperature (5 °C). *Mol Microbiol* 1998, 28:555-  
19 569. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00816.x  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 70. Favaro R, Dehò G. Polynucleotide phosphorylase-deficient mutants of *Pseudomonas*  
26 *putida*. *J Bacteriol* 2003, 185:5279-5286.  
27  
28  
29  
30 71. Gatewood ML, Bralley P, Jones GH. RNase III-dependent expression of the *rpsO-pnp*  
31 operon of *Streptomyces coelicolor*. *J Bacteriol* 2011, 193:4371-4379. doi:  
32 10.1128/Jb.00452-11  
33  
34  
35  
36 72. Bralley P, Gatewood ML, Jones GH. Transcription of the *rpsO-pnp* operon of  
37 *Streptomyces coelicolor* involves four temporally regulated, stress responsive  
38 promoters. *Gene* 2014, 536:177-185. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2013.10.055  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 73. Drider D, Condon C. The continuing story of endoribonuclease III. *J Mol Microbiol*  
44 *Biotechnol* 2004, 8:195-200. doi: 10.1159/000086700  
45  
46  
47 74. Stead MB, Marshburn S, Mohanty BK, Mitra J, Pena Castillo L, Ray D, van Bakel H,  
48 Hughes TR, Kushner SR. Analysis of *Escherichia coli* RNase E and RNase III  
49 activity *in vivo* using tiling microarrays. *Nucl Acids Res* 2011, 39:3188-3203. doi:  
50 10.1093/nar/gkq1242  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 75. Lim B, Sim M, Lee H, Hyun S, Lee Y, Hahn Y, Shin E, Lee K. Regulation of  
4  
5 *Escherichia coli* RNase III activity. *J Microbiol* 2015, 53:487-494. doi:  
6  
7 10.1007/s12275-015-5323-x  
8  
9  
10 76. Phadtare S, Alsina J, Inouye M. Cold-shock response and cold-shock proteins. *Curr*  
11  
12 *Opin Microbiol* 1999, 2:175-180. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5274(99)80031-9  
13  
14 77. Zangrossi S, Briani F, Ghisotti D, Regonesi ME, Tortora P, Dehò G. Transcriptional  
15  
16 and post-transcriptional control of polynucleotide phosphorylase during cold  
17  
18 acclimation in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Microbiol* 2000, 36:1470-1480. doi:  
19  
20 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01971.x  
21  
22  
23 78. Marchi P, Longhi V, Zangrossi S, Gaetani E, Briani F, Dehò G. Autogenous  
24  
25 regulation of *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase during cold acclimation  
26  
27 by transcription termination and antitermination. *Mol Genet Genomics* 2007, 278:75-  
28  
29 84. doi: 10.1007/s00438-007-0231-3  
30  
31  
32 79. Beran RK, Simons RW. Cold-temperature induction of *Escherichia coli*  
33  
34 polynucleotide phosphorylase occurs by reversal of its autoregulation. *Mol Microbiol*  
35  
36 2001, 39:112-125. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02216.x  
37  
38  
39 80. Mathy N, Jarrige AC, Robert-Le Meur M, Portier C. Increased expression of  
40  
41 *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase at low temperatures is linked to a  
42  
43 decrease in the efficiency of autocontrol. *J Bacteriol* 2001, 183:3848-3854. doi:  
44  
45 10.1128/Jb.183.13.3848-3854.2001  
46  
47  
48 81. Bae W, Xia B, Inouye M, Severinov K. *Escherichia coli* CspA-family RNA  
49  
50 chaperones are transcription antiterminators. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000, 97:7784-  
51  
52 7789.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
82. Yamanaka K, Inouye M. Selective mRNA degradation by polynucleotide phosphorylase in cold shock adaptation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 2001, 183:2808-2816. doi: 10.1128/Jb.183.9.2808-2816.2001
83. Polissi A, De Laurentis W, Zangrossi S, Briani F, Longhi V, Pesole G, Dehò G. Changes in *Escherichia coli* transcriptome during acclimatization at low temperature. *Res Microbiol* 2003, 154:573-580. doi: 10.1016/S0923-2508(03)00167-0
84. Nurmohamed S, Vincent HA, Titman CM, Chandran V, Pears MR, Du DJ, Griffin JL, Callaghan AJ, Luisi BF. Polynucleotide Phosphorylase Activity May Be Modulated by Metabolites in *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem* 2011, 286:14315-14323. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.200741
85. Del Favero M, Mazzantini E, Briani F, Zangrossi S, Tortora P, Dehò G. Regulation of *Escherichia coli* polynucleotide phosphorylase by ATP. *J Biol Chem* 2008, 283:27355-27359. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C800113200
86. Siculella L, Damiano F, di Summa R, Tredici SM, Alduina R, Gnani GV, Alifano P. Guanosine 5'-diphosphate 3'-diphosphate (ppGpp) as a negative modulator of polynucleotide phosphorylase activity in a 'rare' actinomycete. *Mol Microbiol* 2010, 77:716-729. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07240.x
87. Murray HD, Schneider DA, Gourse RL. Control of rRNA expression by small molecules is dynamic and nonredundant. *Mol Cell* 2003, 12:125-134. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00266-1
88. Buckstein MH, He J, Rubin H. Characterization of nucleotide pools as a function of physiological state in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 2008, 190:718-726. doi: 10.1128/JB.01020-07

