Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



### Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/csbj



#### Short communication

# Stabilization of the retromer complex: Analysis of novel binding sites of bis-1,3-phenyl guanylhydrazone 2a to the VPS29/VPS35 interface

Elisa Fagnani<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Francesco Bonì<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Pierfausto Seneci<sup>c</sup>, Davide Gornati<sup>c</sup>, Luca Muzio<sup>d</sup>, Eloise Mastrangelo<sup>a,b</sup>, Mario Milani<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

retromer complex.

<sup>a</sup> Biophysics Institute, CNR-IBF, Via Corti 12, I-20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Bioscience, University of Milan, Via Celoria 26, I-20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Milan, Via Celoria 26, I-20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>d</sup> INSPE—Institute of Experimental Neurology, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina 60, I-20132 Milano, Italy

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Crystal structure Molecular dynamics simulations Protein ligand interaction Protein binding sites	The stabilization of the retromer protein complex can be effective in the treatment of different neurological disorders. Following the identification of bis-1,3-phenyl guanylhydrazone <b>2a</b> as an effective new compound for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, in this work we analyze the possible binding sites of this molecule
	to the VPS35/VPS29 dimer of the retromer complex. Our results show that the affinity for different sites of the protein assembly depends on compound charge and therefore slight changes in the cell microenvironment could

#### 1. Introduction

Misfolded proteins and their aggregation are pathological hallmarks of different neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's disease (PD) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) [20]. In Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) several evidences indicate that the accumulation of misfolded and aggregated proteins in motor neurons (MNs) may affect the axonal transport [4] resulting in cell death. Strategies fostering the clearance of protein aggregates exert beneficial effects in ALS preclinical models, increasing MN survival.

The retromer complex (RC) controls the cellular localization and homeostasis of hundreds of transmembrane proteins [24,21,26], being involved in their recycling from early and maturing endosomes either to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) or back to the plasma membrane [22]. The RC depends on transient association of the cargo-selective hetero-trimer, formed by vacuolar protein sorting (VPS) 35, VPS26 and VPS29, with a few sorting nexins (Snx) components (Snx1 or 2 assembled with Snx5 or 6 forming a heterodimer [23]). Being a key element in endo-somal trafficking, retromer malfunction is related to major neurode-generative disorders [28,2].

The identification of small molecules able to enhance the stability of the RC, and particularly of the cargo selective trimer, is considered an important therapeutic option [13,10]. VPS35 (92 kDa) is the central protein component of the cargo selective trimer and is characterized by an elongated solenoid-like alpha helical structure that acts as a scaffold for the binding of VPS26 [25] - at its N-terminal portion - and VPS29 at the C-terminal end [7]. Since VPS26 and VPS29 do not interact with each other, the strategies to identify molecular chaperones to stabilize the complex are focused on the stabilization of either the VPS35/VPS29 or VPS35/VPS26 interfaces.

promote different binding states. Finally, we describe a novel binding site located in a deep cleft between VPS29 and VPS35 that should be further explored to select novel molecular chaperones for the stabilization of the

In our previous work, starting from the isothiourea R55 - the first molecular chaperone for retromer stabilization [13] - we identified a new class of compounds acting as stabilizers of the VPS35/VPS29 interface [15]. Despite the promising activity of the early lead bis-1, 3-phenyl guanylhydrazone (hereafter **2a**), an important information that is still missing is the experimental identification of **2a** binding site (s) on VPS35/VPS29.

In this work we present the results of two X-ray diffraction experiments on crystals of the VPS35/VPS29 complex in presence of **2a**. The electron density maps allowed the identification of two novel potential

Received 6 November 2023; Received in revised form 27 February 2024; Accepted 27 February 2024 Available online 2 March 2024

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: CNR, Istituto di Biofisica, Via Corti 12, I-20133 Milano, Italy.

