Electron. Commun. Probab. **28** (2023), article no. 30, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1214/23-ECP538 ISSN: 1083-589X

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

On smooth approximations in the Wasserstein space

Andrea Cosso^{*} Mattia Martini[†]

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the approximation of continuous functions on the Wasserstein space by smooth functions, with smoothness meant in the sense of Lions differentiability. In particular, in the case of a Lipschitz function we are able to construct a sequence of infinitely differentiable functions having the same Lipschitz constant as the original function. This solves an open problem raised in [12]. For (resp. twice) continuously differentiable function, we show that our approximation also holds for the first-order derivative (resp. second-order derivatives), therefore solving another open problem raised in [12].

Keywords: Wasserstein space; Lions differentiability; smooth approximations. **MSC2020 subject classifications:** 28A33; 28A15; 49N80. Submitted to ECP on March 24, 2023, final version accepted on July 17, 2023.

1 Introduction

In the present paper our aim is to find smooth approximations of continuous functions $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ endowed with the 2-Wasserstein metric \mathcal{W}_2 (see (2.2)). Here smooth is meant in the sense of Lions differentiability, whose main features are recalled in Section 3. We show that when u is continuous there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_k \in C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ which converges to u uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If in addition u is uniformly (resp. Lipschitz) continuous then each u_k is also uniformly (resp. Lipschitz) continuous, with the same modulus of continuity (resp. Lipschitz constant) as u, therefore solving an open problem raised in [12, Remark 3.2-(i)]. Moreover, for $u \in C^1(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (resp. $u \in C^2(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$) we show that the convergence also holds for the first-order derivative (resp. second-order derivatives), therefore solving another open problem raised in [12, Remark 3.2-(i)].

The smooth approximating sequence $\{u_k\}_k \in C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is constructed relying on the empirical distribution, similarly to what is done in [4, 11] in the proof of Itô's formula along a flow of measures (see [4, Theorem 5.92] and [11, Proposition 5.1]), although there it is not really a smoothing as both u and u_k are of class $C^2(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, but rather a way to approximate a function u on $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by functions defined on finite-dimensional spaces. On the other hand, in [12, Section 3] an approximating sequence $\{u_k\}_k \in C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for a continuous function u is built relying on the idea of discretizing a generic probability

^{*}Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Matematica, via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy.

E-mail: and rea.cosso@unimi.it

[†]Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné, 06108 Nice, France.

E-mail: mattia.martini@univ-cotedazur.fr

measure $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by a sequence of discrete distributions $\{\mu_k\}_k \subset \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. However, as mentioned in [12, Remark 3.2], such an approximation does not satisfy certain properties (unless imposing stronger assumptions on u) that are instead satisfied by our approximating sequence.

The existence of a smooth approximating sequence for continuous functions $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ plays a relevant role in different contexts, as for instance in the study of mean field games or mean field control problems (for which we refer to [3, 4, 9]). We mention in particular [14] where the approximating sequence constructed in [12] was used and forced the authors to impose stronger assumptions on the coefficients of the mean field optimal stopping problem, which can be avoided by relying on our approximating sequence. We also mention [6] and [10], where a smooth approximating sequence is used to prove the comparison theorem for viscosity solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman of the mean field control problem and of the backward Kolmogorov equation associated to the nonlinear filtering equation. We finally mention again [4, 11] where a smooth approximating is constructed in order to prove Itô's formula along a flow of probability measures.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notations, the probabilistic setting, and the Wasserstein space $(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$. We also prove some specific properties of such a space that are used in the subsequent sections. Section 3 is devoted to recall the main features of Lions differentiability. Finally, Section 4 contains the main results of the paper, namely Theorem 4.2, concerning the smooth approximations of a continuous function $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$, and Theorem 4.4, regarding the approximation of first and second-order derivatives.

2 Probabilistic setting and Wasserstein space

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Given a random variable $\xi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by \mathbb{P}_{ξ} its law on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^d . Moreover, we denote by $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (or simply L^2) the space of (equivalence classes of) \mathbb{R}^d valued random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We also denote by $\|\xi\|_{L^2}$ the L^2 -norm of a random variable $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

In the sequel we always impose the following assumption on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Assumption (H_U). There exists a real-valued random variable U defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ having uniform distribution on [0, 1].

