Parasites represent a major selective force for interleukin genes and shape the genetic predisposition to autoimmune conditions

Matteo Fumagalli,^{1,2} Uberto Pozzoli,¹ Rachele Cagliani,¹ Giacomo P. Comi,³ Stefania Riva,¹ Mario Clerici,^{4,5} Nereo Bresolin,^{1,3} and Manuela Sironi¹

Many human genes have adapted to the constant threat of exposure to infectious agents; according to the "hygiene hypothesis," lack of exposure to parasites in modern settings results in immune imbalances, augmenting susceptibility to the development of autoimmune and allergic conditions. Here, by estimating the number of pathogen species/genera in a specific geographic location (pathogen richness) for 52 human populations and analyzing 91 interleukin (IL)/IL receptor genes (IL genes), we show that helminths have been a major selective force on a subset of these genes. A population genetics analysis revealed that five IL genes, including *IL7R* and *IL18RAP*, have been a target of balancing selection, a selection process that maintains genetic variability within a population. Previous identification of polymorphisms in some of these loci, and their association with autoimmune conditions, prompted us to investigate the relationship between adaptation and disease. By searching for variants in IL genes identified in genome-wide association studies, we verified that six risk alleles for inflammatory bowel (IBD) or celiac disease are significantly correlated with micropathogen richness. These data support the hygiene hypothesis for IBD and provide a large set of putative targets for susceptibility to helminth infections.

CORRESPONDENCE Manuela Sironi: manuela.sironi@BP.LNF.it

Abbreviations used: AA, African American; CD, Crohn's disease; CeD, celiac disease; CNV, copy number variant; D_T, Tajima's D; EU, European; HGDP-CEPH, Human Genome Diversity Project-Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain; HKA, Hudson, Kreitman, and Aguade; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; LD, linkage disequilibrium; MLHKA, maximum likelihood HKA; MY, million years; NIEHS, National Institute of Environmental Health Science; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; TMRCA, time to the most recent common ancestor; YRI, Yoruba.

It is commonly believed that infectious diseases have represented one of the major threats to human populations and have therefore acted as a powerful selective force. Even today, despite the advances in treatment and prevention, infectious diseases account for $\sim 48\%$ of worldwide deaths among people >45 yr of age (1). These figures do not include the heavy burden imposed by helminth infections, which have recently been designated as the "great neglected tropical diseases" (2). With an estimated 2 billion individuals infected worldwide (3), helminths represent the prevalent chronic infectious diseases of humans. Although parasitic worms determine severe clinical symptoms in a minority of heavily infected individuals, even apparently subclinical parasite burdens can result in impaired nutritional status and growth retardation (4, 5). It is therefore conceivable that several human genes have evolved in response to both microbial/viral infectious agents and parasitic worms; indeed, it has been suggested (6, 7) that human populations may have adapted to parasites to such a degree that the lower exposure to infectious agents in modern developed societies results in immune imbalances, with autoimmune and allergic conditions being the outcome.

Genes involved in immunity and inflammation are known to be frequent targets of natural selection; balancing selection, which is thought to be a relatively rare phenomenon in humans, has particularly shaped the evolutionary fate of

¹Scientific Institute IRCCS E. Medea, Bioinformatic Laboratory, 23842 Bosisio Parini, Italy

²Bioengineering Department, Politecnico di Milano, 20133 Milan, Italy

³Dino Ferrari Centre, Department of Neurological Sciences, University of Milan, IRCCS Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, 20100 Milan, Italy

⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences and Technologies LITA Segrate, University of Milan, 20090 Milan, Italy

⁵Laboratory of Molecular Medicine and Biotechnology, Don C. Gnocchi ONLUS Foundation IRCCS, 20148 Milan, Italy

M. Fumagalli and U. Pozzoli contributed equally to this paper.

[®] 2009 Fumagalli et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike-No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.jem.org/misc/terms.shtml). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons .org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

genes involved in immune responses (8, 9). Balancing selection is the situation whereby genetic variability is maintained in a population via selection. The best known example in the human genome affects the MHC genes, which are characterized by extreme polymorphism levels. Recently, Prugnolle et al. (10) demonstrated that populations from areas with high pathogen diversity display increased MHC genetic variability, indicating the action of pathogen-driven balancing selection.

Quite obviously, the presence of a functional variant is a prerequisite for selection to act, and the identification of nonneutrally evolving genes has been regarded as a strategy complementary to classical clinical and epidemiological studies to provide insight into the mechanisms of host defense (11). Similarly, analysis of the evolutionary history of genes involved in immune defense might provide novel insights into the delicate balance between efficient response to pathogens and autoimmune/allergic manifestations.

In this study, we focused our attention on a large gene family that includes ILs and their receptors (hereafter referred to as IL genes). ILs are small secreted molecules that regulate most aspects of immune and inflammatory responses and exert their effects through binding to specific receptors expressed on target cells. Various IL genes have been associated with differential susceptibility to specific infections (12, 13), and with an augmented likelihood to develop autoimmune or allergic/atopic diseases (for review see reference [14]). Finally, whereas previous reports have demonstrated nonneutral evolution at single IL genes (15–17), no comprehensive analysis has been performed and no attempt to take into account pathogen richness across different human populations has ever been described.

RESULTS

Pathogen-driven selection acts on IL genes

Pathogen-driven selection is a situation whereby the genetic diversity at a specific locus is influenced by pathogens; this is expected to occur because one or more alleles are associated with the modulation of susceptibility to infectious agents. One way to identify loci or variants subjected to pathogendriven selection is to search for correlations between genetic variability and pathogen richness (10, 18). The latter is a measure of pathogen diversity, and is basically calculated as the number of different pathogen species/genera in a specific geographic location (see Materials and methods for further details). The choice to use pathogen richness rather than more conventional epidemiological parameters such as prevalence/ burden stems from several considerations, as follows: (a) comprehensive data on prevalence are impossible to retrieve for many infections; (b) even when prevalence data are available, they may vary considerably within the same country depending on the surveyed regions, the survey period (e.g., before or after eradication campaigns), the population surveyed (e.g., city dwellers rather than farmers/bushmen/nomads or children rather than adults); (c) the prevalence of specific infections might have changed greatly over recent years as a result of eradication campaigns, and historical prevalence data are rarely available; (d) we were not interested in a single species/ genus. As a consequence, the prevalence of all (or at least the most common) pathogen species would be required. Still, prevalence data are difficult to combine; e.g., in endemic regions, individuals can be infected with multiple parasite species (2), and these subjects tend to harbor the most intense infections, possibly because of an additive and/or multiplicative impact on nutrition and organ pathology (19).

To verify whether pathogen-driven selection has been acting on IL genes, we exploited the fact that a set of >650,000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) has been genotyped in 52 populations (Human Genome Diversity Project-Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain [HGDP-CEPH] panel: http://www.cephb.fr/en/hgdp/) distributed worldwide (Fig. S1) (20). Pathogen richness was evaluated by gathering information on the number of different pathogen species/ genera present in different geographical areas of the world from the Gideon database. Specifically, pathogens were divided into two major groups: micro- and macropathogens. Micropathogens include viruses, bacteria, fungi, and protozoa. Macropathogens include insects, arthropods, and helminths; in this group parasitic worms were by far the most abundant class (90% of species/genera), so when we refer to macropathogens we basically mean helminths. Analyses were also separately performed for viruses, bacteria, protozoa, and fungi; data are available as supplemental material (Table S1). After data organization in Gideon, we calculated both micro- and macropathogen richness on a country by country basis (i.e., they represent the number of different micro- and macropathogen species/genera per country; Fig. S1). As expected, we observed that micro- and macropathogen richness strongly correlated across geographic locations (Kendall's rank correlation coefficient = 0.67; $P < 2^{-10}$); this is likely caused by the major impact of climatic factors on the spatial distribution of both pathogen classes (21).

IL genes were retrieved from the HGNC Gene Families/ Grouping Nomenclature web site (http://www.genenames .org/genefamily.html). From the resulting 99 genes, *IL3RA* and *IL9R* were removed because they are located on the pseudoautosomal regions of sexual chromosomes; *IL15RB* and *IL11RB* were not analyzed because their sequence and chromosomal locations are not present in public databases. Finally, four *IL9R* pseudogenes were discarded. The remaining 91 genes (Table S2) included most known ILs, their receptors, and receptor-accessory proteins.

A total of 1,052 SNPs in IL genes had been typed in the HGDP-CEPH panel, allowing analysis of all genes except for *IL2*, *IL6RL1*, *IL6STP*, *IL8RBP*, *IL17C*, *IL23A*, *IL28A*, *IL28B*, *IL31*, and *IL34*.

For all 1,052 IL gene SNPs in the dataset, we calculated Kendall's rank correlation coefficient (τ) between allele frequencies in HGDP-CEPH populations and micro- or macropathogen richness; a normal approximation with continuity correction to account for ties was used for p-value calculations. After Bonferroni correction for multiple tests, we observed that 48 and 94 SNPs significantly correlate with micro- and macropathogen richness, respectively, with 32 SNPs correlating with

SNP Gene			Micropathogens		Macropathogens				
		τ	P-value (corrected) ^a	Rank⁵	τ	P-value (corrected) ^a	Rank⁵		
s17561	IL1A	0.38	0.151	0.854	0.47	0.006	0.937		
s1143634	IL1B	0.55	< 0.0001	0.996	0.58	<0.0001	0.996		
s6761276	IL1F10	-0.31	2.011	0.740	-0.51	0.001	0.980		
s10496447	IL1F8	0.54	<0.0001	0.995	0.57	<0.0001	0.994		
s3917304	IL1R1	-0.53	<0.0001	0.994	-0.51	<0.0001	0.979		
s644444	IL1RAP	-0.55	<0.0001	0.998	-0.50	0.003	0.969		
s6800609	IL1RAP	0.39	0.092	0.865	0.47	0.005	0.930		
s2885373	IL1RAP	0.55	<0.0001	0.998	0.50	0.003	0.969		
s17196143	IL1RAP	-0.49	0.003	0.974	-0.51	0.002	0.966		
s6444435	IL1RAP	-0.47	0.003	0.972	-0.54	<0.0001	0.989		
s6630730	IL1RAPL1	-0.41	0.079	0.883	-0.53	<0.0001	0.980		
s7052954	IL1RAPL1	-0.48	0.009	0.970	-0.52	0.002	0.976		
s6526833	IL1RAPL1	0.43	0.020	0.923	0.52	<0.002	0.982		
s7890572	IL1RAFL1 IL1RAPL1	0.43	0.020	0.923	0.52	0.007	0.982		
s10521948	ILTRAFLT ILTRAPLT	0.44	0.003	0.917	0.50	<0.007	0.988		
s7056388	ILTRAFLT IL1RAPL1	0.49	0.131	0.974	0.54	0.003	0.988		
s7050588 s196990	IL1RAFL1 IL1RAPL1	0.41	0.057	0.875	0.56	<0.0001	0.994		
s7881819	IL1RAPL1	0.38	0.406	0.830	0.50	0.003	0.966		
s10521946	IL1RAPL1	-0.49	0.003	0.974	-0.62	<0.0001	0.999		
51318832	IL1RAPL1	-0.49	<0.0001	0.987	-0.55	<0.0001	0.995		
5943559	IL1RAPL1		0.007	0.955		<0.0001	0.995		
		-0.45			-0.56				
12387961	IL1RAPL1	0.37	0.261	0.832	0.46	0.009	0.928		
5721953	IL1RAPL2	0.46	0.004	0.967	0.44	0.017	0.918		
1384360	IL1RAPL2	-0.45	0.009	0.952	-0.44	0.018	0.908		
s6621992	IL1RAPL2	-0.45	0.010	0.955	-0.46	0.008	0.940		
57583215	IL1RL2	-0.55	<0.0001	0.996	-0.54	<0.0001	0.991		
s2287041	IL1RL2	0.51	< 0.0001	0.985	0.47	0.004	0.945		
53771188	IL1RL2	-0.54	<0.0001	0.993	-0.52	<0.0001	0.976		
s315931	IL1RN	-0.41	0.040	0.916	-0.46	0.007	0.941		
s2637988	IL1RN	-0.44	0.011	0.953	-0.47	0.004	0.954		
s2029582	IL1RN	-0.30	2.565	0.728	-0.46	0.008	0.936		
s3087266	IL1RN	-0.34	0.728	0.780	-0.46	0.008	0.928		
s2386841	IL2RA	0.48	0.002	0.970	0.47	0.004	0.942		
s11256497	IL2RA	-0.49	0.002	0.973	-0.49	0.002	0.953		
s2284034	IL2RB	0.37	0.244	0.834	0.48	0.003	0.950		
s1003694	IL2RB	0.32	1.570	0.734	0.46	0.006	0.936		
s228966	IL2RB	-0.32	1.705	0.757	-0.48	0.003	0.963		
s2284033	IL2RB	0.37	0.245	0.853	0.56	<0.0001	0.996		
s228973	IL2RB	0.44	0.012	0.943	0.52	<0.0001	0.980		
s228975	IL2RB	-0.49	0.001	0.980	-0.59	<0.0001	0.999		
2235330	IL2RB	0.41	0.059	0.889	0.54	<0.0001	0.987		
52243268	IL4	-0.51	<0.0001	0.987	-0.58	<0.0001	0.997		
52243288	IL4	-0.43	0.021	0.932	-0.47	0.004	0.948		
s2243290	IL4	0.49	0.001	0.978	0.54	<0.0001	0.991		
s2070874	IL4	-0.50	0.001	0.984	-0.55	<0.0001	0.993		
s3024672	IL4R	-0.40	0.189	0.866	-0.53	0.001	0.975		
s3024607	IL4R	-0.48	0.006	0.974	-0.53	0.001	0.987		
s17026370	IL5RA	-0.48	0.000	0.974	-0.53	<0.001	0.990		
s2066992	ILSNA IL6	-0.41	0.049	0.899	-0.46	0.006	0.990		