E-mail address: mario.milani@cnr.it (M. Milani).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> equal contribution

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2024.02.026

<sup>2001-0370/© 2024</sup> Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

binding sites (named *site1* and *site2*) beyond the known R55 binding site (*site3*, located approximately between VPS35 Gln538 and VPS29 Ile146 [13]). The interaction of **2a** with these three possible binding sites has been further analyzed with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Our computational analysis showed a different behavior of the ligand when modeled as neutral (**2a**), single charged (**2a**<sup>+</sup>) or fully charged (**2a**<sup>+2</sup>). Taken together, our results show *site1* as a novel promising binding site for molecular chaperones to stabilize the VPS35/29 interface, to be further investigated for the selection of novel active molecules.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. VPS35 deletion mutagenesis

Plasmids for the expression of VPS29 (pMR101-VPS29) [7,11] and VPS35 (pGST-parallel2) were kindly provided by Dr. Aitor Hierro. The cDNA coding for human VPS35 domain was cloned in pGST-parallel2 vector-based construct as a fusion with a cleavable N-terminal Gluta-thione S-transferase tag. A two-step mutagenesis experiment was performed to delete 474 residues at the N-terminal of the protein (VPS35C, M475-Leu796). Thereafter, we deleted 16 additional amino acids at the C-terminal extremity of VPS35 (VPS35sh from M475 to Arg780), using the Q5 Site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs), in which forward and reverse primers work separately, each amplifying a different DNA strand. The primers designed for the mutations were:

VPS35-C fwd 5'- GTAGAAGACCCTGATCCAG-3'. rev 5'- CATGGCGCCCTGAAAATA-3'. VPS35sh fwd 5'-GCGCTTGCGGTAAGAATCACCAG-3'. rev 5'-AAATGCTCCAGTGTGTTATG-3'.

#### 2.2. Expression and purification of VPS29/35

Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) pLys (Invitrogen) competent cells were co-transformed with plasmids encoding for VPS29 (pMR101-VPS29) and VPS35C or VPS35sh. Bacterial cultures were grown at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg/ml), kanamycin (30µg/ml) and chloramphenicol (34 µg/ml). Protein expression was induced at 20 °C by the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG, when cellular OD<sub>600</sub> reached 1. After 16 h cells were harvested, resuspended in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors, 20 µg/ml DNase, 40 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 100 µg/ml lysozyme, and disrupted firstly by sonication (to reduce the high viscosity of the sample) and then by French press treatment. The resulting extract was clarified by centrifugation at 39,000 RCF and loaded on a Glutathione Sepharose<sup>™</sup> 4B resin for affinity chromatography. The complex was eluted after the cleavage of GST with TEV protease in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl and 10 mM DTT buffer (Fig. S1). A further step of purification was performed by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare) in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT (Fig. S1). The complex was concentrated for crystallization trials to 19 mg/ml (345 µM) with Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (10 kDa cut-off) and stored at -80 °C.

#### 2.3. Crystallization and data collection

Co-crystallization trials of VPS35C or sh/VPS29 (345  $\mu$ M) in complex with compound **2a** (5 mM, synthesized as described in Muzio et al. [15]) were carried out with an Oryx4 nanodispenser robot (Douglas Instrument) using the sitting drop vapor-diffusion setup at 20 °C.

The VPS35C/VPS29 complex generated only crystals diffracting at low resolution ( $\sim$  7 Å), likely due to the presence of a disordered C-terminal tail. On the contrary, two well diffracting crystals (i.e. *A* and *B*) were obtained with the VPS35sh/VPS29 complex. The *A* crystal grew in 20% PEG 3350, 150 mM NaK tartrate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, whereas the *B* crystal in 18% PEG 3350, 150 mM NaK tartrate, pH 7.4. The crystals were cryo-protected with 25% glycerol and frozen in liquid

nitrogen before data collection. Diffraction data were collected at beamline I04 at Diamond Light Source (Harwell Science and Innovation Campus in Oxfordshire; crystal *A*) and ID23eh2 at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble; crystal *B*).

#### 2.4. Structure solution and analysis

The two datasets of VPS35sh/VPS29 with compound **2a** were indexed and scaled using *XDS* [8]. The structures were solved by molecular replacement (*MOLREP*, [27]) using the crystal structure of the VPS29/35 heterodimer (PDB: 2R17, [7]) as model. The refinement of the structures was performed with *REFMAC5* [14] and *BUSTER* (https://www.globalphasing.com) [3] and manually corrected using *COOT* [6]. The figures were prepared with *PyMol* (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.5.0 Schrödinger, LLC).

