

1 **Sixty years of habitat decline: impact of land-cover changes in northern Italy on**  
2 **the decreasing ortolan bunting *Emberiza hortulana***

3

4 Mattia Brambilla<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Marco Gustin<sup>2</sup>, Severino Vitulano<sup>2,4</sup>, Riccardo Falco<sup>1</sup>, Valentina Bergero<sup>1</sup>,  
5 Irene Negri<sup>2</sup>, Giuseppe Bogliani<sup>5</sup>, Claudio Celada<sup>2</sup>

6

7 Mattia Brambilla

8 Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Settore Biodiversità e Aree protette, Largo 10 luglio 1976

9 1, I-20822 Seveso, MB, Italy; Lega Italiana Protezione Uccelli (LIPU/BirdLife Italia), Via Trento

10 49, I-43100 Parma, Italy; Museo delle Scienze, Sezione di Zoologia dei Vertebrati, Via Calepina 14,

11 I-38122 Trento, Italy. E-mail: brambilla.mattia@gmail.com. Phone +39 02 80616123; fax +39 02

12 80616180 (corresponding author)

13

14 Marco Gustin

15 Lega Italiana Protezione Uccelli (LIPU/BirdLife Italia), Via Trento 49, I-43100 Parma, Italy. E-

16 mail: marco.gustin@lipu.it

17

18 Severino Vitulano

19 Associazione FaunaViva, Viale Sarca 78, I-20125 Milano, Italy; Lega Italiana Protezione Uccelli

20 (LIPU/BirdLife Italia), Via Trento 49, I-43100 Parma, Italy. E-mail: severino.vitulano@gmail.com

21

22 Riccardo Falco

23 Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Settore Biodiversità e Aree protette, Largo 10 luglio 1976 1, I-20822

24 Seveso, MB, Italy. E-mail: riccardo.falco@flanel.org

25

26 Valentina Bergero

27 Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Settore Biodiversità e Aree protette, Largo 10 luglio 1976 1, I-20822  
28 Seveso, MB, Italy. E-mail: valentina.bergero@flanet.org  
29  
30 Irene Negri  
31 Lega Italiana Protezione Uccelli (LIPU/BirdLife Italia), Via Trento 49, I-43100 Parma, Italy. E-  
32 mail: irene.negri@coldiretti.it  
33  
34 Giuseppe Bogliani  
35 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Pavia, Via Adolfo Ferrata, 9, I-  
36 27100 Pavia, Italy. E-mail: giuseppe.bogliani@unipv.it  
37  
38 Claudio Celada  
39 Lega Italiana Protezione Uccelli (LIPU/BirdLife Italia), Via Trento 49, I-43100 Parma, Italy. E-  
40 mail: claudio.celada@lipu.it  
41  
42 **Length of the manuscript: 6458**

43 **Abstract**

44 Habitat loss and degradation are main global threats to biodiversity, and land-use changes in  
45 agriculture-dominated landscapes are crucial for an important portion of biodiversity, especially in  
46 Europe. We evaluated the effects of land-use changes (1954-2012) on a threatened species, the  
47 ortolan bunting, in an agricultural area crucial for its conservation in Italy. We built a distribution  
48 model for ortolan bunting in current landscapes, and then re-projected it to past scenarios (1954 and  
49 1999-2000). We evaluated the most important land-use changes occurred and estimated their effects  
50 on habitat suitability. Bunting occurrence was mostly affected by the extent of grassland (positively;  
51 used as foraging/breeding ground), shrubland (quadratic effect; perches/shelter), forest and  
52 urbanized land (negatively), and by solar radiation (positively) and slope (quadratic), consistently  
53 with other studies carried out especially in southern Europe. The potential distribution of the species  
54 was much larger in the past: the estimated decline in suitable habitat is 44%-72% (since 1999-  
55 2000/1954), coherently with historical data suggesting strong decline and contraction. Changes in  
56 suitability (1954-2012) were mostly associated with changes in the cover of forest, vineyards and  
57 abandoned areas (negatively), and shrubland (positively). Land-use/land-cover changes are the main  
58 drivers of species occurrence and of habitat decline. The heterogeneous landscape of hilly/low-  
59 mountain sites in this area, characterized by a mix of habitats offering complementary resources to  
60 ortolan buntings and other species of conservation concern, is currently threatened by abandonment  
61 and intensification, but its maintenance may be promoted by a correct definition of Rural  
62 Development Programme measures.

63

64 **Keywords**

65 bare ground; conservation; grassland; land abandonment; MaxEnt; Rural Development Programme

66

67 **Introduction**

68

69 Habitat loss and degradation are among the main global threats to biodiversity (Newbold et al.  
70 2015), and land use change and intensification represent a primary cause of biodiversity loss (Foley  
71 et al. 2005). Within this process, agricultural land use is particularly important, and increasing  
72 pressures on farmed landscapes are resulting in agricultural intensification with widespread loss of  
73 grasslands and other natural or semi-natural and economically less remunerative habitats (Dale et al.  
74 2011; Tilman et al. 2001; Tilman et al. 2011; Wright and Wimberly 2013).