Table I. Correlations with micro- and macropathogen richness

syspession U.7 0.50 0.006 0.386 0.43 0.115 0.851 silfsörag U.7 0.43 0.006 0.394 0.51 0.005 0.372 silfsörag U.7 0.43 0.006 0.364 0.65 <.00001 0.394 sidformal 0.03 0.600 0.652 <.00001 0.393 sidformal 0.03 0.610 0.652 <.00001 0.984 sidformal 0.026 0.370 0.43 0.0045 0.970 sidformal 0.44 0.060 -0.50 0.0001 0.951 sidformal 0.44 0.060 -0.60 0.007 0.252 silformal 0.47 0.006 0.952 0.432 0.432 silformal 0.47 0.006 0.952 0.422 0.953 silformal 0.47 0.006 0.944 0.007 0.252 silformal 0.41 0.011 0.333 0.53 0.0001 </th <th colspan="2">SNP Gene</th> <th></th> <th>Micropathogens</th> <th></th> <th colspan="4">Macropathogens</th>	SNP Gene			Micropathogens		Macropathogens			
shifs/Rep? L/R 0.43 0.066 0.944 0.51 0.005 0.972 s1554286 L/D -0.45 0.006 0.956 -0.56 <0.0001 0.988 s2512144 L/DRA -0.33 0.103 0.800 -0.52 <0.0001 0.938 s251714 L/DRB -0.43 0.002 0.988 s2241715 L/L2A -0.46 0.008 0.952 -0.43 0.045 0.843 s157129788 L/L2RB2 -0.43 0.005 0.970 0.48 0.006 0.952 s1578241 L/L5A -0.44 0.005 0.970 0.48 0.006 0.953 s1588241 L/L5A -0.36 0.361 0.811 -0.47 0.006 0.972 s17165081 L/L5A -0.47 0.006 0.977 -0.39 0.425 0.78 s1717615 L/L5A -0.47 0.006 0.977 -0.40 0.125 0.78 s1717615 L/L5A<	rs2069835	IL6	-0.46	0.021	0.949	-0.53	0.002	0.982	
sib5426 <i>l</i> .10 -0.45 0.006 0.956 -0.56 <0.0001 0.988 s3024400 <i>l</i> .107A -0.39 0.103 0.860 -0.52 <0.0001	rs17505589	IL7	0.50	0.006	0.986	0.43	0.115	0.851	
S302440 <i>l</i> . <i>l</i> .0 -0.33 0.103 0.800 -0.52 <-0.0001 0.888 S2512144 <i>l</i> . <i>l</i> .0 <i>RB</i> 0.33 0.832 -0.47 0.009 0.338 S2512145 <i>l</i> .12 <i>R</i> -0.46 0.008 0.952 -0.43 0.045 0.843 S12245115 <i>l</i> .12 <i>R</i> -0.46 0.008 0.952 -0.50 0.003 0.956 S12252618 <i>l</i> .13 <i>RA2</i> -0.40 0.164 0.860 -0.50 0.003 0.955 S12252612 <i>l</i> .15 0.47 0.005 0.970 0.48 0.005 0.951 S1306011 <i>l</i> .15 -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.007 0.925 S137685 <i>l</i> .15 <i>RA</i> -0.47 0.006 0.957 -0.40 0.027 0.985 S12457191 <i>l</i> .16 0.50 0.001 0.981 -0.41 0.071 0.845 S12457191 <i>l</i> .16 0.50 0.001 0.981 0.006 0.970	rs11567697	IL7R	0.43	0.086	0.904	0.51	0.005	0.972	
52512144 L107A -0.38 0.343 0.832 -0.47 0.009 0.938 5999261 L107B 0.39 0.140 0.844 0.49 0.002 0.938 599261 L12A -0.46 0.006 0.952 -0.43 0.045 0.843 s17129789 L12B -0.40 0.164 0.860 -0.50 0.003 0.955 s13106911 L15 0.47 0.006 0.962 0.49 0.007 0.925 s13106911 L15 0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.012 0.925 s137664 L15FA -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.798 s12428640 L16 0.50 0.001 0.982 0.41 0.000 0.854 s12438640 L16 0.50 0.001 0.982 0.41 0.060 0.864 s12438640 L16 0.50 0.001 0.982 0.51 0.071 0.985	rs1554286	IL10	-0.45	0.006	0.956	-0.56	<0.0001	0.994	
s989261 µ107B 0.39 0.140 0.854 0.43 0.002 0.858 st2243115 µ12AB -0.43 0.002 0.952 -0.43 0.001 0.971 st1051083 µ13FA2 -0.40 0.164 0.860 -0.51 0.003 0.956 st1050183 µ15 0.44 0.005 0.970 0.48 0.006 0.950 st1306011 µ15 -0.36 0.361 0.811 -0.46 0.007 0.925 st177636 µ15FA -0.41 0.057 0.881 -0.47 0.004 0.931 st17645 µ15FA -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.738 st2245139 µ15FA -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.41 0.071 0.846 st1243640 µ17A -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 st51447719 µ17A -0.49 0.006 0.981 -0.41 0.0071 0.982 </td <td>rs3024490</td> <td>IL10</td> <td>-0.39</td> <td>0.103</td> <td>0.880</td> <td>-0.52</td> <td><0.0001</td> <td>0.988</td>	rs3024490	IL10	-0.39	0.103	0.880	-0.52	<0.0001	0.988	
siz243115 U.12A -0.46 0.008 0.852 -0.43 0.045 0.043 s17123789 U.12R82 -0.43 0.026 0.310 -0.51 0.001 0.971 s15051058 U.13PAZ -0.40 0.060 0.970 0.48 0.005 0.953 s1585241 U.15 0.47 0.008 0.662 0.49 0.005 0.9353 s13106911 U.15 -0.46 0.007 0.925 0.942 9.9353 s1316641 U.15A -0.41 0.057 0.40 0.125 0.788 s2296139 U.15A -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.788 s12437619 U.15A -0.47 0.006 0.957 -0.40 0.071 0.846 s1243840 U.16 -0.50 0.001 0.982 -0.41 0.060 0.870 s12438404 U.17A -0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.970 s124	rs2512144	IL10RA	-0.38	0.343	0.832	-0.47	0.009	0.938	
sh1129789 Ll 2RB2 -0.43 0.026 0.910 -0.51 0.001 0.971 sh0521893 Ll 13RA2 -0.40 0.164 0.860 -0.50 0.003 0.956 sh05218932 Ll 15 0.47 0.006 0.953 0.953 sh13106911 Ll 15 -0.36 0.361 0.811 -0.46 0.006 0.942 sh177636 Ll 56A -0.47 0.006 0.942 0.788 0.782 0.788 sh177855 Ll 56A -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.798 sh17437819 Ll 15A -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.798 sh12437819 Ll 15A -0.47 0.005 0.937 -0.43 0.026 0.854 sh12438140 Ll 17A -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 sh12438464 Ll 77A -0.45 0.002 0.931 -0.43 0.026 0.856 <t< td=""><td>rs999261</td><td>IL10RB</td><td>0.39</td><td>0.140</td><td>0.854</td><td>0.49</td><td>0.002</td><td>0.958</td></t<>	rs999261	IL10RB	0.39	0.140	0.854	0.49	0.002	0.958	
S10521698 Ll 3RA2 -0.40 0.164 0.860 -0.50 0.003 0.956 S1589241 II.15 0.48 0.005 0.970 0.48 0.006 0.990 S1308011 II.15 0.361 0.311 -0.46 0.007 0.925 S1310611 II.15RA -0.41 0.057 0.881 -0.47 0.004 0.931 S1316614 II.15RA -0.41 0.0057 0.577 -0.40 0.125 0.798 S124236139 II.15RA -0.44 0.001 0.982 -0.41 0.071 0.846 S12438409 II.16 -0.50 0.001 0.982 0.41 0.071 0.846 S14948497 II.17A -0.43 0.002 0.931 0.50 0.001 0.935 S1939514 II.17RA 0.45 0.025 0.331 0.50 0.001 0.937 S1939515 II.17RA 0.44 0.013 0.942 0.048 0.015 0.316 <	rs2243115	IL12A	-0.46	0.008	0.952	-0.43	0.045	0.843	
s1589241 ///15 0.48 0.006 0.950 s2322222 ///15 0.47 0.008 0.962 0.49 0.005 0.953 s2322242 ///15 0.361 0.811 -0.46 0.007 0.925 s8177636 //158A 0.39 0.179 0.858 0.47 0.006 0.942 s8177636 //158A -0.41 0.057 0.881 -0.47 0.004 0.931 s229139 //158A 0.44 0.011 0.933 0.53 -0.0001 0.985 s12437819 //1.6 -0.50 0.001 0.981 -0.41 0.060 0.884 s12437849 //1.76 -0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.895 s12437849 //1.77R -0.45 0.002 0.931 0.50 0.001 0.976 s6516651 //1.77R -0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 -0.0001 0.976 s6454554 //1.77R <t< td=""><td>rs17129789</td><td>IL12RB2</td><td>-0.43</td><td>0.026</td><td>0.910</td><td>-0.51</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.971</td></t<>	rs17129789	IL12RB2	-0.43	0.026	0.910	-0.51	0.001	0.971	
sts b 0.48 0.006 0.950 s2322262 11.15 0.47 0.008 0.962 0.49 0.005 0.953 s2322262 11.15 0.361 0.811 -0.46 0.007 0.925 s8177636 11.15A 0.331 0.573 0.881 -0.47 0.006 0.942 s23136614 11.15A -0.47 0.005 0.881 -0.47 0.004 0.985 s2293139 11.15A 0.44 0.011 0.333 0.53 -0.0001 0.885 s12437819 11.16 -0.50 0.001 0.882 0.41 0.060 0.884 s12438440 11.16 -0.45 0.002 0.331 0.50 0.004 0.953 s124384479 11.77A -0.45 0.002 0.331 0.50 0.004 0.953 s1248644 11.77A -0.44 0.013 0.942 -0.48 0.003 0.957 s12486746 11.77A -0.44 <td>rs10521698</td> <td>IL13RA2</td> <td>-0.40</td> <td>0.164</td> <td>0.860</td> <td>-0.50</td> <td>0.003</td> <td>0.956</td>	rs10521698	IL13RA2	-0.40	0.164	0.860	-0.50	0.003	0.956	
x222222 l.15 0.47 0.008 0.862 0.49 0.005 0.953 x13106911 l.157 -0.36 0.361 0.611 -0.46 0.007 0.925 x8177636 l.157A -0.41 0.057 0.881 -0.47 0.004 0.931 x3136614 l.157A -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.798 x5226139 l.16 -0.50 0.001 0.981 -0.41 0.0071 0.846 x512438640 l.17A -0.49 0.008 0.977 -0.39 0.425 0.770 x5164861 l.17A -0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.896 x5708557 l.17R 0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.011 0.976 x5708587 l.17R 0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 <0.001	rs1589241	IL15		0.005	0.970	0.48	0.006	0.950	
s8177636 ll 15RA 0.39 0.179 0.858 0.47 0.006 0.942 s8177636 ll 15RA -0.41 0.057 0.811 -0.47 0.004 0.931 s2396139 ll 15RA 0.44 0.011 0.933 0.53 <0.0001	rs2322262	IL15	0.47	0.008	0.962	0.49	0.005		
s8177636 <i>l.15RA</i> 0.39 0.179 0.858 0.47 0.006 0.942 s8177636 <i>l.15RA</i> -0.41 0.057 0.81 -0.47 0.004 0.931 ss236161 <i>l.15RA</i> 0.44 0.011 0.933 0.53 <0.0001	rs13106911	IL15	-0.36	0.361	0.811	-0.46	0.007	0.925	
s3136614 <i>ll.15RA</i> -0.47 0.005 0.957 -0.40 0.125 0.798 s2296139 <i>ll.15RA</i> 0.44 0.011 0.933 0.53 <0.001	rs8177636	IL15RA		0.179	0.858	0.47	0.006	0.942	
S2296139 II.15RA 0.44 0.011 0.933 0.53 <0001 0.985 s1243719 II.6 -0.50 0.001 0.981 -0.41 0.071 0.486 s12437840 II.17A -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 s6518661 II.17A -0.49 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.896 s879576 II.17RA -0.45 0.0025 0.931 0.50 0.001 0.976 s705657 II.17RD -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.51 0.001 0.976 s5445854 II.17RD -0.43 0.013 0.942 -0.48 0.003 0.957 s12487790 II.17RD -0.44 0.005 0.957 -0.57 <0.0001	rs8177685	IL15RA	-0.41	0.057	0.881	-0.47	0.004	0.931	
