



Melting curve analysis of a *groEL* PCR fragment for the rapid genotyping of strains belonging to the *Lactobacillus casei* group of species



Ranjan Koirala^{a,b}, Valentina Taverniti^c, Silvia Balzaretti^c, Giovanni Ricci^c, Maria Grazia Fortina^c, Simone Guglielmetti^{c,*}

^a Nepal Academy of Science and Technology (NAST), Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal

^b Central Department of Biotechnology, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

^c Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), Division of Food Microbiology and Bioprocessing, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 May 2014

Received in revised form

13 December 2014

Accepted 2 January 2015

Available online 12 February 2015

Keywords:

Probiotic

Lactobacillus

HRM analysis

Molecular typing

ABSTRACT

Lactobacillus casei group (Lcs) consists of three phylogenetically closely related species (*L. casei*, *L. paracasei*, and *L. rhamnosus*), which are widely used in the dairy and probiotic industrial sectors. Strategies to easily and rapidly characterize Lcs are therefore of interest. To this aim, we developed a method according to a technique known as high resolution melting analysis (HRMa), which was applied to a 150 bp *groEL* gene fragment. The analysis was performed on 53 Lcs strains and 29 strains representatives of species that are commonly present in dairy and probiotic products and can be most probably co-isolated with Lcs strains. DNA amplification was obtained only from Lcs strains, demonstrating the specificity of the *groEL* primers designed in this study. The HRMa clustered Lcs strains in three groups that exactly corresponded to the species of the *L. casei* group. A following HRMa separated the 39 *L. paracasei* strains in two well distinct intraspecific groups, indicating the possible existence of at least two distinct genotypes inside the species. Nonetheless, the phenotypic characterization demonstrated that the genotypes do not correspond to the two *L. paracasei* subspecies, namely *paracasei* and *tolerans*. In conclusion, the melting curve analysis developed in this study is demonstrably a simple, labor-saving, and rapid strategy obtain the genotyping of a bacterial isolate and simultaneously potentially confirm its affiliation to the *L. casei* group of species. The application of this method to a larger collection of strains may validate the possibility to use the proposed HRMa protocol for the taxonomic discrimination of *L. casei* group of species. In general, this study suggests that HRMa can be a suitable technique for the genetic typization of *Lactobacillus* strains.

© 2015 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Lactobacillus casei, *Lactobacillus paracasei*, and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* are three distinct species of phylogenetically closely related facultative heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria (LAB), collectively known as the species of the *L. casei* group (Lcs). Lcs includes typical non-starter LAB, commonly isolated from human gut (Wall et al. 2007) and vaginal mucosa, and widely found in

many traditional fermented foods, where they contribute, through specific biochemical activities, to the quality and, in many cases, to the preservation of finished products. Particularly, they represent the major components of the autochthonous microbial population of many traditional cheeses and are used as subsidiary cultures (or ripening cultures) in cheese production (Colombo et al. 2009; Franciosi et al. 2008; Koirala et al. 2014).

Many studies have also shown the health-promoting potential of Lcs, as demonstrated by their extensive use as probiotics (Ferrario et al. 2014), or mixed cultures in dairy products and, as functional component, in a new generation of probiotic food products, including soy yoghurt (Donkor et al. 2005) and table olives (Lavermicocca et al. 2010). In fact, the two most-studied and best-known probiotic microorganisms worldwide are *L. paracasei* Shirota (Yakult Honsha Co., Ltd.) and *L. rhamnosus* GG (Valio Ltd.). However, the high

* Corresponding author at: Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), Division of Food Microbiology and Bioprocessing, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 2, 20133 Milan, Italy. Tel.: +39 02 50319136; fax: +39 02 503 19292.

E-mail address: simone.guglielmetti@unimi.it (S. Guglielmetti).

relatedness of the members of this bacterial group makes difficult their differentiation. As a consequence, the taxonomic position and nomenclature of this group has been controversial until recently (Collins et al. 1989; DellaGlio et al. 1991; Tindall 2008).

Correct taxonomic assignment of microorganisms deliberately used in food and feed is a mandatory requirement, according to the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting reports on probiotics (FAO/WHO 2002) and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) consultations on the Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) approach (EFSA 2004). Manufacturers, in fact, must follow the recommendation that each labeled denomination for microbial species conforms to the International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria (Lapage et al. 1992).

Another important aspect concerning industrial strains refers to the fact that technological or probiotic features are mostly strain dependent and, therefore, genotyping methods are needed to assure quality management (Herbel et al. 2013). Several methods of genetic typization have been used to characterize strains belonging to the *Lcs* (Diancourt et al. 2007; Dimitonova et al. 2008; Capra et al. 2011; Sato et al. 2012); however, these methods have often inherent limitations. For instance, multi-locus sequence typing protocols (Diancourt et al. 2007) need DNA sequencing steps that make the protocol quite expensive and time-intensive. Furthermore, PCR-based fingerprinting techniques such as RAPD, ERIC-PCR, BOX-PCR, and rep-PCR (Capra et al. 2011; Dimitonova et al. 2008) are poorly reproducible and do not allow the confirmation that the isolate under investigation actually belongs to the *L. casei* group of species. Also MALDI-TOF MS of ribosomal proteins (Sato et al. 2012) have been proposed for *Lcs* characterization, but this method imply a quite complex protocol that requires equipment not easily found in the research and clinical laboratories of microbiology.