#### 2.5. Molecular dynamics simulations

Firstly, **2a** was analyzed using the "Marvin" package (version 22.4, ChemAxon (https://www.chemaxon.com)): the molecule was drawn with "Marvin Sketch", all the tautomers were generated using "cxcalc", and the relative abundance of each tautomer at pH 7.4 was calculated with "Marvin Sketch" *pka* applet.

MD simulations were performed with the program GROMACS [17]. We started from the coordinates of the deposited PDB structure 2R17 (subunits A and C; [7]) using the GROMOS96 54a7 force field [19]; for each simulation, three molecules of double charged  $2a^{2+}$ , charged  $2a^+$  or uncharged 2a were manually placed nearby the *sites1–3* using the program COOT [6].

The uncharged / single charged / double charged ligands were parameterized with *Automated Topology Builder ATB3.0* (https://atb.uq.edu.au/; molid=1096183 / 1612934 / 307300) [12].

For  $2a^{2+}$  (system 1), the parallelepipedal box was filled with 17,699 water molecules and the system charge (-1) equilibrated with 21 and 20 atoms of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, respectively. After minimization with the steepest descent algorithm (final Potential Energy = -9.7529800e+05 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), we performed three serial equilibrations each lasting for 2 ns: 1. T = 100 K in NVT ensemble; 2. T = 300 K in NVT; and 3. T = 300 K, NPT ensemble, at atmospheric pressure.

For **2a** (system 2), the parallelepipedal box was filled with 16,430 water molecules and the system charge -7) equilibrated with 20 and 27 atoms of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, respectively. After minimization with steepest descent algorithm (final Potential Energy=-9.7878788e+05 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), we performed three serial equilibrations as already described for system 1.

Each of the nine productive runs performed either with the double charged or the uncharged ligands started from the same spatial configuration (one for system 1 and another for system 2) but with different velocity distributions (generated at T = 300 K), with Particle Mesh Ewald for long-range electrostatics, 2 fs time step (leap-frog integrator), T-coupling with modified Berendsen thermostat and P coupling Parrinello-Rahman, with periodic boundary conditions.

For the single charged ligand  $2a^+$  (system 3), the parallelepipedal box was filled with 17,733 water molecules and the system charge (-4) equilibrated with 20 and 24 atoms of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, respectively. After minimization with steepest descent algorithm (final Potential Energy= 9.7631775e+05 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), we performed two equilibrations in NVT ensemble each for 2 ns at T = 100 K and T = 300 K. In this case instead of nine independent runs, we performed a single run for 1 µs, with the same parameters described for system 1 and 2.

Each simulation was analyzed with the GROMACS package *cluster* [1], to cluster the conformations of the **2a** ligand in each site by using the single linkage method where a structure is added to the cluster when its distance to any element of the cluster is less than the chosen cutoff.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Binding sites for 2a

VPS35sh/VPS29 was co-crystallized with compound **2a** [15] in different conditions (see Methods). The VPS35sh construct was chosen since the complex obtained with the longer C-terminal portion of the VPS35 protein (M475-Leu796) produced crystals that diffracted only at low resolution ( $\sim$ 7 Å, not shown).

In the asymmetric unit (a.u.) of the two crystals analyzed (*A* and *B*, both with orthorhombic symmetry; Table 1) two heterodimers of VPS35sh/VPS29 were present, albeit with differences in crystal packing as evidenced by the different values of unit cell parameters.

For both crystals, the Fo-Fc difference Fourier maps showed traces of additional electron density, where it was possible to model two 2a molecules.

In the A crystal, the analysis of the residual electron density performed with the program *rhofit* (https://www.globalphasing.com/), showed a possible binding site for 2a only in one of the two heterodimers, in a deep cleft between VPS29 ( $\alpha$ -helix 3, amino acids (aa.) 96–106) and VPS35 (alpha helices  $\alpha$ 9 (aa. 663–678) and  $\alpha$ 11 (aa. 713–731); rhofit statistics: score -156 correlation: 39%; Fig. 1A). In this site (site1) the electron density of the ligand was more defined for its phenyl moiety and for the guanylhydrazone arm pointing toward the protein core (Fig. 1B), while the other arm displayed a higher conformational freedom. The more stable portion of 2a is in close contact with residues Gln72, Leu101-Leu102 and Gln105 from VPS29, and Glu722 and Arg726 from VPS35. More in details, the phenyl moiety of 2a displayed an amino aromatic interaction with Gln105 (4.3 Å; [5] [29]) and Gln72 (3.3 Å) whose amino moiety was at  $\sim$  3.4 Å distance from side arm nitrogen; further stabilization of the ligand arm was provided by the interaction with the side chain of Arg726 (3.1 Å) and the main chain carbonyl of Glu722 (2.6 Å) (Fig. 1, A and B).

