# JAPANESE CASE MARKER *DE* IN COPULAR SENTENCES: ESSIVE OR LOCATIVE?

#### Simone dalla Chiesa

University of Milano, Italy

Article History:

Submitted: 10.06.2015 Accepted: 22.06.2015

### **Abstract**

In this paper I will discuss two constructions of the Japanese verb *aru* 'be'. In one construction, *aru* occurs with a copular complement marked by the particle *de*, obtaining a sentence-ending pattern known as N-*dearu*. In the other construction, *aru* expresses the happening of a dynamic event and may occur with a *de*-marked locative adjunct encoding the physical place of the event. By analyzing these two constructions I will single out a 'predicative' function of the case marker *de*, and show that when functioning as a support item in nominal predications and in other copular sentences, *aru* retains its original nature as a locational verb and consequently assigns a locative-like grammatical case (marked with *de*) to the second argument of its clause. In this instance of strong localism, a grammatical split of the locative marker *de* happens so that *de* ends up marking a number of different surface cases. In the conclusion I will propose that the particle *de* in predicative function should be acknowledged to be an 'Essive' case marker.

**Key words:** Japanese, Case marking, Locative, Essive, copula.

#### **Abbreviations**

ACC Accusative

AND adnominal

COMP complementizer

CONT contrast

COP copula

GEN Genitive

NEG negative

NOM Nominative

NOMIN nominalizer

POL polite

PROG progressive

TOP topic

VOL volitive

### 1. Introduction

In this paper I will analyze the Japanese sentence pattern:

(1) Bun-wa oto-no renzoku-de aru. sentence-TOP sound-GEN sequence-de be

'A sentence is a sequence of sounds.'

in which the *verb aru* behaves as a copular verb 'be' and the particle *de* marks the predicative argument. The sentence-ending pattern so obtained is commonly known as N-*dearu*. But the particle *de* is also used to encode the Locative:

(2) Siken-wa ikkai-no kyoositu-de aru.

exam-TOP 1<sup>st</sup>\_floor-GEN classroom-de be

'The exam is in a 1<sup>st</sup> floor classroom.'

so that, by comparing and distinguishing these two functions of *de*, I will argue here that the former, 'predicative' *de*, should be considered to be an Essive surface casemarker. To do so, I will introduce the verb *aru* and the constructions in which *aru* and the particle *de* co-occur, then I will introduce and briefly discuss the several functions of *de*, and lastly I will draw my conclusions.

# The Japanese language

The Japanese language has a SV/AOV syntax; with verbs inflecting for politeness, negative, tense, aspect and mood by means of suffixed morphemes (mostly left unglossed in the present paper). Grammatical functions are expressed by postpositional case markers (I will also leave de unglossed). The nominative marker ga is often replaced by the topic marker wa, with the shift of the subject to sentence-first position. Sentences with no subject are interpreted as impersonal or as having a 1<sup>st</sup> person subject.

### 2. The verb aru and the 'copula' da

*Aru* is a lexical verb with no voice, irregular negative inflection and irregular potential form – just as many 'be' verbs across the world. It can head three types of sentences.

First, as the support verb in locational sentences (locative constructions with inanimate subjects, in both a locative proper and an existential reading; whole-part constructions and possessive sentences. Muromatsu, 1997; Iida, 2007; Creissels, 2014b), *aru* assigns dative case to its locational complement. These dative constructions will not be discussed here.

Second, *aru* may predicate the occurrence of a dynamic eventuality, admitting a *de*-locative phrase to express the physical location of the event. As such, it can be replaced by a verb like *okoru* 'happen':

- (3) Kazi-wa koko-de {atta/okotta}.

  fire-TOP this\_place-de {was/happened}

  'The firewas here.'
- (4) Koko-de kazi-ga {atta/okotta}.

  this\_place-de fire-TOP {was/happened}

  'There was a fire here.'

A topicalized subject must occur in sentence-first position. In (3), then, the word order is rigid, the *de*-phrase is rhematic and cannot be omitted. Otherwise, short-, medium- and long-distance scrambling is relatively free in Japanese. One simple instance of it is shown in (4).