In this study, we designed a protocol to run a melting curve analysis of a small hyper-variable region that we identified inside the *Lactobacillus groEL* gene. Gene *groEL* codes for the 60-kDa group I chaperonin GroEL that, because of its essential function, exhibits high sequence conservation across species (Goyal et al. 2006). Our results show that melting curve analysis protocol here presented is a simple and fast strategy to perform the genetic typization of bacterial strains belonging to the species of the *L. casei* group.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Eighty-two bacterial isolates originating from human intestine, vagina, oral cavity, dairy products, and commercial probiotic foods were included in this study (Table 1). These isolates were obtained from the bacterial culture collection of our Department or from international culture collections (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC; Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, DSMZ; Belgian Coordinated Collections of Microorganisms BCCM/LMG). *Lactobacilli* were grown in de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) (Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Bifidobacteria were cultivated in MRS (Difco Lab., Augsburg, Germany) supplemented with 0.05% cysteine-HCl in anaerobic conditions. Streptococci and enterococci were grown in M17 (Difco Lab.) supplemented with 2% lactose or glucose. *Bacillus* was cultivated in nutrient broth (Difco). All strains were incubated at 30 °C, with the exceptions of *Lactobacillus helveticus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus* and bifidobacteria that were grown at 37 °C.

2.2. DNA extraction, conventional PCR and sequencing

Genomic DNA for all PCR reactions was extracted from 100 µl of an overnight culture diluted with 300 µl of TE 1 X buffer (10 mM

Tris-HCl, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, pH 8.0) as described by Arioli et al. (2007). End-point PCR was carried out with DreamTaq DNA Polymerase (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) according to manufacturer instruction. All the others PCR reagents were from Fermentas. Species-specific PCR for *L. casei* species group was performed with conserved primerY2 (5'-CCCACTGCTGCCTCCGTAGGACT-3') and specific primers CASEI (5'-TGCAGTGAGATTCGACTTAA-3'), PARA (5'-CACCGAGATTCAACATGG-3') or RHAMN (5'-TGCATCTGATTAAATTG-3'), as described by Ward and Timmins (1999). The hyper-variable *groEL* region identified in this study was amplified with primers GroHRM-F (5'-GTTGATCCGGTATCTGA-3') and GroHRM-R (5'-CCTGTTGMACGATTCTTG-3') through a PCR profile consisting of an initial denaturation time of 2 min at 95 °C followed by an amplification for 35 cycles of denaturation (45 s at 94 °C), annealing (45 s at 59 °C) and extension steps (30 s at 72 °C); the PCR was completed with an elongation period (5 min at 72 °C). Amplicons obtained through end-point PCR with GroHRM primers were purified from reaction master mix with UltraClean PCR Clean-Up Kit (MoBio, Cabru S.a.s., Arcore, Italy) and then sequenced on both strands (Primm srl, Milan, Italy). GenBank accession numbers of the 14 partial *groEL* gene sequences determined in this study are indicated in Table 1.

2.3. Real-time quantitative PCR and high resolution melting analysis

The concentration and purity of the DNA samples were determined spectrophotometrically with a Take3 Micro-Volume Plate in the Eon BioTek Microplate Spectrophotometer (AHSI S.p.A., Bernareggio, Italy). After quantification, DNA samples were diluted with nuclease-free water to reach a concentration of 5 ng µl⁻¹ and stored at -20 °C. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions (qPCR) were carried out in a final volume of 15 µl containing 7.5 µl of SsoFast™ EvaGreen® Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories S.r.l., Segrate, Italy), 0.25 µM of each primer and 15 ng of template DNA. During the set-up of the protocol, DNA template concentrations between 50 and 0.08 ng were also tested. qPCR experiments were performed in a CFX96 thermo-cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories) as follows: initial denaturation at 98 °C for 1 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 59 °C for 20 s and 72 °C for 1 s; a unique step of 65 °C for 10 s was finally performed. Each DNA sample was analyzed at least in duplicate. At the end of the amplification protocol, melting curves of the amplicons were immediately determined by monitoring fluorescence from 65 to 95 °C, with temperature increments of 0.2 °C.

For high resolution melting curve analysis (HRMa), raw fluorescence data were exported from Bio-Rad CFX-Manager software and a single text (.txt) file for each strain was prepared by indicating data from 70 to 95 °C as depicted below:

Temperature	Fluorescence
70.00	8383.74
70.20	8348.91
70.40	8314.21
70.60	8279.45
...	...

The .txt documents were used as input files for software uAnalyzeSM v. 1.8 (Dwight et al. 2012); available on line at <https://www.dna.utah.edu/uv/uv.php>, which was employed for the HMRa. The software parameters used for the normalization of raw fluorescence melt data were as follows: horizontal sliders set at temperatures 78 °C and 79.5 °C for the analysis of *L. casei* species, and set at 78 °C and 81 °C for the analysis of *L. paracasei* intra-species genotypes.

Table 1

Bacterial strains included in the study. All strains were commercially available or present in the culture collection of our Department. (A) Strains belonging to the *L. casei* group of species; (B) other species. HRMa, High Resolution Melting analysis.