#### Table 1

X-ray	data-collection	and	refinement	statistics.
-------	-----------------	-----	------------	-------------

Crystal	Α	В
Beam line &	DIAMOND i04-1	ESRF id23eh2
wavelength (Å)	0.91788	0.87313
Space group	$P2_12_12_1$	$P2_12_12_1$
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	a= 57.5; b= 140.8;	a= 48.2; b= 130.3;
-	c= 141.3	c=146.9
Heterodimers in a.u.	2	2
Resolution (Å)	19.9 – 2.5	48.7 – 2.4
	$(2.55-2.50)^{a}$	$(2.45-2.40)^{b}$
Unique reflections	40,494 (2913) <sup>a</sup>	37,129 (2689) <sup>b</sup>
Completeness (%)	99.7 (99.9)	99.9 (100)
Redundancy	13.6 (14.3)	12.8 (13.3)
Rmeas <sup>†</sup> (%)	9.2 (246.8)	39.8 (213.5)
CC(1/2) (%)	99.9 (59.7)	99.2 (50.6)
Average I/σ (I)	16.1 (1.1)	6.5 (1.1)
Final model		
R factor <sup>‡</sup> /Rfree <sup>§</sup> (%)	20.2/26.4	18.8/24.8
r.m.s. bonds (Å)	0.008	0.006
r.m.s. angles (°)	1.44	1.42
Ramachandran favored /	96/4%	97/3%
additionally allowed regions (%)		
B-factors (VPS35)/(VPS29) [Å <sup>2</sup> ]	(121.7, 112.3)/	(54.0, 61.4)/
	(114.1127.3)	(54.8,52.2)
B-factor <b>2a</b> [Å <sup>2</sup> ]	143.7	107.5
PDB-ID	8R02	8R0J
binding sites	Site1	Site2
Rohofit score & correl	-156	-608
	39%	75%

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell: <sup>a</sup>(2.55-2.50), <sup>b</sup>(2.45-2.40).

 $\dagger R_{\text{meas}} = (\Sigma (n/(n-1) \Sigma | I - (I) |) / \Sigma I x 100$ , where *I* is intensity of a reflection and (*I*) is its average intensity.

 $\ddagger R_{factor} = \Sigma |F_o - F_c| / \Sigma |F_o| \ge 100.$ 

 $\S~R_{free}$  is calculated on 5% randomly selected reflections, for cross-validation.

The *B* crystal (Table 1) showed significant differences with respect to the published structure (PDB: 2R17 [7]), specifically in the conformation of the residue Thr144 of VPS29. Thr144 is located in a loop of sizable length (amino acids 132–149) close to the R55 binding site (here named *site3*), and the different conformation of the threonine is present only in one of the two copies of VPS29 in the crystal a.u. Such different conformation might be caused either by crystal contacts (being Thr144 at ~3.4 Å from Pro188 from a symmetry related molecule), or by the presence of **2a** in *site3*, albeit with low occupancy. *Rhofit* analysis (https://www.globalphasing.com/) showed the possible presence of **2a** also in *site2*, located in a crevice of VPS35 between the Ala574-Ala577 loop (connecting VPS35  $\alpha$ 4 to  $\alpha$ 5) and the lle618-Asp620 loop (linking  $\alpha$ 6 to  $\alpha$ 7) (*rhofit* score -674.1, correlation: 63%) (Fig. 1, C and D).

## 3.2. Molecular dynamics simulations of VPS35sh/VPS29 in the presence of three molecules of 2a

The experimental electron density in our crystals suggested the presence of two possible binding sites for 2a (*site1* and *site2*, Fig. 1) albeit in just one of the heterodimers in the a.u. and with high B-factors (Table 1) or low occupancy. We decided to further analyze the interaction of 2a with these two binding sites together with the previously identified R55 binding site (*site3*) by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.

