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Abstract

Background and objectives: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) primarily affects old patients. Old age is a predictor of mortality. Nintedanib, the only antifibrotic drug approved in Italy for patients aged >80 years, can slow the progression of IPF by reducing the rate of decline in forced vital capacity (FVC) and the risk of exacerbations.

The primary aim of the study was to compare the decline of FVC after 12 months of nintedanib in patients aged >80 years VS. younger patients. Differences related to other functional data, safety, tolerability, hospitalizations, exacerbations, and mortality were evaluated.

Methods: An observational, retrospective, multicenter study was carried out in Italy.

Results: 159 (122 (76.7%) males) patients were recruited: 106 (66.7%) with ≤80 years and 53 (33.3%) with >80 years. FVC decline after 12 months of therapy was not significantly different (-45 ml (-170; 75) VS. -20 ml (-138; 110) ml; P: 0.51). No differences were found for other functional data.

Diarrhoea was the most frequent adverse event (AEs). Rate and type of any AEs, permanent/temporary dose reduction or drug discontinuation were not significantly different between patients aged ≤80 VS. >80 years. Furthermore, acute exacerbations, hospitalization, and mortality were not significantly different.

Conclusions: Nintedanib is effective and safe in patients with IPF aged >80 years, and no significant differences were found when clinical outcomes were compared with those of younger patients. Thus, older age should not be a barrier for the early prescription of antifibrotic treatment in IPF patients.

Short title: Nintedanib in patients with IPF>80 years
**Text**

**Introduction**

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a chronic, fibrosing and progressive interstitial lung disease of unknown etiology.\(^1,2\) IPF primarily affects old patients and its incidence increases proportionally with age.\(^1-4\) Older patients are often frail and their higher number of comorbidities may significantly affect their survival.\(^3-6\) Old age is one of the main predictors of mortality in IPF patients.\(^3,6,7\) Nintedanib, a multitarget tyrosin kinase inhibitor, can slow the progression of IPF by reducing the rate of decline in forced vital capacity (FVC) and the risk of exacerbations.\(^7,9,10,11-14\)

It is the only antifibrotic drug approved in Italy for patients aged >80 years: The assessment of its efficacy, safety, and tolerability is key to optimize their management.

Few data from both clinical trials and observational studies failed to demonstrate differences in efficacy and safety between patients aged \(\geq 75\) years VS. those aged <75 years.\(^8,14-16\) A retrospective study showed that dose reduction could be needed in males aged >80 years.\(^17\)

No studies investigated the efficacy of nintedanib in patients with mild to moderate IPF aged >80 years in comparison with those aged \(\leq 80\) years.

The primary aim of the study was to assess the decline of FVC after 12 months of therapy in patients aged >80 years in comparison with younger patients. Furthermore, differences related to other functional data, safety, tolerability, hospitalization and exacerbation rate, and overall mortality were evaluated.

**Materials and methods**

Study design
An observational, retrospective, multicenter Italian study was carried out. It was approved by the ethical committees of four Italian participating hospitals. Written informed consent was provided by patients alive when the study was planned.

Patients and interventions
From October 2018 to February 2022 adult (i.e., ≥18 years old) patients with mild to moderate IPF were consecutively enrolled. Their diagnosis was based on the ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT 2018 Guidelines\(^1\). They should have completed at least three months of nintedanib to be considered eligible. Exclusion criteria were the following: severe IPF (FVC <50% of the predicted value), refusal to sign the informed consent.

For a single patient aged >80 years two patients aged ≤80 years were recruited.

Demographic, clinical and respiratory function data at baseline and after 12 months of treatment were recorded. For patients treated for less than 12 months the last available data were considered.

Data on exacerbations, hospitalization, mortality, adverse events, rate and reasons for dose reduction and/or drug discontinuation were recorded.

Outcomes
The primary outcome was to assess the absolute FVC decline (milliliters) after 12 months of therapy in patients >80 years in comparison with patients ≤80 years old.