(A)		Strain	Source	Species identification		HMR genotype	Partial <i>groEL</i> GenBank accession numbers ^b	
				Specific primers ^a	HRMa			
LMG 23516	Belgian culture collection	LMG 23516	Belgian culture collection	<i>L. casei</i>	<i>L. casei</i>	III		
LMG 6904 ^T (=ATCC 393 ^T)	Belgian culture collection	LMG 6904 ^T (=ATCC 393 ^T)	Belgian culture collection	<i>L. casei</i>	<i>L. casei</i>	III		
DH 4	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 4	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
DH 17	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 17	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I	HG422840	
DH 20	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 20	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
DH 40	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 40	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I	HG422841	
DH 62	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 62	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
DH 6	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 6	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
GG	Commercial strain (Chr. Hansen A/S)	GG	Commercial strain (Chr. Hansen A/S)	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
YM 1	Commercial fermented milk	YM 1	Commercial fermented milk	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
LB 4	Human feces	LB 4	Human feces	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
LB 11	Human feces	LB 11	Human feces	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
LB 21	Human feces	LB 21	Human feces	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
DSM 20021 ^T	German culture collection	DSM 20021 ^T	German culture collection	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	I		
ATCC 25302 ^T	American culture collection	ATCC 25302 ^T	American culture collection	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa		
DH 10	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 10	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa	HG422838	
DH 65	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 65	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa		
DH 84	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 84	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa	HG422839	
DH 132	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 132	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa		
DH 133	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	DH 133	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa		
LP 3	Pecorino traditional Italian cheese	LP 3	Pecorino traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIa		
ATCC 334	American culture collection	ATCC 334	American culture collection	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
DG	Human gut/commercial strain (Sofar S.p.A.)	DG	Human gut/commercial strain (Sofar S.p.A.)	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422829	
FMBR3	Raschera D.O.P. Traditional Italian cheese	FMBR3	Raschera D.O.P. Traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
I 1	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	I 1	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
I 2	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	I 2	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
I 16	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	I 16	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
IX 1	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	IX 1	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422830	
IX 2	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	IX 2	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
L 20	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 20	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
L 3	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 3	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
L 4	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 4	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
L 6	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 6	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
L 7	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 7	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
L 8	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 8	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422833	
L 9	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	L 9	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LB 7	Human feces	LB 7	Human feces	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LB 14	Human feces	LB 14	Human feces	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LB 22	Human feces	LB 22	Human feces	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LB 24	Human feces	LB 24	Human feces	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422832	
LMG 9191 ^T	Belgian culture collection	LMG 9191 ^T	Belgian culture collection	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LP 1	Pecorino traditional Italian cheese	LP 1	Pecorino traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LP 2	Pecorino traditional Italian cheese	LP 2	Pecorino traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LP 6	Bra traditional Italian cheese	LP 6	Bra traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LP 10	Bra tenero traditional Italian cheese	LP 10	Bra tenero traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LP15	Commercial strain(GS in forma)	LP15	Commercial strain(GS in forma)	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LP26	Bra tenero traditional Italian cheese	LP26	Bra tenero traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422834	
LP28	Bra traditional Italian cheese	LP28	Bra traditional Italian cheese	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LPAB	Cocoa beans fermented	LPAB	Cocoa beans fermented	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LPC-S01	Human vagina/commercial strain (Sofar S.p.A.)	LPC-S01	Human vagina/commercial strain (Sofar S.p.A.)	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422836	
LPDT	Cocoa beans fermented	LPDT	Cocoa beans fermented	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
LPT34	Fruit kefir	LPT34	Fruit kefir	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb	HG422842	
Shirota	Commercial probiotic strain (Yakult Co., Ltd)	Shirota	Commercial probiotic strain (Yakult Co., Ltd)	<i>L. paracasei</i>	<i>L. paracasei</i>	IIb		
(B)		Strain	Species	Source				
LVN 4	<i>Bacillus coagulans</i>			Commercial probiotic product				
BB-12	<i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>			Commercial probiotic strain (Chr. Hansen A/S)				
MIMBb75	<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>			Human feces (Guglielmetti et al., 2009)				
BBR09	<i>Bifidobacterium breve</i>			Commercial probiotic strain (Sacco S.r.l.)				
BNF09	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> subsp. <i>infantis</i>			Commercial probiotic strain (Sacco S.r.l.)				
F1	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>			Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese				
YVS3	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>			Commercial probiotic product				
EIP9	<i>Enterococcus italicus</i>			Pannerone traditional Italian cheese				

Table 1 (Continued)

(B)	Strain	Species	Source
LA5	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	Commercial probiotic strain (Chr. Hansen A/S)	
DH 92	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
DH 94	<i>Lactobacillus coryniformis</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
RoCa	<i>Lactobacillus curvatus</i>	Raschera traditional Italian cheese	
DH 147	<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
DH 98	<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
DH 95	<i>Lactobacillus harbinensis</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
DH 103	<i>Lactobacillus helveticus</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
MIMLh5	<i>Lactobacillus helveticus</i>	Grana Padano whey starter (Guglielmetti et al., 2010b)	
IJHO7	<i>Lactobacillus johnsonii</i>	Human feces	
DH 31	<i>Lactobacillus parabuchneri</i>	Dahi traditional Nepalese dairy product	
NF1	<i>Lactobacillus pentosus</i>	Commercial probiotic product	
YVS2	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	Commercial probiotic product	
PRE2	<i>Lactobacillus salivarius</i>	Commercial probiotic product	
V32	<i>Lactococcus garvieae</i>	Rainbow trout filet	
LGB3	<i>Lactococcus garvieae</i>	Cabbage	
E1	<i>Lactococcus lactic</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	
E7	<i>Lactococcus lactic</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i>	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	
K12	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	Commercial probiotic product	
ST3	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	Human pharyngeal mucosa (Guglielmetti et al., 2010a)	
N4	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	Toma del Mottarone traditional Italian cheese	

^a According to Ward and Timmins 1999.^b GenBank accession numbers of the 14 partial *groEL* gene sequences determined in this study.

