

Self-care and self-efficacy in self-care behaviors of older adults with multiple chronic conditions living in low-middle income country: an observational study

Received: 18 March 2025

Accepted: 1 January 2026

Published online: 10 January 2026

Cite this article as: Arapi A., Adëraj S., Mazzotta R. *et al.* Self-care and self-efficacy in self-care behaviors of older adults with multiple chronic conditions living in low-middle income country: an observational study. *BMC Nurs* (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-026-04291-x>

Alta Arapi, Sajmira Adëraj, Rocco Mazzotta, Dasilva Taci, Vicente Bernalte-Martí, Dhurata Ivziku, Rosario Caruso, Alessandro Stievano, Ercole Vellone, Gennaro Rocco & Maddalena De Maria

We are providing an unedited version of this manuscript to give early access to its findings. Before final publication, the manuscript will undergo further editing. Please note there may be errors present which affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

If this paper is publishing under a Transparent Peer Review model then Peer Review reports will publish with the final article.

1 **Self-Care and Self-Efficacy in Self-Care Behaviors of Older Adults**
2 **with Multiple Chronic Conditions Living in Low-Middle Income**
3 **Country: An Observational Study**

4
5 Alta Arapi¹, Sajmira Adëraj², Rocco Mazzotta^{2,3}, Dasilva Taci², Vicente
6 Bernalte-Martí⁴, Dhurata Ivziku⁵, Rosario Caruso⁶, Alessandro Stievano^{7,3},
7 Ercole Vellone^{2,8}, Gennaro Rocco^{9,3}, Maddalena De Maria¹⁰

8
9 ¹ Albanian Order of Nurses, Tirana, Albania

10 ² University of Rome Tor Vergata, Department of Biomedicine and
11 Prevention, Rome, Italy

12 ³ Centre of Excellence for Nursing Scholarship, Order of Nurses of Rome,
13 Rome, Italy

14 ⁴ Jaume I University, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences
15 Castellón, Castelló de la Plana, Spain

16 ⁵ Fondazione Policlinico Campus Bio-Medico, Department of Health
17 Professions, Rome, Italy

18 ⁶ University of Milan, Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, Milan,
19 Italy

20 ⁷ University of Messina, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine,
21 Messina, Italy

22 ⁸ Wroclaw Medical University, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Wrocław,
23 Poland

24 ⁹ International Center for Nursing Research Montianum Our Lady of Good
25 Counsel, Catholic University, Tirana, Albania

26 ¹⁰ Link Campus University, Department of Life Science, Health, and Health
27 Professions, Rome, Italy

28 *Corresponding Author: Rocco Mazzotta (rocco.mazzotta@uniroma2.it)

29

30 **ABSTRACT**

31 **Background.** Multiple chronic conditions (MCCs) are highly prevalent
32 worldwide, particularly among adult patients living in low- and middle-
33 income countries (LMICs). Self-care behaviors are recommended to reduce
34 the burden of MCCs and improve outcomes. Self-care is a naturalistic
35 decision-making process that encompasses maintenance, monitoring, and
36 management of chronic conditions. Self-efficacy in self-care, defined as an
37 individual's confidence in performing effective self-care behaviors, is
38 essential for sustaining long-term behavioral change. However, evidence on
39 self-care and self-efficacy in self-care behaviors among older adults with
40 MCCs in LMICs remains limited. This study aimed to describe self-care and
41 self-efficacy in self-care behaviors among older adults with MCCs living in
42 Albania, an LMIC.

43 **Design.** Multicenter cross-sectional observational study.

44 **Methods.** We enrolled 376 patients affected by MCCs living in Albania, an
45 LMIC. The Self-Care of Chronic Illness Inventory (SC-CII) and the Self-Care
46 Self-Efficacy Scale (SC-SES) were used to assess self-care and self-efficacy
47 in self-care behaviors, respectively. Descriptive statistics were carried out.

48 **Results.** Participants were mostly female (54.3%), with a mean age of 74.1
49 (SD = 6.2) years, low educational level (65.4%). On average, participants had
50 two chronic conditions. The most frequently reported conditions were
51 hypertension (88.2%) and diabetes mellitus (DM) (75.1%). The least
52 frequently performed self-care behaviors included engaging in regular
53 physical activity (63%), practicing stress management (85%), monitoring
54 medication side effects (41.5%), and adjusting diet or fluid intake in response
55 to symptoms (60%).

56 **Conclusion.** Older adults with MCCs living in Albania, a representative of
57 LMIC reported inadequate self-care and self-efficacy in self-care in specific
58 behaviors. These findings highlight the urgent need for tailored interventions
59 to enhance self-care and self-efficacy in self-care behaviors in this vulnerable
60 population. Further research is needed to identify factors influencing self-
61 care behaviors among older adults with MCCs in LMICs.

62

63 **Keywords.** Self-care, self-care self-efficacy, chronic conditions, older adults,
64 low- and middle income countries.

65

66 **Background**

67 The global population is aging at an unprecedented rate, with the
68 number of individuals aged 65 and older expected to double to 2.1 billion.
69 Approximately 80% of these individuals live in low- and middle-income
70 countries (LMICs) [1]. As this demographic expands, there will be a
71 significant increase in the prevalence of Multiple Chronic Conditions (MCCs),
72 defined as the concurrent presence of two or more chronic diseases in a
73 single individual [2, 3]. Currently, the global prevalence of MCCs is estimated
74 at 37.2% [4], with wide variation across LMICs ranging from 3.2% to 90.5%
75 [5]. Individuals with MCCs are often confronted with disability [6], reduced
76 quality of life [7], elevated rates of hospitalization and mortality [8], and
77 overall poorer health outcomes [9]. Additionally, MCCs contribute to
78 increased healthcare costs and a higher demand for medical resources [10].

79 To contrast the burden of MCCs, the World Health Organization (WHO)
80 recommends self-care as a critical strategy for achieving universal health
81 coverage, promoting health, and safeguarding vulnerable populations across
82 all economic settings. The-Middle Range Theory of Chronic Illness [11]
83 defines self-care as a naturalistic decision-making process that involves three
84 interrelated components: self-care maintenance, self-care monitoring, and
85 self-care management. Self-Care maintenance involves behaviors to maintain
86 stability (e.g., follow disease-specific diet). Self-Care monitoring refers to
87 regularly checking for symptoms and assessing health status to detect
88 changes early enabling proactive responses (e.g., monitor medication side-
89 effect). Self-Care management involves actions taken when symptoms occur
90 (i.e., seeking medical advice to manage and alleviate symptoms effectively)
91 [12]. These three components are dynamic and interdependent, collectively

92 contributing to improved outcomes for patients with chronic illnesses (Riegel
93 et al., 2021). Evidence indicates that adequate self-care is associated with
94 fewer hospitalizations, improved quality of life, and better event-free survival
95 [13-15]. However, adherence to self-care behaviors is often poor, particularly
96 in individuals with MCCs, due to the complexity of managing multiple
97 illnesses, competing treatment regimens, and the burden of continuous
98 monitoring [13, 16-18].