The above assumption is equivalent to other requirements on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, as established in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Then, for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, the following statements are equivalent.

- 1) $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfies Assumption (**H**_U).
- 2) For every probability μ on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, with finite *p*-th moment, that is $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p \mu(dx) < \infty$, there exists an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable ξ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with distribution μ .
- 3) For every probability μ on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ there exists an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable ξ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with distribution μ , that is $\mathbb{P}_{\xi} = \mu$.
- 4) $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is atomless: for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$, with $B \subset A$, such that $0 < \mathbb{P}(B) < \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Proof. The equivalence between items 1) and 2), and similarly between items 1) and 3), can be proved proceeding along the same lines as in the proof of [7, Lemma 2.1] (notice that in [7, Lemma 2.1] the probability μ has finite second moment; however, the same proof works for a generic probability μ).

Let us prove the equivalence between items 1) and 4). The implication 4) \Longrightarrow 1) follows from [2, Proposition 9.1.11]. On the other hand, let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$ and define $F: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ as $F(x) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x\}), \forall x \in [0,1]$. Notice that F(0) = 0 and $F(1) = \mathbb{P}(A)$. Moreover, if $x_n \downarrow x$ then $\lim_n F(x_n) = \lim_n \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x_n\}) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x\}) = F(x)$; similarly, if $x_n \uparrow x$ then $\lim_n F(x_n) = \lim_n \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x_n\}) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x\}) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x\}) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap \{U \le x\}) = \mathbb{P}(X)$. This shows that F is a continuous function. Then, there exists $b \in (0,1)$ such that F(b) > 0, that is $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, where $B = A \cap \{U \le b\}$.

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the family of all probabilities on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We define

$$\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \, \mu(dx) < +\infty \right\}.$$

Remark 2.2. Since $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfies Assumption (**H**_U), by Lemma 2.1 it holds that

$$\mathscr{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \left\{ \mathbb{P}_{\xi} \colon \xi \in L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \right\}.$$
(2.1)

Given $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, a probability π on $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ is called *coupling* (or *transport plan*) of μ and ν if π has μ as first marginal and ν as second marginal, namely $\mu(B) = \pi(B \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nu(B) = \pi(\mathbb{R}^d \times B)$, for every $B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We denote by $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ the family of all couplings of μ and ν . Notice that $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ is non-empty since the product measure $\mu \otimes \nu$ belongs to $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$. We endow $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the 2-Wasserstein metric

$$\mathcal{W}_{2}(\mu,\nu) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} |x-y|^{2} \, \pi(dx,dy) \right)^{1/2} \right\}.$$
 (2.2)

It can be shown that \mathcal{W}_2 is a metric on $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$ is a complete separable metric space, see [1, Chapter 7] or [15, Chapter 6].

Remark 2.3. Since $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfies Assumption (**H**_U), by Lemma 2.1 it holds that

$$\mathcal{W}_{2}(\mu,\nu) = \inf_{\substack{\xi,\eta \in L^{2} \\ \mathbb{P}_{\xi}=\mu, \,\mathbb{P}_{\eta}=\nu}} \|\xi - \eta\|_{L^{2}}.$$
(2.3)

Then, given $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with laws μ and ν , respectively, it follows directly from (2.3) that

$$W_2(\mu,\nu) \le \|\xi - \eta\|_{L^2}.$$
 (2.4)

We denote $\|\mu\|_2 := \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \delta_0) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \,\mu(dx)\right)^{1/2}$, for every $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where δ_0 is the Dirac delta centered at 0. We observe that if $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ has distribution μ , then

$$\|\mu\|_2 = \|\xi\|_{L^2}.$$
(2.5)

We end this section with a useful technical result.