**2a** can have different charge states at physiological pH. For instance, analysis with the *Marvin* package (*cxcalc*, Marvin version 22.4, Chem-Axon (https://www.chemaxon.com)) showed that **2a** (with delocalized double bonds in the guanyl hydrazones) should be 50% with charge + 1, 28% not charged and 22% with charge + 2, at pH 7.4 (or 40% charge +1, 8% not charged, and 52% with charge +2 starting from **2a** with localized double bonds). Since the charge state of a ligand can change during the interaction with a protein [16] we initially chose to analyse **2a** in the two extreme cases: i.e. 0 and +2 charge.

We started from the coordinates of VPS35sh/VPS29 plus three **2a** ligands, parameterized either as double charged **2a**<sup>+2</sup> (system 1) or uncharged **2a** (system 2), located close to each of the three identified binding sites. After minimization and equilibration of the two systems (see Methods), nine MD simulations (each lasting for 100 ns, for a total simulation time of 0.9  $\mu$ s) were run for each system, starting from the same spatial coordinates (one for system 1 and another for system 2) but with different distributions of initial velocities.

#### 3.2.1. Double charged $2a^{+2}$

The analysis of the nine simulations was initially performed to identify the amino acids located at the minimal distance from each of the three  $2a^{+2}$  ligands for every time step (Fig. S2-4). The persistence of certain amino acids at the minimum distance from the ligand implies the presence of (quasi)stable conformations during the simulations. Among the three sites, the longer persistence - i.e. the higher binding stability of  $2a^{+2}$  - was observed for *site2* (Fig. S3). For all the nine simulations a persistent minimal distance was maintained with negatively charged residues Glu575, Glu578, Glu617, Glu620 of VPS35 and, rarely, with Glu65 of VPS29. On the contrary,  $2a^{+2}$  displayed the lower persistence in *site3* (i.e. lower apparent affinity) from where it often completely lost contact with the protein during different simulations (Fig. S4).

In general, the charged compound  $2a^{+2}$  appeared to be quite promiscuous [18], being able to interact with different parts of the complex, and, as expected, with negatively charged amino acids. Despite its binding stability, in *site2*  $2a^{+2}$  interacted almost solely with VPS35 residues and only transiently and for negligible amounts of time with Glu65 in VPS29. Such evidence suggested that  $2a^{+2}$  binding to *site2* is unable to strenghten the interaction between the two proteins and could likely have only a minor effect in the stabilization of the VPS29-VPS35 complex.



Fig. 1. Crystal structures of VPS35sh/VPS29 (yellow/blue cartoons) in complex with 2a (sticks with orange carbon atoms); (A, C) 2a in *site1* and 2, respectively, surrounded by 2Fo-Fc electron density contoured at 1 sigma (in green); (B, D) close view of *site1* and 2, respectively, with selected amino acids evidenced (sticks with yellow/blue carbon atoms for VPS29/VPS35sh). H-bond/salt bridge interactions between 2a and the main chain carbonyl of Glu722 (2.6 Å) in *site1* or Asp620 (2.7 Å) in *site2* are indicated with red dotted lines.

#### 3.2.2. Uncharged 2a

Contrary to what was observed for the charged ligand, the permanence of uncharged **2a** in a stable conformation in *site2* was negligible (Fig. S6), and the simulations showed *site1* and *site3* as the more stable binding sites (Fig. S5-7).

To further investigate this result for each simulation, all the conformations of **2a** in each binding site were grouped in clusters with cutoff of 0.4 Å (program *cluster*; GROMACS package [1]; see Methods). The reference structure of the most populated cluster was chosen as representative of the entire simulation for the selected binding site, and analyzed to identify all the amino acids in contact with the ligand (with program *LigPlus* [9]; asterisks in Table S1). With this analysis, it was possible to group together all the nine simulations and to associate to each amino acid the relative occurrence of the contact with **2a** in *site1* (Table S1) and *site3* (Table S2). The relative occurrence of the contact, was defined as the sum of the number of structures in each reference cluster, where the contact was present (asterisks in Table S1 and S2), divided by the total number of structures in all the nine clusters (total number of clusters in Table S1 and S2).