- 1  
2  
3 89. Deutscher MP, Reuven NB. Enzymatic basis for hydrolytic versus phosphorolytic  
4 mRNA degradation in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*  
5 1991, 88:3277-3280.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 90. Gatewood ML, Jones GH. (p)ppGpp inhibits polynucleotide phosphorylase from  
11 streptomyces but not from *Escherichia coli* and increases the stability of bulk mRNA  
12 in *Streptomyces coelicolor*. *J Bacteriol* 2010, 192:4275-4280. doi: 10.1128/JB.00367-  
13 10.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 91. Tuckerman JR, Gonzalez G, Gilles-Gonzalez MA. Cyclic di-GMP Activation of  
19 Polynucleotide Phosphorylase Signal-Dependent RNA Processing. *J Mol Biol* 2011,  
20 407:633-639. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.02.019  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 92. Tuckerman JR, Gonzalez G, Sousa EH, Wan X, Saito JA, Alam M, Gilles-Gonzalez  
26 MA. An oxygen-sensing diguanylate cyclase and phosphodiesterase couple for c-di-  
27 GMP control. *Biochemistry* 2009, 48:9764-9774. doi: 10.1021/bi901409g  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 93. Hui MP, Foley PL, Belasco JG. Messenger RNA degradation in bacterial cells. *Annu*  
33 *Rev Genet* 2014, 48:537-559. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-120213-092340  
34  
35  
36  
37 94. Andrade JM, Pobre V, Silva IJ, Domingues S, Arraiano CM. The role of 3'-5'  
38 exoribonucleases in RNA degradation. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 2009, 85:187-229.  
39 doi: 10.1016/S0079-6603(08)00805-2  
40  
41  
42  
43 95. Ghosh S, Deutscher MP. Oligoribonuclease is an essential component of the mRNA  
44 decay pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999, 96:4372-4377. doi:  
45 10.1073/pnas.96.8.4372  
46  
47  
48  
49 96. Cheng ZF, Zuo YH, Li ZW, Rudd KE, Deutscher MP. The *vacB* gene required for  
50 virulence in *Shigella flexneri* and *Escherichia coli* encodes the exoribonuclease  
51 RNase R. *J Biol Chem* 1998, 273:14077-14080. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.23.14077  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 97. Liou GG, Chang HY, Lin CS, Lin-Chao S. DEAD box RhlB RNA helicase physically  
4 associates with exoribonuclease PNPase to degrade double-stranded RNA  
5  
6 independent of the degradosome-assembling region of RNase E. *J Biol Chem* 2002,  
7  
8 277:41157-41162. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M206618200  
9  
10  
11 98. Py B, Higgins CF, Krisch HM, Carpousis AJ. A DEAD-box RNA helicase in the  
12  
13 *Escherichia coli* RNA degradosome. *Nature* 1996, 381:169-172. doi:  
14  
15 10.1038/381169a0  
16  
17 99. Liang W, Rudd KE, Deutscher MP. A Role for REP Sequences in Regulating  
18  
19 Translation. *Mol Cell* 2015, 58:431-439. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.03.019  
20  
21  
22 100. Coburn GA, Mackie GA. Degradation of mRNA in *Escherichia coli*: An old problem  
23  
24 with some new twists. *Progress in Nucl Acid Res and Mol Biol* 1999, 62:55-108.  
25  
26  
27 101. Chaney SG, Boyer PD. Incorporation of water oxygens into intracellular nucleotides  
28  
29 and RNA. II. Predominantly hydrolytic RNA turnover in *Escherichia coli*. *J Mol Biol*  
30  
31 1972, 64:581-591.  
32  
33 102. Deutscher MP. Maturation and degradation of ribosomal RNA in bacteria. *Prog Mol*  
34  
35 *Biol Transl Sci* 2009, 85:369-391. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6603(08)00809-X  
