

Enrichment of rice snacks with pulse seed coat: phenolic compounds, product features and consumer hedonic response

Andrea Bresciani^a, Francesca Vanara^b, Ella Pagliarini ^{a,c}, Monica Locatelli^d, Cristina Proserpio^{a,c}, Fabiano Travaglia^d, Massimo Blandino^{b*}, Alessandra Marti ^{a*}

^a Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

^bDepartment of Agricultural, Forest and Food Science (DISAFA), Università degli Studi di Torino, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

^cSensory & Consumer Science Lab (SCS_Lab), Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

^d Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Università degli Studi del Piemonte Orientale “A. Avogadro”, Largo Donegani 2, 28100 Novara (NO), Italy.

*Corresponding author: A.M., alessandra.marti@unimi.it; M.B., massimo.blandino@unito.it

1 **Abbreviations:**

2 ABTS, 2,2'-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid); AC, antioxidant capacity; AC-ABTS,
3 antioxidant capacity obtained through the ABTS assay; AC-FRAP, antioxidant capacity obtained using the
4 FRAP assay; ANOVA, Analysis of variance; CC, chickpea seed coats; CWBPAs, cell wall-bound phenolic
5 acids; dw, dry weight; FRAP, ferric reducing antioxidant power; PC, field pea seed coats; R, white rice; RP-
6 HPLC/DAD, reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to a diode array detector;
7 SPAs, soluble phenolic acids; WAI, water absorption index; 15_PC, substitution of white rice with 15% of
8 field pea seed coats; 30_PC, substitution of white rice with 30% of field pea seed coats; 15_CC, substitution
9 of white rice with 15% of chickpea seed coats; 30_CC, substitution of white rice with 30% of chickpea seed
10 coats.

11

12 **Abstract**

13 This study investigated the impact of pulse seed coats on rice-based snacks. Seed coats from peas (PC) and
14 chickpeas (CC) were used at 15% and 30% levels and the co-extruded snacks were produced at industrial
15 levels. Using both PC and CC reduced the content of phenolic acids, but it enhanced the amount and the
16 profile of flavonoids of rice-based snacks, resulting in significantly higher antioxidant activity. Snacks with
17 15% CC showed a higher bulk density and a lower average pore radius than PC-snacks (at the same
18 enrichment level); however, such features did not affect either texture or porosity. At high enrichment levels,
19 PC resulted in less dense snacks, more porous with smaller pores resulting in a firm product, even higher
20 than the control formulation (i.e., 100% rice). The physical features did not impact on consumer liking: all
21 the seed coat-enriched samples were comparable and preferred to the control.

22 **Keywords:** legumes, green field pea, chickpea, bran, co-extruded snacks, sensory analysis

23 1. Introduction

24 The increase in snacking and in particular the types of snacks on the market have created a demand for more
25 nutritious snack options (Brennan, Derbyshire, Tiwari, & Brennan, 2013). Indeed, most snacks are made
26 from starch-based products (e.g. corn, wheat, rice), resulting in high starch content, and low nutritional value
27 in terms of vitamins, minerals, amino acids, and fibre (Brennan et al., 2013). This is even more critical for
28 people diagnosed with celiac disease, whose diet does not always contain the daily recommended 20-30 g of
29 dietary fibre (Pellegrini & Agostoni, 2015). Stojceska, Ainsworth, Plunkett, & Ībanođlu (2010) suggested
30 that adding vegetables and fruits (e.g., apple, beetroot, carrot, cranberry) to gluten-free snacks could enable
31 food processors to provide healthy, dietary fibre-enriched products, which are currently missing from the
32 gluten-free market.

33 With the growing interest in sustainable diets, the addition of food by-products has been proposed as an
34 interesting approach to enhance the nutritional value of ready-to-eat snacks (Grasso, 2020). Pomace and
35 bagasse from fruit and vegetables, oilseed cakes and whey from dairy have been extensively used as sources
36 of fibre, protein and/or antioxidants (Grasso, 2020). As regards milling by-products, a recent review on bran-
37 enriched snacks pointed out that bran from rice and oat has been widely used in the formulation of extruded
38 snacks, while little information is available on the inclusion of seed coat from pulses in these kinds of
39 product (Tyl, Bresciani, & Marti, 2021).

40 Pulses are increasingly appreciated for their low environmental impact, as well as for their nutritional and
41 health-promoting features. However, their consumption is still somewhat limited in Western countries, due
42 to the presence of both undigested oligosaccharides and anti-nutrient compounds (e.g., phytic acid). Different
43 approaches have been proposed to enhance the nutritional traits of pulses, including dehulling and extrusion-
44 cooking (Patterson, Curran, & Der, 2017). Given the current interest in using pulses (mainly after dehulling)
45 in the formulation of cereal-based products (Bresciani & Marti, 2019), the valorization of their by-products
46 (i.e., seed coats, commercially known as “bran”) represents an opportunity for the development of healthy
47 and sustainable extruded snacks. The high content of dietary fibre in pulse seed coats, along with significant
48 amounts of minerals and polyphenols, suggests they could be more widely utilized as novel dietary fibre
49 ingredients (Zhong et al., 2016).

50 In particular, seed coats contain most of the antioxidant phytochemical activity of whole pulse seeds, i.e.
51 70% of the total phenolic acid and flavonoid contents (Luo, Cai, Wu, & Xu, 2016).