Third, *aru* supports nominal and nominal-adjectival predications, like (1) and (5)-(6), and all other non-locational copular sentences (identificational, equational, specificational sentences, as classified in Mikkelsen, 2011 after Higgins, 1979), like the identificational (7):

- (5) Wagahai-wa neko-de aru.

  I-TOP cat-de be
  'I am a cat.'
- (6) Yoru-ga sizuka-de aru.

  night-NOM quiet-de be

  'The nights are quiet.'
- (7) Zibun-wa Onoda-de ari-masu.
  self-TOP Onoda-de be-POL
  'Name's Onoda, sir.'

Here again *aru* behaves as a two-place verb assigning a locative-like *de* case to its second argument. Unlike the eventive construction above, in these copular sentences *aru* cannot be replaced by another verb (but see (22)-(23) below) and the NP-*de* element must always be the phrase in the closest proximity to the verb:

(8) \*Neko-de wagahai-ga aru.

cat-de I-NOM be

On this basis, the dominant approach to Japanese copularization (Bloch, 1946; Makino 1968; Wenk, 1973; Mills 1977; Narahara, 2002; also Pustet, 2005; Stassen, 1997) considers *de* and *aru* to form the "uncontracted" variant-*dearu* of the copula *da*, bound to the preceding nominal:

(9) Wagahai-wa neko-da.

I-TOP cat-COP

'I'm a cat.'

Da is part of a complex paradigm of forms which I will gloss COPthroughout this paper (despite my discontent with the traditional approach). Dearu, the supposedly "uncontracted" form of da, occurs for markedness only, namely in writing, occasionally in formal speech, in the negative as in (10), and for the insertion of focus markers as in (11):

- (10) Inu-de nai wagahai-wa...
  dog-de be.NEG I-TOP
- 'I, who am not a dog,...'

(11) Yoru-ga sizuka-de-mo aru. night-NOM quiet-de-'even' be

'The nights are even quiet.'

Under the dominant approach, the predicative function of *de* is dismissed as uninteresting and irrelevant (cf. Teramura, 1982: 171), and not considered in valence dictionaries (such as the *Nihongo kihondoosiyoohooziten*, 1989).

However, an alternate, "minority" approach also exists (based on Tokieda, 1950; adopted by and summarized in Nishiyama, 1999; see also Daniels, 1973: 267; Sawada, 2008), which analyzes *-dearu* as being composed by

- •a verbal element *aru* defined as the 'semantically vacuous' or *Dummy* copula; plus
- •the morpheme *de*, defined as the 'semantically contentful' or *Predicative* copula(Nishiyama, 1999: 187-188).

Still, the grammatical nature or the meaning of the morpheme *de* is not discussed. Here I am going to take this analysis several steps further and deal directly with *de*.

### 3. The marker de

On the basis of the broad taxonomic criteria outlined by Narrog (2009) and of the current classification of *de* (based on Iori, 2000; Iwasaki, 1995; Martin 1975; Makino and Tsutsui, 1986; Morioka and Takubo, 1987; Narita, 1993; Nishiyama, 1998; Nitta, 1982; Sugai, 1997; Teramura, 1982), I distinguish three typical usages of this particle. In one function, *de* is the marker of the Instrumental case. This and other instrument-related functions will be not discussed here. Another function of *de* is that of marking the Locative, as mentioned above. Examples of Locative-*de* are (3)-(4) above,(24) and (27) below, and the following:

(12) *Pāti-wa shokudoo-de yaru*.

party-TOP cafeteria-*de* do

'We'll have the party in the cafeteria.'

In the spatial domain, *de* encodes the physical place where the subject is located during the whole event (Sugai, 1997). *De* implies continuity in the temporal domain as well, strongly suggesting that some relevant process took place until the moment specified by the temporal adjunct. (Iwasaki, 1995):

- (13) Gakkai-wa asita-de owaru.

  conference-top tomorrow-de finish

  'The conference will end tomorrow.'

  More abstractly, de can also express manner:
- (14) Moo\_supiido-de hasiru.

  crazy\_speed-de run

  'Running at a breakneck speed'.

so that, in their quest for the single underlying meaning of each particle, driven by a principle of iconicity, Japanese scholars have concluded that *de* encodes the general background of the event in all of its uses (see for instance Sugai, 1997).