Lemma 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{x_{i}}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{y_{i}}\right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|x_{i}-y_{i}|^{2}}.$$
(2.6)

ECP 28 (2023), paper 30.

https://www.imstat.org/ecp

Proof. Let ξ be a random variable with law $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$. Let us introduce the mapping $\psi: \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ such that $\psi(x_i) = y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots n$. Then, the random variable $\eta := \psi(\xi)$ has law $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{y_i}$. Thus, from (2.4) it follows that

$$\mathcal{W}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{y_i}\right) \le \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi - \eta|^2\right]} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi - \psi(\xi)|^2\right]} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2}. \quad \Box$$

3 Lions differentiability

There are different definitions of differentiability for functions defined on the Wasserstein space $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the present paper we consider the notion introduced by P.L. Lions in the series of lectures [9]. In this section we recall the main features of such a notion, while we refer to [4, Chapter 5] for more details.

Definition 3.1. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$. The function $\tilde{u}: L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\tilde{u}(\xi) = u(\mathbb{P}_{\xi}), \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d),$$

is said to be the **lifting** of u.

Definition 3.2. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu_0 \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The function u is said to be **Lions differentiable** or *L*-differentiable at μ_0 if there exists $\xi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\mathbb{P}_{\xi_0} = \mu_0$, and \tilde{u} is Fréchet differentiable at ξ_0 .

Definition 3.3. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that its lifting \tilde{u} is everywhere Fréchet differentiable. The function u is said to admit *L*-**derivative** if there exists a function $\partial_{\mu}u$ on $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\mu_0 \mapsto \partial_{\mu} u(\mu_0)(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu_0; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$D\tilde{u}(\xi_0) = \partial_{\mu} u(\mu_0)(\xi_0), \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

for every $\xi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbb{P}_{\xi_0} = \mu_0$. We refer to $\partial_{\mu} u$ as the *L*-derivative of u.

Proposition 3.4. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that its lifting \tilde{u} is everywhere Fréchet differentiable and $D\tilde{u}: L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a continuous function. Then, for every $\mu_0 \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a Borel-measurable function $g_{\mu_0} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$D\tilde{u}(\xi_0) = g_{\mu_0}(\xi_0), \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

for every $\xi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbb{P}_{\xi_0} = \mu_0$.

Proof. See [4, Proposition 5.25].

Proposition 3.5. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that its lifting \tilde{u} is everywhere Fréchet differentiable and $D\tilde{u}: L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a continuous function. Then, there exists at most one map $\partial_{\mu} u \colon \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

1) $\partial_{\mu}u$ is continuous on $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

2) $\forall \xi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{P}_{\xi_0} = \mu_0,$

$$D\tilde{u}(\xi_0) = \partial_{\mu} u(\mu_0)(\xi_0), \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

If such a function exists then we say that *u* admits **continuous** *L*-**derivative**.

Proof. See [4, Remark 5.82].

ECP 28 (2023), paper 30.

Definition 3.6. Let $C^1(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be the class of continuous functions $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ which admit continuous *L*-derivative.

Now, let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be of class $C^1(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then, we can consider the derivatives of the function $(x, \mu) \mapsto u(\mu)(x)$, that is the second-order derivatives of u:

• for every fixed $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the derivative of the function $x \mapsto u(\mu)(x)$, denoted by $\partial_x \partial_\mu u(\mu)(x)$:

$$\partial_x \partial_\mu u \colon \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d};$$

• for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the derivative of the function $\mu \mapsto u(\mu)(x)$, denoted by $\partial^2_{\mu} u(\mu)(x,y)$:

$$\partial^2_{\mu} u \colon \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

Definition 3.7. Let $C^2(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be the class of functions $u \in C^1(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ which admit continuous second-order derivatives $\partial_x \partial_\mu u$ and $\partial_u^2 u$.