2.4. Phenotypical tests

Carbon source fermentation was determined by using a microtitre plate assay and a basal CHL medium at pH 6.3 (Bio-Merieux, Montelieu-Vercieu, France) containing bromocresol purple as indicator, and the desired filter-sterilized carbohydrate at a final concentration of 0.5% (w/v). The plates were incubated at 30 °C and were examined for color change after 24 and 48 h of incubation. Survival assay at 72 °C was carried out by sinking for 40 s in a water bath a glass capillary tube containing the bacterial suspension. Growth at 40 °C and survival at 72 °C were determined in MRS broth. The residual viable population was determined by plate counting on MRS agar after 48–72 h of incubation at 30 °C.

3. Results and discussion

Lactobacilli are one of the most important taxonomic groups of microorganisms involved in food microbiology and human nutrition, for which genetic typization and unambiguous identification must be considered a prerequisite for their correct use. In the last decade, a high number of systematic studies of lactobacilli have been carried out using molecular approaches targeting several genes, such as 16S rRNA, *tuf*, *recA* or *rpoB* genes (Chavagnat et al. 2002; Felis et al. 2001; Piwat and Teanpaisan 2013; Rantsiou et al. 2004; Ventura et al. 2003). A few years ago, Blaiotta et al. proposed a partial DNA sequence (499-bp) of the gene *hsp60* (*groEL*, encoding a 60-kDa heat shock protein) as a useful molecular marker for the genetic typing of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from food samples (Blaiotta et al. 2008). Therefore, we analyzed this genetic target with the aim to identify an internal region with a potential discriminative power sufficiently high to genetically characterize strains belonging to the *L. casei* group (*Lcs*). To this aim, we performed a ClustalW alignment of the *groEL* gene sequences from the type strains of the species *L. casei*, *L. paracasei* and *L. rhamnosus*. Consequently, we identified a 110 bp region with relatively high sequence variability inside the *groEL* gene (Fig. 1). Then, we designed a pair of primers (named GroHMR) in the conserved flanking regions (Fig. 1), which allowed obtaining a 150 bp PCR amplicon from *Lcs* strains. Subsequently, we generated a dendrogram using the Neighbor Joining algorithm based on 13 *groEL* sequences

available in GenBank and 14 sequences obtained during this study from *Lcs* strains available in our laboratory (Table 1; Fig. 2). The resulting dendrogram separated the bacterial strains into three clusters, which exactly corresponded to the species of the *L. casei* group. The type strain of the species *L. casei* clustered with strain *L. zeae* ATCC 15820 (Fig. 2). Accordingly, the International Committee on Systematics of Bacteria rejected the species *L. zeae* and included it in the species *L. casei* (Tindall 2008). Furthermore, several strains (viz. ATCC 334, ATCC 25598, DSA-15, IMAU60056 and DSM 5622^T), though annotated in GenBank as *L. casei*, resulted to belong to the species *L. paracasei*. A possible explanation for these misidentifications can be found in the fact that until quite recently the type strain of the species *L. casei* was ATCC 334, which now has been reclassified as a *L. paracasei* (Tindall 2008). Therefore, our results showed that the selected 150 bp *groEL* region can be potentially useful in distinguishing among *L. casei*, *L. paracasei*, and *L. rhamnosus*.

We then tested in real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) the specificity of GroHM primers on 53 strains belonging to the *L. casei* group (Table 1). The taxonomic identification of these strains was confirmed by PCR amplification with species-specific primers (Ward and Timmins 1999). In addition, we also included in the analysis strains belonging to 25 different bacterial species (including 13 *Lactobacillus* species besides *Lcs*), which are commonly present in dairy and probiotic products and can be most probably co-isolated with *Lcs* strains. Among the tested bacteria, we included strains isolated from commercial probiotic products that claimed to contain a *Lcs* bacterium. Our experiments with species-specific primers (Ward and Timmins 1999) confirmed the presence of *L. rhamnosus* in all products that labeled this species. In contrast, we found *L. paracasei* in all products asserting to include a *L. casei* strain. We never found *L. casei* in them according to PCR with species specific primers. Furthermore, in accordance with a technical opinion by EFSA (2010), our analyses confirm that the well-known bacterial strain Shirota, differently from what is claimed on the label, belongs to the species *L. paracasei* and not to *L. casei*. Though widespread use of misclassified microbial species or species with fictitious names in commercial probiotic products have been reported (Aureli et al. 2010), we believe that what we observed in this study should not be attributed to poor quality control by manufacturers but rather to the confusion that has persisted until recently about

Fig. 1. ClustalW alignment of 480 bp from the sequence of gene *groEL* of strains *Lactobacillus casei* ATCC393^T (GenBank accession number AY424336), *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 7469^T (AF429659) and *Lactobacillus paracasei* DSM 5622^T (AY424339). Gray areas indicate the target regions of primers GroHRM-F and GroHRM-R.