75 As an example, several farmland bird species in Europe have declined drastically due to agricultural  
76 intensification (BirdLife International 2015). Open agricultural landscapes cover large parts of  
77 Europe (Ostermann 1998). Fields and pastures attract a range of species adapted to millennia of low  
78 intensity farming in traditional farmland landscapes (Donald et al. 2002; Russo 2007). The  
79 economical growth and the demand for more food determined dramatic changes in farming  
80 practices in the second half of the past century, with a consequent widespread agricultural  
81 intensification (Robinson and Sutherland 2002). This phenomenon has been associated with an  
82 opposite trend of land abandonment in many marginal areas and in rural landscapes in mountains  
83 (MacDonald et al. 2000; Robinson and Sutherland 2002; Russo 2007). Also the latter process has  
84 detrimental effects for many species and communities tied to open and semi-open habitats (Amici et  
85 al. 2012, Chamberlain et al. 2013; Laiolo et al. 2004).

86 Land use change in agriculture-dominated landscapes are thus crucial for the conservation of an  
87 important portion of biodiversity, especially in Europe (see e.g. Wretenberg et al. 2007,2010). With  
88 this work, we evaluate the effects of land cover changes due to anthropogenic pressure on a  
89 threatened species: the ortolan bunting *Emberiza hortulana*. We focus on an agriculture-dominated  
90 area in northern Italy, characterized by particularly high biodiversity values, and consider an almost  
91 60-years long period. Within this area, we evaluate the effects of changes underwent from 1954 to  
92 2012, and of more recent variations occurred between 1999-2000 and 2012, on environmental

93 suitability for ortolan bunting.

94 Ortolan bunting is one of the most severely declining species in Europe; it is vanishing through  
95 most of its range (Menz and Arlettaz 2012) and in the past 30 years it showed the most dramatic  
96 decline (-89% in Europe) among 38 widespread species which are Afro-Palearctic migrants  
97 (Vickery et al. 2014). Given that it mostly occurs in Europe (which corresponds to 50-74% of the  
98 species range) and that changes to breeding habitats are likely to have a crucial role in driving  
99 species decline (Goławski and Dombrowski 2002; Menz and Arlettaz 2012; Revaz et al. 2005;  
100 Vepsäläinen et al. 2005), adequate conservation measures are required in European countries.

101 This species mostly occupies open and semi-open habitats, although habitat preferences are  
102 different within the species range (Cramp and Perrins 1994). In southern Europe, where a notable  
103 portion of the species population breeds, ortolan buntings inhabit open and semi-open shrubland,  
104 heterogeneous and semi-open farmlands and steppe-like habitats (Brotons et al. 2008; Cramp and  
105 Perrins 1994; Guerrieri et al. 2006; Menz et al. 2009; Morelli 2012). They occupy areas  
106 characterized by sparse vegetation, with scattered trees (Cramp and Perrins 1994), i.e. early  
107 vegetation stages (Menz et al. 2009), including burnt areas (Brotons et al. 2008; Dale and Manceau  
108 2003; Menz et al. 2009), while avoiding more mature vegetation stages characterized by denser and  
109 taller vegetation (Bogliani et al. 2003; Sirami et al. 2007). This kind of preferences for early stages  
110 is likely due to the foraging habits of the species, which feeds mostly on bare or sparsely vegetated  
111 ground (Menz and Arlettaz 2012). Taller elements, such as bushes, trees or rocks are used as  
112 songposts, whereas nests are made mostly on the ground (Cramp and Perrins 1994).

113 With this work, we aim to identify the habitat composition at the landscape scale affecting the  
114 species distribution at the regional level. This kind of information is of crucial importance for the  
115 adequate implementation of conservation measures for this dramatically declining species. We  
116 evaluate landscape traits affecting species distribution in a study area in northern Italy, where the  
117 ecology of the species has been recently investigated at the territory level (1 ha) within selected  
118 study plots, on the basis of fine-scale variables measured on the ground (Brambilla et al. 2016).