52 Even if fiber-enrichment as well as nutritional enrichment adds value to the consumer (Alava, Verdú, Barat
53 & Grau, 2019), the addition of such ingredients in a food matrix leads to several changes in sensory
54 properties (Wang et al., 2020) potentially influencing consumer hedonic responses. Indeed, it is widely
55 reported that consumers favor taste over nutritional properties (Jaeger, Axten, Wohlers & Sun-Waterhouse,
56 2009) and consequently perceptual factors - namely the taste, smell, texture, and appearance of a food- are
57 among the main factors influencing consumer choices regarding healthy and sustainable foods (Tuorila &
58 Hartmann, 2020). Therefore, the impact of adding green pea or chickpea seed coats to a specific product
59 needs to be further investigated. Previous studies, mainly performed using legume flour without seed coats,
60 indicated that formulations with up to 40% of pulses can be used to prepare snacks, such as crackers,
61 without reducing their sensory quality (Millar et al., 2017), while other findings depicted a decrease in
62 acceptability scores when only 20% of a wheat flour formulation was substituted with legumes
63 (Venkatachalam & Nagarajan, 2017). Recently, a new rice-based snack formulation with cereals partially
64 replaced with chickpea and green pea coats obtained positive hedonic ratings (Proserpio, Bresciani., Marti &
65 Pagliarini, 2020).

66 The present study aimed at assessing the effect of different sources of seed coats (field green peas or
67 chickpeas) at two different enrichment levels (15% or 30%) on the content of bioactive compounds and the
68 consequent antioxidant and structural features (porosity, bulk density and firmness) in rice-based co-extruded
69 snacks, as well as their consumer acceptability.

70 **2. Materials and Methods**

71 **2.1 Materials**

72 Milled white rice (R), field pea coats (PC) and chickpea coats (CC) were provided by Molino Peila S.p.A.
73 (Valperga, Italy). PC and CC were hulled using a roller mill (Ocrim, Cremona, Italy). 75% and 55% of PC
74 and CC particles, respectively, were in the 500-1500 μm range, with CC showing a higher incidence of
75 coarse fractions (15% > 2000 μm) than PC (Fig. S1). Five formulations were considered: rice was used alone
76 or in combination with PC or CC at 15% and 30% levels for the production of co-extruded snacks (R,

77 15_PC, 30_PC, 15_CC, 30_CC). Co-extruded snacks were produced by Fudex Group S.p.A. (Settimo
78 Torinese, Italy) using a co-rotating twin-screw extruder (model 2FB90; screw speed: 150 rpm; temperature:
79 110 °C; pressure: 70 bar). Snacks were milled into powder (particle size <250 µm) using a laboratory mill
80 (IKA Universalmühle M20; IKA Labortechnik, Staufen, Germany), with a water-cooling system to avoid
81 overheating, in order to assess the water absorption index as well as the content in damaged starch, phenolic
82 acids, flavonoids, and antioxidant capacity.

83 **2.2 Methods**

84 2.2.1 Seed coat composition

85 Moisture content was determined by oven-drying at 105 °C for 24 h and used to express all the results on a
86 dry weight (dw) basis. Total starch content was measured according to the standard AACC 76-13.01 method
87 (AACC, 2001), total protein (AOAC 990.03, by the Dumas method conversion factor: 6.25), total dietary
88 fiber (AOAC 985.29, by the enzymatic-gravimetric method), fat (AOAC 2003.05, Soxhlet method) and ash
89 (AOAC 923.03, muffle furnace) contents were determined according to the AOAC (2005) procedures.

90 Phytic acid content was measured by means of the colorimetric determination of the phosphorus released by
91 phytase and alkaline phosphatase, using a Phytic acid assay kit (K-PHYT 05/17; Megazyme International,
92 Bray, Ireland).

93 2.2.2 Phenolic acids, flavonoids and antioxidant capacity (AC)

94 Phenolic acids, flavonoids and AC were analyzed as raw materials (R, PP and CC) individually, in mixtures
95 of rice and pulse seed coats before extrusion, and in the extruded snacks.

96 Extraction and quantification of soluble phenolic acids (SPA; both free and conjugated) and cell wall-bound
97 phenolic acids (CWBPA) were performed by means of reverse phase high performance liquid
98 chromatography coupled to a diode array detector RP-HPLC/DAD as reported in Giordano, Reyneri,
99 Locatelli, Coisson, & Blandino (2019).

100 Flavonoids were extracted using 80% aqueous acetone as solvent, and then quantified using the RP-HPLC-
101 DAD method, previously reported in Blandino et al. (2022). The total AC was determined by means of FRAP
102 (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power) and ABTS [2,2'-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)]

103 assays adapted from the QUENCHER method, as described by Giordano et al. (2019). The results were
104 expressed, through a calibration curve, as mmol Trolox equivalents kg⁻¹ of sample (dw).

105 2.2.3 Physical properties of co-extruded snacks

106 The colour of the snacks was measured using a reflectance colour meter (CR 210, Minolta Co., Osaka,
107 Japan) to measure the lightness and saturation of the colour intensity. Damaged starch was assessed by
108 AACC 76- 31.0 method (AACCI, 2001).