This connects with the third main function of *de*, that of encoding non-referential roles and functions:

(15) Kodomo-wa hadasi-de {hasiru/aru}.

child-TOP bare\_feet-de {run/are}

'The children {run/are}barefooted.'

In (15), with the action verb *hasiru* 'run', the *de*-phrase encodes a subject-oriented depictive. However, as shown, with copular verb *aru* that same *de*-phrase realizes the predicative argument. This also happens with object-oriented depictives:

- (16) Ken-ga sakana-o nama-de taberu.

  Ken-NOM fish-ACC uncooked\_condition-de eat

  'Ken eats his fish raw.'
- (17) Ken-no sakana-wa nama-de aru.

  Ken-GEN fish-TOP uncooked\_condition-de be

  'Ken's fish is raw.'

In (16), the marker *de* cannot be replaced with any other particle or expression with similar functions (the polite gerundive *-desite* of the 'dummy copula', functive *-tosite*). The same obviously holds for (17), where *de* marks the predicative argument.

Marker *de* may also express the functive, a type of depictive defined as «the expression of the [temporary] role or function in which a participant appears» (Creissels, 2014: 607):

- (18) Kono ningyoo-o gakkoo-no syukudai-**de** tukutta. this doll-ACC school-GEN homework-**de** made 'I made this doll **as** an assignment from school.'
- (19) Kono ningyoo-wa gakkoo-no syukudai-de atta.
  this doll-TOP school-GEN homework-de was
  'This doll was an assignment from school.'

In (18), the *de*-phrase encodes an object-controlled functive, and again can double as a predicative argument, as in (19). More problematic is the encoding with *de* of a subject-controlled functive:

(20) ??Isya-de hatarak-oo-to omou-no-desu ga,...

doctor-de work-VOL-COMP think-NOMIN-COP.POL but

'I think I'm going to work as a physician, but...'

(http://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question detail/q1077822085)

The *de*-phrase in (20) (which can also occur in *isya-de aru* 'I am a doctor') is not accepted by my informants. Particle *-tosite* should be used instead. However, several tokens of similar *de*-phrases are retrievable from the Internet, and this might be proof of a new trend in the Japanese language.

The following *de*-phrases are not functives, as they do not encode a temporary 'role', yet they express temporary conditions and can be considered to be depictives:

(21) {Hitori/kazoku/minna}-de kita. {one\_person/family/everybody}-de came 'I camealone / with my family / we came all together.'

With a proper subject, all *de*-phrases as in (21) can realize predicative arguments (as in {hitori/kazoku/minna}-deatta).

- (22) Titi-wa katyoo-de {owatta/atta}.

  my\_father-TOP section\_chief-de {ended/was}

  'My father {ended as /was} a chief of a section.'
- (23) Imooto-wa dokusin-de {toosita/atta}.

  younger\_sister-TOP single-de {passed/was}

  'My sister {remained/was} single.'

In (22)-(23) the *de*-phrases cannot be omitted, must occur immediately before the verb, and are therefore predicative arguments. Indeed, verbs *owaru* 'end' and *toosu* 'pass' can be replaced by *aru*, the only difference being aspectual.

Lastly, *de* realizes the copular complement, as in the identificational sentence (7) above.

#### 4. The behavior of *de*

As shown in (24), several *de*-phrases may co-occur, provided they do not encode the same function. For instance, sentences with two locative or subject-oriented depictive *de*-phrases as (25)-(26) are ungrammatical:

- (24) Daidokoro-**de** hadaka-**de** sakana-o te-**de** nama-**de** tabeta.
  - kitchen-*de* naked\_body-*de* fish-ACC hand-*de* uncooked\_condition-*de* ate

LOCATIVE S-DEPICTIVE INSTRUMENTAL O-DEPICTIVE

'I atemy fish raw, with my hands, naked in the kitchen.'

- (25) \*Niwa-de taiikukan-de kodomo-ga asondeiru.

  garden-de gym-de children-NOM play:PROG

  'There are children playing in the garden in the gym.'
- (26) \*Hadasi-de syatu-nasi-de hasiru.
  bare\_feet-de shirt-without-de run
  'Running barefooted shirtless.'