Furthermore, we evaluated the decline of FVC (percentage of the predicted value), the diffusion of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) (absolute values and percentage of the predicted value), the variation in meters at 6 minutes walking test (6mWT), the oxygen saturation (SpO2) and the occurrence of dyspnea (modified Medical Research Council, mMRC) scale. Two clinical prediction tools aimed at evaluating the prognosis of patients with IPF were recorded: Gender Age Physiology (GAP) index (based on age and functional parameters), and TORVAN index (based on GAP and comorbidity variables).
The frequency of patients with a stabilization/improvement of FVC (milliliters and percentage of predicted value), with a FVC reduction ≥10% after one year of therapy, as well as the frequency of FVC decline for patients with a FVC at baseline >90% VS. those with a FVC at baseline ≤90%, were also evaluated.

Adverse events (AEs) were recorded and defined using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities System Organ Class and preferred terms.\textsuperscript{8,17}

Statistical analysis

An ad hoc electronic database was created to collect all study variables. Qualitative data were summarized with absolute and relative frequencies (percentages). Means (standard deviations, SD) or medians (interquartile ranges, IQR) were used to describe quantitative variables in case of parametric and non-parametric distributions, respectively. Chi-square or Fisher's exact test was performed to detect any statistical differences in the comparison of the qualitative variables between age subgroups, whereas between-group comparisons of quantitative variables were performed with Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney test for parametric and non-parametric variables, respectively. A P less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical software Stata 17 (StataCorp, TX) was used for all statistical computations.

Results

A total of 159 (122 (76.7%) males) patients with mild-to-moderate IPF were recruited: 106 (66.7%) with ≤80 years (median (IQR) age: 70 (65-75) years) whereas 53 (33.3%) with >80 years (median (IQR) age: 82 (82-83) years) (Table 1).

FVC (ml) decline after 12 months of therapy was not significantly different (-45 ml (-170; 75) VS. -20 ml (-138; 110) ml; P: 0.51) (Figure 1 and Table 2). No differences were found for other functional data. 57/133 (42.9%) patients showed stable/improved absolute FVC values after one year of therapy, without any differences between those aged ≤80 years VS. those aged >80 years (37 (40.2) ml VS 20 (48.8) ml; P: 0.36). 76/133 (57.1%) patients showed stable/improved FVC percentage of the predicted
value, without any differences between younger and older patients (49 (53.3%) VS. 27 (65.9%); P: 0.18).

17/57 (29.8%) patients showed FVC reduction ≥10% without any subgroup differences (10 (23.3%) VS. 7 (50.0%); P: 0.06) (Table 1 supplement). No differences in FVC (ml) decline were detected between younger and older patients with baseline FVC >90% VS. those with FVC ≤90% (Table 2 supplement).

Acute exacerbations, hospitalization and mortality outcomes were not significantly different (Table 3). Diarrhoea (in the majority mild/moderate) was the most frequent gastrointestinal AE (Table 4). No differences were found between patients aged ≤80 VS. >80 years in the rate and type of any AEs, permanent/temporary dose reduction or drug discontinuation (Table 5).

A permanent discontinuation was recorded in 13/159 (8.2%), without any significant differences between age subgroups (6 (5.7%) patients ≤80 years VS. 7 (13.2) >80 years; P: 0.10); 68/159 (42.7%) had a permanent dose reduction, without any age differences (40 (37.7%) VS. 28 (52.8%); P: 0.07). Gastrointestinal events and transaminases elevation were the most frequent AEs leading to dose reduction and drug discontinuation (Table 5).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to compare the effectiveness, safety, and tolerability of nintedanib in patients with mild-to-moderate IPF older than 80 years with younger patients.

We showed that nintedanib is effective and safe in this subset of patients, without any significant differences with those aged ≤80 years.

Despite the frequency of patients aged ≥75 years is 19% in clinical trials, old patients with IPF are increasing (population ageing). In Italy nintedanib is the only antifibrotic drug approved for IPF patients aged >80 years but no specific data has been previously collected. Assessment of the effectiveness, tolerability, and safety of the drug in this subgroup of patients is key.
IPF is a progressive disease with an unpredictable course; patients with a preserved lung function can benefit from an antifibrotic treatment\(^4,21-23\). In our study, the baseline mean FVC was 85\% of the predicted value without any significant differences between younger and older patients (86\% VS. 83\%), showing that an early treatment initiation was performed in older patients.