Similarly, considering higher-order derivatives we can give the definition of the class $C^k(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As an example, we report all the third-order derivatives:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial_x^2 \partial_\mu u \colon & \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}, \\ \partial_\mu \partial_x \partial_\mu u \colon & \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}, \\ \partial_x \partial_\mu^2 u \colon & \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}, \\ \partial_y \partial_\mu^2 u \colon & \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}, \\ \partial_u^3 u \colon \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}. \end{array}$$

For a careful analysis of higher-order Lions derivatives beyond order 2 we refer to the recent paper [13], where a clever multi-index notation is introduced (see [13, Section 2.1]) and also a Schwarz theorem for multivariate Lions derivatives is provided, see [13, Theorem 3.9] (for the second-order case see [4, Corollary 5.89] and [5, Remark 4.16]). Finally, we give the following definition.

Definition 3.8. Let $C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be the class of continuous functions $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ which admit continuous derivatives of any order.

4 Smooth approximations in the Wasserstein space

We begin recalling the following classical definition.

Definition 4.1. We say that $w : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a modulus of continuity if w is continuous, non-decreasing, concave, and w(0) = 0.

We can now state one of the main results of the paper.

Theorem 4.2. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_k$ in $C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\mu \in \mathscr{M}} |u_k(\mu) - u(\mu)| = 0,$$
(4.1)

for any compact set $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, if u is uniformly continuous with modulus of continuity w then every function u_k is also uniformly continuous with the same modulus of continuity w.

Proof. We split the proof into four steps.

Step I. Definition of $u \star \gamma_k$. We proceed as in [4, Lemma 5.95] and consider a sequence $\{\gamma_k\}_k$ of C^{∞} functions $\gamma_k \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ having compact support and such that $(\gamma_k, D_x \gamma_k, D_x^2 \gamma_k)(x) \to (x, I_d, 0)$ uniformly on compact sets of \mathbb{R}^d as $k \to \infty$, where I_d stands for the identity matrix of order d and 0 denotes the zero matrix of dimension $d \times d$. We also suppose that $|D_x \gamma_k(x)| \leq 1$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, we define

$$(u \star \gamma_k)(\mu) = u(\mu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Notice that $u \star \gamma_k$ is continuous and bounded (see [4, Lemma 5.94]). Moreover, if u is uniformly continuous with modulus w then $u \star \gamma_k$ is also uniformly continuous with the same modulus of continuity. As a matter of fact, it holds that

$$\left|u(\mu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}) - u(\nu \circ \gamma_k^{-1})\right| \le w \left(\mathcal{W}_2(\mu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}, \nu \circ \gamma_k^{-1})\right).$$

Recalling (2.3) we find

$$\mathcal{W}_2(\mu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}, \nu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}) = \inf_{\substack{\xi, \eta \in L^2\\ \mathbb{P}_{\xi} = \mu, \mathbb{P}_{\eta} = \nu}} \|\gamma_k(\xi) - \gamma_k(\eta)\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $|D_x \gamma_k(x)| \leq 1$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we get $\|\gamma_k(\xi) - \gamma_k(\eta)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\xi - \eta\|_{L^2}$, from which we conclude that

$$\left| (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu) - (u \star \gamma_k)(\nu) \right| = \left| u(\mu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}) - u(\nu \circ \gamma_k^{-1}) \right| \le w \left(\mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu) \right).$$
(4.2)

Step II. Definitions of $v_{k,n}, v_{k,n,m}$ and pointwise convergence (4.4). For every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $v_{k,n} : \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$v_{k,n}(\mu) = \mathbb{E}\left[(u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i} \right) \right], \quad \forall \mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
(4.3)

where ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n are independent and identically distributed \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with distribution μ . Let also $\rho \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the probability density function of the standard multivariate normal distribution, that is $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\rho_m(x) = m^d \rho(mx)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $h_{k,n,m} \colon \mathbb{R}^{dn} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$h_{k,n,m}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dn}} (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i - y_i}\right) \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n,$$

for every $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Notice that $h_{k,n,m}$ is of class $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{dn})$. Now, define $v_{k,n,m} \colon \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$v_{k,n,m}(\mu) = \mathbb{E}\left[h_{k,n,m}(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n)\right]$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \mathbb{E}\left[(u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i}\right)\right] \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) dy_1 \cdots dy_n,$$

for every $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n are independent and identically distributed \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with distribution μ . Notice that $v_{k,n,m}$ is also given by