99 In this context, self-efficacy in self-care, defined as the belief in one's
100 ability to successfully perform specific actions to achieve desired outcomes
101 [19], plays a pivotal role. Higher self-efficacy in self-care is associated with
102 greater confidence in disease management, persistence in the face of
103 challenges, and improved emotional regulation [20, 21]. Conversely, patients
104 with low self-efficacy in self-care may perceive self-care as overwhelming,
105 leading to reduced engagement and poorer outcomes [22, 23]. Strengthening
106 self-efficacy in self-care is essential for improving adherence to complex self-
107 care regimens and, ultimately, health outcomes [24, 25].

108 Although several studies have explored self-care in people with single
109 chronic condition [26-29] often documenting inadequate behaviors across all
110 self-care components [30, 31] research focusing on MCCs remains scarce.
111 Nevertheless, a recent systematic review suggested that self-care
112 interventions may improve outcomes among socioeconomically
113 disadvantaged older adults [32].

114 To date, however, only one study has examined self-care behaviors
115 within the framework of the Middle - Range Theory [11] in the context of
116 MCCs, conducted in a high-income European country; it revealed suboptimal
117 behaviors across all three self-care components [33, 34]. No studies have yet
118 described the self-efficacy in self-care behaviors among patients with MCCs
119 in an LMIC where the burden of chronic disease is particularly high and
120 healthcare resources are limited. This lack of evidence represents a critical
121 knowledge gap. Understanding self-care behaviors and self-efficacy in self-
122 care among older adults with MCCs in LMICs is essential for several reasons.

123 First, it provides insights into how patients manage their conditions in
124 environments with limited healthcare resources. Second, it informs the
125 development of tailored interventions targeting inadequately performed self-
126 care behaviors, with the potential to strengthen the overall self-care process,
127 enhance self-efficacy in self-care [35], and improve health outcomes. Finally,
128 such evidence can support healthcare systems in optimizing scarce resources
129 and building more sustainable models of chronic illness management in
130 vulnerable populations. Therefore, the present study aimed to describe (i)
131 self-care behaviors across the three theoretical components of self-care
132 maintenance, monitoring, and management, and (ii) self-efficacy in self-care
133 behaviors among older adults with MCCs living in Albania, a LMIC.

134 **Methods**

135 **Design**

136 A multicenter cross-sectional observational study design was used. The data
137 were drawn from an ongoing study, the Self-Care of Patient and Caregiver
138 DyAds in Multiple Chronic Conditions: A Longitudinal Study (SODALITY),
139 aimed to assess self-care among patients with MCCs and their caregivers
140 [36]. The study adheres to the EQUATOR guidelines and follows the
141 STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology
142 guidelines for reporting cross-sectional observational studies [37].

144 **Participants and settings**

145 A sample of 376 patients with MCCs and their caregivers was recruited
146 from community and outpatient settings across all 12 districts of Albania,
147 including Berat, Dibër, Durrës, Elbasan, Fier, Gjirokastër, Korçë, Kukës,
148 Lezhë, Shkodër, Tiranë, and Vlorë.

149 Patients were eligible if they were at least 65 years old with a diagnosis
150 of Diabetes Mellitus (DM), Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD),
151 or Heart Failure (HF) and at least one additional chronic disease. Patient
152 with a diagnosis of cancer and/or dementia were excluded.

153 Caregivers were eligible if over 18 years they were recognized by the
154 patient as their primary, unpaid, informal caregiver. Caregivers were
155 excluded if they were under 18 years of age.

156 For this analysis, we used all patients enrolled in the study at the time
157 of analysis.

158

159 **Instruments**

160 The Albanian version of Self-Care of Chronic Illness Inventory (SC-CII- Al)
161 was used to assess the self-care maintenance, self-care monitoring and self-
162 care management behaviors performed by MCCs patient [38]. The SC-CII- Al,
163 a 19-item instrument based on the Middle - Range theory of Chronic Illness
164 [11], includes three scales: self-care maintenance, self-care monitoring and
165 self-care management. The Self-Care Maintenance Scale (seven items)
166 evaluates behaviors that individuals perform to maintain physical and
167 emotional stability (e.g., taking prescribed medications). The Self-Care
168 Monitoring Scale (five items) measures how individuals routinely monitor
169 their symptoms and overall health status (e.g., checking for changes in
170 symptoms). The Self-Care Management Scale (six items) assesses how
171 individuals respond to symptoms when they occur (i.e., change what he/she
172 eats or drinks to manage symptoms). One item (item #14, how quickly did
173 you recognize it as a symptom of your illness?) measures symptom
174 recognition. For this item, response options include not applicable for people
175 without symptoms, 0 (I did not recognize the symptom), or from 1 (not
176 quickly) to 5 (very quickly). For the self-care maintenance and monitoring
177 scales each item is measured using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from
178 “Never” (1) to “Always” (5) [39]. For the self-care management each item
179 ranging from 1 (not likely) to 5 (very likely). All three scales use a
180 standardized score from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better self-
181 care. A cut-off point score ≥ 70 was used to identify adequate CC to self-care
182 [40]. The SC-CII, freely available in more than ten languages including

183 Albanian (<https://self-care-measures.com>), demonstrated good validity in a
184 cross-cultural study involving patients, in the US, Italy, and Sweden, with
185 chronic conditions [41]. Partial scalar invariance for all the three scales was
186 reached across the three cultural groups (Comparative Fit Index [CFI]
187 ranging from 0.946 to 0.996 across the three scales). Also, the SC-CII has
188 good reliability with reliability coefficients ranging from 0.67 to 0.81 across
189 the three scales. Additionally, the Albanian version of the SC-CII was tested
190 in a sample of MCCs patients living in a LMIC confirming good psychometric
191 properties (factorial validity: CFI ranging from 0.96 to 0.99 across the three
192 scales; reliability coefficients ranging from 0.70 and 0.87) [38].