119 Ortolan buntings have been reported to be associated at such a fine scale to the following habitat  
120 traits, listed in order of importance: bare ground (at least 5% of the potential territory), lucerne  
121 cover (around 50%), shrub cover (medium or high cover), length of hedgerows or tree rows (at least  
122 25 m / ha) (Brambilla et al. 2016). Here, we consider a broader, landscape scale and a much higher  
123 number of territories scattered over a wider area, and use habitat variables derived from GIS  
124 (Geographical Information System) layers to describe the current and historical landscapes, and to  
125 identify the factors affecting environmental suitability for the species by means of species  
126 distribution models. We then estimate the historical variations in habitat suitability for ortolan  
127 buntings. On the basis of previous knowledge from this area and from elsewhere, at the landscape  
128 scale we consider in this work (see below), we expect i) a roughly quadratic effect of some of the  
129 features important at the territory scale, and in particular some types of land cover shaping the  
130 landscape of the study area, such as shrubland and arable land (Bogliani et al. 2003), which are  
131 often included in the species' territories; ii) a positive effect of the cover of semi-natural grassland  
132 grazed or used for hay-making, which characterizes low-intensity farming ecosystems in the area;  
133 iii) a negative effect of forest cover, given the association with open and semi-open habitats  
134 reported for the species in southern Europe (e.g. Guerrieri et al. 2006); iiiii) a potential effect of  
135 topographical features, such as slope and solar radiation (Menz et al. 2009).

136 The availability of species' occurrence data and of detailed GIS habitat layers for different periods  
137 over the past 60 years offers an extraordinary opportunity to quantify the current and past  
138 distribution of suitable habitat for ortolan buntings at the landscape scale, and to relate the changes  
139 in land cover with the changes in the distribution of potential habitat for this threatened species.

140

## 141 **Methods**

142

### 143 **Study area**

144

145 The study was carried out in the southern belt of Lombardy, located south to the Po River and  
146 including the Apennine belt comprised within the regional boundaries. This heterogeneous area,  
147 generally referred to as Oltrepò pavese, occupies c. 1100 km<sup>2</sup> and is characterized by increasing  
148 elevation and slope from north to south. Elevation varies from c. 50 a.s.l. near the Po to 1724 m of  
149 the Mount Lesima. The lowland portion is mostly covered by arable land (mostly cereal crops),  
150 with small woodlots, poplar plantations and wetlands, whereas the foothill is largely covered by  
151 vineyards; at middle elevation, a mosaic of woodlands, non-intensive cultivations and vineyards  
152 occurs, whereas at middle-upper and upper elevations the landscape is dominated by woodlands  
153 with pastures interspersed, the latter being often abandoned (Brambilla et al. 2012).  
154 Towns and villages occur within the entire area, according to a gradient opposite to the altitudinal  
155 one. In general, the human density is quite low if compared with lowland areas in northern Italy.  
156 The climate of the study area is temperate, with rainfall (average amount c. 700-1500 mm/year)  
157 increasing and temperature (average mean 5°-12°C) decreasing with elevation (Bogliani et al. 2003;  
158 Abeli et al. 2012). The climate is thus largely comprised within the extremes tolerated by the  
159 species, even if the optimal rainfall amount according to the literature is on average lower (Cramp  
160 and Perrins 1994; but note that in Italy the species occurs in areas with even higher amount of  
161 rainfall, cf. Nardelli et al. 2015).

162

163 Bird census

164

165 During May-July 2010-2014 Ortolan buntings were censused at all elevations and within all major  
166 landscape types, by means of linear transects and territory mapping. 121 linear transects (fixed  
167 length 400m) were scattered above open and semi-open areas, and all the main landscapes types and  
168 units within the study area were included; transects had random orientation and covered all the  
169 elevational belts of the area (Brambilla et al. 2012). Territory mapping was carried out in 10 focal  
170 plots (average area 110 ha), all mainly covered by open or semi-open landscapes, with varying

171 proportions grassland, arable land, vineyards, shrubland and forest patches (see Brambilla et al.  
172 2016 for further details on territory mapping). Transects were censused twice in spring 2010 and the  
173 focal plots were visited four or more times in spring 2011. Some additional casual observations  
174 (obtained e.g. when moving between plots or transects, or in the course of other fieldwork activities  
175 outside the main study sites) were also included. In all cases, the exact location of ortolan buntings  
176 (considering both visual and vocal contacts) was recorded; observations of individuals just flying  
177 over the area surveyed, or recorded with an approximation larger than 100 m were discarded.

178

179 Distribution modelling

180

181 We built a distribution model for ortolan bunting in Oltrepò considering locations from independent  
182 territories: in the case of precisely mapped territories, we considered the centre of each territory; in  
183 the case of other records closer than 100 m, we randomly selected one of the records.

184 We used the so resulting 84 independent bunting locations to build a distribution model using  
185 MaxEnt 3.3.3 k (Phillips et al. 2006), one of the most performing and frequently used methods for  
186 modelling species distribution using presence-background methods (Elith et al. 2006, 2011),  
187 including when data have been collected under different field methods, as in our study. The  
188 background was created using 30 000 points randomly generated by MaxEnt. The model was built  
189 using linear and quadratic fitting functions (Brambilla et al. 2015) and selecting variables  
190 representing land-use and topography (Brambilla 2015; Brambilla et al. 2015; see Table 1). Climate  
191 variables were not considered as all the area is within the climate potentially suitable for the  
192 species, according to both temperature and rainfall amount (see above).