$$v_{k,n,m}(\mu) = \mathbb{E}\left[(u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i - Z_i} \right) \right], \qquad \forall \, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where Z_1, \ldots, Z_n are independent and identically distributed \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ having multivariate normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, m^2 I_d)$ (I_d denotes the identity

matrix of order d) and being independent of ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n . It is easy to see that $v_{k,n,m} \in C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. As a matter of fact, from [4, formula (5.37)] it follows that

$$\partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x_{1}) = n \mathbb{E} \big[\partial_{x_{1}} h_{k,n,m}(x_{1},\xi_{2},\dots,\xi_{n}) \big], \\ \partial_{\mu}^{2} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x_{1},x_{2}) = n(n-1) \mathbb{E} \big[\partial_{x_{2}} \partial_{x_{1}} h_{k,n,m}(x_{1},x_{2},\xi_{3},\dots,\xi_{n}) \big], \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{\mu}^{n} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x_{1},\dots,x_{n}) = n! \, \partial_{x_{n}} \cdots \partial_{x_{1}} h_{k,n,m}(x_{1},\dots,x_{n}), \\ \partial_{\mu}^{n+1} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x_{1},\dots,x_{n},x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Moreover, from the formulae above we deduce that derivatives with respect to the spatial variables x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots are also smooth, thanks to the smoothness of $h_{k,n,m}$. Furthermore, we observe that any higher-order Lions derivative involving more than n derivatives in measure is identically equal to zero. Finally, since $h_{k,n,m}$ has compact support, all Lions derivatives of $v_{k,n,m}$ are bounded, although not necessarily uniformly with respect to k, n, m.

Now, notice that, for every $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_{k,n,m}(\mu) = v_{k,n}(\mu), \qquad \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} v_{k,n}(\mu) = (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu). \tag{4.4}$$

As a matter of fact, regarding the first limit in (4.4) we begin noting that

$$h_{k,n,m}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dn}} (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i - y_i}\right) \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n$$
$$\stackrel{m \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}\right),$$

for every $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, by the boundedness of $u \star \gamma_k$, we find

$$\mathbb{E}[h_{k,n,m}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)] \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[(u \star \gamma_k)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i}\right)\right].$$

Similarly, the second limit in (4.4), that is $v_{k,n}(\mu) - u_k(\mu) = \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i}\right)\right] - u(\mu) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$, follows from the continuity of u and the Glivenko-Cantelli convergence in the Wasserstein distance (see [4, Section 5.1.2]), namely from $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{W}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i}, \mu\right) = 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Step III. The case with u uniformly continuous. Let us now suppose that u is uniformly continuous. Recall from Step I that $u \star \gamma_k$ is also uniformly continuous with the same modulus w. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and consider n independent and identically distributed $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ -valued random variables $(\xi_1, \eta_1), \ldots, (\xi_n, \eta_n)$, with ξ_i (resp. η_i) having distribution μ (resp. ν). Then (recalling (4.2))

$$\begin{aligned} &|v_{k,n,m}(\mu) - v_{k,n,m}(\nu)| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left| (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} \right) - (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\eta_i - y_i} \right) \right| \right] \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \mathbb{E}\left[w \left(\mathcal{W}_2\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\eta_i - y_i} \right) \right) \right] \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (2.6) and Jensen's inequality (recall that w is concave), we find

$$\mathbb{E}\left[w\left(\mathcal{W}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i-y_i}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\eta_i-y_i}\right)\right)\right] \le w\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi_i - \eta_i\|_{L^2}^2}\right).$$

Recalling that $(\xi_1, \eta_1), \ldots, (\xi_n, \eta_n)$ are identically distributed, we conclude that $|v_{k,n,m}(\mu) - v_{k,n,m}(\nu)| \leq w(||\xi_1 - \eta_1||_{L^2})$. From the arbitrariness of ξ_1, η_1 , we find $|v_{k,n,m}(\mu) - v_{k,n,m}(\nu)| \leq w(||\xi - \eta||_{L^2})$, $\forall \xi, \eta \in L^2$, with $\mathbb{P}_{\xi} = \mu$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\eta} = \nu$. By (2.3) there exists a sequence $\{(\xi_k, \eta_k)\}_k \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\mathbb{P}_{\xi_k} = \mu$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\eta_k} = \nu$, such that $\mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu) = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||\xi_k - \eta_k||_{L^2}$. From the continuity of w we conclude that $|v_{k,n,m}(\mu) - v_{k,n,m}(\nu)| \leq w(\mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu))$.