In *site1*, the phenyl moiety of the ligand was shifted by about 4 Å respect to its position in the crystal structure. As reported in Table S1, in *site1* **2a** interacted mainly with VPS35 residues Lys622 (relative occurrence 73.2%) and Thr629 (88.3%) of  $\alpha$ 7, Arg668 (100%) of  $\alpha$ 9, Glu722 (87.4%) and Arg726 (81.4%) of  $\alpha$ 11 and His88-Gln89 of VPS29 (Table S1; Fig. S8, A and B).

In *site3*, already described as the binding site of R55 [13], **2a** is mostly in close contact with VPS35 residues located at the N-terminal end of the construct ( $\alpha$ 1 - loop(497–503) -  $\alpha$ 2 and  $\alpha$ 3 (538–542)), and in particular with Arg499, Ser500, Pro503, Gln506, Arg542 (Fig. S8, C and D). Moreover, **2a** established contacts with an extended loop of VPS29 (loop(137–146)) and especially with Thr144 and Tyr139 (Table S2; Fig. S8, C and D).

#### 3.2.3. Single charged $2a^+$

To further study the behavior of the ligand in the three binding sites, we decided to perform an additional MD simulation with the ligand in the single charged state  $(2a^+)$  for 1 µs. During this simulation the

qualitative behavior of the ligand was similar to that observed for the uncharged molecule, i.e. with higher binding stability for *site1* and *site3*. Cluster analysis showed  $2a^+$  maintaining two stable conformations in *site1*: the first from 4.0 ns to ~330 ns (28.5%) with transitions to the second conformation (starting from ~220 ns) that lasted until the end of the simulations (67.3% of the simulation time). The two conformations of  $2a^+$  were quite similar (Fig. 2, A and B), with the phenyl ring located at about 5 Å from the crystallographic configuration due to the loop-to-helix transition of Gly87-Val90 in VPS29.

In *site3*,  $2a^+$  adopts a stable conformation from 24 ns to 932.8 ns (71.1%; Fig. 2C) with some transitions (starting from 664.8 ns) to a less occupied conformation (14.8%; Fig. 2D). In both conformations the interaction is stabilized by a salt bridge with VPS35 Glu545.

#### 4. Conclusion

With the attempt to provide new treatments for ALS, R55 was discovered as a pharmacological chaperone able to enhance retromer stability and functionality [13]. The proposed R55 binding site (named *site3* in this work) was used to identify compound **2a** [15], acting as stabilizer of VPS29/VPS35 interface. In this work, from the analysis of two protein crystals of the VPS35sh/VPS29 complex in the presence of **2a**, two additional binding sites were identified (named *site1* and *site2*). Due to the poor electron density of some portions of the ligand, to further characterize the three binding sites, we decided to perform computational analysis by MD simulation.

The three binding sites were firstly analyzed with 18 MD simulations of 100 ns each, run in the presence of three **2a** compounds, either neutral (nine simulations) or fully charged  $2a^{+2}$  (nine simulations), located in front of the three identified binding sites. Our results showed that charged  $2a^{+2}$  behaves like a promiscuous ligand, able to bind transiently to different sites on the VPS35/VPS29 interface with preferential stability in *site2. Site2* is mainly located in a small superficial cleft of VPS35 between the extremities of four contiguous alpha helices. Such binding site seems to be incompatible with the stabilization of the VPS35/VPS29 interface, being mostly located on VPS35 and involving only transiently VPS29. It is worth noting that the poor stabilizing effect



**Fig. 2.** Prevalent conformations of  $2a^+$  in *site1* and *site3*. A, B *site1* with  $2a^+$  (sticks with orange carbon atoms) in the less occupied conformation (28.5% of the simulation time) and in the stable conformation (67.3%), respectively (VPS29 and VPS35 are represented as yellow and blue cartoons with selected residues as sticks). C and D *site3* with  $2a^+$  in the less occupied (14.8%) and stable (71.1%) conformation, respectively.

of  $2a^{+2}$  in *site2* could be enhanced by elongating one of the 2 guanyl hydrazone arms to strengthen the interaction with VPS29.

In contrast, the uncharged or single charged molecule **2a** can stably interact with either *site1* or *3*, showing that they are both potentially useful for the stabilization of the complex. Cluster analysis of **2a**<sup>+</sup> in *site1* showed two similar conformations of the ligand maintained for most of the simulations time (~96%; Fig. 2, A and B) with higher stability of the buried guanyl hydrazone arm as already observed in the crystal structure.