193

194 #Table 1 approximately here#

195

196 We derived land-cover variables from the regional database DUSAF 4.0 (resolution 20 m, date:



197 2012; Regione Lombardia 2014), a high-resolution database already used for species distribution  
198 models in other studies (e.g. Brambilla and Ficetola 2012; Brambilla et al. 2012, 2014). For each  
199 land-use type, we calculated the relative cover within a 100-m radius from each cell (20 m × 20 m  
200 cell), and used such cover value (expressed in m<sup>2</sup> x 100) for model building. Topographic variables  
201 included slope and mean solar radiation (the latter calculated as for 21<sup>st</sup> June; both potential proxies  
202 of microclimatic conditions) from a 20-m resolution digital terrain model and entered as the average  
203 value in the 100-m radius from the cell.

204 As a measure of the matching between the predicted suitability and the observed distribution, we  
205 calculated the area under the curve (AUC) and the relative standard deviation by means of two  
206 different approaches. In the first one, we performed 100 model runs and a bootstrap approach  
207 according to which iteratively 30% of the species records were discarded from the training data set  
208 and used for testing each model (cf. Engler et al. 2014). Secondly, we performed a 10-fold cross-  
209 validation of the distribution model. We acknowledge AUC limitations as an absolute method for  
210 the evaluation of model accuracy (e.g. Lobo et al. 2008), but we used it only to compare the same  
211 models over varying partitioning of data into training and testing data.

212 The continuous output (logistic) provided by the model was reclassified into a binary variable  
213 describing occurrence and absence of ortolan buntings using the Equal Training Specificity and  
214 Sensitivity threshold (Bartel and Sexton 2009, Brambilla and Ficetola 2012). The choice of this  
215 individual threshold among all the available ones was justified as such threshold led to the  
216 reclassification most closely matching the actual distribution of the species. The latter was assessed  
217 considering the actual range as reported by Nardelli et al. (2015), the distribution according to the  
218 ongoing national atlas of breeding birds ([www.ornitho.it](http://www.ornitho.it), accessed on 29<sup>th</sup> October 2015), and our  
219 own knowledge on species' distribution in the area. Notably, other frequently used thresholds,  
220 namely Maximum Training Sensitivity plus Specificity and 10<sup>th</sup> percentile, had values very close to  
221 the selected one and led to highly matching estimates of species occurrence.

222 Then, we re-projected the distribution model to past scenarios, obtained thanks to the availability of

223 land cover maps describing land-use in 1954 and in 1999-2000 (available on  
224 [www.geoportale.regione.lombardia.it](http://www.geoportale.regione.lombardia.it)), adopting the same categories and created following the same  
225 criteria of the DUSAF 4 map.

226

227 Land-cover changes and environmental suitability

228

229 We evaluated the most important land-use changes between 1954 and 2012, and between 1999-  
230 2000 and 2012, by estimating the relative cover of each land-use type.

231 To estimate what changes mostly affected the decrease in habitat suitability during the two periods  
232 considered, we randomly scattered 10 000 points throughout the entire study area and measured at  
233 each point i) the differences in environmental suitability as calculated by the MaxEnt model  
234 between current and the two past conditions, respectively, and ii) the differences in land-cover in the  
235 100-m radius between current conditions and the two past conditions, respectively, for urbanized  
236 areas, forest, shrub, vineyard, bare ground and grassland cover. Then, we evaluated the correlation  
237 between land-cover and environmental suitability changes for each period.

238

239

## 240 **Results**

241

### 242 **Current distribution**

243

244 The distribution model appeared fairly stable, showing good similar discriminatory ability over the  
245 training and the testing data and limited standard deviation (average AUC $\pm$ SD over the runs: 10-  
246 fold cross-validated models: training data 0.948 $\pm$ 0.003; test data 0.942 $\pm$ 0.029; 100 bootstrap runs:  
247 0.951 $\pm$ 0.010). The most important variables affecting the environmental suitability for the ortolan  
248 buntings were related to land use: in particular, the extent of permanent grassland positively

249 affected suitability and the cover of shrubland had a quadratical/positive effect, whereas forest  
250 cover and urbanized land negatively impacted on environmental suitability (Fig. 1).

251

252 #Figure 1 approximately here#

253

254 Also topographical factors contributed to the definition of the suitability for the species, which is  
255 positively affected by increasing solar radiation and is associated with intermediate slope values  
256 (see Fig. 1 and Table 2). The result of the jackknife test substantially confirmed the ranking  
257 provided by the percentage contribution of the different variables, but in addition it highlighted the  
258 relative importance of permanent grassland, which resulted in highly performing single-variable  
259 models (Table 2).

260

261 #Table 2 approximately here#

262

263

264

265 Past distribution and estimated variation

266

267 The potential distribution of the species was much larger in the past. The currently suitable area is  
268 equal to 12 893 ha. The suitable area covered 46 514 ha in 1954 and 23 212 ha at the end of the last  
269 century. The estimated decline is equal to 72% since 1954, and to 44% since 1999-2000 (Fig. 2).