193 **Self-Care Self-Efficacy Scale (SCSES) [42]**

194 The Self-Care Self-Efficacy Scale (SC-SES) is a widely used instrument
195 for measuring self-efficacy in self-care behaviors across any type and number
196 of chronic conditions. The SC-SES is composed of 10 items and uses a 5-point
197 Likert scale ranging from “Not confident” (1) to “Very confident” (5). The SC-
198 SES has a standardized score ranging from 0 to 100, with higher scores
199 indicating greater self-efficacy in self-care behaviors. Across chronic patients
200 in the US, China, Italy and Brazil, the SC-SES demonstrated strong validity,
201 tested by measurement equivalence (a partial scalar invariance level was
202 found across countries), as well as good reliability, tested with Cronbach's
203 alpha coefficients (ranging from 0.89 to 0.93 across the four cultural groups)
204 [42]. The SC-SES, originally drafted in English, was translated into Albanian
205 following the Principles of Good Practice for the Translation and Cultural
206 Adaptation Process for Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Measures [43]. For
207 this study, we tested the reliability by Cronbach Alpha coefficient that was
208 adequate and equal to 0.91.

209 The sociodemographic characteristics (e.g., sex, age, education level)
210 and clinical characteristics (e.g., number and type of chronic diseases,
211 disease severity) of the participants were assessed using a questionnaire
212 developed specifically for this study.

213 **Data collection**

214 Data were collected between August 2020 and April 2023 in community and
215 outpatient settings. Participants were enrolled by nurse research assistants
216 who were trained specifically to collect data for the study. After identifying
217 eligible participants, the research assistant explained the purpose of the
218 study and invited them to participate. Participants completed the paper
219 questionnaires independently whenever possible. In case of visual or writing
220 difficulties, the research assistants administered the questionnaires through
221 face-to-face interviews.

222 **Data analysis**

223 All data analyses were performed using IBM SPSS, version 27.0. The dataset
224 was complete, and no missing values were observed. Descriptive statistics
225 were used to provide a detailed description of the sample characteristics,
226 including means and standard deviations (SD). Additionally, frequencies and
227 percentages were calculated to summarize categorical variables. To assess
228 self-care and self-efficacy in self-care the prevalence of each specific behavior
229 was described by computing item-level frequencies within the SC-CII and SC-
230 SES. For each item, we calculated the percentage of inadequacy, defined as
231 the proportion of patients who scored ≤ 3 on the Likert scale across all scales.
232 Specifically, this included responses up to 'Sometimes' (for Self-care
233 Maintenance and Self-care Monitoring scales), 'Moderately Likely' (for Self-
234 care Management scale), and 'Somewhat Confident' (Self-care Self-efficacy).
235 This approach has been previously used to define inadequacy self-care
236 behaviors [34, 44].

237 **Ethical considerations**

238 Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Catholic University of Our
239 Lady of Good Counsel (Protocol Number 237/2020). Informed consent was
240 obtained from all participants prior to enrollment. All study procedures
241 adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All data
242 were treated confidentially, participation was voluntary, and all participants

243 provided written informed consent. Participants were anonymized using
 244 alphanumeric codes and stored on secure, password-protected servers
 245 compliant with GDPR and Albanian data protection laws to ensure privacy
 246 and confidentiality. The participants could withdraw of the study at any
 247 time without providing a reason.

248 **Results**

249 **Characteristics of the Sample**

250 A sample of 376 MCCs patients were enrolled. Participants were mainly
 251 female (54.3%) with a mean age of 74.1 years (**Table 1**). Most participants
 252 had a low educational level (65.4%), were retired (97.1%), and married
 253 (67.8%). A majority perceived their income as sufficient to meet daily needs
 254 (76.1%). The most common chronic conditions were hypertension (88.2%)
 255 and DM (75.1%), followed by HF (23.6%) and COPD (13.6%).

256

257 **Table 1.** Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants (N=376 MCCs patients)

| Variable | Mean (SD) |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| Age (years) | 74.1 (6.2) |
| Number of chronic conditions | 2.5 (0.7) |
| | N (%) |
| Gender | |
| Female | 204 (54.3) |
| Male | 172 (45.7) |
| Education level | |
| ≤ 8 years | 246 (65.4) |
| ≥ 9 years | 130 (34.6) |
| Employment status | |
| Retired | 365 (97.1) |
| Employed | 11 (2.9) |
| Marital status | |
| Married | 255 (67.8) |
| Single/Divorced/Widowed | 121 (32.2) |

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Perceived income adequacy | |
| More than needed | 15 (4.0) |
| Enough for living | 286 (76.1) |
| Less than needed | 75 (19.9) |
| Chronic conditions | |
| Hypertension + other | 337 (88.2) |
| DM + other | 287 (75.1) |
| HF + Other | 90 (23.6) |
| COPD + Other | 52 (13.6) |
| OP + Other | 45 (11.8) |
| RA + Other | 44 (11.5) |

258 **Legend.** SD: Standard Deviation; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; DM:
 259 Diabetes Mellitus; HF: Heart Failure; HTA: Hypertension; OP: Osteoporosis; RA: Rheumatoid
 260 Arthritis.

261

262 **Self-care maintenance behaviors**

263 **Table 2** summarizes self-care maintenance behaviours at the item-
 264 level among patients with MCCs. Physical activity (item #3) and stress
 265 management (item #8) emerged as the least frequently performed
 266 behaviors. More than 63% of participants reported engaging in physical
 267 activity only “sometimes” or less, and over 82% reported inadequate stress
 268 management, with very few indicating that they “often” (9.3%) or “always”
 269 (8.2%) managed stress effectively. In contrast, participants reported that
 270 they “often” or “always” took their prescribed medications without missing
 271 a dose (94.9%) (item #6), making this the most consistently performed
 272 behaviour. Similarly, 70.2% of patients reported that they “often” or
 273 “always” visited their healthcare provider for routine care (item #5).
 274 Adequate sleep was also relatively common, with 63.3% indicating that they
 275 “often” or “always” ensured sufficient rest.

276

277 **Table 2.** Self-care maintenance behaviors of adult patients affected by multiple chronic
 278 condition living in low middle income (N=376 patients)

| | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | N (%) | | | | |
| 1. Make sure to get enough sleep? | 20 (5.3) | 19 (5.0) | 99 (26.3) | 124 (32.9) | 114 (30.3) |
| 2. Try to avoid getting sick (e.g., flu shot. wash your hands)? | 5 (1.3) | 5 (1.3) | 55 (14.6) | 134 (35.6) | 177 (20.4) |
| 3. Do physical activity (e.g., take a brisk walk. use the stairs)? | 33 (8.7) | 77 (20.4) | 130 (34.5) | 59 (15.7) | 77 (20.4) |
| 4. Eat a special diet? | 31 (8.2) | 41 (10.9) | 122 (32.4) | 102 (27.1) | 80 (21.2) |
| 5. See your healthcare provider for routine health care? | 5 (1.3) | 22 (5.8) | 85 (22.6) | 130 (34.6) | 134 (35.6) |
| 6. Take prescribed medicines without missing a dose? | 1 (0.2) | 4 (1.0) | 14 (3.7) | 91 (24.2) | 266 (70.7) |
| 8. Manage stress? | 87 (23.1) | 100 (26.5) | 123 (32.7) | 35 (26.6) | 31 (8.2) |

279 Self-care monitoring behaviors

280 Regarding self-care monitoring, 45% of participants reported that they
 281 never, rarely, or only sometimes monitored the side effects of their
 282 medications (item #11) and 41.5% reported monitoring whether they felt
 283 more tired than usual during normal activities (item #12) (**Table 3**).
 284 Conversely, over 69% of participants frequently or always monitored their
 285 chronic conditions (item #9), and 66% paid close attention to changes in how
 286 they felt (item #10).