36  
37  
38 103. Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. Genomic analysis in *Escherichia coli* demonstrates  
39  
40 differential roles for polynucleotide phosphorylase and RNase II in mRNA abundance  
41  
42 and decay. *Mol Microbiol* 2003, 50:645-658. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03724.x  
43  
44  
45 104. Hajnsdorf E, Steier O, Coscoy L, Teyssset L, Régnier P. Roles of RNase E, RNase II  
46  
47 and PNPase in the degradation of the *rpsO* transcripts of *Escherichia coli*: stabilizing  
48  
49 function of RNase II and evidence for efficient degradation in an *ams pnp rnb*  
50  
51 mutant. *EMBO J* 1994, 13:3368-3377.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 105. Pobre V, Arraiano CM. Next generation sequencing analysis reveals that the  
4  
5 ribonucleases RNase II, RNase R and PNPase affect bacterial motility and biofilm  
6  
7 formation in *E. coli*. *BMC Genomics* 2015, 16:72. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1237-6  
8  
9  
10 106. Sulthana S, Deutscher MP. Multiple exoribonucleases catalyze maturation of the 3'  
11  
12 terminus of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA). *J Biol Chem* 2013, 288:12574-12579. doi:  
13  
14 10.1074/jbc.C113.459172  
15  
16 107. Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. Ribonuclease P processes polycistronic tRNA transcripts  
17  
18 in *Escherichia coli* independent of ribonuclease E. *Nucl Acids Res* 2007, 35:7614-  
19  
20 7625. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm917  
21  
22  
23 108. Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. Processing of the *Escherichia coli leuX* tRNA transcript,  
24  
25 encoding tRNA(Leu5), requires either the 3'5' exoribonuclease polynucleotide  
26  
27 phosphorylase or RNase P to remove the Rho-independent transcription terminator.  
28  
29 *Nucl Acids Res* 2010, 38:597-607. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp997  
30  
31  
32 109. Reuven NB, Zhou ZH, Deutscher MP. Functional overlap of tRNA  
33  
34 nucleotidyltransferase, poly(A) polymerase I, and polynucleotide phosphorylase. *J*  
35  
36 *Biol Chem* 1997, 272:33255-33259. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.52.33255  
37  
38  
39 110. Maes A, Gracia C, Hajnsdorf E, Régnier P. Search for poly(A) polymerase targets in  
40  
41 *E. coli* reveals its implication in surveillance of Glu tRNA processing and degradation  
42  
43 of stable RNAs. *Mol Microbiol* 2012, 83:436-451. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
44  
45 2958.2011.07943.x  
46  
47 111. Li Z, Deutscher MP. Maturation pathways for *E. coli* tRNA precursors: a random  
48  
49 multienzyme process in vivo. *Cell* 1996, 86:503-512. doi: 10.1016/S0092-  
50  
51 8674(00)80123-3  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 112. Cheng ZF, Deutscher MP. Quality control of ribosomal RNA mediated by  
4 polynucleotide phosphorylase and RNase R. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003,  
5 100:6388-6393. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1231041100  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 113. Li ZW, Reimers S, Pandit S, Deutscher MP. RNA quality control: degradation of  
11 defective transfer RNA. *EMBO J* 2002, 21:1132-1138. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.5.1132  
12  
13  
14 114. Kaplan R, Apirion D. The involvement of ribonuclease I, ribonuclease II, and  