270

271 #Figure 2 approximately here#

272

273 Land-cover changes and habitat loss

274

275 Between 1954 and 2012, both arable land and bare soil decreased by 39%; other land-cover showed  
276 spectacular increases, and in particular vineyards (+165%), urbanized areas (+144%), forest (+59%),  
277 shrubland (+38%). Abandoned areas (formerly used for agricultural purposes) changed from 2.3 to  
278 more than 4 000 ha. Between 1999-2000 and 2012, the pattern was substantially similar although  
279 obviously less extreme. Forests kept stable, arable land (-14%) and bare soil (-12%) decreased,  
280 whereas vineyards (+8%), urbanized areas (+9%) and shrubland (+81%) increased. The dramatic  
281 increase in abandoned areas continued (+173%).

282 Considering land-cover showing average variations within the periods of at least 1 m<sup>2</sup>/point at the  
283 10 000 random points, an increase from 1954 to 2012 was found for urban areas (+13.75 m<sup>2</sup>/point),  
284 forest (+28.3 m<sup>2</sup>/point), abandoned areas (+10.19 m<sup>2</sup>/point), vineyards (+25.47 m<sup>2</sup>/point), whereas a  
285 decrease was found for grassland (-1.35 m<sup>2</sup>/point). From 1999-2000 to 2012, an increase was found  
286 for abandoned areas (+5.26 m<sup>2</sup>/point) and vineyards (+3.07 m<sup>2</sup>/point), and a decrease for grassland  
287 (-3.67 m<sup>2</sup>/point).

288 A significant correlation was found between the changes in environmental suitability for ortolan  
289 bunting between 1954 and 2012 and the changes in the cover of forest ( $r = -0.48$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ),  
290 vineyards ( $r = -0.33$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), abandoned areas ( $r = -0.31$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and shrubland ( $r = 0.12$ ,  $p <$   
291  $0.001$ ). Considering changes undergone between 1999-2000 and 2012, a significant association was  
292 found with abandoned areas ( $r = -0.44$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), forest ( $r = -0.43$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), grassland ( $r = 0.37$ ,  
293  $p < 0.001$ ), vineyards ( $r = -0.15$ ,  $p = 0.049$ ) and shrubland ( $r = 0.11$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

294

295

## 296 **Discussion**

297

298 We evaluated the factors affecting the distribution of the ortolan bunting at the landscape level and  
299 the variations in the distribution of potential habitat for this declining species from 1954 to 2012.

300 Land-use changes are among the main drivers of changes in species distribution and population size

301 (Leemans and Zuidema 1995), and the distribution of ortolan buntings in the study area was  
302 strongly affected by land use. Ortolan bunting was mainly positively associated with permanent  
303 grassland and positively/quadratically with shrubland (consistently with previous knowledge, see  
304 Cramp and Perrins 1994; Menz and Arlettaz 2012; Pruscini et al. 2013; Brambilla et al. 2016). It  
305 was also associated with an intermediate cover of bare ground (as found in other areas, Berg 2008;  
306 Menz and Arlettaz 2012; Menz et al. 2009), although the effect of the latter seemed weaker at the  
307 landscape scale (3.14 ha, this study) than at territory level (1 ha, Brambilla et al. 2016). The  
308 association with such different habitats is due to the need for perches and potential shelter, offered  
309 by shrubs, and for feeding ground, such as grassland and bare ground, the latter being particularly  
310 important for prey collection (Menz and Arlettaz 2012); ground at the basis of grass or shrubs is  
311 used as breeding site. In addition, the species was strongly and negatively affected by the cover of  
312 forest habitats and urbanized areas; this is not surprising for a species tied to open and semi-open  
313 landscapes and generally avoiding heavily 'humanized' areas (Cramp and Perrins 1994). However,  
314 elsewhere the species had been reported to be associated to small forest patches, embedded in open  
315 or complex mosaic landscapes (Kosicki and Chylarecki 2012). Considering abiotic factors, slope  
316 contributed to describe the species distribution in the area, this also mirroring previous findings  
317 (Cramp and Perrins 1994; Menz et al. 2009). This means that the ideal habitat of the species is  
318 represented by a mix of grassland and shrubland, with patches of bare ground, over gently sloping  
319 hillsides (see also Brambilla et al. 2016).

320 At this landscape scale, our results showed a strikingly consistency with the pattern reported for  
321 ortolan buntings in Catalonia, despite the completely different landscapes and study approach  
322 (considering both field data and statistical analyses). In burnt areas in Catalonia, the number of  
323 ortolan buntings peaked at slopes around 15°-20°, with a predicted abundance higher than one  
324 individual per transect with slopes comprised between 10° and 25° (Menz et al. 2009); in our study  
325 area, environmental suitability for the species peaked around 15° (Table 2). Moreover, in Catalonia  
326 the pattern of ortolan bunting abundance suggested an optimum level of bare ground at around 20–

327 30%, a figure identical to the pattern depicted by the single-variable species-habitat relationship in  
328 the MaxEnt model, which suggested maximum suitability with c. 24% of bare soil (Table 2).  
329 Similarly, also the preference for slopes well exposed to sun had been already highlighted by Menz  
330 et al. (2009).