A similar FVC decline was found in patients aged ≤80 and >80 years. The decline of absolute values of FVC was lower than that reported in INPULSIS trials but the reduction of FVC percentage of predicted value during the first year of treatment was comparable with other real-life studies.\(^9,11-14\) No differences were found for dyspnea and other functional parameters (i.e., DLCO, meters at 6mWT, and SpO2%) suggesting similar pharmacological effect on gas exchange.

Our findings are consistent with those of previous clinical trials and observational studies which failed to demonstrate a significant difference in FVC decline between patients ≥75 years versus <75 years.\(^8,14-16\). Our data showed that nintedanib in older IPF patients can improve the functional decline when baseline FVC is >90\% and that the rate of patients with stable/improved FVC after one year of treatment is not affected by the age.

The proportion of non-decliner patients was higher than that reported in clinical trials (24.8\%)\(^24\) and more similar to a previous observational, Italian study (47.4\%).\(^11\)

No significant differences between older and younger patients were recorded for the AEs. Our data are consistent with Glaspole et al. and Takeda et al., who failed to demonstrate differences in the safety profile of nintedanib between patients aged ≥75 VS. those aged <75 years.\(^15,16\) However, Komatsu et al. recently demonstrated a slightly higher rate of AEs in IPF patients ≥75 years.\(^14\)

Diarrhoea was the most frequent AE without any differences in terms of severity;\(^14,16\) The rate of diarrhoea is consistent with that described in both INPULSIS trials and observational real-life studies.\(^12,13,18\)

Only a minority permanently discontinued nintedanib (8.2\%). Tzouvelekis et al. and Antoniou et al. described a higher rate of permanent discontinuation (21.2\% and 13.1\%, respectively) in two real-life studies\(^12,13\).
However, we described a higher rate of permanent dose reduction than that reported by Corte et al. in clinical trials (42.7% VS. 10.7%).

Gastrointestinal events (mostly diarrhoea) and transaminases elevation were the most frequent reasons for drug discontinuation and dose reduction but no differences were found between patients aged ≤80 VS. those aged >80 years. Komatsu et al. failed to show a different rate of nintedanib discontinuation between IPF patients aged ≥75 VS. those aged <75 years, unlike Glaspole et al. and Uchida et al. who recorded a higher rate of discontinuation in the elderly.

In the vast majority of the cases, gastrointestinal events lead to a permanent discontinuation than to a temporary reduction of the dose: an appropriate management and prevention strategy (e.g., nutritional support and food advice) could be key.

Interestingly, in a recent observational study, Harari et al. described a higher rate of dose reduction in patients >80 years. However, this difference was statistically significant only in males.

We showed that age did not affect the rate and the outcomes of hospitalization in IPF patients treated with nintedanib, and older age did not affect exacerbations-related outcomes, as previously demonstrated.

Some limitations of the study should be acknowledged. The retrospective nature of the study and the role played by confounders could affect the reliability of the findings. The observational design would not be the best methodological option; however, a careful selection of the patients was carried out.

In conclusion, our study showed that nintedanib is effective and safe in patients with IPF aged >80 years, without any significant differences with younger patients. Our data showed that older age should not be a barrier for the early prescription of antifibrotic treatment in mild-to-moderate IPF patients.