Fig. 2. Neighbor-joining dendrogram of aligned *groEL* gene sequences from *L. casei* group of species. Alignments of sequences were made considering the 150 bp fragment that is amplified with primers GroHRM-F and GroHRM-R (Fig. 1). Bootstrap values (1000 replicates) are shown close to the considered node. Sample indicated in bold refers to bacterial strains whose *groEL* gene sequence has been determined in this study. The *groEL* gene sequences obtained from GenBank are shown with the database accession number between brackets. Taxonomic names for database sequences are according to GenBank annotation. *Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC 14917^T has been included as outgroup. ^a, type strain of *L. paracasei* subsp. *tolerans*; ^b, type strain of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei*.




Fig. 3. Real time quantitative PCR (qPCR) experiment performed with the total DNA isolated from *L. paracasei* DSM 5633^T. DNA was serially 1:5 diluted from 50 ng per reaction. (A) qPCR amplification curves. (B) Melting curves of the qPCR amplicons. Ct, real time PCR threshold cycle. RFU, relative fluorescence units. Duplicates for each condition are shown.

distinguishing between *L. casei* and *L. paracasei* (Dellaglio et al. 2002; Tindall 2008).

After PCR with GroHRM primers according to the proposed protocol, we obtained an amplification curve with a Ct between 21 and 27 exclusively from strains that were previously identified as *L. casei*, *L. paracasei*, or *L. rhamnosus*, whereas the strains belonging to other taxa resulted in a Ct over 35 (Data not shown 1, only for referees). Therefore, the proposed real time PCR with GroHMR primers allowed the discrimination between *Lcs* strains and strains belonging to other taxa. However, the analysis of a larger collection of strains is needed to demonstrate the ability of GroHRM primers to amplify exclusively *Lcs* bacteria.

Since real time PCR amplification curves did not allow the discrimination among the *Lcs* strains included in our study, we developed a strategy based on melting curve analysis of the 150 bp *groEL* gene fragment amplified with GroHMR primers. We based our protocol on a technique known as high resolution melting analysis (HRMa). HRMa protocols, developed to detect small variations in DNA sequences, have found important applications in single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and methylation studies (Messiaen et al. 2012; Pistek et al. 2012) and in clinical microbiology (Ruskova and Raclavsky 2011) for identifying, detecting, and typing several pathogens, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Lee and Ong 2012), *Staphylococcus aureus* (Chen et al. 2012), *Listeria* spp. (Jin et al. 2012), *Clostridium difficile* (Pecavar et al. 2012), *Salmonella* serovars (Zeinzinger et al. 2012), *Enterococcus faecium* (Tong et al. 2011), and fungal pathogens (Somogyvari et al. 2012). Using HRMa to study food associated lactic acid bacteria is much more limited. To the best of our knowledge, only one study employed HRM for the characterization of lactic acid bacteria; particularly, in this study, HRM was applied to analyze denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) bands obtained from dairy products (Porcellato et al. 2012). In addition, recently, the use of HRMa targeting genetic regions different from *groEL* was also proposed for the taxonomic identification of species belonging to the *L. casei* group (Iacumin et al. 2015).

According to the conventional HRMa protocols, we used in this study a PCR reaction solution including a saturating fluorescent intercalating agent and collected melt data in 0.2 °C increments in a Real-Time PCR thermal cycler. Initially, we tested the effect of DNA template concentration on melting curve profiles and accordingly ran melting analyses of PCR amplicons obtained with 5 different

concentrations (from 50 to 0.08 ng per reaction) of the DNA isolated from *L. paracasei* DSM 5633^T, which was arbitrarily chosen as reference strain. We found that DNA concentrations producing Ct values of less than 20 induced a significant shift in the melting curves, which could affect the result of the analysis. In contrast, template concentrations corresponding to Ct values of up to 28 did not affect melting curves (Fig. 3A and B). Similar results were obtained with DNA extracted from strains *L. casei* ATCC 339^T and *L. rhamnosus* GG, which were selected to represent the other two species constituting the *Lcs* group. Consequently, we used in the following experiments a DNA concentration of 5 ng μ l⁻¹ since it produced Ct values between 21 and 28. Specifically, we ran the assay with DNA extracted from the 53 *Lcs* strains under study (Table 1). The amplicons that we obtained from these experiments had an average melting temperature (Tm) of 79.12 ± 0.45 °C. The melting curves obtained were then analyzed in order to verify the possibility to cluster the different *Lcs* strains. To this aim, unlike other studies, we used no commercial software specifically designed for HRMa; instead, raw fluorescence melt data were normalized by means of freeware on-line software developed at the University of Utah (uAnalyzeSM v. 1.8; Dwight et al. 2012). In a first analysis, the 53 *Lcs* strains were clustered in 3 well distinguished groups (Fig. 4A), which had distinct average Tm values (Fig. 4). This result was obtained by setting the two horizontal sliders of the software at the temperature of 78 and 79.5 °C. Notably, the resulting clusters of curves exactly divided strains according to the species (Fig. 4A).

The 39 strains belonging to *L. paracasei* were further analyzed by setting horizontal parameters at 78 and 81 °C. In this way, we were able to separate *L. paracasei* strains in two well distinct genotypic groups. Strain clustering through melting curve analysis was in accordance with the dendrogram based on the 150 bp corresponding to the region amplified for melting analysis (Fig. 2). In fact, not only *L. casei*, *L. paracasei* and *L. rhamnosus* strains included in the study were well distinguished by the NJ tree, but, similarly to melting analysis, the dendrogram also divided *L. paracasei* strains in two distinct genetic clusters.