109 Water Absorption Index (WAI) was determined calculating the amount of water absorbed and retained by
110 the powder. Briefly, 1.0 ± 0.1 g powder was mixed with 10 mL distilled water (WAI), vortexed for 30 s, then
111 left for 30 min at room temperature. Mixtures were centrifuged at 2500 x g for 20 min using a Rotofix 32A
112 centrifuge (Andreas Hettich GmbH & Co. KG, Tuttlingen, Germany), and the supernatant was decanted.
113 WAI was calculated as the ratio between grams of water retained per gram of solid.

114 Sections and the inner areas of the snacks were measured by image analysis (Image ProPlus software, v6;
115 Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, US), as reported by Bresciani, Giordano, Vanara, Blandino, & Marti
116 (2021). Annulus area was calculated as the difference between sections and the inner area.

117 Porosity, average pore radius, and bulk density were assessed with a Pascal Mercury Porosimeter (P240;
118 Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, US), according to Lucisano, Pagani, Mariotti, & Locatelli (2008).
119 Samples were subjected to increasing pressure of up to 200 MPa, and pores with a radius of 3.7×10^{-3} to 50
120 μm were measured.

121 The firmness of the snacks was determined by means of a three-point bend method as reported by Bresciani
122 et al. (2021).

123 2.2.4 Sensory evaluation

124 A total of eighty-seven subjects (35% men; mean age: 29 ± 9 years) were recruited among students and
125 employees of the Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences of the University of Milan. Only subjects who
126 liked legumes and rice, and were free of food intolerances and allergies were involved. This study was
127 approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Milan and was conducted in compliance the
128 Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed a written informed consent for involvement in the study.

129 The five co-extruded snacks were subjected to the liking evaluation. Participants attended one session of
130 sampling at the Sensory and Consumer Science Laboratory (SCS_Lab) of the Department of Food,
131 Environmental and Nutritional Sciences of the University of Milan designed according to ISO guidelines
132 (ISO 8589 2007). They were asked to consume only water 2 h before the test. One snack at a time was given
133 to the participants, a 30 g. portion in a plastic plate labelled with a 3-digit code. Water was available for
134 rinsing the palate between samples. Participants were asked to taste each sample and then to express their
135 liking using a labeled affective magnitude (LAM) scale anchored by the extremes “the greatest imaginable
136 dislike” (score 0) and “the greatest imaginable like” (score 100; Schutz & Cardello, 2001). Instructions about
137 the use of the scale were provided to the participants at the beginning of the session which took
138 approximately 15 min. Data acquisition was performed with Fizz v2.31 software (Biosystèmes, Couternon,
139 France).

140 2.3. Statistics

141 Damaged starch, WAI, SPA, CWBPA and flavonoid contents, AC-FRAP, AC-ABTS were measured in
142 triplicate. Porosity, average pore radius and bulk density were measured in duplicate, whereas image and
143 texture analyses were carried out on ten and thirty pieces, respectively. Color analysis was carried out on ten
144 pieces.

145 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed by IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 24
146 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) to compare the effect of the combination of raw materials (rice and pulse
147 seed coats at different levels of substitution) and extrusion-cooking processes on the content of polyphenols
148 and AC. As far as the technological traits of snacks and their sensory evaluation are concerned, ANOVA
149 model was performed on the physical properties and overall liking scores considering snack samples as
150 factors. For all the assessments, when a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was found, the Tukey HSD post hoc
151 test was performed as a multiple comparison test.

152 3. Results

153 3.1 Proximal composition and phytochemical contents of raw materials

154 The total macronutrient composition of pulse seed coats and rice flours is reported in Table 1. PC had more
155 fiber content (92.6%) than CC (78.0%), which otherwise reported more protein (11.2%), lipids (5.3%) and
156 ash (3.4%). Phytic acid content in pulse coats ranged from 4.5 mg kg⁻¹ of CC and 0.8 mg kg⁻¹ of PC, while R
157 showed intermediate values.

158 PC and CC had a significant lower content of SPAs (-58%) and CWBPAs (-69%) than R (Table 1), but a
159 significantly higher total flavonoid content (+7.8 time for CC and +16.8 times for PC). As for pulses, PC
160 showed a higher content of both SPAs (+15%) and CWBPAs (+62%) and flavonoids (+42%) than CC.

161 Otherwise, marked differences have been reported in their composition. The mains SPAs in rice are sinapic
162 (43%) and ferulic (37%) acids, while sinapic (43%) and hydroxybenzoic (29%) acids are the most abundant
163 SPAs in PC, whereas CC mainly contains hydroxybenzoic (57%) acid. As far as the CWBPAs are
164 concerned, R content referred mainly to ferulic (80%) acid, and lower content of sinapic (12%) and *p*-
165 cumaric (7%) acids. In PC the main CWBPA was ferulic (41%) acid, followed by gallic (19%),
166 hydroxybenzoic (11%), *p*-cumaric (10%) and sinapic (10%) acids, while in CC 41% was hydroxybenzoic
167 acid, followed by ferulic (26%), sinapic (15%) and *p*-cumaric (8%) acids.

168 As for flavonoids, the main compound identified in rice was apigenin-7-O-glucoside, followed by quercetin-
169 3-O-rhamnoside (Fig. 2). Epicatechin was the most abundant compound identified in both pulse seed coats,
170 accounting for about 75% of total flavonoids in PC and about 37% in CC. Catechin (17%, relative
171 percentage), kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (4.3%), hyperoside (1.8%) and luteolin-3-O-glucoside (1.7%) were
172 also identified in PC. Excluding flavan-3-ols (epicatechin and catechin), the other identified flavonoids were
173 higher in CC than in PC. Besides epicatechin, CC mainly contains hyperoside (21%), kaempferol-3-O-
174 rutinoside (15%), apigenin-7-O-glucoside (9.1%), quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside (8.8%) and rutin (6.8%). No
175 difference was recorded between the samples for AC measured through FRAP assay, while AC_{ABTS} was
176 significantly higher in PC (+34%) and CC (+95%) than R.