15 polynucleotide phosphorylase in the degradation of stable ribonucleic acid during  
16 carbon starvation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem* 1974, 249:149-151.  
17  
18  
19  
20 115. Basturea GN, Zundel MA, Deutscher MP. Degradation of ribosomal RNA during  
21 starvation: comparison to quality control during steady-state growth and a role for  
22 RNase PH. *RNA* 2011, 17:338-345. doi: 10.1261/rna.2448911  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 116. Piir K, Paier A, Liiv A, Tenson T, Maivali U. Ribosome degradation in growing  
28 bacteria. *EMBO Rep* 2011, 12:458-462. doi: 10.1038/embor.2011.47  
29  
30  
31  
32 117. Sim S, Wolin SL. Emerging roles for the Ro 60-kDa autoantigen in noncoding RNA  
33 metabolism. *WIREs-RNA* 2011, 2:686-699. 10.1002/wrna.85  
34  
35  
36  
37 118. Wurtmann EJ, Wolin SL. A role for a bacterial ortholog of the Ro autoantigen in  
38 starvation-induced rRNA degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, 107:4022-  
39 4027. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000307107  
40  
41  
42  
43 119. Chen X, Taylor DW, Fowler CC, Galan JE, Wang HW, Wolin SL. An RNA  
44 degradation machine sculpted by Ro autoantigen and noncoding RNA. *Cell* 2013,  
45 153:166-177. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.037  
46  
47  
48  
49 120. Chen X, Sim S, Wurtmann EJ, Feke A, Wolin SL. Bacterial noncoding Y RNAs are  
50 widespread and mimic tRNAs. *RNA* 2014, 20:1715-1724. doi:  
51 10.1261/rna.047241.114  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 121. Saramago M, Barria C, Dos Santos RF, Silva IJ, Pobre V, Domingues S, Andrade JM,  
4 Viegas SC, Arraiano CM. The role of RNases in the regulation of small RNAs. *Curr*  
5 *Opin Microbiol* 2014, 18:105-115. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2014.02.009  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 122. Andrade JM, Pobre V, Matos AM, Arraiano CM. The crucial role of PNPase in the  
11 degradation of small RNAs that are not associated with Hfq. *RNA*. 2012, 18:844-855.  
12 doi: 10.1261/rna.029413.111  
13  
14  
15  
16 123. De Lay N, Gottesman S. Role of polynucleotide phosphorylase in sRNA function in  
17 *Escherichia coli*. *RNA*. 2011, 17:1172-1189.  
18  
19  
20  
21 124. Andrade JM, Arraiano CM. PNPase is a key player in the regulation of small RNAs  
22 that control the expression of outer membrane proteins. *RNA*. 2008, 14:543-551. doi:  
23 10.1261/rna.683308  
24  
25  
26  
27 125. Piazza F, Zappone M, Sana M, Briani F, Dehò G. Polynucleotide phosphorylase of  
28 *Escherichia coli* is required for the establishment of bacteriophage P4 immunity. *J*  
29 *Bacteriol* 1996, 178:5513-5521.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 126. Briani F, Del Vecchio E, Migliorini D, Hajnsdorf E, Régnier P, Ghisotti D, Dehò G.  
35 RNase E and polyadenyl polymerase I are involved in maturation of CI RNA, the P4  
36 phage immunity factor. *J Mol Biol* 2002, 318:321-331. doi: 10.1016/S0022-  
37 2836(02)00085-2  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 127. Weinberg Z, Wang JX, Bogue J, Yang J, Corbino K, Moy RH, Breaker RR.  
44 Comparative genomics reveals 104 candidate structured RNAs from bacteria, archaea,  
45 and their metagenomes. *Genome Biol* 2010, 11:R31. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-3-r31  
46  
47  
48  
49 128. Sesto N, Touchon M, Andrade JM, Kondo J, Rocha EP, Arraiano CM, Archambaud  