ss2296139 <i>ll.15RA</i> 0.44 0.011 0.933 0.53 <0.001 0.985 s12437819 <i>ll.16</i> -0.50 0.001 0.981 -0.41 0.071 0.985 s12437840 <i>ll.17A</i> -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 s651661 <i>ll.17RA</i> -0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.896 s879576 <i>ll.17RA</i> -0.45 0.025 0.331 0.50 0.001 0.976 s705567 <i>ll.17RC</i> -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.51 0.001 0.976 s6445854 <i>ll.17RD</i> -0.43 0.013 0.942 -0.44 0.003 0.957 s12487790 <i>ll.17RD</i> -0.44 0.005 0.957 -0.57 <0.001	rs3136614	IL15RA		0.005	0.957		0.125	0.798	
s12437819 L16 -0.50 0.001 0.981 -0.41 0.071 0.846 s1243840 L16 0.50 0.001 0.982 0.41 0.060 0.854 s10484879 L17A -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 s6518661 L17RA 0.45 0.002 0.931 0.50 0.004 0.953 s879576 L17RB 0.47 0.004 0.964 0.45 0.011 0.976 s6445814 L17RD -0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 <0.0001	rs2296139	IL15RA		0.011	0.933	0.53	<0.0001	0.985	
s12438640 L16 0.50 0.001 0.982 0.41 0.060 0.854 s10484879 L17A -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 s6518661 L17RA -0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.896 s599576 L17RA 0.45 0.025 0.931 0.50 0.004 0.953 s599576 L17RA -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.51 0.001 0.982 s6445854 L17RD -0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 <0.0001	rs12437819	IL16	-0.50	0.001	0.981		0.071		
sh0484879 L17A -0.49 0.008 0.970 -0.39 0.425 0.770 s6518661 L17RA -0.45 0.009 0.944 -0.43 0.026 0.896 s879576 L17RA 0.45 0.025 0.931 0.50 0.004 0.953 s899514 L17RA 0.45 0.026 0.890 -0.51 0.001 0.976 s6445854 L17RD -0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 <0.0001	rs12438640	IL16		0.001	0.982		0.060	0.854	
rs879576 .17RA 0.45 0.025 0.931 0.50 0.004 0.953 rs99514 .17RB 0.47 0.004 0.964 0.45 0.015 0.916 rs708567 .17RD -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.52 0.0001 0.982 rs6445854 .17RD -0.43 0.013 0.942 -0.48 0.003 0.957 rs12487790 .17RD 0.43 0.018 0.935 0.52 <0.0001	rs10484879		-0.49	0.008	0.970		0.425		
rs879576 .17RA 0.45 0.025 0.931 0.50 0.004 0.953 rs99514 .17RB 0.47 0.004 0.964 0.45 0.015 0.916 rs708567 .17RD -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.52 0.0001 0.982 rs6445854 .17RD -0.43 0.013 0.942 -0.48 0.003 0.957 rs12487790 .17RD 0.43 0.018 0.935 0.52 <0.0001	rs6518661	IL17RA		0.009	0.944		0.026	0.896	
rs708567 //1.7RC -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.51 0.001 0.976 rs6445854 //1.7RD -0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 <0.0001	rs879576	IL17RA			0.931		0.004		
rs708567 //1.7RC -0.40 0.066 0.890 -0.51 0.001 0.976 rs6445854 //1.7RD -0.43 0.030 0.912 -0.52 <0.0001	rs999514	IL17RB	0.47	0.004	0.964	0.45	0.015	0.916	
ss4535195 <i>ll17RD</i> -0.44 0.013 0.942 -0.48 0.003 0.957 ss12487790 <i>ll17RD</i> 0.43 0.018 0.935 0.52 <0.001	rs708567	IL17RC	-0.40	0.066	0.890	-0.51	0.001	0.976	
ss4535195 <i>ll17RD</i> -0.44 0.013 0.942 -0.48 0.003 0.957 s12487790 <i>ll17RD</i> 0.43 0.018 0.935 0.52 <0.001	rs6445854	IL17RD	-0.43	0.030	0.912	-0.52	<0.0001	0.982	
srs12487790 ll.17RD 0.43 0.018 0.935 0.52 <0.0001 0.983 srs17216900 ll.17RD 0.45 0.009 0.946 0.36 0.368 0.779 srs12496746 ll.17RD -0.46 0.006 0.951 -0.42 0.048 0.866 srs279581 ll.17RE 0.39 0.116 0.878 0.50 0.001 0.974 srs279572 ll.17RE 0.37 0.208 0.854 0.48 0.002 0.960 srs279572 ll.17RE -0.37 0.244 0.847 -0.48 0.003 0.953 srs227128 ll.18RAP -0.47 0.004 0.965 -0.39 0.125 0.829 srs2243193 ll.19 -0.48 0.002 0.973 -0.62 <0.0001	rs4535195	IL17RD	-0.44	0.013	0.942	-0.48	0.003	0.957	
rs12496746 /// 17RD -0.46 0.006 0.951 -0.42 0.048 0.866 rs455863 // 17RE -0.46 0.005 0.957 -0.57 <0.001	rs12487790	IL17RD	0.43	0.018	0.935	0.52	<0.0001	0.983	
rs455863 IL17RE -0.46 0.005 0.957 -0.57 <0.001	rs17216900	IL17RD	0.45	0.009	0.946	0.36	0.368	0.779	
krs279581 ll 17RE 0.39 0.116 0.878 0.50 0.001 0.974 krs279572 ll 17RE 0.37 0.208 0.854 0.48 0.002 0.960 krs279572 ll 17RE -0.37 0.244 0.847 -0.48 0.003 0.953 krs27155 ll 18RAP -0.47 0.004 0.965 -0.39 0.125 0.829 krs2243193 ll 19 0.42 0.031 0.919 0.50 0.001 0.973 krs2243193 ll 19 0.41 0.042 0.910 0.49 0.001 0.969 krs12409415 ll 19 -0.45 0.012 0.928 -0.49 0.002 0.945 krs12409415 ll 19 -0.45 0.012 0.923 0.54 <0.0001	rs12496746	IL17RD	-0.46	0.006	0.951	-0.42	0.048	0.866	
rsz279572 IL17RE 0.37 0.208 0.854 0.48 0.002 0.960 rs172155 IL17RE -0.37 0.244 0.847 -0.48 0.003 0.953 rs2272128 IL18RAP -0.47 0.004 0.965 -0.39 0.125 0.829 rs2243193 IL19 0.42 0.031 0.919 0.50 0.001 0.973 rs12044804 IL19 -0.48 0.002 0.973 -0.62 <0.0001	rs455863	IL17RE	-0.46	0.005	0.957	-0.57	<0.0001	0.996	
Image: srst 12155 Image: limit 17RE -0.37 0.244 0.847 -0.48 0.003 0.953 srs 2272128 Image: limit 18RAP -0.47 0.004 0.965 -0.39 0.125 0.829 srs 2243193 Image: limit 19 0.42 0.031 0.919 0.50 0.001 0.973 srs 12044804 Image: limit 19 -0.48 0.002 0.973 -0.62 <0.0001	rs279581	IL17RE	0.39	0.116	0.878	0.50	0.001	0.974	
rs2272128 <i>l.18RAP</i> -0.470.0040.965-0.390.1250.829rs2243193 <i>l.19</i> 0.420.0310.9190.500.0010.973rs12044804 <i>l.19</i> -0.480.0020.973-0.62<0.001	rs279572	IL17RE	0.37	0.208	0.854	0.48	0.002	0.960	
rs2243193 L190.420.0310.9190.500.0010.973rs12044804 L19-0.480.0020.973-0.62<0.001	rs172155	IL17RE	-0.37	0.244	0.847	-0.48	0.003	0.953	
rs12044804 <i>ll.19</i> -0.480.0020.973-0.62<0.0011.000rs4845143 <i>ll.19</i> 0.410.0420.9100.490.0010.969rs12409415 <i>ll.19</i> -0.450.0120.928-0.490.0020.945rs12046559 <i>ll.19</i> 0.430.0210.9230.54<0.001	rs2272128	IL18RAP	-0.47	0.004	0.965	-0.39	0.125	0.829	
rs4845143 L190.410.0420.9100.490.0010.969rs12409415 L19-0.450.0120.928-0.490.0020.945rs12046559 L190.430.0210.9230.54<0.0001	rs2243193	IL19	0.42	0.031	0.919	0.50	0.001	0.973	
Is 12409415IL 19-0.450.0120.928-0.490.0020.945rs 12046559IL 190.430.0210.9230.54<0.001	rs12044804	IL19	-0.48	0.002	0.973	-0.62	<0.0001	1.000	
IL190.430.0210.9230.54<0.0010.989rs12145973IL190.59<0.0001	rs4845143	IL19	0.41	0.042	0.910	0.49	0.001	0.969	
IL190.59<0.00011.0000.420.1060.820rs2138992IL190.460.0060.9590.52<0.001	rs12409415	IL19	-0.45	0.012	0.928	-0.49	0.002	0.945	
Is 2138992 IL 19 0.46 0.006 0.959 0.52 <0.001 0.984 rs 2232360 IL 20 -0.38 0.166 0.858 -0.46 0.007 0.934 rs 1322393 IL 20RA 0.39 0.098 0.876 0.49 0.002 0.963 rs 1322394 IL 20RA -0.38 0.206 0.844 -0.48 0.003 0.948 rs 75977 IL 20RB -0.43 0.019 0.934 -0.47 0.005 0.940 rs 835634 IL 20RB 0.43 0.020 0.932 0.46 0.008 0.929 rs 747842 IL 20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.005 0.930 rs 12934152 IL 21R -0.57 <0.001	rs12046559	IL19	0.43	0.021	0.923	0.54	<0.0001	0.989	
rs2232360 IL20 -0.38 0.166 0.858 -0.46 0.007 0.934 rs1322393 IL20RA 0.39 0.098 0.876 0.49 0.002 0.963 rs1322394 IL20RA -0.38 0.206 0.844 -0.48 0.003 0.948 rs75977 IL20RB -0.43 0.019 0.934 -0.47 0.005 0.940 rs835634 IL20RB 0.43 0.020 0.932 0.46 0.008 0.929 rs747842 IL20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.005 0.930 rs12934152 IL21R -0.57 <0.001	rs12145973	IL19	0.59	<0.0001	1.000	0.42	0.106	0.820	
rs1322393 IL20RA 0.39 0.098 0.876 0.49 0.002 0.963 rs1322394 IL20RA -0.38 0.206 0.844 -0.48 0.003 0.948 rs75977 IL20RB -0.43 0.019 0.934 -0.47 0.005 0.940 rs835634 IL20RB 0.43 0.020 0.932 0.46 0.008 0.929 rs747842 IL20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.005 0.930 rs12934152 IL21R -0.57 <0.001	rs2138992	IL19	0.46	0.006	0.959	0.52	<0.0001	0.984	
IL20RA -0.38 0.206 0.844 -0.48 0.003 0.948 rs75977 IL20RB -0.43 0.019 0.934 -0.47 0.005 0.940 rs835634 IL20RB 0.43 0.020 0.932 0.46 0.008 0.929 rs747842 IL20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.008 0.930 rs12934152 IL21R -0.57 <0.001	rs2232360	IL20	-0.38	0.166	0.858	-0.46	0.007	0.934	
rs75977 IL20RB -0.43 0.019 0.934 -0.47 0.005 0.940 rs835634 IL20RB 0.43 0.020 0.932 0.46 0.008 0.929 rs747842 IL20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.008 0.930 rs12934152 IL21R -0.57 <0.0001	rs1322393	IL20RA	0.39	0.098	0.876	0.49	0.002	0.963	
rs835634 IL20RB 0.43 0.020 0.932 0.46 0.008 0.929 rs747842 IL20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.008 0.930 rs12934152 IL21R -0.57 <0.0001	rs1322394	IL20RA	-0.38	0.206	0.844	-0.48	0.003	0.948	
rs747842 IL20RB 0.43 0.023 0.929 0.46 0.008 0.930 rs12934152 IL21R -0.57 <0.001	rs75977	IL20RB	-0.43	0.019	0.934	-0.47	0.005	0.940	
rs12934152 <i>IL21R</i> -0.57 <0.0001 0.999 -0.50 0.005 0.952 rs10903022 <i>IL22RA1</i> -0.42 0.037 0.920 -0.49 0.002 0.971 rs10751768 <i>IL22RA1</i> 0.42 0.030 0.926 0.50 0.001 0.974	rs835634	IL20RB	0.43		0.932	0.46	0.008	0.929	
rs10903022 <i>IL22RA1</i> -0.42 0.037 0.920 -0.49 0.002 0.971 rs10751768 <i>IL22RA1</i> 0.42 0.030 0.926 0.50 0.001 0.974	rs747842	IL20RB	0.43	0.023	0.929	0.46	0.008	0.930	
rs10751768 <i>IL22RA1</i> 0.42 0.030 0.926 0.50 0.001 0.974	rs12934152	IL21R	-0.57	<0.0001	0.999	-0.50	0.005	0.952	
	rs10903022	IL22RA1	-0.42	0.037	0.920	-0.49	0.002	0.971	
x270E202 // 22PA1 0.20 0.007 0.000 0.40 0.001 0.071	rs10751768	IL22RA1	0.42		0.926	0.50	0.001	0.974	
53735302 IL22NAT 0.53 0.087 0.838 0.49 0.001 0.9/1	rs3795302	IL22RA1	0.39	0.087	0.898	0.49	0.001	0.971	