177

178

179 3.2 Effect of processing on polyphenol content

180 The substitution of white rice with pulse seed coats significantly reduced the content of SPAs (-29%) and
181 CWBPAs (-21%), without significant differences due to replacement level (Fig. 1). On the contrary, total
182 flavonoid content increased as the replacement level increased. For example, the content was up to six times
183 higher in 30_PC than R.

184 As expected, extrusion significantly reduced SPA content, by 91% in rice alone and by 82% in snacks with
185 pulse seed coats. However, no differences in amounts of SPAs were detected among the snack samples.
186 Regardless of the formulation, the highest reduction was detected for sinapic acid (-93%), followed by
187 ferulic (-85%) and hydroxybenzoic (-77%) acids. As regards CWBPAs, extrusion significantly increased
188 their content in R (+22%), 30_PC (+34%), and 15_CC (+22%) snacks. On average, the main CWBPA
189 increase was reported for sinapic (+84.6%), hydroxybenzoic (+64.5%) and protocatechuic (32.9%).

190 Total flavonoid content was reduced by the extrusion process mainly in the case of rice alone (-69%),
191 followed by CC-based snacks (relative decrease of 50% and 36% in 15_CC and 30_CC, respectively) and by
192 PC-based snacks (-17% and -15% in 15_PC and 30_PC, respectively). These differences could be mainly
193 associated with the different flavonoid compositions of the raw materials: in fact, the main compounds
194 affected by the process were apigenin-7-O-glucoside and quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside (on average -60% and -
195 58%, respectively), which were both present in higher amounts in R and CC than in PC.

196 3.3 Effect of processing on antioxidant capacity (AC)

197 Only the substitution of R with CC at 30% level significantly increased AC_{ABTS} , while no difference was
198 recorded with other treatments (PC and enrichment level) or for AC measured through FRAP assay (Fig. 3).

199 Extrusion did not increased AC in snacks made with white rice only (R), while AC_{FRAP} was significantly
200 higher in 15_PC (+89%), 30_PC (+116%), 15_CC (+66%) and 30_CC (+152%). With the exception of R
201 and 15_CC snacks, where a reduction was observed compared to raw materials, the extrusion process did not
202 affect AC_{ABTS} . Thus, compared to rice alone, AC_{ABTS} was higher by 35%, 44%, 44% and 109%, for 15_PC,
203 30_PC, 15_CC and 30_CC snacks, respectively.

204

205

206 3.4 Physical properties of snacks and their liking assessment

207 The images of the snacks are reported in supplementary Fig. S2, whereas the physical properties are
208 summarized in Table 2. The type of seed coat added and the enrichment level influenced the physical
209 properties of co-extruded snacks to varying degrees. As regards color, seed coat enrichment increased the
210 luminosity (L^*), redness (a^*) and yellowness (b^*) of snacks. Specifically, the highest a^* and b^* values were
211 obtained for snacks with a 30% addition of chickpea seed coats (30_CC). Increasing the amount of seed
212 coats caused considerable changes in the color of snacks, however these differences were significantly
213 greater in snacks with an addition of CC.

214 Adding PC to rice decreased WAI regardless of the enrichment level (15 or 30%). A decrease in WAI due to
215 fiber was also shown by Jin, Hsieh, & Huff (1995). On the contrary, CC did not affect hydration properties at
216 the low level (15%), whereas 30_CC resulted in the highest WAI. Regardless of the enrichment level, CC
217 was able to absorb more water than PC.

218 Adding pulse seed coats significantly decreased the section area (except for 15_CC), as well as the internal
219 area, of rice-based snacks. At the 15% level, CC led to higher section areas, indicating a more expanded
220 product compared to PC. However, such differences were not observed at the 30% level. Regardless of the
221 content of seed coat, CC resulted in co-extruded snacks with a higher annulus and a lower hole/annulus ratio.
222 Although seed coat-enrichment decreased bulk density, CC-based snacks showed a higher bulk density than
223 PC-enriched products. As the CC-enrichment level increased, the section area decreased and the bulk density
224 increased, indicating a less expanded and more compact product, respectively. This is attributable to the
225 increase in the insoluble fiber that limits the expansion of the extrudate (Robin et., 2011; Robin,
226 Schuchmann, & Palzer, 2012). In the case of PC, the enrichment level did not affect the expansion rate, but it
227 affected bulk density. These results are partially supported by previous studies on corn grits enriched with
228 oat, wheat and rye bran, that showed a decrease in expansion rate and an increase in the density of snacks as
229 the percentage of bran increased from 20 to 40% (Makowska, Polcyn, Chudy, & Michniewicz, 2015). The
230 higher fiber content in PC might also account for the lower expansion rate of 15_PC compared to 15_CC.
231 However, at higher seed coat percentages, other factors should be considered, including interactions among
232 biopolymers.