Collins et al. (1989) described two subtaxa in the species *L. paracasei*, which correspond to the subspecies *paracasei* and *tolerans* (Tindall 2008). Melting curves obtained after HRMa analysis of *L. paracasei* strains are shown in Fig. 4B. Group "a" included the type strain of the species *L. paracasei*, DSM 5622^T, which




Fig. 4. High resolution melting curve analysis (HRMa) of the 150 bp *groEL* DNA fragment amplified by qPCR from strains belonging to species of the *Lactobacillus casei* group (Lcs, Table 1). Panel A, HRMa performed on 53 Lcs strains; the group of curves I includes 12 *L. rhamnosus* strains; group II includes 39 *L. paracasei* strains; group III includes 2 *L. casei* strains. Panel B, HRMa performed on 39 *L. paracasei* strains; the cluster of curves "a" includes *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* ATCC 25302^T and other 6 strains; the cluster of curves "b" includes *L. paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* LMG 9191^T and other 31 strains. Panel C, amplicons average melting temperature (Tm) of the gentypes obtained through HRMa. Data for each bar of the histogram are reported as mean value of the Tm ± standard deviation.

is also the reference strain for the subspecies *paracasei*. On the contrary, the reference strain *L. paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* LMG 9191^T clustered in group "b". We therefore hypothesized that the two genotypes observed inside *L. paracasei* could correspond to the subspecies *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* (group "a")

and *L. paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* (group "b"). To corroborate this hypothesis, a number of *L. paracasei* strains were characterized to verify some of the phenotypic features which have been proposed. Specifically, the subspecies *tolerans* has been described as a facultative heterofermentative bacterium that produces acid

Table 2
Phenotypic characterization of *Lactobacillus paracasei* strains based on acid production from 13 carbon sources and growth at 40 °C (A), and resistance to pasteurization at 72 °C for 40 s (B). HRM groups a and b refer to Fig. 4B. + positive; –, negative; ±, weak activity.

	HRM group a				HRM group b							
	ATCC25302 ^T	LP3	DH10	DH133	LMG9191 ^T	ATCC334	SHIROTA	LPC-S01	DG	LP1	LPAB	FMBr3
Amygdalin	±	–	±	±	–	+	+	+	±	±	+	+
Arbutin	+	–	+	±	–	+	+	+	±	±	+	+
Cellobiose	+	±	±	±	–	+	±	+	+	+	+	+
Esculin	±	–	±	±	–	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
Glucose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lactose	+	–	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	±	+	+
Maltose	±	–	+	+	–	±	+	±	+	+	+	+
Mannitol	+	–	+	+	–	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Melezitose	+	–	+	±	–	+	±	+	+	±	+	+
Ribose	+	±	+	+	–	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sucrose	±	±	+	–	–	±	±	+	+	+	+	+
Salicin	+	–	+	±	–	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trehalose	+	–	+	+	–	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at 40 °C	+	–	+	+	–	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
(B)												
Pasteurization resistance (CFU ml ⁻¹)	ATCC25302 ^T			LP3			LMG9191 ^T			ATCC334		
Before treatment	3×10^7			1×10^7			2×10^7			3×10^7		
After treatment	<10			<10			10^6			<10		

from lactose, but (differently from *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei*) not from amygdalin, arbutin, cellobiose, maltose, mannosidase, melezitose, salicin and trehalose (Abo-Elnaga and Kandler 1965; Collins et al. 1989). Furthermore, *L. paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* can be distinguished from *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* by the fact that does not hydrolyze esculin, does not grow at 40 °C, and survive heating at 72 °C for 40 s (Abo-Elnaga and Kandler 1965; Collins et al. 1989). More recent data concerning sugars fermentation abilities in Lcs, however, indicate that the above mentioned grouping is too restrictive. An enormous diversity of sugar utilization gene cassettes were recently identified, in fact, in the genome of several strains (Smokvina et al. 2013; Douillard et al. 2013). Accordingly, the characterization performed in our study revealed that none of the phenotypes mentioned above can univocally distinguish strains of HRM group "a" from strains of group "b" (Table 2). Therefore, we cannot conclude that the two genotypes identified inside the species *L. paracasei* by HRM correspond to the subspecies *tolerans* and *paracasei*. Nonetheless, it should be also mentioned that the phenotypic features describing *L. paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* have been based on a very limited number of strains; precisely, 6 strains isolated from pasteurized milk were considered by Abo-Elnaga and Kandler (1965) and only two strains were reported by Collins et al. (Collins et al. 1989); no other studies focusing on the phenotypic characterization of *L. paracasei* subspecies are available in literature. As a consequence, we believe that the opportunity to maintain the two subspecies, *tolerans* and *paracasei*, inside the species *L. paracasei* should be reconsidered.