50 C, Westhof E, Romby P, Cossart P. A PNPase dependent CRISPR System in *Listeria*.  
51 *PLoS Genet* 2014, 10:e1004065. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004065  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 129. Luttinger A, Hahn J, Dubnau D. Polynucleotide phosphorylase is necessary for  
4 competence development in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* 1996, 19:343-356. doi:  
5 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1996.380907.x  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 130. Haddad N, Burns CM, Bolla JM, Prevost H, Federighi M, Drider D, Cappelier JM.  
11 Long-term survival of *Campylobacter jejuni* at low temperatures is dependent on  
12 polynucleotide phosphorylase activity. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009, 75:7310-7318.  
13 doi: 10.1128/Aem.01366-09  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 131. Clements MO, Eriksson S, Thompson A, Lucchini S, Hinton JCD, Normark S, Rhen  
19 M. Polynucleotide phosphorylase is a global regulator of virulence and persistency in  
20 *Salmonella enterica*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002, 99:8784-8789. doi:  
21 10.1073/pnas.132047099  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 132. Awano N, Inouye M, Phadtare S. RNase activity of polynucleotide phosphorylase is  
28 critical at low temperature in *Escherichia coli* and is complemented by RNase II. *J*  
29 *Bacteriol* 2008, 190:5924-5933. doi: 10.1128/Jb.00500-08  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 133. Zhou Z, Deutscher MP. An essential function for the phosphate-dependent  
35 exoribonucleases RNase PH and polynucleotide phosphorylase. *J Bacteriol* 1997,  
36 179:4391-4395.  
37  
38  
39  
40 134. Xia B, Ke HP, Inouye M. Acquisition of cold sensitivity by quadruple deletion of  
41 the *cspA* family and its suppression by PNPase S1 domain in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol*  
42 *Microbiol* 2001, 40:179-188. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02372.x  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 135. Neuhaus K, Rapposch S, Francis KP, Scherer S. Restart of exponential growth of  
48 cold-shocked *Yersinia enterocolitica* occurs after down-regulation of *cspA1/A2*  
49 mRNA. *J Bacteriol* 2000, 182:3285-3288. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.11.3285-3288.2000  
50  
51  
52  
53 136. Lopez D, Vlamakis H, Kolter R. Biofilms. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2010,  
54 2:a000398. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a000398  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 137. Carzaniga T, Antoniani D, Dehò G, Briani F, Landini P. The RNA processing enzyme  
4 polynucleotide phosphorylase negatively controls biofilm formation by repressing  
5 poly-N-acetylglucosamine (PNAG) production in *Escherichia coli* C. *BMC*  
6 *Microbiol* 2012, 12:270. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-270  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 138. Kim SH, Wei CI. Molecular Characterization of Biofilm Formation and Attachment  
12 of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104 on Food Contact Surfaces. *J*  
13 *Food Protect* 2009, 72:1841-1847.  
14  
15  
16  
17 139. Rosenzweig JA, Chopra AK. The exoribonuclease Polynucleotide Phosphorylase  
18 influences the virulence and stress responses of yersiniae and many other pathogens.  