Table I. Correlations with micro- and macropathogen richness (Continued)

SNP	Gene		Micropathogens			Macropathogens	
rs4486393	IL22RA1	0.39	0.146	0.863	0.50	0.002	0.968
rs4292900	IL22RA1	0.40	0.109	0.882	0.47	0.005	0.947
rs16829209	IL22RA1	0.39	0.156	0.856	0.50	0.002	0.959
rs11570915	IL26	0.40	0.132	0.852	0.53	0.001	0.980
rs3814240	IL26	0.42	0.026	0.929	0.49	0.002	0.964
rs3814241	IL26	0.50	0.001	0.982	0.47	0.004	0.946
rs10878789	IL26	0.47	0.004	0.962	0.41	0.052	0.868
rs9632389	IL31RA	-0.48	0.005	0.960	-0.43	0.058	0.837
rs10055201	IL31RA	0.39	0.120	0.869	0.45	0.009	0.927
rs1554999	IL32	-0.48	0.002	0.965	-0.55	<0.0001	0.988

Table I. Correlations with micro- and macropathogen richness (Continued)

^aBonferroni-corrected p-value.

^bPercentile rank relative to the distribution of SNP control sets matched for allele frequency.

both. These variants map to a total of 44 IL genes (Table I). We next verified whether the correlations between IL SNP frequencies and pathogens could be secondary to associations with other environmental variables (e.g., climatic factors). Hence, for each geographic location corresponding to HGDP-CEPH populations, the following parameters were obtained: average annual mean and maximum temperature, precipitation rate, and short-wave radiation flux. None of the SNPs reported in Table I significantly correlated with any of these variables (Table S3).

Allele frequency spectra in human populations are affected by selective and nonselective events; whereas selection acts on specific genes, nonselective forces (e.g., demography or distance from Africa [22]) are expected to affect all loci equally. We thus compared the strength of IL gene correlations to sets of control SNPs in the dataset. In particular, for each IL SNP in Table I, we extracted from the full HGDP-CEPH dataset all SNPs having an overall minor allele frequency (averaged over all populations) differing by <0.01 from its frequency; for all SNPs in the frequency-matched groups, we calculated Kendall's rank correlation coefficient (τ) between micro- and macropathogen richness and allele frequencies. We next calculated the percentile rank of IL gene SNPs in the distribution of Kendall's τ obtained for the control sets. In most cases (46 for micro- and 66 for macropathogens), percentile ranks higher than the 95th were obtained for IL SNPs. These data indicate that SNPs in IL genes are clearly more strongly influenced by pathogen richness compared with control SNPs, suggesting that selective forces (i.e., pathogen-driven selection), and not nonselective forces, are responsible for the observed associations. All data are gathered in Table I, which shows the compilation of all SNPs that significantly correlate with either micro- or macropathogen richness; the value of τ for both correlations, as well as Bonferroni-corrected P values and percentile ranks, are reported. It is worth noting that data in Table I have been organized to keep together all SNPs in the same gene and to group ligands and receptors; therefore, the order does not reflect greater association with micro- or macropathogens, which can instead be inferred from correlation values.

Another issue that deserves attention relates to the genomic organization of IL genes, because many of them are located in clusters. This raises the possibility that many of the observed allele associations are spurious and derive from linkage to a single selected allele. Yet analysis of linkage disequilibrium (LD; Fig. S2) indicates that linkage is not extensive across gene clusters, with the exception of *IL17RE* and *IL17RC*. Therefore, with the exception of these two genes, the remaining loci are independent targets of pathogen-driven selection.

Balancing selection acts on IL1F5, IL1F7, IL1F10, IL7R, and IL18RAP

Pathogen-driven variations in allele frequencies can occur under different selection scenarios, such as directional or balancing selection. Given the aforementioned results and the role of IL genes in regulating immune responses, we next verified whether selection signatures could be identified at IL genes by using classical population genetic analyses. To this aim, we exploited the observation that 68 out of 91 IL loci have been included in genetic variation projects (i.e., the SeattleSNPs program and the Innate Immunity in Heart, Lung and Blood Disease Programs for Genomic Applications) so that resequencing data (although with some gaps) are available in at least two populations: one with European ancestry (EU) and one with African ancestry (either Yorubans [YRI] or African Americans [AA]). Common population genetics tests include Tajima's D (D_T) (23) and Fu and Li's D* and F* (24). D_T tests the departure from neutrality by comparing two nucleotide diversity indexes: θ_{W} (25), which is an estimate of the expected per site heterozygosity, and π (26), which is the average number of pairwise sequence nucleotide differences. Positive values of D_T indicate an excess of intermediate frequency variants and are a hallmark of balancing selection; negative D_T values indicate either purifying selection or a high representation of rare variants as a result of a selective sweep. Fu and Li's F* and D* (24) are also based on SNP frequency spectra and differ from D_T in that they also take into account whether mutations occur in external or internal branches of a genealogy. Population history, in addition to selective processes, affects frequency spectra and all related statistics; for this reason, statistical significance was evaluated by performing coalescent simulations using a population genetics model that

incorporates demographic scenarios (27). Simulations were performed using the cosi package (27) and were used to derive a p-value that indicates whether or not the value obtained for a given IL locus is expected under a given demographic scenario; a significant P value indicates that the obtained value is unlikely under the specified conditions and, therefore, that neutrality can be rejected.

Another method of figuring out the effects of selection and population history again lies in the assumption that selection acts on a single locus, whereas demography affects the whole genome; therefore, we calculated test statistics for 238 genes resequenced by the National Institute of Environmental Health Science (NIEHS) program (Table S4). These empirical distributions were used to calculate the percentile rank of D_T , D^* , and F^* values for analyzed IL genes; this procedure provides a direct comparison of the locus under analysis with a sample of human genes and allows an estimation of how unusual the value obtained is (e.g., a percentile rank of 0.99 suggests that neutral evolution is unlikely).