This proves that when the particle *de* is used in the locative, instrumental or depictive function, it actually marks distinct surface cases. The co-occurrence of a subject- and of an object-oriented depictive as in (24)is due to the fact that, as secondary predications, depictives are linked to different arguments in deep structure.

Actually, however, two (or more) case markers in the same function can co-occur under certain markedness conditions:

- (27) Niwa-de-demo taiikukan-de-demo doko-de-demo kodomo-ga asondeiru. garden-de-'even' gym-de-'even' where-de-'even' children-NOM play:PROG 'There are children playing everywhere, even in the garden and even in the gym.'
- (28) Hadasi-de-demo syatu-nasi-de-demo hasiru. bare\_feet-de-'even' shirt-without-de-'even' run 'Running barefooted, shirtless even.'

*Demo* in (27)-(28) is one of several 'pragmatic' focus particles which can be encliticized to any oblique case marker (except for genitive *no*). As mentioned above and shown in (11), some of these particles (*sae/saemo* 'even', *mo* 'too', *sika* 'anything but', contrastive *wa*) can even occur between a *de*-phrase and *aru*:

- (29) Zibun-ga tukutta syoosetu-de-wa ari-masu ga... self-NOM made novel-de-CONT be-POL but 'It's just a novel I wrote...'
- (30) S.J. Guurudo-wa rippa-na sakka-de-sae atta.
  S.J. Gould-TOP wonderful-COP. ADN writer-de-'even' was
  'S.J. Gould was even a wonderful writer.'

No infixation mechanism exists in Japanese, so that the insertion of light morphemic material between *de* and the verb obtains from anordinary encliticization of the focus marker. Therefore, *dearu* is not a single 'copula', and *de* behaves like any other oblique case marker.

# 5. Discussion and Conclusion

From the above treatment of N-de aru sentences I can conclude that aru is a 'be' verb which has no meaning, performs linking and feature carrying functions, and corresponds to the notion of a copula as a dummy element. In so doing, however, aru retains its original nature as a locational verb and consequently assigns a locative-like grammatical case (marked with de) to the second argument of its clause. Thus, aru encodes the condition, state or class in which entities are located as if they were located in physical space, and this both in matrix clauses and in secondary predications. Such a behavior of aru can be seen as a strong

example of localism, and may be considered an instance of locational takeover of the nominal encoding (after Stassen 1997: 57). On the other hand, the co-occurrence of locative, 'predicative' and instrumental *de*-phrases shows that *de* is actually split into a number of different surface case markers, or, in other words, that the Locative *de* neutralizes several grammatical functions.

One is the predicative function, in which *de* shows the same behavior as all other oblique case markers. The few syntactical constraints a predicative *de*-phrase is subjected to are caused by its relation to the verb, not by a peculiar nature of the marker *de* itself.

The need then arises for a specific label to be applied to the case marker *de* in apredicative function. This case marker cannot obviously be labeled 'Locative'.

I am not inclined to propose the label 'Predicative', though, because the locative coda of existential sentences is also considered a predicate but its oblique case is referred to as 'Locative' rather than 'Predicative'.

My choice falls on the term 'Essive'. First, *de* has several features in common with the Essive case in Uralic (and in Caucasian: Creissels, 2010) languages. Whereas the Uralic Essive denotes a temporary state of being and only occurs in secondary predications (features not shared by *de*), it is probably of spatial origin, is used in both the spatial and temporal domains, and is often interpreted as manner (deGroot, 2010), not unlike the Japanese *de*. Second, *de* has instrumental functions, and this is analogous with the predicative function of the Russian Instrumental case, which is also is defined 'essive'. Third, to my knowledge, the term 'essive' is used to refer to the functions of *de* in at least two sources, Martin (1975: 42) and Narrog (2009: 594), albeit for unstated reasons.

# References

Bloch, B. (1946). Studies in colloquial Japanese: I. Inflection. *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 66(2), 97-109.

Creissels, D. (2010). The essive form of nouns in Akhvakh and other Caucasian languages. 43rd Annual meeting of the SLE, Vilnius, September 2010.