287 Twelve percent of participants reported not experiencing any
 288 symptoms. Among those who did experience symptoms, 27% indicated that
 289 they did not recognize them quickly, whereas about 10% reported
 290 recognizing them very quickly.

291

292 **Table 3.** Self-care monitoring behaviors of adult patients affected by multiple chronic
 293 condition living in low middle income (N=376 patients)

294

| | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | N (%) | | | | |
| 9. Monitor your condition? | 6 (1.6) | 14 (3.7) | 95 (25.3) | 123 (32.7) | 138 (36.7) |

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10. Pay attention to changes in how you feel? | 8 (2.1) | 15 (4.0) | 106 (28.2) | 120 (31.9) | 127 (33.8) |
| 11. Monitor for medication side effects? | 19 (5.1) | 32 (8.6) | 116 (30.9) | 105 (27.9) | 104 (27.7) |
| 12. Monitor whether you tire more than usual doing normal activities? | 16 (4.3) | 27 (7.2) | 109 (29.0) | 116 (30.9) | 108 (28.7) |
| 13. Monitor for symptoms? | 13 (3.5) | 23 (6.1) | 116 (30.9) | 119 (31.6) | 105 (27.9) |

| | Have not had symptoms | I did not recognize the symptom | Not Quickly | Not very Quickly | Somewhat Quickly | Quickly | Very Quickly |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|
| 14. If you had symptoms in the past month, how quickly did you recognize it as a symptom of your illness? | 46 (12.2) | 36 (9.6) | 103 (27.4) | 36 (9.6) | 86 (22.9) | 32 (8.5) | 37 (9.8) |

295

296 **Self-care management behaviors**

297 Regarding the self-care management scale, more than 60% of
 298 participants were not likely," "somewhat likely," or "moderately likely" to
 299 change what they ate or drank to reduce or eliminate symptoms when they
 300 occurred (item #15) (**Table 4**). Additionally, participants reported being
 301 likely to adjust their level of physical activity when symptoms occurred (item
 302 #16). Over 75% of participants reported taking medication to alleviate
 303 symptoms (item #17), while 80% stated that they would inform their
 304 healthcare provider about the symptoms at the next office visit (item #18).

305

306 **Table 4.** Self-care management behaviors of adult patients affected by multiple chronic
 307 condition living in low middle income (N=376 patients)

308

| | Not Likely | Somewhat Likely | Moderately Likely | Likely | Very Likely |
|---|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| <i>When you have symptoms, how likely are you to . . .</i> | N (%) | | | | |
| 15. Change what you eat or drink to make the symptom decrease or go away? | 42 (11.2) | 32 (8.5) | 152 (40.4) | 84 (22.3) | 66 (17.6) |

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 16. Change your activity level (e.g., slow down, rest)? | 20 (5.3) | 18 (4.8) | 119 (31.6) | 114 (30.3) | 105 (27.9) | |
| 17. Take a medicine to make the symptom decrease or go away? | 13 (3.5) | 9 (2.4) | 71 (18.9) | 103 (27.4) | 180 (47.9) | |
| 18. Tell your healthcare provider about the symptom at the next office visit? | 11 (2.9) | 15 (2.4) | 49 (13.0) | 109 (29.0) | 192 (51.1) | |
| 19. Call your healthcare provider for guidance? | 43 (11.4) | 42 (11.2) | 80 (21.3) | 98 (26.1) | 113 (30.1) | |
| | I did not do anything | Not Sure | Somewhat sure | Moderately sure | Sure | Very sure |
| 20. Think of a treatment you used the last time you had symptoms. Did the treatment you used make you feel better? | 69 (18.4) | 51 (13.5) | 51 (13.6) | 115 (30.6) | 54 (14.4) | 36 (9.6) |

309

310 Self-Efficacy in Self-Care behaviors

311 The descriptive statistics of the self-care self-efficacy behaviors revealed
 312 that nearly half of the participants (48.4%) were “not confident at all,”
 313 “slightly confident,” or “somewhat confident” in their ability to keep
 314 themselves stable and free from symptoms (item #1) (**Table 5**). Additionally,
 315 62% of participants lacked confidence in persisting to find a remedy for
 316 symptoms, when faced with challenges (item #10). Conversely, over 78% of
 317 patients reported feeling confident in following their prescribed treatment
 318 plan (item #2), and 67% were confident in routinely monitoring their health
 319 condition (item #4).

320

321 **Table 5.** Self-efficacy in self-care of adult patients affected by multiple chronic condition
 322 living in low middle income (N=376 patients)

323

| | Not Confident at all | Slightly confident | Somewhat Confident | Fairly confident | Completely Confident |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>In general, how confident are you that you can:</i> | N (%) | | | | |
| 1. Keep yourself stable and free of symptoms? | 18 (4.8) | 21 (5.6) | 143 (38.0) | 138 (36.7) | 56 (14.9) |
| 2. Follow the treatment plan you have been given? | 8 (2.1) | 14 (3.7) | 59 (15.7) | 149 (39.6) | 146 (38.8) |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| 3. Persist in following the treatment plan even when difficult? | 18 (4.8) | 17 (4.5) | 121 (32.2) | 137 (36.4) | 83 (22.8) |
| 4. Monitor your condition routinely? | 20 (5.3) | 17 (4.5) | 86 (22.9) | 140 (37.2) | 113 (30.1) |
| 5. Persist in routinely monitoring your condition even when difficult? | 25 (6.6) | 23 (6.6) | 132 (35.1) | 124 (33.0) | 70 (18.6) |
| 6. Recognize changes in your health if they occur? | 14 (3.7) | 17 (4.5) | 119 (31.6) | 135 (35.9) | 91 (24.2) |
| 7. Evaluate the importance of your symptoms? | 20 (5.3) | 34 (9.0) | 129 (34.3) | 105 (27.9) | 88 (23.4) |
| 8. Do something to relieve your symptoms? | 18 (4.8) | 19 (5.1) | 103 (27.3) | 136 (36.1) | 100 (26.5) |
| 9. Persist in finding a remedy for your symptoms even when difficult? | 36 (9.6) | 51 (13.6) | 145 (38.5) | 84 (22.3) | 60 (15.9) |
| 10. Evaluate how well a remedy works? | 31 (8.2) | 33 (8.8) | 133 (35.3) | 124 (32.9) | 55 (14.6) |