Step IV. Uniform convergence on compact sets and definition of u_k . In this final step we suppose that u is continuous, but not necessarily uniformly continuous, and let \mathscr{M} be a compact subset of $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Notice that the restriction of u to \mathscr{M} is uniformly continuous. Then, by the same argument as in Step III we deduce that the double sequence $\{v_{k,n,m}\}_{n,m}$ is equicontinuous on \mathscr{M} . As a consequence, recalling also the convergences (4.4), we deduce from [8, Lemma D.1] that, for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subsequence $\{v_{k,n,m_n}\}_n$ which converges pointwise to $u \star \gamma_k$ on $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, notice that the following convergences hold

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_{k,n,m_n}(\mu) = (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu), \qquad \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu) = u(\mu), \qquad \forall \, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then, we can apply again [8, Lemma D.1] and deduce the existence of a subsequence $\{u_k\}_k := \{v_{k,n_k,m_{n_k}}\}_k$. Since $\{u_k\}_k$ is equicontinuous (and clearly also equibounded) on every compact subset \mathscr{M} of $\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem it follows that (4.1) holds.

Remark 4.3. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz continuous:

$$|u(\mu) - u(\nu)| \le L \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu), \qquad \forall \, \mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

for some constant $L \ge 0$. Then, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_k$ in $C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that

$$|u_k(\mu) - u_k(\nu)| \le L \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu), \qquad \forall \, \mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \, \forall \, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} |u_k(\mu) - u(\mu)| = 0,$$

for any compact set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We end this section proving another main result of the paper, concerning the approximation of first and second-order derivatives.

Theorem 4.4. Let $u: \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_k \subset C^{\infty}(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that (4.1) holds, moreover

1) if $u \in C^1(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{(\mu, x) \in \mathscr{M} \times K} |\partial_{\mu} u_k(\mu)(x) - \partial_{\mu} u(\mu)(x)| = 0,$$
(4.5)

for any compact sets $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$;

2) if in addition $u \in C^2(\mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{(\mu, x, y) \in \mathscr{M} \times K \times K} \left(\left| \partial_x \partial_\mu u_k(\mu)(x) - \partial_x \partial_\mu u(\mu)(x) \right| + \left| \partial_\mu^2 u_k(\mu)(x, y) - \partial_\mu^2 u(\mu)(x, y) \right| \right) = 0,$$
(4.6)

for any compact sets $\mathscr{M} \subset \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

ECP 28 (2023), paper 30.

https://www.imstat.org/ecp

Proof. We proceed as in Step I of the proof of Theorem 4.2 and consider, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \star \gamma_k$, which is bounded (together with its derivatives), see [4, Lemma 5.94]. We split the rest of the proof into two steps.

Step I. Proof of item 1). For every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $v_{k,n}$ be the function given by (4.3). Notice that

$$\partial_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x) = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\partial_{\mu} (u \star \gamma_k) \bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_x\bigg)(x)\bigg].$$

Now, let us consider the sequence $\{v_{k,n,m}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 4.2, that is

$$v_{k,n,m}(\mu) = \mathbb{E}[h_{k,n,m}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)],$$

where $h_{k,n,m}$ is of class $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{dn})$. For every $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, let $v_{k,n,m}^j \colon \mathbb{R}^{dj} \times \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$v_{k,n,m}^{j}(x_1,\ldots,x_j,\mu) = \mathbb{E}\big[h_{k,n,m}(x_1,\ldots,x_j,\xi_{j+1},\ldots,\xi_n)\big],$$

for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_j, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{dj} \times \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let also $v_{k,n,m}^n \colon \mathbb{R}^{dn} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $v_{k,n,m}^n \equiv h_{k,n,m}$. Notice that

$$v_{k,n,m}(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{k,n,m}^1(x,\mu) \,\mu(dx),$$
$$v_{k,n,m}^j(x_1,\dots,x_j,\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{k,n,m}^{j+1}(x_1,\dots,x_j,x,\mu) \,\mu(dx), \qquad j = 1,\dots,n-1,$$
$$v_{k,n,m}^{n-1}(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{k,n,m}^n(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},x) \,\mu(dx).$$