19 *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2013, 3:81. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2013.00081  
20  
21  
22  
23 140. Bonnin RA, Bouloc P. RNA Degradation in *Staphylococcus aureus*: Diversity of  
24 Ribonucleases and Their Impact. *Int J Genomics* 2015, 2015:395753. doi:  
25 10.1155/2015/395753  
26  
27  
28  
29 141. Rosenzweig JA, Weltman G, Plano GV, Schesser K. Modulation of Yersinia type  
30 three secretion system by the S1 domain of polynucleotide phosphorylase. *J Biol*  
31 *Chem* 2005, 280:156-163. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M405662200  
32  
33  
34  
35 142. Matos RG, Barria C, Moreira RN, Barahona S, Domingues S, Arraiano CM. The  
36 importance of proteins of the RNase II/RNB-family in pathogenic bacteria. *Front Cell*  
37 *Infect Microbiol* 2014, 4:68. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2014.00068  
38  
39  
40  
41 143. Charpentier X, Faucher SP, Kalachikov S, Shuman HA. Loss of RNase R induces  
42 competence development in *Legionella pneumophila*. *J Bacteriol* 2008, 190:8126-  
43 8136. doi: 10.1128/JB.01035-08  
44  
45  
46  
47 144. Purusharth RI, Madhuri B, Ray MK. Exoribonuclease R in *Pseudomonas syringae* is  
48 essential for growth at low temperature and plays a novel role in the 3' end processing  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 of 16 and 5 S ribosomal RNA. *J Biol Chem* 2007, 282:16267-16277. doi:  
4  
5 10.1074/jbc.M605588200  
6  
7  
8 145. Erova TE, Kosykh VG, Fadl AA, Sha J, Horneman AJ, Chopra AK. Cold shock  
9  
10 exoribonuclease R (VacB) is involved in *Aeromonas hydrophila* pathogenesis. *J*  
11  
12 *Bacteriol* 2008, 190:3467-3474. doi: 10.1128/JB.00075-08  
13  
14 146. Hayakawa H, Kuwano M, Sekiguchi M. Specific binding of 8-oxoguanine-containing  
15  
16 RNA to polynucleotide phosphorylase protein. *Biochemistry* 2001, 40:9977-9982.  
17  
18 doi: 10.1021/Bi010595q  
19  
20 147. Wu J, Jiang Z, Liu M, Gong X, Wu S, Burns CM, Li Z. Polynucleotide phosphorylase  
21  
22 protects *Escherichia coli* against oxidative stress. *Biochemistry* 2009, 48:2012-2020.  
23  
24 doi: 10.1021/bi801752p  
25  
26 148. Wurtmann EJ, Wolin SL. RNA under attack: cellular handling of RNA damage. *Crit*  
27  
28 *Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 2009, 44:34-49. doi: 10.1080/10409230802594043  
29  
30 149. Alonso JC, Cardenas PP, Sanchez H, Hejna J, Suzuki Y, Takeyasu K. Early steps of  
31  
32 double-strand break repair in *Bacillus subtilis*. *DNA Repair (Amst)* 2013, 12:162-176.  
33  
34 doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2012.12.005  
35  
36 150. Register JC, Griffith J. 10 nm RecA Protein Filaments Formed in the Presence of  
37  
38 Mg<sup>2+</sup> and ATP-gamma-S May Contain RNA. *Mol & General Genetics* 1985,  
39  
40 199:415-420. doi: 10.1007/Bf00330752  
41  
42 151. Ishii R, Nureki O, Yokoyama S. Crystal structure of the tRNA processing enzyme  
43  
44 RNase PH from *Aquifex aeolicus*. *J Biol Chem* 2003, 278:32397-32404.  
45  
46 152. Lorentzen E, Conti E. Structural basis of 3' end RNA recognition and  
47  
48 exoribonucleolytic cleavage by an exosome RNase PH core. *Mol Cell* 2005, 20:473-  
49  
50 481.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 153. Liu Q, Greimann JC, Lima CD. Reconstitution, activities, and structure of the  
4  
5 eukaryotic RNA exosome. *Cell* 2006, 127:1223-1237. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.037  
6