In contrast, the two stable conformations of  $2a^+$  in *site3* (85.9% of the simulation time) were quite different (Fig. 2, C and D). In *site3*, the charged guanyl hydrazone arm was stabilized by the interaction with Glu545, and the other arm displayed two different orientations rotated by ~180 degrees relative to each other: one in contact with VPS29 loop 130–150 (71.1%; Fig. 2C) and the other pointing toward the C-terminal helices of VPS35 (14.8%; Fig. 2D).

In conclusion, our data supported the propensity of **2a** to tightly bind to *site3* (R55 binding site) and underlined the importance of the newly identified *site1* for the development and optimization of new molecular chaperones for the stabilization of the retromer complex.

#### Funding

Fondazione AriSLA - Fondazione Italiana di Ricerca per la Sclerosi Laterale Amiotrofica (https://www.arisla.org), Title of the project: "TRAILER, Therapeutic effects of retromer stabilization in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis" (FG\_25/2019).

Italian National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), M4C2, funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU (Project IR0000011, CUP B51E22000150006, "EBRAINS-Italy").

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Aitor Hierro for providing the initial plasmids for the expression of VPS29 (pMR101-VPS29) and VPS35. We thank the beam

line scientists of the ESRF beam line id23eh2 and DIAMOND beam line i04–1 for support during data collections. We thank the Italian Consortium "Cineca" (https://www.hpc.cineca.it/) for supercomputing resources allocated for the project *IsCa7\_drp* 2023–2024.

#### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2024.02.026.

#### References

- Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindah E. Gromacs: high performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. SoftwareX 2015;1–2:19–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. softx.2015.06.001.
- [2] Asadzadeh J, Ruchti E, Jiao W, Limoni G, MacLachlan C, Small SA, McCabe BD. Retromer deficiency in Tauopathy models enhances the truncation and toxicity of Tau. 2022 13:1 Nat Commun 2022;13(1):1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32683-5.
- [3] Blanc E, Roversi P, Vonrhein C, Flensburg C, Lea SM, Bricogne G. Refinement of severely incomplete structures with maximum likelihood in BUSTER-TNT. Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr 2004;60(Pt 12 Pt 1):2210–21. https://doi.org/ 10.1107/S0907444904016427.
- [4] Blokhuis AM, Groen EJN, Koppers M, Van Den Berg LH, Pasterkamp RJ. Protein aggregation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol 2013;125(6): 777–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00401-013-1125-6.
- Burley SK, Petsko GA. Amino-aromatic interactions in proteins. FEBS Lett 1986;203 (2):139–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(86)80730-X.
- [6] Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of coot. Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr 2010;66(Pt 4):486–501. https://doi.org/ 10.1107/S0907444910007493.
- [7] Hierro A, Rojas AL, Rojas R, Murthy N, Effantin G, Kajava AV, Hurley JH. Functional architecture of the retromer cargo-recognition complex. 2007 449:7165 Nature 2007;449(7165):1063–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06216.
- [8] Kabsch, W. (2010). XDS. Urn:Issn:0907–4449, 66(2), 125–132. https://doi.org/10. 1107/S0907444909047337.
- [9] Laskowski RA, Swindells MB. LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. J Chem Inf Model 2011;51(10):2778–86. https://doi. org/10.1021/CI200227U/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/CI-2011-00227U\_0005.JPEG.
- [10] Li JG, Blass BE, Praticò D. Beneficial effect of a small pharmacologic chaperone on the established Alzheimer's disease phenotype. J Alzheimer's Dis: JAD 2023;91(1): 463–9. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-220869.