Hence, to obtain the *L*-derivative of $v_{k,n,m}$ we can apply iteratively [4, formula (5.37)] together with [4, Proposition 5.35], from which we obtain

$$\partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{dn}} \partial_{\mu} (u \star \gamma_k) \bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq k}^{n} \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x - y_k} \bigg) (x - y_k) \rho_m(y_1) \dots \rho_m(y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_n \bigg].$$

By symmetry,

$$\partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x) = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \partial_{\mu}(u \star \gamma_k) \bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x-y_1}\bigg)(x - y_1) \rho_m(y_1) \dots \rho_m(y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_n\bigg].$$

Then, for every $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x) = \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x), \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x) = \partial_{\mu} (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu)(x).$$
(4.7)

The above convergences can be proved proceeding as in Step II of the proof of Theorem 4.2, exploiting the fact that $\partial_{\mu}(u \star \gamma_k)$ is bounded, and also the following result:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{W}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i} + \frac{1}{n}\delta_x, \mu\right) = 0.$$

Indeed, it holds

$$\mathcal{W}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=2}^{n}\delta_{\xi_{i}}+\frac{1}{n}\delta_{x},\mu\right) \leq \mathcal{W}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=2}^{n}\delta_{\xi_{i}}+\frac{1}{n}\delta_{x},\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{\xi_{i}}\right) + \mathcal{W}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{\xi_{i}},\mu\right).$$
 (4.8)

ECP 28 (2023), paper 30.

https://www.imstat.org/ecp

Looking at the right hand side of (4.8), we have that $\{\mathcal{W}_2(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i}, \mu)\}_n$ converges almost surely to zero as $n \to \infty$ (see [4, Section 5.1.2]). By (2.6), it follows that

$$\mathcal{W}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i} + \frac{1}{n}\delta_x, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i}\right) \le \frac{1}{n} \|\xi_1 - x\|_{L^2},$$

which also tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Finally, by the pointwise convergences (4.7) we can proceed as in Step IV of the proof of Theorem 4.2 to find a sequence $\{u_k\}_k$ such that (4.5) holds.

Step II. Proof of item 2). Regarding $\partial^2_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}$, proceeding as in Step I for the function $\mu \mapsto \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ fixed, we obtain

$$\partial^2_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x,z) = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \partial_{\mu} (u \star \gamma_k) \bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=3}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} \\ + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x-y_1} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{z-y_2}\bigg) (x - y_1, z - y_2) \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) dy_1 \cdots dy_n\bigg].$$

On the other hand, concerning the derivative $\partial_x \partial_\mu v_{k,n,m}$, for every fixed $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let us define the map $g_{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n,y_1,\ldots,y_n} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n,y_1,\dots,y_n}(x,z) = \partial_\mu (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x - y_1}\right) (z - y_1), \quad \forall (x,z) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The partial derivative of $g_{\xi_1,...,\xi_n,y_1,...,y_n}$ with respect to x can be computed thanks to [4, Proposition 5.35]:

$$\partial_x g_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n,y_1,\dots,y_n}(x,z) = \frac{1}{n} \partial^2_\mu (u \star \gamma_k) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x - y_1} \right) (z - y_1, x - y_1).$$
(4.9)