4. Conclusions

The *L. casei* group of species (Lcs) consists of three phylogenetically closely related species (*L. casei*, *L. paracasei*, and *L. rhamnosus*), which are widely used in the dairy and probiotic industrial sectors. Strategies to easily, unambiguously, and rapidly genetically characterize the bacteria of the *L. casei* group are therefore of interest. To this aim, we developed a method based on analysis of the melting curve of a 150 bp DNA fragment obtained by PCR from gene *groEL*. The experiments carried out during this study showed that melting curve analysis is a simple and labor-saving strategy that can be used for the initial rapid clustering of *Lactobacillus* isolates from food samples or biologic specimens. Specifically, the proposed method can represent a novel convenient molecular tool to obtain the genotyping of a bacterial isolate and simultaneously potentially confirm its affiliation to the *L. casei* group of species. In addition, the analysis of a significantly larger number of strains may also corroborate the hypothesis that the experimental protocol here presented, besides genotyping, is also suitable for the taxonomic discrimination of the species in the *L. casei* group.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by Sofar S.p.A.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.01.001>.

References

- Abo-Elnaga IG, Kandler O. Zur taxonomie der Gattung *Lactobacillus* Beijerinck. I. Das subgenus *Streptobacterium* Orla-Jensen. Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie II Abt 1965;119:1–36.
- Arioli S, Monnet C, Guglielmetti S, Parini C, De NI, Hogenboom J, et al. Aspartate biosynthesis is essential for the growth of *Streptococcus thermophilus* in milk, and aspartate availability modulates the level of urease activity. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:5789–96.
- Aureli P, Fiore A, Scalfaro C, Casale M, Franciosa G. National survey outcomes on commercial probiotic food supplements in Italy. Int J Food Microbiol 2010;137:265–73.
- Blaioletta G, Fusco V, Ercolini D, Aponte M, Pepe O, Villani F. *Lactobacillus* strain diversity based on partial *hsp60* gene sequences and design of PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism assays for species identification and differentiation. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:208–15.
- Capra ML, Mercanti DJ, Rossetti LC, Reinheimer JA, Quiberoni A. Isolation and phenotypic characterization of *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus paracasei* bacteriophage-resistant mutants. J Appl Microbiol 2011;111:371–81.
- Chavagnat F, Haueter M, Jimeno J, Casey MG. Comparison of partial *tuf* gene sequences for the identification of lactobacilli. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2002;217:177–83.
- Collins MD, Phillips BA, Zanoni P. Deoxyribonucleic acid homology studies of *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus paracasei* sp. nov., subsp. *paracasei* and subsp. *tolerans*, and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* sp. nov., comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 1989;39:105–8.
- Colombo F, Borgo F, Fortina MG. Genotypic characterization of non starter lactic acid bacteria involved in the ripening of artisanal Bitto PDO cheese. J Basic Microbiol 2009;49:521–30.
- Dellaglio F, Dicks LMT, Dutoit M, Torriani S. Designation of ATCC 334 in place of ATCC 393 (NCDO 161) as the neotype strain of *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *casei* and rejection of the name *Lactobacillus paracasei*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 1991;41:340–2.
- Dellaglio F, Felis GE, Torriani S. The status of the species *Lactobacillus casei* (Orla-Jensen 1916) Hansen and Lessel 1971 and *Lactobacillus paracasei* Collins et al 1989. Request for an opinion. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2002;52:285–7.
- Diancourt L, Passez V, Chervaux C, Garault P, Smokvina T, Brisson S. Multilocus sequence typing of *Lactobacillus casei* reveals a clonal population structure with low levels of homologous recombination. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:6601–11.
- Dimitonova SP, Balakov BV, Aleksandrova-Georgieva RN, Danova ST. Phenotypic and molecular identification of lactobacilli isolated from vaginal secretions. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2008;41:469–77.
- Donkor ON, Henriksson A, Vasiljevic T, Shah NP. Probiotic strains as starter cultures improve angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity in soy yogurt. J Food Sci 2005;70:M375–81.
- Douillard FP, Ribbera A, Kant R, Pietila TE, Järvinen HM, Messing M, et al. Comparative genomic and functional analysis of 100 *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains and their comparison with strain GG. PLoS Genet 2013;9:e1003683.
- Dwight ZL, Palais R, Wittwer CT. uAnalyze: web-based high-resolution DNA melting analysis with comparison to thermodynamic predictions. IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform 2012;9:1805–11.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to *Lactobacillus casei* strain Shirota and maintenance of the upper respiratory tract defence against pathogens by maintaining immune defences pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA J 2010;8:1860.
- FAO/WHO. Guidelines for evaluation of probiotics in food. In: Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Working Group on drafting guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food; 2002.
- Felis GE, Dellaglio F, Mizzi L, Torriani S. Comparative sequence analysis of a *recA* gene fragment brings new evidence for a change in the taxonomy of the *Lactobacillus casei* group. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2001;51:2113–7.
- Ferrario C, Taverniti V, Milani C, Fiore W, Laureati M, De Noni I, et al. Modulation of fecal Clostridiales bacteria and butyrate by probiotic intervention with *Lactobacillus paracasei* DG varies among healthy adults. J Nutr 2014;144:1787–96.
- Franciosi E, Settanni L, Carlin S, Cavazza A, Poznanski E. A factory-scale application of secondary adjunct cultures selected from lactic acid bacteria during Puzzone di Moena cheese ripening. J Dairy Sci 2008;91:2981–91.
- Goyal K, Qamra R, Mande SC. Multiple gene duplication and rapid evolution in the *groEL* gene: functional implications. J Mol Evol 2006;63:781–7.
- Herbel SR, Vahjen W, Wieler LH, Guenther S. Timely approaches to identify probiotic species of the genus *Lactobacillus*. Gut Pathog 2013;5:27.
- Iacumin L, Ginaldi F, Manzano M, Anastasi V, Reale A, Zotta T, et al. High resolution melting analysis (HRM) as a new tool for the identification of species belonging to the *Lactobacillus casei* group and comparison with species-specific PCRs and multiplex PCR. Food Microbiol 2015;46:357–67.
- Jin D, Luo Y, Zhang Z, Fang W, Ye J, Wu F, et al. Rapid molecular identification of *Listeria* species by use of real-time PCR and high-resolution melting analysis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2012;330:72–80.
- Koirala R, Ricci G, Taverniti V, Ferrario C, Malla R, Shrestha S, et al. Isolation and molecular characterization of lactobacilli from traditional fermented Dahi produced at different altitudes in Nepal. Dairy Sci Technol 2014;94:397–408.
- Lapage SP, Sneath PHA, Lessel EF, Skerman VBD, Seeliger HPR, Clark WA. International code of nomenclature of bacteria: bacteriological code, 1990 revision. In: International union of microbiological societies. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 1992.
- Lavermicocca P, Rossi M, Russo F, Srirajaskanthan R. Table olives: a carrier for delivering probiotic bacteria to humans. In: Preedy VR, Watson RR, editors. Olives and olive oil in health and disease prevention. San Diego, CA: Elsevier; 2010. p. 735–43.