Future studies are needed to confirm our findings in non-IPF progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease.
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Tables and figure legends.
Table 1. Demographic, clinical and functional characteristics of the cohort at baseline, related to age subgroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years (n= 106)</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years (n= 53)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>82 (77.4)</td>
<td>40 (75.5)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>104 (98.1)</td>
<td>53 (100.0)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) BMI</td>
<td>26.8 (25.29)</td>
<td>26 (23.6-28.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25 (23.6)</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48 (45.3)</td>
<td>11 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29 (27.4)</td>
<td>22 (41.5)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
<td>11 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history at baseline, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No smoker</td>
<td>25 (23.6)</td>
<td>20 (37.7)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>75 (70.8)</td>
<td>33 (62.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active smoker</td>
<td>6 (5.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) n. pack/years (n= 114)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age ≤80 years</td>
<td>Age &gt;80 years</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT pattern, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIP</td>
<td>62 (59.1)</td>
<td>37 (69.8)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIP probable</td>
<td>33 (31.4)</td>
<td>16 (30.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestive of other diagnosis</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological pattern (if biopsy), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIP</td>
<td>10 (90.9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIP probable</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>Age ≤80 years</td>
<td>Age &gt;80 years</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (15.1)</td>
<td>14 (26.4)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>56 (52.8)</td>
<td>33 (62.3)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (22.6)</td>
<td>12 (22.6)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (24.5)</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAS, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (18.9)</td>
<td>6 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (16.0)</td>
<td>13 (24.5)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE reflux, n (%)</td>
<td>49 (46.2)</td>
<td>19 (35.9)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary neoplasia, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory function at baseline</td>
<td>Age ≤80 years</td>
<td>Age &gt;80 years</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (DS) FVC, ml</td>
<td>2866 (797.0)</td>
<td>2422 (760.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>86 (74-95)</td>
<td>83 (71-95)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) DLCO, % predicted</td>
<td>54.5 (43-67)</td>
<td>49 (39-63)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) DLCO, ml</td>
<td>11.0 (8.1-14.4)</td>
<td>9.0 (6.3-12.1)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) 6MWT, m</td>
<td>424.9 (105.5)</td>
<td>344.7 (103.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) SpO2, %</td>
<td>97 (95-98)</td>
<td>95 (94-97)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) mMRC</td>
<td>1.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) GAP</td>
<td>3.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) TORVAN</td>
<td>14.2 (5.1)</td>
<td>16.6 (4.3)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BMI: body mass index; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; CT: computed tomography; UIP: usual interstitial pneumonia; OSAS: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; GE: gastro-esophageal; FVC: forced vital capacity; DLCO: diffusion of lung for carbon monoxide; 6MWT: six-minutes walking test; SpO2: oxygen saturation; mMRC: modified British Medical Research Council Questionnaire