5 of the 68 IL genes available for population genetic analysis gave significant results in at least one population; three of them (*IL1F10*, *IL7R*, and *IL18RAP*) also display at least one SNP correlating with pathogen richness (Table I). Data concerning θ_W and π , as well as D_T , D^* , and F^* , are reported in Table II. For *IL1F5*, *IL1F7*, and *IL1F10*, θ_W and π were close to or higher than the 95th percentile in the distribution of NIEHS genes in both populations, indicating an excess of polymorphisms at these loci; conversely, no exceptional θ_W and π were observed for *IL18RAP* in AA and for *IL7R* in either population. Calculation of D_T , D^* , and F^* for the five genes indicated that one or more statistics rejected neutrality in both Africans and Europeans for *IL1F5*, *IL1F10*, and *IL18RAP*; conversely, unusually high values were obtained only

Table II. Summary statistics for five IL genes

for YRI and EU in the case of *IL1F7* and *IL7R*, respectively. Overall, these data suggest the action of balancing selection. It should be noted that *IL7R* is encompassed by a copy number variant (CNV; http://projects.tcag.ca/variation/); yet the CNV only occurs in 1 out of 110 chromosomes, and thus should not affect our results.

Another commonly used test to verify departure from selective neutrality is the Hudson, Kreitman, and Aguade (HKA) test (28); it is based on the assumption that under neutral evolution, the amount of within-species diversity correlates with levels of between-species divergence because both depend on the neutral mutation rate. An excess of intraspecific diversity compared with divergence (k > 1) is considered a signature of balancing selection. Here, we performed a maximum likelihood HKA test (MLHKA) (29) by comparing each IL gene in Table II to 16 neutrally evolving genes resequenced in the same individuals (see Materials and methods for details). The MLHKA test rejected the neutral evolution model for IL1F5 and IL7R (in both populations), as well as IL1F10 (in YRI), but not for IL1F7 and IL18RAP. Indeed, in the latter case, interspecific divergence higher than the genome average paralleled the high levels of intraspecific diversity (unpublished data).

Population genetic diversity, measured as F_{ST} , can also provide information on selective processes. Under selective neutrality, F_{ST} is determined by genetic drift, which is mainly accounted for by demographic history and similarly affects all genomic loci. Conversely, natural selection being a locus-specific force, it can affect F_{ST} values for specific genes. Balancing selection may lead to a decrease in F_{ST} compared with neutrally evolving loci (8); specifically, low F_{ST} values among continental populations strongly suggests the action of balancing selection worldwide (i.e., irrespective of local environmental

Gene	La	P⁵	Nc	Sď	θ^{e}	π^{f}		D _T			D*			F*	
							Value	P-value ^g	Rank ^h	Value	P-value ^g	Rank ^h	Value	P-value ^g	Rank ^h
IL1F5	6.4	YRI	48	50	17.61	21.73	0.81	0.033	0.97	0.43	0.11	0.85	0.68	0.045	0.93
		EU	46	39	13.87	25.33	2.84	0.0004	>0.99	1.68	0.0018	>0.99	2.49	0.0002	>0.99
IL1F7	6.1	YRI	48	45	16.57	22.07	1.14	0.016	>0.99	0.82	0.037	0.95	1.11	0.012	0.98
		EU	46	35	13.02	8.69	-1.13	0.10	0.15	1.18	0.046	0.96	0.43	0.28	0.69
IL1F10	4	YRI	48	34	19.36	18.98	-0.066	0.21	0.76	1.15	0.012	0.98	0.85	0.027	0.95
		EU	46	16	9.20	12.68	1.19	0.070	0.89	1.59	0.0041	>0.99	1.72	0.0090	0.99
IL18RAP	17.8	AA	48	97	12.30	14.24	0.56	0.039	0.95	0.69	0.013	0.94	0.77	0.011	0.96
		EU	46	88	11.27	15.56	1.36	0.057	0.91	1.26	0.0099	0.97	1.54	0.013	0.98
IL7R	21	AA	48	119	12.80	9.94	-0.80	0.42	0.39	0.26	0.10	0.82	-0.16	0.21	0.71
		EU	46	70	7.60	10.33	1.28	0.084	0.90	1.53	0.0029	>0.99	1.71	0.011	0.99

^aLength of analyzed resequenced region (in kilobasepairs).

^bPopulation.

^cSample size (chromosomes).

^dNumber of segregating sites.

 $^{e}\theta_{w}$ estimation per site (×10⁻⁴).

^f π estimation per site (×10⁻⁴).

^gP-values obtained by applying a calibrated population genetics model, as described in the text.

^hPercentile rank relative to the distribution of values obtained for 238 NIEHS genes (i.e., comparison with an empirical distribution).

pressures), whereas reduced population differentiation within continents is consistent with a locally exerted selective pressure resulting in balancing selection. We calculated F_{ST} for the 5 IL genes and compared it to the distribution of this parameter among 238 NIEHS genes. Unusual F_{ST} values were only observed for *IL18RAP*; in this case, a negative F_{ST} was obtained, indicating that the real value is close to 0, therefore corresponding to a percentile rank lower than the 2.5th.

One other effect of balancing selection is the maintenance of divergent haplotype clades separated by deep coalescence times (30). We therefore studied haplotype genealogies by constructing median-joining networks. In particular, regions displaying low recombination rates were selected (see Materials and methods and Fig. S3); in the case of *IL18RAP* and *IL1F10*, network analysis was not performed because of the low LD throughout the gene region.

Haplotype genealogies for IL1F5, IL1F7, and IL7R revealed two major clades separated by long branches (Fig. 1), each containing common haplotypes. To estimate the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the haplotype clades, we applied a phylogeny-based method (31) based on the measure ρ , which is the average pairwise difference between haplotypes and a root. TMRCA estimates of 2.76 million years (MY; SD = 660 KY), 2.10 MY (SD = 404 KY), and 1.68 MY (SD = 408 KY) were obtained for IL1F5, IL1F7, and IL7R, respectively. In all cases, we verified these results using GENETREE, which is based on a maximum-likelihood coalescent analysis (32). Consistently, the resulting gene trees, rooted using the chimpanzee sequences, are partitioned into two deep branches (Fig. 2). Using this method, a second estimate of the TMRCAs for the three genes was obtained (Fig. 2 and Table S5). Estimates of coalescence times for neutrally evolving autosomal human loci range between 0.8 and 1.5 MY (33); the TMRCAs we estimated for IL1F5, IL1F7, and IL7R, although not exceptional, are deeper than for most neutrally evolving loci. Again, this finding suggests the action of balancing selection (30).

Heterozygote advantage (also known as overdominance) is one of the possible causes of balancing selection. To verify whether this is the case for the five selected IL genes, for each population we calculated the ratio of observed heterozygosity to expected gene diversity. This same ratio calculation has recently been applied to human HapMap SNPs, and threshold values for inference of overdominance have been set to 1.160 and 1.165 for YRI and EU, respectively (34). To obtain an additional estimate of this parameter distribution in the human genome, ratios were also calculated for NIEHS genes. Whereas all other genes showed nonexceptional values, the observed heterozygosity to expected gene diversity ratio for IL1F5 amounted to 1.07 and 1.20 for YRI and EU, respectively. This value is higher than the previously set threshold for EU and falls above the 99th percentile value obtained from NIEHS genes in this same population.

Overall, these data strongly suggest the action of balancing selection on these 5 IL genes reported in Tables II and III; it is worth mentioning that the MLHKA test failed to reject neutrality for *IL1F7* and *IL18RAP*, suggesting that relaxed constraint rather than balancing selection might be responsible for the observed high D_T , D^* , and F^* values. Still, we noticed that the 6 polymorphisms within *IL1F7* exons in YRI are all




Figure 1. Haplotype genealogy for *IL1F5***,** *IL1F7***, and** *IL7R* **gene regions.** The analyzed regions correspond to the largest LD block for each gene (Fig. S2). Each node represents a different haplotype, with the size of the circle proportional to the haplotype frequency. Nucleotide differences between haplotypes are indicated on the branches of the network. Circles are color-coded according to population (gray, AA or YRI; white, EU). The chimpanzee sequence is also shown (black). Fig. S2 is available at http:// www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20082779/DC1.




Figure 2. Estimated trees for *IL1F5*, *IL1F7*, and *IL7R* gene regions. The analyzed regions correspond to the largest LD block for each gene (Fig. S2). Mutations are represented as black dots and named for their physical position along the regions. The absolute frequency of each haplotype is also reported. Fig. S2 is available at http://www.jem .org/egi/content/full/jem.20082779/DC1.

accounted for by nonsynonymous substitutions; application of the MK tests (35) using orangutan for divergence yielded a p-value of 0.058. Although not fully significant, this result indicates an unusual frequency of replacement polymorphic variants and, together with the deeper-than-average TMRCA, is in line with balancing selection rather than with functional relaxation.

With respect to *IL18RAP*, the extremely low F_{ST} value we observed (Table III) is not consistent with relaxed functional constraints, but instead supports the idea that a balanced polymorphism is maintained in AA and EU, suggesting response to a widespread selective pressure (i.e., not local adaptation). Finally, it should be noted that *IL1F5* and *IL1F10* are located nearby, raising the possibility that the signatures we observe at both gene regions are caused by hitchhiking with a single functional variant; yet, LD is low across the region because of the presence of a recombination hotspot within the *IL1F10* gene region. Moreover, although hitchhiking has the potential to affect large genomic regions, the signatures of balancing selection are predicted to extend over relatively short distances (36, 37). We therefore consider that the two genes might be independent selection targets.

Risk alleles for inflammatory bowel and celiac disease correlate with pathogen-richness

Previous analyses showed that a polymorphism (rs917997) located ~1,500 bp downstream of *IL18RAP* is significantly associated with both celiac disease (CeD) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD; with the SNP also influencing the level of gene expression (38, 39). This variant was not included in our analysis of pathogen correlations because of its location outside the gene region (as defined in Materials and methods). Still, we observed that rs917997 is in strong LD (D' = 1 and 0.87 in EU and YRI, respectively) with rs2272128, which we found to correlate with macro- and micropathogen richness. We therefore checked the presence of rs917997 and verified that the risk allele for CeD and IBD also correlates significantly with pathogen richness (Table IV). Stimulated by this finding, we next verified whether the frequency distribution of other

Table III. MLHKA test and F_{ST} for five IL genes

Gene	Pa		MLHKA	F _{ST} (rank ^b)
		kc	P-value	
IL1F5	YRI	2.92	0.0043	0.13 (0.53)
	EU	2.85	0.0032	
IL1F7	YRI	1.82	0.29	0.28 (0.89)
	EU	1.91	0.29	
IL1F10	YRI	2.38	0.017	0.16 (0.62)
	EU	1.46	0.56	
IL18RAP	AA	1.18	0.22	0 (<0.025)
	EU	1.62	0.38	
IL7R	AA	2.53	0.0042	0.019 (0.084)
	EU	2.14	0.028	

^aPopulation.

 $^{\mathrm{b}}\text{Percentile}$ rank relative to the distribution of F_{ST} values calculated for 238 NIEHS genes.

^cSelection parameter.

ARTICLE

susceptibility alleles in IL genes has been influenced by pathogen richness. To analyze only variants that have been identified in an unbiased manner (i.e., without a priori hypothesis on the genes involved), we searched among published genomewide association studies for SNPs in IL genes that have been associated with any trait. For available variants in the CEPH-HGDP panel, we next calculated correlations with micro- and macropathogen richness. Results reported in Table IV indicate that six out of nine risk variants for CeD or IDB/Crohn's disease (CD) were associated with micro- and macropathogen richness; in particular, two of them located within IL23R are in tight LD, and thus do not represent independent observations. Noticeably, in all six cases, the risk allele correlates with pathogen richness and correlations with micropathogens were stronger compared with macropathogens (with the exception of rs11465804), a situation different from the general observation that macropathogens have represented a more powerful selective pressure on IL genes.