331 Model validity was confirmed by both the validation statistics and by a comparison with the current  
332 knowledge on the species' distribution. A visual inspection of the predicted distribution (Fig. 2) in  
333 relation to the recently reported regional range (Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente 2015)  
334 suggested that the model represents well the distribution of ortolan buntings in the study area.

335

336 Land-use changes and consequences for bunting habitat

337

338 Land-use changes occurred both historically (since 1954) and recently (since the end of the past  
339 century) resulted in a marked decline of the suitable habitat for the species, which had lost almost  
340 75% of its potential distribution since the half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. Around half of the historical  
341 range of the species became unsuitable by the end of the century; since then, a further 45% of the  
342 remaining suitable areas has been lost. The extent suitable for the ortolan bunting decreased all over  
343 the study area, from lowlands to mountain areas. We recognize that caution is needed when  
344 applying distribution models over different periods, because of the lack of detailed information  
345 about breeding density and habitat preferences in the past on the one side, and of potentially  
346 different management applied to the same habitats, which could alter their relative suitability, on the  
347 other one.

348 Although precise information about the historical species distribution is lacking, the available data  
349 suggest that in the past (1966-1975) the species was much more widespread, occurring also in  
350 lowlands towards the Po river (Barbieri et al. 1980), where the species is now largely absent  
351 because of unsuitable habitats, but where it was predicted to occur in 1950s (Fig. 2). In low hills,  
352 the species has almost completely disappeared from the eastern portion (our data; see also Fig. 2),

353 where it regularly occurred in the past (Brichetti and Fasola 1990); now most of the landscape in  
354 this portion of the study area is covered by vineyards. Therefore, even if we cannot exclude that the  
355 species currently has habitat preferences somehow different from the past, it is likely that the basic  
356 habitat-species relationships at the landscape scale remained more or less unchanged over the  
357 timeframe here considered. Coherently, in some specific areas the disappearance of the species  
358 coincided with the transition from grassland or mosaic habitats into intensive crops (e.g. poplar  
359 plantations or maize fields) or urban development in lowland, or into vineyards in hilly areas (E.  
360 Vigo pers. com.).

361 Land abandonment and agricultural intensification were the main causes of the observed land-use  
362 changes and of the consequent decline of suitable habitat for ortolan buntings. Land abandonment is  
363 the main issue currently threatening open and semi-open habitats in northern Apennines, especially  
364 at middle and high elevation, where shrub and tree encroachment occurs at the expense of semi-  
365 natural grassland and pastures no longer mown or grazed (Brambilla et al. 2010). Agricultural  
366 intensification in the study area could be described as a two-way process, involving on the one side  
367 the removal of marginal features (such as residual unmanaged grassland, shrub patches, tree rows or  
368 hedgerows) and the conversion of grassland into cereal crops in lowland areas, and on the other side  
369 the expansion of vineyards at the expense of grassland in foothill areas (Bogliani et al. 2003;  
370 Brambilla et al. 2007b, 2009a, 2009b). Both these intensification processes had impacted on habitat  
371 availability and suitability for ortolan buntings.

372 The main changes occurred in land-cover in Oltrepò consisted indeed in a marked increase over the  
373 sixty-years period of abandoned areas, forest, vineyards, urban areas and shrubs, and of abandoned  
374 areas, shrubs and vineyards in the last 12 years. On the other side, open habitats tied to farming  
375 practices and in particular arable land declined, as already reported for the study area (Brambilla et  
376 al. 2010).

377 The association between changes in suitability and changes in the cover of different land-cover  
378 types suggested that the increases of forest, abandoned areas and vineyards, have been the major

379 causes of habitat decline (in terms of extent and of suitability) for ortolan buntings in the last sixty  
380 years. Looking at the more recent variation, the expansion of abandoned areas and forests (and,  
381 secondarily, of vineyards), as well as the reduction of grassland, mostly affected variation in  
382 environmental suitability. On the other side, shrub increase slightly favoured the species over both  
383 periods.

384 The cover of forest and of urban area negatively affect the distribution of some other species of  
385 conservation concern in the same area, including larks (e.g. *Alauda arvensis* and *Lullula arborea*;  
386 Brambilla and Rubolini 2009; Brambilla et al. 2012), shrikes (*Lanius collurio* and *L. minor*;  
387 Brambilla et al. 2009, 2010) and other buntings (e.g. *Emberiza cirlus*, *E. calandra*, *E.*  
388 *melanocephala*; Brambilla et al. 2009b 2010; Brambilla 2015), and forest expansion over once  
389 grazed or cultivated areas is thought to be a major source of decline and loss of suitable habitat for  
390 threatened species such as the red-backed shrike (Brambilla et al. 2010). A similar pattern has been  
391 reported for Spain, where open or semi-open habitat species like red-backed shrike and tree pipit  
392 *Anthus trivialis* declined in an abandoned area in north-western Spain (Regos et al. 2016).