- Lee AS, Ong DC. Molecular diagnostic methods for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* resistance: the potential of high-resolution melting analysis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2012;10:1075–7.
- Messaen P, De Spieghelaere W, Alcami J, Vervisch K, Van Acker P, Verhasselt B, et al. Characterization of LEDGF/p75 genetic variants and association with HIV-1 disease progression. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e50204.
- Pecavac V, Blaschitz M, Hufnagl P, Zeinzinger J, Fiedler A, Allerberger F, et al. High-resolution melting analysis of the single nucleotide polymorphism hot-spot region in the *rpoB* gene as an indicator of reduced susceptibility to rifaximin in *Clostridium difficile*. *J Med Microbiol* 2012;61:780–5.
- Pistek VL, Fürst RW, Bauersachs S, Klem HS, Meyer HH, Ulbrich SE. Is *hoxA10* expression regulated through promoter DNA methylation in the pig? *Reprod Fert Develop* 2012;25:191.
- Piwat S, Teanpaisan R. 16S rRNA PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of oral *Lactobacillus casei* group and their phenotypic appearances. *ISRN Microbiol* 2013;2013:342082.
- Porcellato D, Gronnevik H, Rudi K, Narvhus J, Skeie SB. Rapid lactic acid bacteria identification in dairy products by high-resolution melt analysis of DGGE bands. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2012;54:344–51.
- Rantsiou K, Comi G, Cocolin L. The *rpoB* gene as a target for PCR-DGGE analysis to follow lactic acid bacterial population dynamics during food fermentations. *Food Microbiol* 2004;21:481–7.
- Ruskova L, Raclavsky V. The potential of high resolution melting analysis (HRMA) to streamline, facilitate and enrich routine diagnostics in medical microbiology. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub* 2011;155:239–52.
- Sato H, Torimura M, Kitahara M, Ohkuma M, Hotta Y, Tamura H. Characterization of the *Lactobacillus casei* group based on the profiling of ribosomal proteins coded in S10-spc-alpha operons as observed by MALDI-TOF MS. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2012;35:447–54.
- Smokvina T, Wels M, Polka J, Chervaux C, Brisson S, Boekhorst J, et al. *Lactobacillus paracasei* comparative genomics: towards species pan-genome definition and exploitation of diversity. *PLOS ONE* 2013;8:e68731.
- Somogyvari F, Horvath A, Serly J, Majoros H, Vagvolgyi C, Peto Z. Detection of invasive fungal pathogens by real-time PCR and high-resolution melting analysis. *In Vivo* 2012;26:979–83.
- Tindall BJ. The type strain of *Lactobacillus casei* is ATCC 393, ATCC 334 cannot serve as the type because it represents a different taxon, the name *Lactobacillus paracasei* and its subspecies names are not rejected and the revival of the name '*Lactobacillus zae*' contravenes Rules 51b (1) and (2) of the International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria. Opinion 82. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2008;58:1764–5.
- Tong SY, Xie S, Richardson LJ, Ballard SA, Dakh F, Grabsch EA, et al. High-resolution melting genotyping of *Enterococcus faecium* based on multilocus sequence typing derived single nucleotide polymorphisms. *PLoS ONE* 2011;6:e29189.
- Ventura M, Canchaya C, Meylan V, Klaenhammer TR, Zink R. Analysis, characterization, and loci of the *tuf* genes in lactobacillus and bifidobacterium species and their direct application for species identification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003;69:6908–22.
- Wall R, Fitzgerald G, Hussey S, Ryan T, Murphy B, Ross P, et al. Genomic diversity of cultivable *Lactobacillus* populations residing in the neonatal and adult gastrointestinal tract. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2007;59:127–37.
- Ward LJ, Timmins MJ. Differentiation of *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus paracasei* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* by polymerase chain reaction. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 1999;29:90–2.
- Zeinzinger J, Pietzka AT, Stoger A, Kornschober C, Kunert R, Allerberger F, et al. One-step triplex high-resolution melting analysis for rapid identification and simultaneous subtyping of frequently isolated *Salmonella* serovars. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012;78:3352–60.