7 154. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction.  
8

9  
10 *Nucl Acids Res* 2003, 31:3406-3415.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

19  
20 **Fig. 1. Structural similarities between PNPase, RNase PH and the exosomes.** (A) The  
21  
22 homotrimeric structure of the *Ec*PNPase (PDB ID: 3CDI<sup>34</sup>) and the hexameric rings of RNase  
23  
24 PH, archaeal and eukaryotic exosomes (PDB ID: 1UDN,<sup>151</sup> 2C37<sup>152</sup> and 2NN6,<sup>153</sup>  
25  
26 respectively) are reported. In the exosomes, only the subunits constituting the rings are shown  
27  
28 in colour and named. (B) Domain structure of bacterial PNPase.  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 **FIG. 2. Regulation of PNPase expression.** Detailed explanation of the model and  
35  
36 supporting references are provided in the text. The *pnp* primary and processed transcripts  
37  
38 have been drawn according to the most stable secondary structure predicted by mfold.<sup>154</sup>  
39  
40 Different regions of *pnp* mRNA are represented by lines of different colours: turquoise, *pnp*  
41  
42 coding region; black, 5'-UTR of the processed *pnp* mRNA; blue, stem-loop removed by the  
43  
44 RNase III staggered cuts; green, sRNA37. The 5'-tri- and monophosphate ends of primary  
45  
46 and processed RNAs, respectively, are indicated by the number of arrow nocks, and the 3'-  
47  
48 OH ends by arrowheads. Other symbols are explained in the box at the bottom of the figure.  
49  
50 a) The *pnp* primary transcript is translatable and stable in the absence of RNase III. PNPase  
51  
52 acts as a translation repressor ( $\perp$ ), probably by competing with S1 ribosomal protein for  
53  
54 mRNA binding. However, the native transcript is quickly processed by RNase III, which  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 makes two staggered cuts in the long hairpin within the 5'-UTR; b) RNase III cleavage  
4  
5 removes about half of the stem loop; the processed *pnp* mRNA with the small RNA37  
6  
7 annealed at its 5'-end is still translatable and stable; c) PNPase degrades the small RNA37; d)  
8  
9 the processed *pnp* mRNA with a single stranded 5'-end is not translation proficient and is  
10  
11 functionally inactivated by a first RNase E cut within the *pnp* ORF; e) upon RNase E  
12  
13 cleavage, rapid degradation of the *pnp* mRNA ensues.  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 Related Articles

Article ID	Article title
	Focus: Connections between 3' end processing and DNA repair
	Influence of the 5' end on bacterial expression/decay




Fig. 1

Fig. 1. Structural similarities between PNPase, RNase PH and the exosomes. (A) The homotrimeric structure of the EcPNPase (PDB ID: 3CDI<sup>34</sup>) and the hexameric rings of RNase PH, archaeal and eukaryotic exosomes (PDB ID: 1UDN,<sup>151</sup> 2C37<sup>152</sup> and 2NN6,<sup>153</sup> respectively) are reported. In the exosomes, only the subunits constituting the rings are shown in colour and named. (B) Domain structure of bacterial PNPase.

196x291mm (300 x 300 DPI)






Fig. 2

FIG. 2. Regulation of PNPase expression. Detailed explanation of the model and supporting references are provided in the text. The *pnp* primary and processed transcripts have been drawn according to the most stable secondary structure predicted by mfold.<sup>154</sup> Different regions of *pnp* mRNA are represented by lines of different colours: turquoise, *pnp* coding region; black, 5'-UTR of the processed *pnp* mRNA; blue, stem-loop removed by the RNase III staggered cuts; green, sRNA37. The 5'-tri- and monophosphate ends of primary and processed RNAs, respectively, are indicated by the number of arrow nocks, and the 3'-OH ends by arrowheads. Other symbols are explained in the box at the bottom of the figure. a) The *pnp* primary transcript is translatable and stable in the absence of RNase III. PNPase acts as a translation repressor ( $\perp$ ), probably by competing with S1 ribosomal protein for mRNA binding. However, the native transcript is quickly processed by RNase III, which makes two staggered cuts in the long hairpin within the 5'-UTR; b) RNase III cleavage removes about half of the stem loop; the processed *pnp* mRNA with the small RNA37 annealed at its 5'-end is still translatable and stable; c) PNPase degrades the small RNA37; d) the processed *pnp* mRNA with a single stranded 5'-end is not translation proficient and is functionally inactivated by a first

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

RNase E cut within the *pnp* ORF; e) upon RNase E cleavage, rapid degradation of the *pnp* mRNA ensues.

248x590mm (300 x 300 DPI)

For Peer Review