233 Seed coat enrichment led to a decrease in porosity. However, the type of seed coat did not affect either
234 porosity or firmness when used at the 15% level. On the contrary, 30_CC snacks were less porous and less
235 hard than 30_PC, and the internal structure was characterized by pores with higher average radius. At the
236 30% level, CC produced a denser extrudate with big air cell size; hence, lower breaking strength was
237 observed compared to PC at the same enrichment level. Liking assessment results are provided in Fig. 4. A
238 significant sample effect was found on liking scores. The 100% rice sample obtained the lowest liking score,
239 while the samples made with 15% or 30% of both PC and CC were the favorites, with similar scores.

240 **4. Discussion**

241 The impact of fiber or sources of fiber, such as cereal bran, on snack characteristics have been extensively
242 studied, as summarized in recent reviews (Grasso, 2020; Tyl et al., 2021). Thus, the following discussion
243 will focus on the effect of the type of seed coat (field pea vs chickpea) rather than on the effect of the level of
244 seed coat enrichment.

245 As expected, the inclusion of legume seed coats strongly influenced the phenolic composition of snacks (Fig.
246 1). Rice is a great source of polyphenolic compounds, particularly phenolic acids. Among them, ferulic acid
247 is generally the most prevalent, followed by *p*-coumaric acid, sinapic acid, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid
248 and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid; however, their content in the endosperm is up to ten-fold less than in whole
249 grains, resulting in lower amounts in milled white rice than in brown rice (Goufo & Trindade, 2014). In the
250 same manner, flavonoids are mainly located in the outer layers of rice bran, and their content significantly
251 decreases after milling (Ma, Yi, Wu, & Tan, 2020). The most common flavonoids in rice are flavones, such
252 as tricetin, luteolin, apigenin (Goufo & Trindade, 2014), but also flavonols and flavanols and/or their
253 corresponding glycosides are also found (Bordiga et al., 2014; Bagchi et al., 2021). Using legume seed coats
254 could be a way to enhance the polyphenolic profile of rice-based snacks (Fig 1; Fig. 2). In fact, as regards
255 phenolic compounds in peas, more flavonoids are present in pea seed coats than in their cotyledons (Duenas,
256 Hernandez, & Estrella, 2006). This evidence is consistent with our results; even if a partial decrease in
257 polyphenols has been observed after extruding (Fig. 1), legume seed coat-based snacks had significantly
258 more flavonoid content than the 100% rice snack (Fig. 2).

259 Seed coat enrichment also increased the antioxidant capacity of snacks compared to the control formulation
260 (Fig. 3). This improvement may be related to their peculiar phenolic composition (higher total flavonoid
261 content), because flavonoids are generally recognized as having more antioxidant capacity than
262 hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids (Duenas et al., 2006), the main polyphenols in rice.
263 Nonetheless, despite of a major flavonoid content in PC-snacks, the highest antioxidant capacity was
264 observed in 30_CC snacks. This could be due to the different antioxidant activity of individual compounds
265 (PC and CC present different phenolic profiles), but also to the presence of other antioxidant components.
266 The total AC was determined by means of FRAP and ABTS assays performed on solid samples without
267 previous extraction; this means that other compounds could contribute to AC values. As an example, the
268 pectin of chickpea husks is characterized by a dose-dependent free radical scavenging activity (Urias-
269 Orona, et al., 2010).

270 Although the type of seed coat - especially differences in the composition of its components, including fiber
271 solubility - might affect the characteristics of snacks (Brennan et al., 2008), seed coat enrichment often leads
272 to a denser structure with a lower expansion ratio (Robin et al., 2012; Grasso, 2020). Our results showed an
273 overall decrease in expansion rate, porosity, and bulk density (Table 2). Discrepancies with previous findings
274 might be due to differences in types of seed coats and snacks. Indeed, our study differs from the literature
275 because of the addition of pulse seed coats instead of the widely explored cereal bran. Moreover, most
276 studies have focused on extruded snacks (Tyl et al., 2021), whereas this study has focused on co-extruded
277 snacks that are made of an outer shell that is later filled with either a savory or sweet filling. In this kind of
278 product, structure compactness is desirable, and it might be measured as low section area, high bulk density
279 and porosity (Bresciani et al., 2021).

280 At 15% enrichment level, snacks showed similar porosity and texture (Table 2). The rice snacks produced in
281 the present study are already available on the market, consequently they represent our control. Overall, at
282 low enrichment levels, either CC or PC can be used since they do not dramatically alter the texture of the
283 product. Although coat-enriched snacks showed similar porosity, the source of seed coats significantly
284 affected the internal structure (i.e., average pore radius) of extrudate. Specifically, CC resulted in a denser
285 structure (i.e., high bulk density) with small pores (i.e., low average pore radius). Bulk density is a very
286 important product quality attribute for the commercial production of extruded products (Brennan et al.,

287 2008). Since most extruded products are filled by weight and not by volume, changes in bulk density might
288 lead the pack to be less than full or to overflow (Brennan et al., 2008).