- [11] Lucas M, Gershlick DC, Vidaurrazaga A, Rojas AL, Bonifacino JS, Hierro A. Structural mechanism for cargo recognition by the retromer complex. Cell 2016; 167(6):1623–1635.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2016.10.056.
- [12] Malde AK, Zuo L, Breeze M, Stroet M, Poger D, Nair PC, Mark AE. An automated force field topology builder (ATB) and repository: version 1.0. J Chem Theory Comput 2011;7(12):4026–37. https://doi.org/10.1021/CT200196M/SUPPL\_FILE/ CT200196M SI 001.PDF.
- [13] Mecozzi VJ, Berman DE, Simoes S, Vetanovetz C, Awal MR, Patel VM, Small SA. Pharmacological chaperones stabilize retromer to limit APP processing. 2014 10:6 Nat Chem Biol 2014;10(6):443–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1508.
- [14] Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, Vagin AA. REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr 2011;67(Pt 4):355–67. https://doi.org/ 10.1107/S0907444911001314.
- [15] Muzio L, Sirtori R, Gornati D, Eleuteri S, Fossaghi A, Brancaccio D, Quattrini A. Retromer stabilization results in neuroprotection in a model of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):1–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17524-7.
- [16] Onufriev AV, Alexov E. Protonation and pK changes in protein-ligand binding. Q Rev Biophys 2013;46(2):181. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033583513000024.
- [17] Páll S, Abraham MJ, Kutzner C, Hess B, Lindahl E. ). Tackling exascale software challenges in molecular dynamics simulations with GROMACS. Lect Notes Comput Sci (Incl Subser Lect Notes Artif Intell Lect Notes Bioinforma) 2015;8759:3–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15976-8\_1/FIGURES/7.
- [18] Peters JU, Schnider P, Mattei P, Kansy M. Pharmacological promiscuity: dependence on compound properties and target specificity in a set of recent roche compounds. ChemMedChem 2009;4(4):680–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/ CMDC.200800411.
- [19] Schmid N, Eichenberger AP, Choutko A, Riniker S, Winger M, Mark AE, van Gunsteren WF. Definition and testing of the GROMOS force-field versions 54A7 and 54B7. Eur Biophys J 2011;40(7):843–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-011-0700-9.

- [20] Schreij AMA, Fon EA, McPherson PS. Endocytic membrane trafficking and neurodegenerative disease. Cell Mol Life Sci: CMLS 2016;73(8):1529–45. https:// doi.org/10.1007/S00018-015-2105-X.
- [21] Seaman MNJ. Cargo-selective endosomal sorting for retrieval to the Golgi requires retromer. J Cell Biol 2004;165(1):111–22. https://doi.org/10.1083/ JCB.200312034.
- [22] Seaman MNJ. The retromer complex-endosomal protein recycling and beyond. J Cell Sci 2012;125(20):4693–702. https://doi.org/10.1242/JCS.103440/263210/ AM/THE-RETROMER-COMPLEX-ENDOSOMAL-PROTEIN-RECYCLING.
- [23] Seaman MNJ. The retromer complex: from genesis to revelations. Trends Biochem Sci 2021;46(7):608–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TIBS.2020.12.009.
- [24] Seaman MNJ, McCaffery JM, Emr SD. A membrane coat complex essential for endosome-to-golgi retrograde transport in yeast. J Cell Biol 1998;142(3):665. https://doi.org/10.1083/JCB.142.3.665.
- [25] Shi H, Rojas R, Bonifacino JS, Hurley JH. The retromer subunit Vps26 has an arrestin fold and binds Vps35 through its C-terminal domain. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2006;13(6):540–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/NSMB1103.
- [26] Temkin P, Lauffer B, Jäger S, Cimermancic P, Krogan NJ, Von Zastrow M. SNX27 mediates retromer tubule entry and endosome-to-plasma membrane trafficking of signalling receptors. Nat Cell Biol 2011;13(6):715–23. https://doi.org/10.1038/ NCB2252.
- [27] Vagin, A., & Teplyakov, A. (1997). MOLREP: an Automated Program for Molecular Replacement. Urn:Issn:0021–8898, 30(6), 1022–1025. https://doi.org/10.110 7/S0021889897006766.
- [28] Zhang H, Huang T, Hong Y, Yang W, Zhang X, Luo H, Wang X. The retromer complex and sorting nexins in neurodegenerative diseases. Front Aging Neurosci 2018;10(MAR):349534. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNAGI.2018.00079/BIBTEX.
- [29] Zhang R, Xu Y, Lan J, Fan S, Huang J, Xu F. Structural achievability of an NH-π Interaction between Gln and Phe in a crystal structure of a collagen-like peptide. Biomolecules 2022;12(10):1433. https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOM12101433/S1.