Thus, noting that

$$\partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} g_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n,y_1,\dots,y_n}(x,x)\,\rho_m(y_1)\dots\rho_m(y_n)dy_1\dots dy_n\right],$$

by the usual chain rule combined with (4.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \partial_\mu v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x) &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \partial_\mu^2 (u \star \gamma_k) \bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x - y_1} \bigg) (x - y_1, x - y_1) \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \bigg] \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_n}} \partial_x \partial_\mu (u \star \gamma_k) \bigg(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_{\xi_i - y_i} + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x - y_1} \bigg) (x - y_1) \rho_m(y_1) \cdots \rho_m(y_n) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

As in Step I, we can conclude that, for every $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x,z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \partial^2_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x,z) = \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x,z), \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \partial^2_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x,z) = \partial^2_{\mu} (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu)(x,z),$$
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \partial_x \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n,m}(\mu)(x) = \partial_x \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x), \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \partial_x \partial_{\mu} v_{k,n}(\mu)(x) = \partial_x \partial_{\mu} (u \star \gamma_k)(\mu)(x).$$

Finally, using the pointwise convergences above and proceeding as in Step IV of the proof of Theorem 4.2 we can find a sequence $\{u_k\}_k$ such that (4.6) holds.

References

- L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2008.
- [2] V. I. Bogachev. Measure Theory. Vols. I, II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [3] P. Cardaliaguet. Notes on Mean Field Games (from P.-L. Lions' lectures at Collège de France). https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/cardaliaguet/MFG20130420.pdf, 2012.
- [4] R. Carmona and F. Delarue. Probabilistic Theory of Mean Field Games with Applications. I, volume 83 of Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling. Springer, Cham, 2018. Mean field FBSDEs, control, and games.
- [5] R. Carmona and F. Delarue. Probabilistic Theory of Mean Field Games with Applications. II, volume 84 of Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling. Springer, Cham, 2018. Mean field FBSDEs, control, and games.
- [6] A. Cosso, F. Gozzi, I. Kharroubi, H. Pham, and M. Rosestolato. Master Bellman equation in the Wasserstein space: Uniqueness of viscosity solutions. To appear on *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8986. Preprint arXiv:2107.10535.
- [7] A. Cosso, F. Gozzi, I. Kharroubi, H. Pham, and M. Rosestolato. Optimal control of pathdependent McKean–Vlasov SDEs in infinite-dimension. Ann. Appl. Probab., 33(4):2863–2918, 2023.
- [8] A. Cosso and F. Russo. Strong-viscosity solutions: classical and path-dependent PDEs. Osaka J. Math., 56(2):323–373, 2019.
- [9] P-L. Lions. Cours au Collège de France: Théorie des jeux à champ moyens. Audio Conference, 2006–2012.
- [10] M. Martini. Kolmogorov equations on the space of probability measures associated to the nonlinear filtering equation: the viscosity approach. Preprint arXiv:2202.11072.
- [11] M. Martini. Kolmogorov equations on spaces of measures associated to nonlinear filtering processes. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 161:385–423, 2023.
- [12] C. Mou and J. Zhang. Wellposedness of second order master equations for mean field games with nonsmooth data. To appear on *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* Preprint arXiv:1903.09907v3.
- [13] W. Salkeld. Higher order Lions-Taylor expansions. Preprint arXiv:2303.17571.
- [14] M. Talbi, N. Touzi, and J. Zhang. Viscosity solutions for obstacle problems on Wasserstein space. To appear on SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization. Preprint arXiv:2203.17162v2.
- [15] C. Villani. Optimal Transport Old and New, volume 338 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Verlag, 2009.

Acknowledgments. M. Martini conducted this research during his PhD at Università degli Studi di Milano. Currently, M. Martini is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (ELISA project, Grant agreement nr. 101054746).

Electronic Journal of Probability Electronic Communications in Probability

Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS¹)
- Easy interface (EJMS²)

Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS³, BS⁴, ProjectEuclid⁵
- Purely electronic

Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund⁶ (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

¹LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/

 $^{^2} EJMS: Electronic \ Journal \ Management \ System: \ \texttt{https://vtex.lt/services/ejms-peer-review/}$

³IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/

⁴BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/

⁵Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/

⁶IMS Open Access Fund: https://imstat.org/shop/donation/