393

394 Conservation implications

395

396 Preserving suitable breeding habitats is of primary importance for the conservation of ortolan  
397 bunting. Our main results could thus be used to give management recommendations for conserving  
398 or improving the species' habitat in this portion of its range and probably also abroad, given the  
399 consistency of the habitat-species relationships with studies carried out in other countries.

400 Coherently with recommendations given at a finer scale on the basis of territory selection in the  
401 species (Brambilla et al. 2016), a critical point is the maintenance of the low-intensity farming  
402 mosaic which still occurs in this portion of the Apennines. Hilly and low-mountain areas are  
403 characterized by a heterogeneous landscape including small fields, vineyards, grassland, hedgerows,  
404 shrublands, woodlots and calanques (eroded mountainsides with sandy/rocky soils subject to



405 landslides). These habitat mosaics fulfil different requirements by providing breeding and foraging  
406 habitats and song-posts to ortolan buntings (Menz and Arlettaz 2012; Brambilla et al. 2016) and  
407 other species, including several species of conservation concern, such as larks (Bogliani et al. 2003;  
408 Brambilla and Rubolini 2009; Brambilla et al. 2012), shrikes (Brambilla et al. 2007a; Chiatante et  
409 al. 2014; Brambilla et al. in press) and other buntings (Brambilla et al. 2008; Brambilla 2015). This  
410 species-rich mosaic landscape is currently threatened by abandonment and by agricultural  
411 intensification (see above), mostly in terms of vineyard expansion.

412 Considering that ortolan buntings may tolerate some cover (and even appreciate small extent) of  
413 vineyards, it is important to limit their expansion in mosaic areas, within which their relative extent  
414 should be limited and by all means kept below 50% of the landscape (according to the modelled  
415 species-habitat relationship, which shows a drastic decline in suitability with vineyard cover  
416 exceeding half of the area of the 100-m radius circle). At the same time, in areas currently entirely  
417 covered by vineyards and thus unsuitable to buntings and other species within hilly areas otherwise  
418 potentially adequate for the species, it would be useful to restore grassland and shrubland patches  
419 with some bare ground.

420 Urbanization also contributed to a decline in extensively farmed habitats, and key sites especially at  
421 lower elevation (where new urbanization mostly occurs) should be preserved from further urban  
422 development.

423 Tree planting (often involving non-native conifers or fruit trees) over grassland (see Abeli et al.  
424 2012) is locally causing a marked decrease of the available habitat, especially when performed on  
425 slopes partly subjected to soil erosion, particularly suitable for ortolan buntings thanks to the co-  
426 occurrence of grassland, shrubs and bare soil (Brambilla et al. 2016). Such interventions destroy or  
427 reduce highly suitable habitats characterized by the fine-scaled mosaic particularly suitable for the  
428 species.

429 In conclusion, a broad conservation strategy should be implemented at the landscape scale, to  
430 counteract further abandonment and intensification, thus promoting the conservation of the low-

431 intensity farming system and of the associated mosaic landscape. In grassland subject to recent  
432 abandonment (with consequent shrub and tree encroachment) or tree plantation, the restoration of  
433 grassland habitats should be a conservation priority, for birds as well as for several reptiles,  
434 invertebrates and plant species (Bogliani et al. 2003, Abeli et al. 2012).

435 Within this landscape strategy, further actions could be promoted at a smaller scale to favour ortolan  
436 buntings. In particular, the creation of compact habitat mosaics including grassland, shrubs and bare  
437 ground (Brambilla et al. 2016), should be promoted within the portions of northern Apennines  
438 depicted as suitable by the distribution model (Fig. 2).

439 The Rural Development Programme (RDP), which in Italy is defined at the regional level, could be  
440 a potentially important tool for the species conservation. The landscape strategy could be  
441 implemented by a targeted definition of the standard measure included in the RDP, whereas the  
442 further actions at a finer, more local scale would fit well into a dedicated agri-environmental  
443 scheme (Brambilla et al. 2016).

444

445

#### 446 **Acknowledgments**

447 This study was part of a research project funded to LIPU-BirdLife Italia by the Italian Ministry for  
448 Environment (MATTM). We are very grateful to E. Vigo and T. Feltrin for cooperation and to two  
449 anonymous reviewers for helpful comments.