DISCUSSION

We analyzed the recent evolutionary history of IL genes in humans by integrating information on environmental variables with classical population genetics and association studies. Results herein suggest that microbes and parasitic worms played a relevant role as selective agents, but the pressure imposed by helminths on IL genes has been stronger than the one caused by viral and microbial agents. Helminths were present among our ancestors before the emergence of humans as a species (for review see reference [40]). These parasites evolve at lower rates than viruses and bacteria and, in contrast to most viral/microbial agents, are able to maintain themselves in small human communities (41). Notably, by establishing chronic infections, parasitic worms affect the susceptibility of their host to viruses, bacteria, and protozoa (for review see reference [2]). Therefore, helminths might have represented a stable threat to human populations and their distribution, which is not associated with sudden epidemics and, as in the case of micropathogens, might have left stronger genetic signatures.

A limitation of our study is that we implicitly assumed that the number of different pathogen species/genera per country has been maintained proportionally unchanged along human evolutionary history. Although clearly an oversimplification, this might reflect reality to some degree, given that climatic variables (e.g., precipitation rates and temperature) have a primary importance in driving the spatial distribution of human micro- and macropathogens (21). Therefore, while the fitness cost imposed by specific species/genera might have evolved rapidly, the relative number of pathogen species per country might have changed proportionally less.

Another possible caveat of our results concerns the definition of "pathogen," in that we included any organism that can cause a disease irrespective of its virulence or pathogenicity. The reason for this choice is that the fitness of a pathogen is a direct measure of the ability of such pathogen to replicate within a given environment. Fitness is dependent on both the features of the pathogen and of the host. The features of the

	Table IV.	Correlations with mic	o- and macropathoger	n richness for SNPs	associated with different trai
--	-----------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------

SNP	Gene/location	Allele		Micro	Micropathogen		opathogen	Trait/disease
		Risk	Anc.ª	τ^{b}	P-value ^c	τ^{b}	P-value ^c	
rs6897932	IL7R	С	С	0.22	n.s. ^d	0.21	n.s. ^d	Multiple sclerosis/Type 1 diabetes
rs917997	IL18RAP (downstream)	А	G	0.42	<0.001	0.35	0.008	CeD/IBD
rs10045431	IL12B	С	С	0.43	<0.001	0.34	0.015	CD
rs7517847	IL23R	С	А	0.23	n.s. ^d	0.26	n.s. ^d	IBD
rs11209026	IL23R	G	G	0.47	<0.001	0.44	0.001	IBD
rs11465804	IL23R	Т	Т	0.39	0.004	0.44	<0.001	CD
rs6822844	IL2/IL21 (intergenic)	G	G	0.40	0.004	0.39	0.006	CeD
rs3024505	IL10	Т	С	-0.28	n.s. ^d	-0.28	n.s. ^d	Ulcerative colitis
rs17810546	IL12A	G	А	0.03	n.s. ^d	-0.11	n.s. ^d	CeD
rs13015714	IL18R1	С	А	0.47	<0.001	0.39	0.002	CeD
rs2250417	IL18	А	А	0.23	n.s. ^d	0.26	n.s. ^d	Protein quantitative trait loci
rs7626795	IL1RAP	G	А	0.20	n.s. ^d	0.04	n.s. ^d	Lung cancer
rs4129267	IL6R	С	С	-0.17	n.s. ^d	-0.24	n.s. ^d	Protein quantitative trait loci/pulmonary function
rs6761276	IL1F10 ^e	n.r.f	Т	-0.31	0.030	-0.51	< 0.001	Protein quantitative trait loci
rs12251307	IL2RA	Т	Т	-0.16	n.s. ^d	-0.13	n.s. ^d	Type 1 diabetes

^aAncestral state based on chimpanzee sequence.

^bThe correlation coefficient is calculated between pathogen richness and the risk allele frequency;.

^cBonferroni-corrected p-value (15 tests).

^dNonsignificant (P > 0.05).

eThis SNP is located within IL1F10, but affects IL1RN protein levels.

pathogen include its abilities to (a) replicate within the host, (b) select a proper cell target (tropism), (c) avoid the immune response, and (d) escape the obstacles posed by drugs. The features of the host include the immune response, the genetic background, and the availability of target cells. The reciprocal balance between these factors determines the virulence of a pathogen, a feature that, therefore, cannot be considered as a constant, but rather evolves dynamically over time.

Despite these limitations, we were able to identify several variants that are likely candidates for pathogen-driven selection, and the suitability of this approach is confirmed by the previous demonstration that variability at *HLA* genes correlates with a similar measure of pathogen richness (10). Our data point to the SNPs in Table I as good candidates for experimental analyses aimed at inferring their role in IL gene function, given that a signature of natural selection necessarily implies the presence of a functional variant (either the correlated variant itself or a linked one). Also, genes subjected to a selective pressure from infectious diseases should be regarded (42) as obvious candidates for genetic epidemiology studies (e.g., case-control studies).

It is worth noting that most members of the IL-1 signaling pathway were observed to correlate with pathogen richness. IL-1A and IL-1B are pleiotropic cytokines with central roles in immune and inflammatory responses (43) required for the development of Th2-mediated immunity and protection against chronic infection in mice (44). The observation that SNPs strongly correlated with micro- and macro-pathogen richness map to *IL1RAPL1* is more puzzling, as this gene is not known to be associated with infection and immune response, but is involved in brain development and function (45); nonetheless, this gene is expressed in tissues that are different from brain (46), suggesting that it plays other roles apart from neurodevelopment.

Strong correlations with macropathogen richness were obtained for IL4, IL4R, and IL10. These molecules are pivotal to the elicitation of Th2 response, which is the immune response central to helminth resistance (40, 47). The strongest correlation with macropathogens was observed for rs12044804 in IL19 ($\tau = -0.62$). This gene is located within an IL cluster, which also comprises IL10 and IL20, and is encompassed by a low-frequency CNV. The low levels of LD across the IL cluster (Fig. S2) suggest that IL19, IL20, and IL10 SNPs are independently associated with pathogen richness. IL19, IL20, IL22, IL24, and IL26 all belong to the IL-20 subfamily, are all produced by different leukocyte populations, and all bind to partially shared receptors that are mainly expressed by epithelial cells and known to promote keratinocyte growth and to induce skin inflammatory responses (48, 49). The correlation of SNPs in most of these genes with micropathogen and helminth richness suggests that modulation of skin immunological properties might represent an adaptive response to parasite species that infect humans through the skin.

SNPs in *IL2RB*, *IL15*, and *IL15RA* also correlated with macropathogen richness; these genes converge on the same pathway as IL-2RB and IL-15RA are part of a trimeric com-

plex that binds IL-15 (50). IL-15 has a central role in intestinal inflammatory processes and in the pathogenesis of CD and CeD (51), possibly participating in the immune protection of gut tissues. An interesting observation is that IL-2RB, via IL-15 binding, regulates the intestinal epithelial barrier by transducing signals that result in tight junction formation (52). Variation of mucosal permeability after nematode infection is a host defense response and is important for efficient parasite expulsion (53); the correlation we observed between SNPs in these genes and macropathogen richness might indicate selection for improved intestinal clearance of nematodes. Again, mouse models will prove central in addressing the role of these loci in parasite expulsion; e.g., in the case of IL4R, which also correlates with helminth richness (Table I), treatment with antibodies or use of *il4r*-deficient mice prevented expulsion of Heligmosomoides polygyrus, Trichuris muris, and Nippostrongylus brasiliensis (54).

A major locus for susceptibility to *Schistosoma mansoni* infection (*SM1*) has previously been mapped to 5q31-q33 (55). This region covers *IL4*, *IL5*, *IL3*, *IL13*, *IL9*, and *IL12B*, as well as other candidate loci (*IRF1* and *CSF2*). Although our data do not allow inference on which gene is responsible for increased susceptibility to schistosomiasis, it is worth noting that four SNPs in *IL4* display very strong correlation with helminth richness. *IL4* might therefore be regarded as a candidate locus for susceptibility to *S. mansoni* infection, with dedicated association studies being required to verify this prediction. Conversely, the *SM2* region (6q22-q23), where a locus controlling hepatic fibrosis in *S. mansoni* infection has been mapped (56), harbors no IL genes.

Pathogen-driven variations in allele frequencies can occur under different selection scenarios, such as directional or balancing selection; the latter itself is the result of an initial stage of positive selection that favors the spread in a population of a new allele until selection opposes its fixation and a balanced situation is established. Common causes of balancing selection include heterozygote advantage, changing environmental conditions, and frequency-dependent selection, all of these possibly applying to host-pathogen interactions. Also, given the pleiotropic roles of many IL genes, selective pressures different from pathogen richness might affect the evolutionary fate of these loci. Classical population genetics analyses indicated that five IL genes are likely targets of balancing selection. IL1F5, IL1F7, and IL1F10 are recently discovered IL-1 family members (57) that are located within the IL1 gene cluster; based on comparative analyses, IL-1F5 and IL-1F10 are predicted to act as antagonists (58). IL1F5 is a regulator of skin and brain inflammation (59, 60) and it is expressed in many different human tissues (57). Interestingly, an excess of heterozygotes was observed for IL1F5, suggesting that overdominance might underly the maintenance of a balanced polymorphism in the gene. Overdominance is rare in humans (36, 61) and is hypothesized to enhance immune response flexibility by modulating allele-specific gene expression in different cell types and in response to diverse stimuli/cytokines (62). Whether this is the case for IL1F5 remains to be verified.

IL1F10 is a still relatively unknown protein mainly expressed in skin, proliferating B cells, and tonsils (63). One of the intermediate frequency SNPs in the gene is accounted for by a missense substitution that replaces an aspartic acid residue with an alanine (Asp51Ala); the presence of a negatively charged residue at this position is conserved among mammals (Fig. S4), possibly suggesting functional significance and awaiting experimental testing.

In analogy to *IL1F5*, the other IL1 family member we identified as rejecting neutral evolution, i.e., *IL1F7*, also acts as an antiinflammatory molecule (64).

IL1F5, *IL1F7*, and *IL1F10* are not known to be involved in human diseases; in contrast, *IL18RAP* and *IL7R* play a role in triggering immune responses. The IL-7/IL-7R ligand-receptor pair is central to the proliferation and survival of B and T leukocytes. We identified one SNP in the gene as highly correlated with macropathogen richness (Table I). This is not surprising given the role of Th2 responses in helminth infection and the involvement of IL-7R in the TSLP signaling pathway (65), which in turn regulates Th2-mediated inflammatory responses (66).

Similar to IL7R, IL18RAP plays a known role in human pathology. The gene encodes a component of the protein complex involved in transducing IL-18 signal, resulting in the activation of NF- κ B (67). The IL-18 receptor complex is expressed in the intestine (38), and one SNP immediately downstream IL18RAP (rs917997) has been associated with both CeD and IBD (38, 39). We found the predisposing allele of rs917997 and a linked variant (rs2272128) to correlate with pathogen richness. The location of rs2272128 in the 5' gene region and its strong correlation with pathogens might suggest that it (rather than rs917997) represents or is in close LD with the functional allele. Moreover, the correlation of a risk allele for autoimmune diseases with pathogen-richness suggests an interesting link between adaptation and disease. Indeed, we observed that five more risk alleles for either IBD or CeD significantly correlate with micro- and macropathogen richness. Albeit preliminary, these data suggest that infectious agents have shaped the genetic variation at IL loci involved in intestinal inflammatory processes and, as a consequence, the genetic predisposition to both CeD and CD/IBD.