Creissels, D. (2014a). Existential predication in typological perspective. *46<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Societas Linguistica Europaea*, 1-60.

Creissels, D. (2014b). Functive phrases in typological and diachronic perspective". *Studies in Language*, *38*(3), 605-647.

Daniels, F.J. (1973). Does modern Japanese have a copula? *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 36(2), 261-270.

- deGroot, C. (2010). Uralic essive. 14th International Morphology Meeting, Workshop 'Uralic case', Budapest 2010.
  - Higgins, R.F. (1979). The Pseudo-cleft Construction in English. New York: Garland.
- Iida, T. (2007). Towards a semantics of Japanese existential sentences. In M. Okada (Ed.), *Essays in the Foundations of Logical and Phenomenological Studies*(pp. 67-96), Tokyo: Keio University.
- Iori, I., Takahasi, S., Nakanisi, K., & Yamada, T. (2000). Syokyuu o osieru hito no tame no nihongobunpoohandobukku. Tokyo: 3E Network.
- Iwasaki, T. (1995). *Ni* to *de*: Toki o arawasu kakuzyosi. In T. Miyazima,& Y. Nitta (Eds.). *Nihongoruigihyōgen no bunpō (zyoo). Tanbun hen* (pp. 74-82) Tokyo: Kurosio.
- Makino, S. (1968). Japanese 'be'. In J.W.M. Verhaar (Ed.). *The Verb "Be" and Its Synonyms: Philosophical and Grammatical Studies (3)* (pp. 1-19). Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Makino, S., & Tsutsui, M. (1986). *A Dictionary of Basic Japanese Grammar*. Tokyo: The Japan Times.
  - Martin, S.E. (1975). A Reference Grammar of Japanese. Rutland, Tokyo: Tuttle.
- Mikkelsen, L. (2011). Copular clauses. In K. vonHeusinger, C. Maienborn,& P. Portner (Eds.). *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning, Volume 2* (pp. 1805-1829). Berlin: deGruyter.
- Mills, D.O. (1977). Teaching the Japanese copula. *The Journal of the Association of Teachers of Japanese 12*(1), 7-20.
- Morioka, T., & Takubo, Y. (1987). *Nihongobunpoo seruhumasutaa siriizu 2. Kakuzyosi*. Tokyo: Kurosio.
- Muromatsu, K. (1997). Two types of existentials: Evidence from Japanese. *Lingua*, 101, 245-269.
- Narahara, T. (2002). *The Japanese Copula: Forms and Functions*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Narita, S. (1993). Bunpookaku to hukusikaku. In Y. Nitta (Ed.). *Nihongo no kaku o megutte* (pp. 67-94). Tokyo: Kurosio.
- Narrog, H. (2009). Varieties of instrumental. In A. Malchukov, & A. Spencer (Eds.). *The Oxford Handbook of Case* (pp. 593-600). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
  - Nihongo kihondoosiyoohooziten. (1989). Tokyo: Taisyuukan.
- Nishiyama, K. (1999). Adjectives and the copulas in Japanese. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics*, 8, 183–222.

Nitta, Y. (1982). Zyosirui kakusetu. In Nihongokyooiku gakkai, *Nihongokyooikuziten*. *Syukusatuban* (pp. 392-417), Tokyo: Taisyuukan.

Pustet, R. (2005). *Copulas: Universals in the Categorization of the Lexicon*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sawada, T. (2008). *Da*-deletion: Classification of clause-final elements in Japanese. *Nanzan Linguistics: Special Issue*, *3*(2), 139–163.

Stassen, L. (1997). Intransitive Predication. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Sugai, K. (1997). Kakuzyosi 'de' no imitokusei ni kansuru ikkoosatu. Nagoya daigaku bungakubu kenkyuuronsyuu, 127, pp. 23-40.

Teramura, H. (1982). Nihongo no sintakusu to imi I. Tokyo: Kurosio.

Tokieda, M. (1950). Nihon bunpoo. Koogohen. Tokyo: Iwanami.

Wenck, G. (1973). The Japanese copula: A dummy? *Linguistics: An Interdisciplinar Journal in the Language Sciences*, 15(100), 77-86.