324

325 **Discussions**

326 This study aimed to describe the self-care behaviors across the three
327 theoretical components of self-care (maintenance, monitoring, and
328 management), and self-efficacy in self-care among older adult patients with
329 MMCs in a LMIC. To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide an item-
330 level description of how MCCs patients in LMIC context manage their health
331 through specific self-care behaviors. The results reveal marked variability
332 across behaviors, reflecting the complexity and multifaceted nature of the
333 self-care process in this vulnerable population.

334 In LMICs, where healthcare resources are often severely constrained
335 due to inadequate funding, economic instability, and a shortage of trained
336 healthcare providers, access to advanced treatments and essential
337 medications is a significant challenge [45, 46]. In this context, performing
338 adequate self-care behaviors is crucial for effectively managing MCCs. The
339 insights gained from this study are not only valuable but vital for healthcare
340 professionals working with adult patients in LMICs who are affected by
341 MCCs. By identifying critical gaps in patient self-care behaviors, these
342 findings provide a clear guide for clinicians to develop targeted, evidence-
343 based interventions that address these deficiencies. Implementing such

344 interventions in clinical practice can lead to more effective management of
345 MCCs, ultimately improving patient outcomes. Additionally, these results can
346 help clinicians optimize the use of scarce resources, thereby enhancing the
347 quality of care in these challenging environments.

348 Our findings showed that MCCs adult patients living in LMICs
349 performed inadequate self-care behaviors. These results are consistent with
350 similar research conducted in Italy, a high-income country (HIC), where De
351 Maria et colleagues (2025) also observed inadequate self-care behaviors
352 specially in self-care maintenance and self-care management behaviors. In
353 Italy, patients exhibited more robust self-care monitoring behaviors
354 compared to those in our sample. These differences may be attributed to the
355 varying levels of healthcare infrastructure, access to resources, and patient
356 education between LMICs and high-income countries (HICs) like Italy. In
357 high-income settings, better access to healthcare services and educational
358 resources may enhance patients' ability to effectively monitor their
359 conditions, even when maintenance and management behaviors fall short.
360 Conversely, in LMICs, the overall constraints on healthcare resources and
361 education may affect not just the ability to maintain and manage conditions
362 but also limit the effectiveness of self-care monitoring behaviors [47, 48].

363

364 **Self-care maintenance behaviors**

365 Regarding self-care maintenance behaviors our results showed that our
366 participants infrequently engage in physical activity and poorly manage
367 stress. However, most consistently took prescribed medications and actively
368 try to avoid illness. The findings align with results found in previous studies
369 in HICs [31, 34]. In all these studies physical activity and stress management
370 behaviors were found to be suboptimal. This similarity suggests that
371 regardless of the country's economic status, older adults with MCCs face
372 significant challenges in these areas of self-care [49]. The consistent
373 adherence to medication in LMICs is also mirrored in the Italian context,

374 indicating that while some aspects of self-care are managed well, physical
375 activity and stress management require more targeted interventions globally.
376

377 **Self-care monitoring behaviors**

378 Regarding self-care monitoring behaviors, our results show that a
379 substantial portion of participants did not consistently monitor the side
380 effects of their medications or assess whether they felt more tired than usual
381 during normal activities. However, most participants frequently monitored
382 their chronic conditions, and paid attention to changes in how they felt. These
383 findings are inconsistent with previous evidence derived from a HIC which
384 also reported similar challenges in medication monitoring and fatigue
385 assessment [34]. Specifically, in Italy fewer patients were inadequate in
386 monitoring medication side effects, and a higher percentage effectively
387 monitored changes in their physical and psychological states. These
388 differences may be attributed to factors such as better access to healthcare
389 services, higher health literacy, cultural attitudes toward health, and
390 stronger support systems in HICs like Italy [50]. Collectively these factors
391 contribute to more effective self-care monitoring in Italy compared to LMICs.

392

393 **Self-care management behaviors**

394 Our findings on self-care management behaviors reveal that many
395 participants were unlikely to change their diet or adjust their physical activity
396 to manage symptoms, although most took their medication and reported
397 symptoms to their healthcare provider at the next visit. These trends in
398 LMICs differ from those observed in HICs, where patients regularly reported
399 symptoms but were often dissatisfied with treatment efficacy [34]. These
400 differences may stem from limited access to healthcare, lower health literacy,
401 and cultural factors in LMICs that discourage proactive symptom
402 management. In contrast, patients in HICs, with better access to healthcare
403 and education, may have higher expectations and are more critical of

404 treatment outcomes [51]. Cultural norms in HICs also likely promote more
405 frequent communication with healthcare providers, resulting in higher rates
406 of symptom reporting.

407

408 These findings are particularly novel in demonstrating how systemic
409 constraints in LMICs not only affect access to treatment but also influence
410 patients' capacity to engage in effective self-care, highlighting the urgent
411 need for context-specific interventions.

412

413 **Self-care self-efficacy behaviors**

414 Patients reported low self-efficacy in self-care, particularly in
415 maintaining the stability of their MCCs and in persisting to find remedies for
416 managing symptoms. These findings aligns with previous studies conducted
417 in HICs, where multiple comorbidities have also been shown to lower self-
418 efficacy and complicate self-care [31, 52]. The presence of MCCs exacerbates
419 challenges, as patients struggle with naturalistic decision-making, affecting
420 their perception of their health and environment [52]. Several factors can
421 contribute to this low of self-efficacy in self-care. Limited access to healthcare
422 resources often leaves patients without the necessary tools and support to
423 manage their conditions independently. Lower health literacy further
424 compounds the issue, as patients may not fully understand the importance of
425 proactive management [53]. In some LMICs, cultural beliefs may discourage
426 self-directed health management, fostering reliance on healthcare providers
427 rather than promoting personal responsibility for health [54]. Financial
428 constraints also play a significant role, limiting access to treatments and
429 follow-up care, thereby weakening patients' confidence in effectively
430 managing their symptoms [55].