A north-south gradient for IBD prevalence has been described in both the US and Europe (68). This observation, together with the increase of IBD prevalence in the last 40 yr (68) and the hypothesis that helminths elicit Th2-mediated responses, led to the proposal that lower exposure to parasitic worms in the setting of industrialized countries results in unbalanced immune response, and eventually predisposes to IBD (68, 69). The so-called hygiene hypothesis, which clearly implies evolutionary considerations concerning human-pathogen interactions, has been supported by recent studies in both humans and mice (40, 68-70). Data herein seem to indicate that a portion of CeD- and IBD-predisposing alleles have been selected by micropathogen richness, pointing to an adaptive role for these variants. Although not directly supportive of the "IBD hygiene hypothesis," these results indicate a higher disease predisposition in subjects carrying IL SNP variants that

confer stronger protection against viruses/bacteria and therefore likely elicit more vigorous Th1 responses. Living conditions in industrialized countries have resulted in a reduction of both helminth and bacterial/viral infection. The effect of this environmental change on the homeostasis of immune responses might be difficult to reconcile with simple theories (71). In this complex scenario, we consider that evolutionary studies and population genetics approaches, such as the one proposed here, provide some insight into the genetic basis of predisposition to infectious and autoimmune diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data retrieval and haplotype construction. Data concerning the HGDP-CEPH panel derive from a previous work (20). A SNP was ascribed to a specific gene if it was located within the transcribed region or no further than 500 bp upstream the transcription start site.

Genotype data for resequenced IL genes were retrieved from the Seattle-SNPs (http://pga.mbt.washington.edu) and Innate Immunity PGA (http:// innateimmunity.net/) web sites. A total of 68 genes were available for analysis. For each gene, genotypes deriving from 24 subjects of African ancestry and 23 of Caucasian ancestry were retrieved.

Genotype data for 238 resequenced human genes were derived from the NIEHS SNPs Program web site (http://egp.gs.washington.edu). We specifically selected genes that had been resequenced in populations of defined ethnicity (NIEHS panel 2).

Haplotypes were inferred using PHASE version 2.1 (72), a program for reconstructing haplotypes from unrelated genotype data through a Bayesian statistical method.

Recombination rates were derived from the University of California at Santa Cruz genome browser web site (http://genome.ucsc.edu). Information concerning CNVs was derived from the database of genomic variants (http:// projects.tcag.ca/variation/).

Variants and risk alleles identified in genome-wide association studies were retrieved from the National Human Genome Research Institute web site (http://www.genome.gov/) updated on December 1, 2008.

Statistical analysis. D_T (23), Fu and Li's D* and F* (24) statistics, and diversity parameters θ_W (25) and π (26) were calculated using libsequence (73). Coalescent simulations were performed using the cosi package (27) and its best-fit parameters for YRI, AA, and EU populations with 10⁴ iterations. cosi is a simulation package based on a population genetics model calibrated on empirical data; it therefore allows incorporation of demographic scenarios in simulations.

The F_{ST} statistic (74) estimates genetic differentiation among populations and was calculated as previously proposed (75).

The maximum likelihood ratio HKA test was performed using the ML-HKA software (29) as previously described (18). In brief, we used multilocus data of 16 selected genes and *Pan troglodytes* (NCBI panTro2) as an outgroup (except for *IL1F7*, where *Pongo pygmaeus abelii*, NCBI ponAbe2, was used as the outgroup). The 16 reference genes were randomly selected among NIEHS loci <20 kb that have been resequenced across panel 2; the only criterion was that no reference gene rejected the neutral model (i.e., that no gene yielded significant D_T). The reference loci used were as follows: *VNN3*, *PLA2G2D*, *MB*, *MAD2L2*, *HRAS*, *CYP17A1*, *ATOX1*, *BNIP3*, *CDC20*, *NGB*, *TUBA1*, *MT3*, *NUDT1*, *PRDX5*, *RETN*, and *JUND*.

LD analyses were performed using Haploview (76), and haplotype blocks were identified through an implemented method.

Median-joining networks to infer haplotype genealogy were constructed using NETWORK 4.5 (31). Estimate of the TMRCA was obtained using a phylogeny-based approach implemented in NETWORK using a mutation rate based on the number of fixed differences between human and chimpanzee or orangutan and assuming a separation time from humans of 6 MY and 13 MY ago, respectively. A second TMRCA estimate was derived from application of a maximum-likelihood coalescent method implemented

in GENETREE (32). Again, the mutation rate μ was obtained on the basis of the divergence between human and a primate, assuming a generation time of 25 yr. Using this μ and the maximum likelihood θ (θ_{ML}), we estimated the effective population size parameter (Ne). With these assumptions, the coalescence time, scaled in 2Ne units, was converted into years. For the coalescence process, 10^6 simulations were performed. All calculations were performed in the R environment (www.r-project.org).

Environmental variables. Pathogen absence/presence matrices for the 21 countries where HGDP-CEPH populations are located were derived from the Gideon database (http://www.gideononline.com) following previous methods (10, 18). Information in Gideon is updated weekly and derives from WHO reports, National Health Ministries, PubMed searches, and epidemiology meetings. The Gideon Epidemiology module follows the status of known infectious diseases globally, as well as in individual countries, with specific notes indicating the disease's history, incidence, and distribution per country. We manually curated pathogen absence/presence matrices by extracting information from single Gideon entries. These may refer to either species or genera (in case data are not available for different species of a same genus). Following previous suggestions (10, 18), we recorded only species/ genera that are transmitted in the 21 countries, meaning that cases of transmission caused by tourism and immigration were not taken into account; also, species that have recently been eradicated as a result, for example, of vaccination campaigns, were recorded as present in the matrix. A total of 283 pathogen species were retrieved (Table S6). Other environmental variables such as average annual mean and maximum temperature, precipitation rate, and short-wave radiation flux were derived for the geographic coordinates corresponding to HGDP-CEPH populations from the NCEP/NCAR database (http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/PublicData/).

Online supplemental material. Table S1 shows correlations between the richness of viruses, bacteria, protozoa, and fungi. Table S2 is a list of IL genes analyzed in the study. Table S3 shows correlations with climatic variables. Table S4 provides diversity indexes and summary statistics for 238 human genes resequenced by the NIEHS program. Table S5 shows GENETREE estimates for *IL1F5*, *IL1F7*, and *IL7R*. Table S6 is a list of pathogen species/genera identified in at least one population. Fig. S1 shows the geographic location and pathogen richness estimates for the 52 HGDP-CEPH populations. Fig. S2 shows LD structure for IL gene clusters. Fig. S3 reports LD blocks for *IL1F5*, *IL1F7*, and *IL7R*. Fig. S4 shows multiple protein alignment for IL-1F10. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20082779/DC1.

We are grateful to Dr. Roberto Giorda for helpful discussion about the manuscript. We also wish to thank Dr. Daniele Sampietro for technical assistance in retrieving data on climatic variables.

The authors have no conflicting financial interests.

Submitted: 10 December 2008 Accepted: 28 April 2009

REFERENCES

- 1. Kapp, C. 1999. WHO warns of microbial threat. Lancet. 353:2222.
- Hotez, P.J., P.J. Brindley, J.M. Bethony, C.H. King, E.J. Pearce, and J. Jacobson. 2008. Helminth infections: the great neglected tropical diseases. J. Clin. Invest. 118:1311–1321.
- Colley, D.G., P.T. LoVerde, and L. Savioli. 2001. Infectious disease. Medical helminthology in the 21st century. *Science*. 293:1437–1438.
- Callender, J.E., S. Grantham-McGregor, S. Walker, and E.S. Cooper. 1992. Trichuris infection and mental development in children. *Lancet.* 339:181.
- Nokes, C., S.M. Grantham-McGregor, A.W. Sawyer, E.S. Cooper, and D.A. Bundy. 1992. Parasitic helminth infection and cognitive function in school children. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 247:77–81.
- Strachan, D.P. 1989. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. BMJ. 299:1259–1260.
- Zaccone, P., O.T. Burton, and A. Cooke. 2008. Interplay of parasitedriven immune responses and autoimmunity. *Trends Parasitol.* 24:35–42.

- 8. Charlesworth, D. 2006. Balancing selection and its effects on sequences in nearby genome regions. *PLoS Genet*. 2:e64.
- 9. Hurst, L.D. 2009. Fundamental concepts in genetics: genetics and the understanding of selection. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 10:83–93.
- Prugnolle, F., A. Manica, M. Charpentier, J.F. Guegan, V. Guernier, and F. Balloux. 2005. Pathogen-driven selection and worldwide HLA class I diversity. *Curr. Biol.* 15:1022–1027.
- Quintana-Murci, L., A. Alcais, L. Abel, and J.L. Casanova. 2007. Immunology in natura: clinical, epidemiological and evolutionary genetics of infectious diseases. *Nat. Immunol.* 8:1165–1171.
- Picard, C., J.L. Casanova, and L. Abel. 2006. Mendelian traits that confer predisposition or resistance to specific infections in humans. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 18:383–390.
- Frodsham, A.J., and A.V. Hill. 2004. Genetics of infectious diseases. Hum. Mol. Genet. 13 Spec No 2:R187–R194.
- 14. Lettre, G., and J.D. Rioux. 2008. Autoimmune diseases: insights from genome-wide association studies. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 17:R116–R121.
- Wu, X., A. Di Rienzo, and C. Ober. 2001. A population genetics study of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the interleukin 4 receptor alpha (IL4RA) gene. *Genes Immun.* 2:128–134.
- Sakagami, T., D.J. Witherspoon, T. Nakajima, N. Jinnai, S. Wooding, L.B. Jorde, T. Hasegawa, E. Suzuki, F. Gejyo, and I. Inoue. 2004. Local adaptation and population differentiation at the interleukin 13 and interleukin 4 loci. *Genes Immun.* 5:389–397.
- Akey, J.M., M.A. Eberle, M.J. Rieder, C.S. Carlson, M.D. Shriver, D.A. Nickerson, and L. Kruglyak. 2004. Population history and natural selection shape patterns of genetic variation in 132 genes. *PLoS Biol.* 2:e286.
- Fumagalli, M., R. Cagliani, U. Pozzoli, S. Riva, G.P. Comi, G. Menozzi, N. Bresolin, and M. Sironi. 2009. Widespread balancing selection and pathogen-driven selection at blood group antigen genes. *Genome Res.* 19:199–212.
- Pullan, R., and S. Brooker. 2008. The health impact of polyparasitism in humans: are we under-estimating the burden of parasitic diseases? *Parasitology*. 135:783–794.
- Li, J.Z., D.M. Absher, H. Tang, A.M. Southwick, A.M. Casto, S. Ramachandran, H.M. Cann, G.S. Barsh, M. Feldman, L.L. Cavalli-Sforza, and R.M. Myers. 2008. Worldwide human relationships inferred from genome-wide patterns of variation. *Science*. 319:1100–1104.
- Guernier, V., M.E. Hochberg, and J.F. Guegan. 2004. Ecology drives the worldwide distribution of human diseases. *PLoS Biol.* 2:e141.
- Handley, L.J., A. Manica, J. Goudet, and F. Balloux. 2007. Going the distance: human population genetics in a clinal world. *Trends Genet*. 23:432–439.
- Tajima, F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics*. 123:585–595.
- Fu, Y.X., and W.H. Li. 1993. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics. 133:693–709.
- 25. Watterson, G.A. 1975. On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without recombination. *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 7:256–276.
- Nei, M., and W.H. Li. 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 76:5269–5273.
- Schaffner, S.F., C. Foo, S. Gabriel, D. Reich, M.J. Daly, and D. Altshuler. 2005. Calibrating a coalescent simulation of human genome sequence variation. *Genome Res.* 15:1576–1583.
- Hudson, R.R., M. Kreitman, and M. Aguade. 1987. A test of neutral molecular evolution based on nucleotide data. *Genetics*. 116:153–159.
- Wright, S.I., and B. Charlesworth. 2004. The HKA test revisited: a maximum-likelihood-ratio test of the standard neutral model. *Genetics*. 168:1071–1076.
- Takahata, N. 1990. A simple genealogical structure of strongly balanced allelic lines and trans-species evolution of polymorphism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 87:2419–2423.
- Bandelt, H.J., P. Forster, and A. Rohl. 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16:37–48.
- Griffiths, R.C., and S. Tavare. 1995. Unrooted genealogical tree probabilities in the infinitely-many-sites model. *Math. Biosci.* 127:77–98.