Table 2. Change in functional data during the study period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decline on treatment (n= 133)</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years (n= 92)</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years (n= 41)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) FVC, ml</td>
<td>-45 (-170; 75)</td>
<td>-20 (-138; 110)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>0 (-5; 3)</td>
<td>1 (-4; 6)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) DLCO, % predicted</td>
<td>-5.3 (11.3)</td>
<td>-6.1 (9.9)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) DLCO, ml</td>
<td>-0.9 (-2.4; -0.03)</td>
<td>-0.8 (-2.5; -0.05)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) 6MWT, m</td>
<td>-20 (-50; 20)</td>
<td>-30 (-95; 20)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) SpO2, %</td>
<td>-0.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) mMRC</td>
<td>0.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) GAP</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) TORVAN</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decline intention-to-treat (n= 26)</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years (n= 14)</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years (n= 12)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) FVC, ml</td>
<td>-37 (387.5)</td>
<td>-119.2 (227.3)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>0.8 (13.3)</td>
<td>-3.8 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) DLCO, % predicted</td>
<td>-5.3 (9.4)</td>
<td>-3.7 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) DLCO, ml</td>
<td>-1.2 (-3.2; -0.01)</td>
<td>-0.3 (-0.5; -0.1)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) 6MWT, m</td>
<td>-24.3 (86.9)</td>
<td>-26.3 (71.6)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) SpO2, %</td>
<td>-0.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>-0.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) mMRC</td>
<td>0.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) GAP</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-0.5)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) TORVAN</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-1.5)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FVC: forced vital capacity; DLCO: diffusion of lung for carbon monoxide; 6MWT: six-minutes walking test; SpO2: oxygen saturation; mMRC: modified British Medical Research Council Questionnaire
Table 3. Summary of the rate and outcomes related to acute exacerbations, hospitalization and mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age ≤&lt;span style='font-size: 18px;'&gt;80 years&lt;/span&gt;</th>
<th>Age &gt;&lt;span style='font-size: 18px;'&gt;80 years&lt;/span&gt;</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute exacerbations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) days to first exacerbation</td>
<td>270 (79.4)</td>
<td>170 (141.4)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of exacerbation: death, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitalization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (11.9)</td>
<td>7 (25.9)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) days to first hospitalization</td>
<td>248.4 (163.4)</td>
<td>196.6 (122.7)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization due to worsening IPF, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization due to IPF exacerbation, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (40.0)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-respiratory cause, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (60.0)</td>
<td>5 (71.4)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of hospitalisation: death, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death during therapy, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) days to death</td>
<td>215 (-)</td>
<td>200 (-)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death due to IPF worsening, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death due to IPF exacerbation, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death due to cause other than IPF, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPF: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
Table 4. Summary of adverse events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>42 (39.6)</td>
<td>23 (44.2)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>36 (34.0)</td>
<td>12 (23.1)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>20 (18.9)</td>
<td>15 (28.9)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>8 (7.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 (15.1)</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomit, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (5.7)</td>
<td>5 (9.6)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated transaminases, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (19.8)</td>
<td>6 (11.5)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 (34.9)</td>
<td>21 (40.4)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinopharyngitis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (20.8)</td>
<td>7 (13.5)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper airways infection, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 (13.2)</td>
<td>7 (13.5)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPF progression, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (19.8)</td>
<td>12 (23.1)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular events, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (4.7)</td>
<td>3 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe AEs, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
<td>6 (11.8)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious AEs, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (5.3)</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPF: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. AE: adverse event. Severe AE: event that was incapacitating or that caused an inability to work or to perform usual activities. Serious AE: any adverse event that resulted in death, was immediately life-threatening, resulted in persistent or clinically significant disability or incapacity, required or prolonged hospitalization, was related to a congenital anomaly or birth defect or was deemed serious for any other reason.
Table 5. Rate and reasons for dose reduction and/or drug discontinuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs leading to permanent discontinuation of the drug</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevated transaminases, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (20.0)</td>
<td>7 (41.2)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory/thoracic/mediastinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs leading to temporary discontinuation of the drug</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) days of discontinuation</td>
<td>21 (15-38)</td>
<td>20 (14-30)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated transaminases, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (41.7)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (69.4)</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory/thoracic/mediastinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td>4 (18.2)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs leading to permanent dose reduction</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevated transaminases, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (36.6)</td>
<td>5 (17.2)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>29 (70.7)</td>
<td>21 (72.4)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory/thoracic/mediastinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (7.3)</td>
<td>5 (17.2)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs leading to temporary dose reduction</th>
<th>Age ≤80 years</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) days of dose reduction</td>
<td>112.3 (76.2)</td>
<td>90 (-)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated transaminases, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory/thoracic/mediastinal events, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AEs: adverse events

Figure 1 legend. FVC (ml) decline after one year of treatment related to age subgroups.
**Supplementary material**

Table 1 supplement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age ≤80 years</th>
<th>Age &gt;80 years</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, ml stable or improved: 57/133 (42.9%)</td>
<td>37 (40.2)</td>
<td>20 (48.8)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % stable or improved: 76/133 (57.1%)</td>
<td>49 (53.3)</td>
<td>27 (65.9)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % reduction ≥10%: 17/57 (29.8%)</td>
<td>10 (23.3)</td>
<td>7 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FVC: forced vital capacity

Table 2 supplement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total cohort</th>
<th>FVC at baseline &gt;90% (n = 47)</th>
<th>FVC at baseline ≤90% (n = 86)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) decline* of FVC, ml</td>
<td>-40 (-120; 100)</td>
<td>-30 (-190; 90)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≤80 years</td>
<td>(n= 33)</td>
<td>(n= 59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) decline* of FVC, ml</td>
<td>-40 (-130; 70)</td>
<td>-50 (-190; 90)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;80 years</td>
<td>(n= 47)</td>
<td>(n= 86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (IQR) decline* of FVC, ml</td>
<td>-0.7 (233.3)</td>
<td>-62.9 (311.1)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FVC: forced vital capacity