431 On the other hand, patients expressed greater confidence in following
432 treatment plans and monitoring their health. This may be due to the
433 structured nature of these activities, with clear guidance from healthcare

434 providers, making them easier to follow. Additionally, support from family
435 caregivers is crucial in ensuring adherence to treatment plans [28] and health
436 monitoring, providing a safety net that boosts patients' confidence in these
437 aspects of self-care [56]. This support system, combined with the directive
438 nature of prescribed treatments, likely makes these tasks more manageable
439 for patients, even amid broader systemic challenges.

440

441 **Implication for practice and research**

442 This study reveals important clinical and research implications for
443 improving self-care among patients with MCCs in LMICs. It emphasizes the
444 importance of systematically assessing self-care practices to identify at-risk
445 patients and developing targeted psychoeducational programs to enhance
446 self-care and self-efficacy in self-care behaviors. These interventions should
447 be tailored to the specific challenges in LMICs, such as limited healthcare
448 resources, low health literacy, and cultural barriers, to ensure effectiveness.
449 The findings also highlight a universal need to improve strategies for physical
450 activity and stress management among older adults with chronic conditions,
451 regardless of their economic or geographic context. Implementing these
452 strategies in clinical practice can significantly improve patient outcomes and
453 help optimize the use of limited healthcare resources in LMICs, ultimately
454 contributing to better management of MCCs globally.

455 Future research should prioritize longitudinal designs to identify the
456 determinants and risk factors for inadequate self-care in this specific
457 population. Understanding these drivers is essential for developing targeted
458 interventions aimed at strengthening self-efficacy and improving self-care
459 behaviors in LMIC contexts.

460 Additionally, comparative studies between LMICs and HICs could
461 provide deeper insights into effective interventions across different settings,
462 guiding the development of globally applicable self-care frameworks. These
463 efforts will ultimately aim to optimize patient outcomes and ensure that the

464 limited healthcare resources in LMICs are utilized effectively to manage
465 MCCs.

466

467 **Strengths and limitations**

468 This study has several strengths. It is the first to provide an in-depth
469 analysis of self-care behaviors among MCC patients in an LMIC, thereby
470 making a significant contribution to the existing literature on this topic. The
471 study's methodology is robust, employing a validated and reliable instrument
472 that was specifically tested in this population, which enhances the reliability
473 of the findings. However, there are notable limitations. The reliance on a
474 convenience sample may limit the broader applicability of the results.
475 Additionally, although the study was conducted across multiple centers, it
476 was confined to a single LMIC, meaning that specific sociocultural factors
477 unique to this setting could have influenced the outcomes, potentially limiting
478 the generalizability of the findings to other LMICs.

479

480 **Conclusions**

481 Patients living in LMICs reported inadequate self-care and self-efficacy
482 in self-care in specific behaviors. Further research is necessary to identify the
483 factors influencing these behaviors and to develop targeted interventions to
484 improve the self-care process in this vulnerable population. These findings
485 highlight the urgent need for interventions to promote better self-care
486 practices in LMICs, where resources and education on managing chronic
487 conditions are often limited.

488

489 **Abbreviations**

490 LMICs = low- and middle-income countries.

491 HICs = high income countries.

492 MCCs = Multiple Chronic Conditions.

493 WHO = World Health Organization.

494 SC-CII-AI = Albanian version of Self-Care of Chronic Illness Inventory.

495 SC-SES = Self-Care Self-Efficacy Scale

496

497 **Declarations**

498 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

499 Ethical approval for the study was granted by Catholic University of Our Lady
500 of Good Counsel (Protocol Number 237/2020).

501

502 **Consent for publication**

503 N.A.

504

505 **Availability of data and materials**

506 Dataset is available upon request from the authors.

507

508 **Competing interests**

509 The authors declare no competing interests.

510

511 **Funding**

512 This study was supported by a grant Catholic University of Our Lady of Good
513 Counsel (Protocol Number 237/2020).

514

515 **Sample CRediT author statement**

516 **Alta Arapi:** investigation, data curation and writing—original draft;

517 **Sajmira Adëraj:** investigation, data curation and writing—original draft;

518 **Rocco Mazzotta:** formal analysis, writing—original draft; **Dasilva Taci:**

519 investigation, data curation and writing—original draft; **Vicente Bernalte-**

520 **Martí:** writing—original draft; **Dhurata Ivziku:** writing—original draft;

521 **Rosario Caruso:** writing—original draft; **Alessandro Stievano:** writing—

522 original draft; **Ercole Vellone:** Conceptualization, methodology and

523 supervision; **Gennaro Rocco:** Conceptualization, methodology, data

524 curation and supervision; **Maddalena De Maria**: Conceptualization,
525 methodology, formal analysis, data curation and supervision.

526

527 **Acknowledgements**

528 N.A.

529

530 **Clinical trial number**

531 Not applicable.

532

533 **References**

534 1. World Health Organization. Ageing and health 2022 [Available from:
535 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health>.

536 2. Dattalo M, DuGoff E, Ronk K, Kennelty K, Gilmore-Bykovskyi A, Kind
537 AJ. Apples and Oranges: Four Definitions of Multiple Chronic Conditions and
538 their Relationship to 30-Day Hospital Readmission. *J Am Geriatr Soc*.
539 2017;65(4):712-20. 10.1111/jgs.14539

540 3. Rizzuto D, Mossello E, Fratiglioni L, Santoni G, Wang HX. Personality
541 and Survival in Older Age: The Role of Lifestyle Behaviors and Health Status.
542 *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2017;25(12):1363-72. 10.1016/j.jagp.2017.06.008

543 4. Chowdhury SR, Chandra Das D, Sunna TC, Beyene J, Hossain A. Global
544 and regional prevalence of multimorbidity in the adult population in
545 community settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
546 *EClinicalMedicine*. 2023;57:101860. 10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101860

547 5. Abebe F, Schneider M, Asrat B, Ambaw F. Multimorbidity of chronic
548 non-communicable diseases in low- and middle-income countries: A scoping
549 review. *J Comorb*. 2020;10:2235042X20961919.
550 10.1177/2235042X20961919

551 6. Calderon-Larranaga A, Vetrano DL, Ferrucci L, Mercer SW, Marengoni
552 A, Onder G, et al. Multimorbidity and functional impairment-bidirectional