- Tishkoff, S.A., and B.C. Verrelli. 2003. Patterns of human genetic diversity: implications for human evolutionary history and disease. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* 4:293–340.
- Alonso, S., S. Lopez, N. Izagirre, and C. de la Rua. 2008. Overdominance in the human genome and olfactory receptor activity. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25:997–1001.
- 35. McDonald, J.H., and M. Kreitman. 1991. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in *Drosophila*. *Nature*. 351:652–654.
- Bubb, K.L., D. Bovee, D. Buckley, E. Haugen, M. Kibukawa, M. Paddock, A. Palmieri, S. Subramanian, Y. Zhou, R. Kaul, et al. 2006. Scan of human genome reveals no new Loci under ancient balancing selection. *Genetics*. 173:2165–2177.
- Wiuf, C., K. Zhao, H. Innan, and M. Nordborg. 2004. The probability and chromosomal extent of trans-specific polymorphism. *Genetics*. 168:2363–2372.
- Hunt, K.A., A. Zhernakova, G. Turner, G.A. Heap, L. Franke, M. Bruinenberg, J. Romanos, L.C. Dinesen, A.W. Ryan, D. Panesar, et al. 2008. Newly identified genetic risk variants for celiac disease related to the immune response. *Nat. Genet.* 40:395–402.
- 39. Zhernakova, A., E.M. Festen, L. Franke, G. Trynka, C.C. van Diemen, A.J. Monsuur, M. Bevova, R.M. Nijmeijer, R. van 't Slot, R. Heijmans, et al. 2008. Genetic analysis of innate immunity in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis identifies two susceptibility loci harboring CARD9 and IL18RAP. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 82:1202–1210.
- Dunne, D.W., and A. Cooke. 2005. A worm's eye view of the immune system: consequences for evolution of human autoimmune disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 5:420–426.
- Dobson, A. 1992. People and disease. *In* The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Human Evolution. S. Jones, R. Martin, and D. Pilbeam, editors. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 411–420.
- Burgner, D., S.E. Jamieson, and J.M. Blackwell. 2006. Genetic susceptibility to infectious diseases: big is beautiful, but will bigger be even better? *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 6:653–663.
- Dinarello, C.A. 1994. The biological properties of interleukin-1. Eur. Cytokine Netw. 5:517–531.
- Helmby, H., and R.K. Grencis. 2004. Interleukin 1 plays a major role in the development of Th2-mediated immunity. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 34:3674–3681.
- 45. Carrie, A., L. Jun, T. Bienvenu, M.C. Vinet, N. McDonell, P. Couvert, R. Zemni, A. Cardona, G. Van Buggenhout, S. Frints, et al. 1999. A new member of the IL-1 receptor family highly expressed in hippocampus and involved in X-linked mental retardation. *Nat. Genet.* 23:25–31.
- 46. Born, T.L., D.E. Smith, K.E. Garka, B.R. Renshaw, J.S. Bertles, and J.E. Sims. 2000. Identification and characterization of two members of a novel class of the interleukin-1 receptor (IL-1R) family. Delineation of a new class of IL-1R-related proteins based on signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 275:29946–29954.
- Maizels, R.M., and M. Yazdanbakhsh. 2003. Immune regulation by helminth parasites: cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 3:733–744.
- Parrish-Novak, J., W. Xu, T. Brender, L. Yao, C. Jones, J. West, C. Brandt, L. Jelinek, K. Madden, P.A. McKernan, et al. 2002. Interleukins 19, 20, and 24 signal through two distinct receptor complexes. Differences in receptor-ligand interactions mediate unique biological functions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:47517–47523.
- Kotenko, S.V., L.S. Izotova, O.V. Mirochnitchenko, E. Esterova, H. Dickensheets, R.P. Donnelly, and S. Pestka. 2001. Identification of the functional interleukin-22 (IL-22) receptor complex: the IL-10R2 chain (IL-10Rbeta) is a common chain of both the IL-10 and IL-22 (IL-10-related T cell-derived inducible factor, IL-TIF) receptor complexes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:2725–2732.
- Burton, J.D., R.N. Bamford, C. Peters, A.J. Grant, G. Kurys, C.K. Goldman, J. Brennan, E. Roessler, and T.A. Waldmann. 1994. A lymphokine, provisionally designated interleukin T and produced by a human adult T-cell leukemia line, stimulates T-cell proliferation and the induction of lymphokine-activated killer cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 91:4935–4939.
- van Heel, D.A., L. Franke, K.A. Hunt, R. Gwilliam, A. Zhernakova, M. Inouye, M.C. Wapenaar, M.C. Barnardo, G. Bethel, G.K. Holmes, et al. 2007. A genome-wide association study for celiac disease iden-

tifies risk variants in the region harboring IL2 and IL21. Nat. Genet. 39:827-829.

- Nishiyama, R., T. Sakaguchi, T. Kinugasa, X. Gu, R.P. MacDermott, D.K. Podolsky, and H.C. Reinecker. 2001. Interleukin-2 receptor beta subunit-dependent and -independent regulation of intestinal epithelial tight junctions. J. Biol. Chem. 276:35571–35580.
- Murray, M., W.F. Jarrett, and F.W. Jennings. 1971. Mast cells and macromolecular leak in intestinal immunological reactions. The influence of sex of rats infected with Nippostrongylus brasiliensis. *Immunology*. 21:17–31.
- 54. Urban, J.F. Jr., N. Noben-Trauth, D.D. Donaldson, K.B. Madden, S.C. Morris, M. Collins, and F.D. Finkelman. 1998. IL-13, IL-4Ralpha, and Stat6 are required for the expulsion of the gastrointestinal nematode parasite *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*. *Immunity*. 8:255–264.
- Marquet, S., L. Abel, D. Hillaire, H. Dessein, J. Kalil, J. Feingold, J. Weissenbach, and A.J. Dessein. 1996. Genetic localization of a locus controlling the intensity of infection by *Schistosoma mansoni* on chromosome 5q31-q33. *Nat. Genet.* 14:181–184.
- Dessein, A.J., D. Hillaire, N.E. Elwali, S. Marquet, Q. Mohamed-Ali, A. Mirghani, S. Henri, A.A. Abdelhameed, O.K. Saeed, M.M. Magzoub, and L. Abel. 1999. Severe hepatic fibrosis in Schistosoma mansoni infection is controlled by a major locus that is closely linked to the interferongamma receptor gene. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 65:709–721.
- Smith, D.E., B.R. Renshaw, R.R. Ketchem, M. Kubin, K.E. Garka, and J.E. Sims. 2000. Four new members expand the interleukin-1 superfamily. J. Biol. Chem. 275:1169–1175.
- Nicklin, M.J., J.L. Barton, M. Nguyen, M.G. FitzGerald, G.W. Duff, and K. Kornman. 2002. A sequence-based map of the nine genes of the human interleukin-1 cluster. *Genomics*. 79:718–725.
- Blumberg, H., H. Dinh, E.S. Trueblood, J. Pretorius, D. Kugler, N. Weng, S.T. Kanaly, J.E. Towne, C.R. Willis, M.K. Kuechle, et al. 2007. Opposing activities of two novel members of the IL-1 ligand family regulate skin inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.* 204:2603–2614.
- Costelloe, C., M. Watson, A. Murphy, K. McQuillan, C. Loscher, M.E. Armstrong, C. Garlanda, A. Mantovani, L.A. O'Neill, K.H. Mills, and M.A. Lynch. 2008. IL-1F5 mediates anti-inflammatory activity in the brain through induction of IL-4 following interaction with SIGIRR/TIR8. *J. Neurochem.* 105:1960–1969.
- Asthana, S., S. Schmidt, and S. Sunyaev. 2005. A limited role for balancing selection. *Trends Genet.* 21:30–32.
- Beaty, J.S., K.A. West, and G.T. Nepom. 1995. Functional effects of a natural polymorphism in the transcriptional regulatory sequence of HLA-DQB1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15:4771–4782.
- Lin, H., A.S. Ho, D. Haley-Vicente, J. Zhang, J. Bernal-Fussell, A.M. Pace, D. Hansen, K. Schweighofer, N.K. Mize, and J.E. Ford. 2001. Cloning and characterization of IL-1HY2, a novel interleukin-1 family member. J. Biol. Chem. 276:20597–20602.
- Sharma, S., N. Kulk, M.F. Nold, R. Graf, S.H. Kim, D. Reinhardt, C.A. Dinarello, and P. Bufler. 2008. The IL-1 family member 7b translocates to the nucleus and down-regulates proinflammatory cytokines. *J. Immunol.* 180:5477–5482.
- Al-Shami, A., R. Spolski, J. Kelly, T. Fry, P.L. Schwartzberg, A. Pandey, C.L. Mackall, and W.J. Leonard. 2004. A role for thymic stromal lymphopoietin in CD4(+) T cell development. J. Exp. Med. 200:159–168.
- Huston, D.P., and Y.J. Liu. 2006. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin: a potential therapeutic target for allergy and asthma. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* 6:372–376.
- Wu, C., P. Sakorafas, R. Miller, D. McCarthy, S. Scesney, R. Dixon, and T. Ghayur. 2003. IL-18 receptor beta-induced changes in the presentation of IL-18 binding sites affect ligand binding and signal transduction. *J. Immunol.* 170:5571–5577.
- Elliott, D.E. Jr., J.F. Urban, C.K. Argo, and J.V. Weinstock. 2000. Does the failure to acquire helminthic parasites predispose to Crohn's disease? *FASEB J.* 14:1848–1855.
- Oliva-Hemker, M., and C. Fiocchi. 2002. Etiopathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease: the importance of the pediatric perspective. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 8:112–128.
- Weinstock, J.V., and D.E. Elliott. 2008. Helminths and the IBD hygiene hypothesis. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 15:128–133.

- 71. Radford-Smith, G.L. 2005. Will worms really cure Crohn's disease? *Gut.* 54:6–8.
- 72. Stephens, M., and P. Scheet. 2005. Accounting for decay of linkage disequilibrium in haplotype inference and missing-data imputation. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 76:449–462.
- 73. Thornton, K. 2003. Libsequence: a C++ class library for evolutionary genetic analysis. *Bioinformatics*. 19:2325–2327.
- 74. Wright, S. 1950. Genetical structure of populations. *Nature*. 166:247–249.
- 75. Hudson, R.R., M. Slatkin, and W.P. Madison. 1992. Estimation of levels of gene flow from DNA sequence data. *Genetics*. 132:583–589.
- 76. Barrett, J.C., B. Fry, J. Maller, and M.J. Daly. 2005. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics*. 21:263–265.