289 The effect of the type of seed coat on snack features was greater at high enrichment levels: porosity and
290 texture were higher, whereas bulk density was lower in 30_PC compared to 30_CC. Overall, PC created a
291 less dense structure, but more porous with smaller pores resulting in a more compact product. Our results
292 support previous findings on compact structure with small air cell size resulting in high breaking strength
293 (Jin et al., 1995). Indeed, 30_CC showed the lowest firmness, whereas 30_PC the highest, even higher than
294 control formulation (i.e., 100% rice). The type of seed coat did not significantly affect snack expansion
295 (section area was similar in 30_CC and 30_PC), but significantly decreased the hole/annulus ratio. Besides
296 expansion rate (which substantially determines the quality of snacks), in the case of co-extruded products, a
297 regular hole is desirable since it has to be filled with either a sweet or savory cream (Bresciani et al., 2021).
298 It appears that the size of air cells is related to radial expansion (i.e., annulus area) rather than volume
299 expansion (i.e., section area, bulk density). Similar results were observed by other authors (Jin et al., 1995).
300 Overall, differences between CC and PC behaviour might be related to differences in chemical composition.
301 The higher fat content in CC might account for the formation of a denser and less porous structure, likely due
302 to the formation of amylose-lipid complex (Thachil, Chouksey & Gudipati, 2014), whereas the higher fiber
303 content in PC would have prevented the formation of large cells (Jin et al., 1995). Larger pores in 30_CC
304 might explain differences in water-sample interactions.

305 WAI mainly reflects the ability of starch to absorb water and is an indirect measure of the amount of
306 gelatinized starch granules, since native starch granules do not absorb water at room temperature. On the
307 other hand, WAI increases as gelatinization increases but it decreases if starch dextrinization prevails over
308 gelatinization phenomenon (Singh, Hussain, & Sharma, 2015). Regardless of the differences in chemical
309 composition among the raw materials (Table 1), the extrusion conditions applied in the present study
310 promoted a similar degree of gelatinization, evaluated as damaged starch (i.e., the amount of starch granules
311 that are easily susceptible to alpha amylase hydrolysis). Indeed, when normalized for the amount of total
312 starch, the amount of damaged starch was not significantly different among the snacks (data not shown).
313 Thus, damaged starch content cannot account for the differences in WAI. Neither does the amount of fiber:
314 although PC was higher in fiber than CC, both of them decreased the WAI of snacks. It is likely that

315 extrusion-cooking of PC led polysaccharides to interact, making the hydrophilic groups less available for
316 binding water molecules. Differences in lipid content should also be considered, since lipids are known to
317 decrease the shear viscosity in the extruder, resulting in decreased amylopectin depolymerization, thus
318 resulting in lower starch solubility and higher absorption capability (Robin et al., 2012; Makowska et al.,
319 2015).

320 As regards consumer evaluation, the snack developed with different percentages of pulse seed coats obtained
321 significantly higher liking scores compared with the control made with rice flour only. No differences in
322 hedonic responses have been found according to legume type (chickpea or green pea) or percentages of
323 substitution (15% or 30%). According to present results, previous findings depicted that extruded snacks
324 developed with different amounts of legume bran were well accepted by consumers (Proserpio et al., 2020),
325 thus suggesting that snacks including pulse seed coats could likely have promising market potential, even
326 better compared with more traditional rice-based snacks. In this context, co- extruded legume-based snacks
327 present twofold advantages: on the one hand, they are ready-to-eat foods with increased amounts of fiber,
328 protein and flavonoid, and higher antioxidant capacity than rice snacks; on the other hand, extrusion allows
329 the production of a variety of textures and shapes that could have a positive impact on consumer response
330 (Brennan et al., 2013, Singh et al., 2007). Indeed, consumer acceptance of extruded snacks crucially depends
331 on expansion and texture properties (Tyl et al., 2021). So it has been suggested that highly expanded,
332 extruded commercial snacks are perceived as crispy and light, while poorly extruded ones are evaluated as
333 harder, crunchier and less crisp (Paula, & Conti-Silva, 2014). Moreover, Proserpio et al. (2020) reported that
334 co-extruded snacks enriched with pulse seed coats (chickpeas or peas up to 30% level) negatively affected
335 consumers who perceived the snacks as being ‘hard’, thus supporting findings that highlight the key role
336 texture-related characteristics play in consumer preferred formulations (Jeltema, Beckley & Vahalik, 2016).

337 **5. Conclusions**

338 Extrusion technology is increasingly used for the production of snack foods and has been investigated in
339 connection with improving the dietary profile of snacks. In this study, seed coats from chickpeas and green
340 peas have been proposed as ingredients in rice-based co-extruded snacks produced industrially.

341 The type of seed coat affected the structure of the product (in terms of density, porosity and firmness),
342 without affecting the liking score as assessed by sensory analysis.

343 Overall, the addition of bran from pulses in snack formulations can be considered a successful strategy to
344 enrich the product with protein, fiber and flavonoids (e.g., flavan-3-ols, hyperoside, kaempferol-3-O-
345 rutinoid, apigenin-7-O-glucoside) and other bioactive compounds with antioxidant activity, as well as
346 increase consumer acceptability compared to rice snacks.

347 **CRedit authorship contribution statement:** Andrea Bresciani: Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis,
348 Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft; Francesca Vanara: Methodology, Formal analysis,
349 Investigation, Writing – review & editing; Ella Pagliarini: Methodology; Data curation; Writing- original
350 draft preparation; Writing- reviewing and editing; Monica Locatelli: Validation, Data curation, Writing –
351 original draft, Writing – review & editing; Cristina Proserpio: Methodology; Investigation; Data curation;
352 Writing- original draft preparation; Writing- reviewing and editing; Fabiano Travaglia: Methodology,
353 Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing; Massimo Blandino: Conceptualization, Funding
354 acquisition, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision; Alessandra
355 Marti: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing,
356 Supervision.