- 553 interplay, synergistic effects and common pathways. *J Intern Med.*
554 2019;285(3):255-71. 10.1111/joim.12843
- 555 7. Makovski TT, Schmitz S, Zeegers MP, Stranges S, van den Akker M.
556 Multimorbidity and quality of life: Systematic literature review and meta-
557 analysis. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2019;53:100903. 10.1016/j.arr.2019.04.005
- 558 8. Rodrigues LP, de Oliveira Rezende AT, Delpino FM, Mendonca CR, Noll
559 M, Nunes BP, et al. Association between multimorbidity and hospitalization
560 in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing.* 2022;51(7).
561 10.1093/ageing/afac155
- 562 9. Thanakiattiwibun C, Siriussawakul A, Virothjarumart T, Maneeon S,
563 Tantai N, Srinonprasert V, et al. Multimorbidity, healthcare utilization, and
564 quality of life for older patients undergoing surgery: A prospective study.
565 *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2023;102(13):e33389.
566 10.1097/MD.00000000000033389
- 567 10. Sambamoorthi U, Tan X, Deb A. Multiple chronic conditions and
568 healthcare costs among adults. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.*
569 2015;15(5):823-32. 10.1586/14737167.2015.1091730
- 570 11. Riegel B, Jaarsma T, Stromberg A. A middle-range theory of self-care of
571 chronic illness. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci.* 2012;35(3):194-204.
572 10.1097/ANS.0b013e318261b1ba
- 573 12. Riegel B, Jaarsma T, Stromberg A. An Update to the Middle-Range
574 Theory of Self-Care of Chronic Illness. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci.* 2025.
575 10.1097/ANS.0000000000000594
- 576 13. He X, Li J, Wang B, Yao Q, Li L, Song R, et al. Diabetes self-management
577 education reduces risk of all-cause mortality in type 2 diabetes patients: a
578 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrine.* 2017;55(3):712-31.
579 10.1007/s12020-016-1168-2
- 580 14. Lee CS, Bidwell JT, Paturzo M, Alvaro R, Cocchieri A, Jaarsma T, et al.
581 Patterns of self-care and clinical events in a cohort of adults with heart
582 failure: 1 year follow-up. *Heart Lung.* 2018;47(1):40-6.
583 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2017.09.004

- 584 15. Lee CS, Moser DK, Lennie TA, Riegel B. Event-free survival in adults
585 with heart failure who engage in self-care management. *Heart Lung*.
586 2011;40(1):12-20. 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2009.12.003
- 587 16. Auld JP, Mudd JO, Gelow JM, Hiatt SO, Lee CS. Self-care Moderates the
588 Relationship Between Symptoms and Health-Related Quality of Life in Heart
589 Failure. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2018;33(3):217-24.
590 10.1097/JCN.0000000000000447
- 591 17. Gregoire C, Faymonville ME, Vanhauzenhuyse A, Charland-Verville V,
592 Jerusalem G, Willems S, et al. Effects of an intervention combining self-care
593 and self-hypnosis on fatigue and associated symptoms in post-treatment
594 cancer patients: A randomized-controlled trial. *Psychooncology*.
595 2020;29(7):1165-73. 10.1002/pon.5395
- 596 18. Riegel B, Jaarsma T, Lee CS, Stromberg A. Integrating Symptoms Into
597 the Middle-Range Theory of Self-Care of Chronic Illness. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci*.
598 2019;42(3):206-15. 10.1097/ANS.0000000000000237
- 599 19. Bandura A. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York, NY, US: W
600 H Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co; 1997. ix, 604-ix, p.
- 601 20. Maeda U, Shen BJ, Schwarz ER, Farrell KA, Mallon S. Self-efficacy
602 mediates the associations of social support and depression with treatment
603 adherence in heart failure patients. *Int J Behav Med*. 2013;20(1):88-96.
604 10.1007/s12529-011-9215-0
- 605 21. Tharek Z, Ramli AS, Whitford DL, Ismail Z, Mohd Zulkifli M, Ahmad
606 Sharoni SK, et al. Relationship between self-efficacy, self-care behaviour and
607 glycaemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the
608 Malaysian primary care setting. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2018;19(1):39.
609 10.1186/s12875-018-0725-6
- 610 22. Lee KS, Oh S. An Integrative Review of the Symptom Perception
611 Process in Heart Failure. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2022;37(2):122-33.
612 10.1097/JCN.0000000000000750

- 613 23. Katch H, Mead H. The role of self-efficacy in cardiovascular disease
614 self-management: a review of effective programs. *Patient Intelligence*.
615 2010;2:33-44. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PL.S12624>
- 616 24. Anderson RM, Funnell MM. Patient empowerment: reflections on the
617 challenge of fostering the adoption of a new paradigm. *Patient Educ Couns*.
618 2005;57(2):153-7. 10.1016/j.pec.2004.05.008
- 619 25. Deccache A, van Ballekom K. From patient compliance to
620 empowerment and consumer's choice: evolution or regression? An overview
621 of patient education in French speaking European countries. *Patient Educ*
622 *Couns*. 2010;78(3):282-7. 10.1016/j.pec.2010.01.012
- 623 26. Baroni I, Caruso R, Dellafiore F, Arrigoni C, Fabrizi D, Luciani M, et al.
624 Diabesity in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross-sectional Study
625 Exploring Self-care and Its Determinants. *Can J Diabetes*. 2022.
626 10.1016/j.jcjd.2022.03.009
- 627 27. Brito Villa A, De Maria M, Caruso R, Matarese M, Arrigoni C, Dellafiore
628 F. Self-Care of Chronic Illness Inventory: An Initial Psychometric Evaluation
629 in People Living With HIV in Italy. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care*. 2022;33(4):459-
630 67. 10.1097/JNC.0000000000000331
- 631 28. De Maria M, Ferro F, Vellone E, Ausili D, Luciani M, Matarese M. Self-
632 care of patients with multiple chronic conditions and their caregivers during
633 the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative descriptive study. *J Adv Nurs*.
634 2022;78(5):1431-47. 10.1111/jan.15115
- 635 29. Wang X, Liu Y, Liu Y, Zhang J, Liu L, Matarese M, et al. Exploring
636 patients with COPD self-care behaviours and self-efficacy and their
637 interconnections: A network analysis. *J Clin Nurs*. 2024. 10.1111/jocn.17378
- 638 30. Caruso R, Rebora P, Luciani M, Di Mauro S, Ausili D. Sex-related
639 differences in self-care behaviors of adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.
640 *Endocrine*. 2020;67(2):354-62. 10.1007/s12020-020-02189-5
- 641 31. Cocchieri A, Riegel B, D'Agostino F, Rocco G, Fida R, Alvaro R, et al.
642 Describing self-care in Italian adults with heart failure and identifying