357 **Declaration of Competing Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial
358 interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

359 **Acknowledgements:** The authors thank Dr. Alessandro Peila (Molino Peila S.p.A.) for providing the raw
360 materials and Dr. Umberto Lenzi (Fudex Group S.p.A.) for supporting the production of snacks.

361 **Fundings:** This work was partially supported by the Regione Piemonte (POR FESR 2014-2020), as a part of
362 the EXFREE and NUTRACORE Project.

363 **References**

364 AACC Approved Methods of Analysis, 2001. Cereals & Grains Association, St. Paul, MN, U.S.A.

365 Alava, C., Verdú, S., Barat, J. M., & Grau, R. (2019). Enrichment of chips with fibre from a tiger-nut
366 (*Cyperus esculentus*) milk co-product at ‘source of fibre foods’ and ‘high fibre content foods’ levels:

367 impact on processing, physico-chemical and sensory properties. *International Journal of Food Science &*
368 *Technology*, 54(3), 908-915. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.14014>

369 AOAC International Official Methods, 2005. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 18th Ed.,
370 Horwitz W., ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.

371 Blandino, M., Bresciani, A., Locatelli, M., Loscalzo, M., Travaglia, F., Vanara, F., Marti A., 2022, Extrusion
372 of pulses: the interplay between the raw material and processing condition and the effect on the phenolic
373 compounds and product features. *Submitted to Food Chemistry*.

374 Bagchi, T. B., Chattopadhyay, K., Sivashankari, M., Roy, S., Kumar, A., Biswas, T., & Pal, S. (2021). Effect
375 of different processing technologies on phenolic acids, flavonoids and other antioxidants content in
376 pigmented rice. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 100, 103263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2021.103263>

377 Bordiga, M., Gomez-Alonso, S., Locatelli, M., Travaglia, F., Coisson, J. D., Hermosin-Gutierrez, I., &
378 Arlorio, M. (2014). Phenolics characterization and antioxidant activity of six different pigmented *Oryza*
379 *sativa* L. cultivars grown in Piedmont (Italy). *Food Research International*, 65, 282-290.
380 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.03.007>

381 Brennan, M. A., Monro, J. A., & Brennan, C. S. (2008). Effect of inclusion of soluble and insoluble fibres
382 into extruded breakfast cereal products made with reverse screw configuration. *International Journal of*
383 *Food Science & Technology*, 43(12), 2278-2288. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2008.01867.x>

384 Brennan, M. A., Derbyshire, E., Tiwari, B. K., & Brennan, C. S. (2013). Ready-to-eat snack products: the
385 role of extrusion technology in developing consumer acceptable and nutritious snacks. *International*
386 *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 48(5), 893-902. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12055>

387 Bresciani, A., & Marti, A. (2019). Using pulses in baked products: Lights, shadows, and potential solutions.
388 *Foods*, 8(10), 451. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8100451>

389 Bresciani, A., Giordano, D., Vanara, F., Blandino, M., & Marti, A. (2021). The effect of the amylose content
390 and milling fractions on the physico-chemical features of co-extruded snacks from corn. *Food Chemistry*,
391 343, 128503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128503>

392 Duenas, M., Hernandez, T., & Estrella, I. (2006). Assessment of in vitro antioxidant capacity of the seed coat
393 and the cotyledon of legumes in relation to their phenolic contents. *Food Chemistry*, 98(1), 95-103.
394 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.05.052>

395 Giordano, D., Reyneri, A., Locatelli, M., Coisson, J. D., & Blandino, M. (2019). Distribution of bioactive
396 compounds in pearled fractions of tritordeum. *Food Chemistry*, 301, 125228.
397 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125228>

398 Goufo, P., & Trindade, H. (2014). Rice antioxidants: phenolic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins,
399 proanthocyanidins, tocopherols, tocotrienols, γ -oryzanol, and phytic acid. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 2(2),
400 75-104. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.86>

401 Grasso, S. (2020). Extruded snacks from industrial by-products: A review. *Trends in Food Science &*
402 *Technology*, 99, 284-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.012>

403 ISO International Organization for Standardization. (2007). Sensory Analysis – General Guidance for the
404 Design of Test Rooms (ISO 8589:2007). Geneva, Switzerland.

405 Jaeger, S. R., Axten, L. G., Wohlers, M. W., & Sun-Waterhouse, D. (2009). Polyphenol-rich beverages:
406 insights from sensory and consumer science. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 89(14),
407 2356-2363. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3721>

408 Jeltama, M., Beckley, J., & Vahalik, J. (2016). Food texture assessment and preference based on mouth
409 behavior. *Food Quality and Preference*, 52, 160-171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.04.010>

410 Jin, Z., Hsieh, F., & Huff, H. E. (1995). Effects of soy fiber, salt, sugar and screw speed on physical
411 properties and microstructure of corn meal extrudate. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 22(2), 185-194.
412 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0733-5210\(95\)90049-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0733-5210(95)90049-7)

413 Lucisano, M., Pagani, M. A., Mariotti, M., & Locatelli, D. P. (2008). Influence of die material on pasta
414 characteristics. *Food Research International*, 41(6), 646-652.
415 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2008.03.016>