- 643 determinants of poor self-care. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2015;14(2):126-36.
644 10.1177/1474515113518443
- 645 32. Lawless MT, Oster C, Block H, Cash B, Bulto LN, Pinero de Plaza MA,
646 et al. Self-management support interventions for socioeconomically
647 disadvantaged older adults with chronic conditions: A systematic review.
648 *Patient Educ Couns.* 2025;141:109305. 10.1016/j.pec.2025.109305
- 649 33. Ingadottir B, Svavarsdottir MH, Jurgens CY, Lee CS. Self-care
650 trajectories of patients with coronary heart disease: a longitudinal,
651 observational study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2024;23(7):780-8.
652 10.1093/eurjcn/zvae055
- 653 34. De Maria M, Saurini M, Erba I, Vellone E, Riegel B, Ausili D, et al.
654 Generic and disease-specific self-care instruments in older patients affected
655 by multiple chronic conditions: A descriptive study. *J Clin Nurs.*
656 2025;34(6):2203-16. 10.1111/jocn.17397
- 657 35. Kerari A, Bahari G, Alharbi K, Alenazi L. The Effectiveness of the
658 Chronic Disease Self-Management Program in Improving Patients' Self-
659 Efficacy and Health-Related Behaviors: A Quasi-Experimental Study.
660 *Healthcare (Basel).* 2024;12(7). 10.3390/healthcare12070778
- 661 36. De Maria M, Vellone E, Ausili D, Alvaro R, Di Mauro S, Piredda M, et
662 al. Self-care of patient and caregiver DyAds in multiple chronic conditions: A
663 LongITudinal studY (SODALITY) protocol. *J Adv Nurs.* 2019;75(2):461-71.
664 10.1111/jan.13834
- 665 37. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC,
666 Vandembroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
667 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting
668 observational studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(8):573-7. 10.7326/0003-
669 4819-147-8-200710160-00010
- 670 38. Arapi A, Vellone E, Ivziku D, Duka B, Taci D, Notarnicola I, et al.
671 Psychometric Characteristics of the Self-Care of Chronic Illness Inventory in
672 Older Adults Living in a Middle-Income Country. *Int J Environ Res Public*
673 *Health.* 2023;20(6). 10.3390/ijerph20064714

- 674 39. Riegel B, Barbaranelli C, Sethares KA, Daus M, Moser DK, Miller JL, et
675 al. Development and initial testing of the self-care of chronic illness inventory.
676 *J Adv Nurs*. 2018;74(10):2465-76. 10.1111/jan.13775
- 677 40. Riegel B, Lee CS, Dickson VV, Carlson B. An update on the self-care of
678 heart failure index. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2009;24(6):485-97.
679 10.1097/JCN.0b013e3181b4baa0
- 680 41. De Maria M, Matarese M, Stromberg A, Ausili D, Vellone E, Jaarsma T,
681 et al. Cross-cultural assessment of the Self-Care of Chronic Illness Inventory:
682 A psychometric evaluation. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2021;116:103422.
683 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.103422
- 684 42. Yu DS, De Maria M, Barbaranelli C, Vellone E, Matarese M, Ausili D, et
685 al. Cross-cultural applicability of the Self-Care Self-Efficacy Scale in a multi-
686 national study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2021;77(2):681-92. 10.1111/jan.14617
- 687 43. Wild D, Grove A, Martin M, Eremenco S, McElroy S, Verjee-Lorenz A,
688 et al. Principles of Good Practice for the Translation and Cultural Adaptation
689 Process for Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Measures: report of the ISPOR
690 Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation. *Value Health*.
691 2005;8(2):94-104. 10.1111/j.1524-4733.2005.04054.x
- 692 44. Jaarsma T, Stromberg A, Ben Gal T, Cameron J, Driscoll A, Duengen
693 HD, et al. Comparison of self-care behaviors of heart failure patients in 15
694 countries worldwide. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2013;92(1):114-20.
695 10.1016/j.pec.2013.02.017
- 696 45. Phelan H, Yates V, Lillie E. Challenges in healthcare delivery in low-
697 and middle-income countries. *Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine*.
698 2022;23(8):501-4. 10.1016/j.mpaic.2022.05.004
- 699 46. Ozawa S, Shankar R, Leopold C, Orubu S. Access to medicines through
700 health systems in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy Plan*.
701 2019;34(Supplement_3):iii1-iii3. 10.1093/heapol/czz119
- 702 47. Briggs CJ, Garner P. Strategies for integrating primary health services
703 in middle- and low-income countries at the point of delivery. *Cochrane*
704 *Database Syst Rev*. 2006(2):CD003318. 10.1002/14651858.CD003318.pub2

- 705 48. World Health Organization. Global action plan for the prevention and
706 control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020. 2013.
- 707 49. Sadeghi H, Mohammadi Shahbolaghi F, Hosseini M, Fallahi-Khoshknab
708 M, Ghaedamini Harouni G. Self-management in Older Adults with Multiple
709 Chronic Conditions (MCCs): A Qualitative Content Analysis. *Iran J Psychiatry*
710 *Behav Sci.* 2024;In Press(In Press):e150346. 10.5812/ijpbs-150346
- 711 50. Matranga D, Maniscalco L. Inequality in Healthcare Utilization in Italy:
712 How Important Are Barriers to Access? *Int J Environ Res Public Health.*
713 2022;19(3). 10.3390/ijerph19031697
- 714 51. Mounier-Jack S, Mayhew SH, Mays N. Integrated care: learning
715 between high-income, and low- and middle-income country health systems.
716 *Health Policy Plan.* 2017;32(suppl_4):iv6-iv12. 10.1093/heapol/czx039
- 717 52. Dickson VV, Buck H, Riegel B. Multiple comorbid conditions challenge
718 heart failure self-care by decreasing self-efficacy. *Nurs Res.* 2013;62(1):2-9.
719 10.1097/NNR.0b013e31827337b3
- 720 53. Sudore RL, Schillinger D. Interventions to Improve Care for Patients
721 with Limited Health Literacy. *J Clin Outcomes Manag.* 2009;16(1):20-9.
- 722 54. Cipta DA, Andoko D, Theja A, Utama AVE, Hendrik H, William DG, et
723 al. Culturally sensitive patient-centered healthcare: a focus on health
724 behavior modification in low and middle-income nations-insights from
725 Indonesia. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2024;11:1353037.
726 10.3389/fmed.2024.1353037
- 727 55. Ryu S, Fan L. The Relationship Between Financial Worries and
728 Psychological Distress Among U.S. Adults. *Journal of Family and Economic*
729 *Issues.* 2023;44(1):16-33. 10.1007/s10834-022-09820-9
- 730 56. De Maria M, Ausili D, Lorini S, Vellone E, Riegel B, Matarese M. Patient
731 Self-Care and Caregiver Contribution to Patient Self-Care of Chronic
732 Conditions: What Is Dyadic and What It Is Not. *Value Health.*
733 2022;25(7):1165-73. 10.1016/j.jval.2022.01.007
734