416 Luo, J., Cai, W., Wu, T., & Xu, B. (2016). Phytochemical distribution in hull and cotyledon of adzuki bean
417 (*Vigna angularis* L.) and mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L.), and their contribution to antioxidant, anti-
418 inflammatory and anti-diabetic activities. *Food Chemistry*, 201, 350-360.
419 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.101>

420 Ma, Z. Q., Yi, C. P., Wu, N. N., & Tan, B. (2020). Reduction of phenolic profiles, dietary fiber, and
421 antioxidant activities of rice after treatment with different milling processes. *Cereal Chemistry*, 97(6),
422 1158-1171. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cche.10336>

423 Makowska, A., Polcyn, A., Chudy, S., & Michniewicz, J. (2015). Application of oat, wheat and rye bran to
424 modify nutritional properties, physical and sensory characteristics of extruded corn snacks. *Acta*
425 *Scientiarum Polonorum Technologia Alimentaria*, 14(4), 375-386.
426 <https://doi.org/10.17306/J.AFS.2015.4.37>

427 Millar, K. A., Barry-Ryan, C., Burke, R., Hussey, K., McCarthy, S., & Gallagher, E. (2017). Effect of pulse
428 flours on the physiochemical characteristics and sensory acceptance of baked crackers. *International*
429 *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 52(5), 1155-1163.

430 Patterson, C. A., Curran, J., & Der, T. (2017). Effect of processing on antinutrient compounds in pulses.
431 *Cereal Chemistry*, 94(1), 2-10. <https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-05-16-0144-FI>

432 Paula, A. M., & Conti-Silva, A. C. (2014). Texture profile and correlation between sensory and instrumental
433 analyses on extruded snacks. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 121, 9-14.
434 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.08.007>

435 Pellegrini, N., & Agostoni, C. (2015). Nutritional aspects of gluten-free products. *Journal of the Science of*
436 *Food and Agriculture*, 95(12), 2380-2385. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7101>

437 Proserpio, C., Bresciani, A., Marti, A., & Pagliarini, E. (2020). Legume flour or bran: sustainable, fiber-rich
438 ingredients for extruded snacks? *Foods*, 9(11), 1680. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9111680>

439 Robin, F., Théoduloz, C., Gianfrancesco, A., Pineau, N., Schuchmann, H. P., & Palzer, S. (2011). Starch
440 transformation in bran-enriched extruded wheat flour. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 85(1), 65-74.
441 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.01.051>

442 Robin, F., Schuchmann, H. P., & Palzer, S. (2012). Dietary fiber in extruded cereals: Limitations and
443 opportunities. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 28(1), 23-32.
444 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2012.06.008>

445 Schutz, H. G., & Cardello, A. V. (2001). A labeled affective magnitude (lam) scale for assessing food
446 liking/disliking 1. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 16(2), 117-159. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-459X.2001.tb00293.x)
447 [459X.2001.tb00293.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-459X.2001.tb00293.x)

448 Singh, B., Hussain, S. Z., & Sharma, S. (2015). Response surface analysis and process optimization of twin
449 screw extrusion cooking of potato-based snacks. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 39(3),
450 270-281. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.12230>

451 Singh, S., Gamlath, S., & Wakeling, L. (2007). Nutritional aspects of food extrusion: a review. *International*
452 *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 42(8), 916-929. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365->
453 2621.2006.01309.x

454 Stojceska, V., Ainsworth, P., Plunkett, A., & İbanoğlu, Ş. (2010). The advantage of using extrusion
455 processing for increasing dietary fibre level in gluten-free products. *Food Chemistry*, 121(1), 156-164.
456 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.12.024>

457 Thachil, M. T., Chouksey, M. K., & Gudipati, V. (2014). Amylose-lipid complex formation during extrusion
458 cooking: effect of added lipid type and amylose level on corn-based puffed snacks. *International Journal*
459 *of Food Science & Technology*, 49(2), 309-316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12333>

460 Tuorila, H., & Hartmann, C. (2020). Consumer responses to novel and unfamiliar foods. *Current Opinion in*
461 *Food Science*, 33, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2019.09.004>

462 Tyl, C., Bresciani, A., & Marti, A. (2021). Recent progress on improving the quality of bran-enriched
463 extruded snacks. *Foods*, 10(9), 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10092024>

464 Urias-Orona, V., Huerta-Oros, J., Carvajal-Millán, E., Lizardi-Mendoza, J., Rascón-Chu, A., & Gardea, A.
465 A. (2010). Component analysis and free radicals scavenging activity of *Cicer arietinum* L. husk pectin.
466 *Molecules*, 15(10), 6948-6955. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules15106948>

467 Venkatachalam, K., & Nagarajan, M. (2017). Physicochemical and sensory properties of savory crackers
468 incorporating green gram flour to partially or wholly replace wheat flour. *Italian Journal of Food Science*,
469 29(4). <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2543-5639>

470 Wang, Y., Trani, A., Knaapila, A., Hietala, S., Coda, R., Katina, K., & Maina, N. H. (2020). The effect of in
471 situ produced dextran on flavour and texture perception of wholegrain sorghum bread. *Food*
472 *Hydrocolloids*, 106, 105913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105913>Get rights and content

473 Zhong, L., Fang, Z., Wahlqvistc, M.L., Wu, G., Hodgson, J.M., & Johnson, SK. (2018). Seed coats of pulses
474 as a food ingredient: Characterization, processing, and applications. *Trends in Food Science &*
475 *Technology*, 80, 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.07.021>