450

451

#### 452 **References**

453 Abeli T, Parolo G, Dell'Orto V (2012) *Orchidee spontanee dell'Appennino Pavese*. Nuova  
454 Tipografia Popolare, Pavia

455 Amici V, Rocchini D, Geri F, Bacaro G, Marcantonio M, Chiarucci A (2012) Effects of an  
456 afforestation process on plant species richness: A retrogressive analysis. *Ecological Complexity*

457 9:55-62. doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2011.11.006

458 Barbieri F, Bogliani G, Fasola M, Pazzuconi A, Prigioni C (1980) L'Atlante ornitologico della  
459 provincia di Pavia. Atti VII Simposio Naz. Conservazione Fauna 1977, Bari:87-99

460 Bartel RA, Sexton JO (2009) Monitoring habitat dynamics for rare and endangered species using  
461 satellite images and niche-based models. *Ecography* 32:888–896. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-  
462 0587.2009.05797.x

463 Berg Å (2008) Habitat selection and reproductive success of ortolan buntings *Emberiza hortulana*  
464 on farmland in central Sweden - the importance of habitat heterogeneity. *Ibis* 150:565–573. doi:  
465 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2008.00825.x

466 BirdLife International 2015. European Red List of Birds. Office for Official Publications of the  
467 European Communities, Luxembourg

468 Bogliani G, Cova C, Polani F (2003) La natura tra Nure e Scrivia. Il territorio del Giardino di Pietra  
469 Corva. Provincia di Pavia, Pavia

470 Brambilla M (2015) Landscape traits can contribute to range limit equilibrium: habitat constraints  
471 refine potential range of an edge population of Black-headed Bunting *Emberiza melanocephala*.  
472 *Bird Study* 62: 132-136. doi: 10.1080/00063657.2014.974502

473 Brambilla M, Gustin M, Vitulano S, Negri I, Celada C. (2016) A territory scale analysis of habitat  
474 preferences of the declining Ortolan Bunting *Emberiza hortulana*. *Bird Study* 63:52-57.  
475 doi:10.1080/00063657.2015.1126219

476 Brambilla M, Bergero V, Bassi E, Falco R (2015) Current and future effectiveness of Natura 2000  
477 network in the central Alps for the conservation of mountain forest owl species in a warming  
478 climate. *Eur J Wildlife Res* 61:35-44. doi: 10.1007/s10344-014-0864-6.

479 Brambilla M, Casale F, Bergero V, Bogliani G, Crovetto GM, Falco R, Roati M, Negri I (2010)  
480 Glorious past, uncertain present, bad future? Assessing effects of land-use changes on habitat  
481 suitability for a threatened farmland bird species. *Biol Conserv* 143:2770–2778. doi:  
482 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.025

483 Brambilla M, Casale F, Bergero V, Crovetto GM, Falco R, Negri I, Siccardi P, Bogliani G (2009a)  
484 GIS-models work well, but are not enough: Habitat preferences of *Lanius collurio* at multiple levels  
485 and conservation implications. Biol Conserv 142:2033-2042. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.03.033  
486 Brambilla M, Falco R, Negri I (2012) A spatially explicit assessment of within-season changes in  
487 environmental suitability for farmland birds along an altitudinal gradient. Anim Conserv 15:638–  
488 647. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-1795.2012.00561.x  
489 Brambilla M, Ficetola GF (2012) Species distribution models as a tool to estimate reproductive  
490 parameters: a case study with a passerine bird species. J Anim Ecol 81:781–787. doi:  
491 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.01970.x  
492 Brambilla M, Fulco E, Gustin M, Celada C (2013c) Habitat preferences of the threatened Black-  
493 eared Wheatear *Oenanthe hispanica* in southern Italy. Bird Study 60:432-435. doi:  
494 10.1080/00063657.2013.819831  
495 Brambilla M, Guidali F, Negri I (2008) The importance of an agricultural mosaic for Cirl Buntings  
496 *Emberiza cirlus* in Italy. Ibis 150:628–632. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2008.00822.x  
497 Brambilla M, Guidali F, Negri I (2009b) Breeding-season habitat associations of the declining Corn  
498 Bunting *Emberiza calandra* – a potential indicator of the overall bunting richness. Ornis Fennica  
499 86:41–50  
500 Brambilla M, Gustin M, Celada C (2013a) Species appeal predicts conservation status. Biol Conser  
501 160:209-213. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.02.006  
502 Brambilla M, Gustin M, Fulco E, Sorace A, Celada C (in press) Coarse landscape features predict  
503 occurrence, but habitat selection is driven by specific habitat traits: implications for the  
504 conservation of the threatened woodchat shrike *Lanius senator*. Bird Conserv Internatn.  
505 doi:10.1017/S0959270916000034  
506 Brambilla M, Reginato F, Guidali F (2007a). Habitat use by Moltoni’s Warbler *Sylvia cantillans*  
507 moltonii in Italy. Ornis Fenn 84:91–96  
508 Brambilla M, Rubolini D (2009) Intra-seasonal changes in distribution and habitat associations of a

509 multi-brooded bird species: implications for conservation planning. *Anim Conserv* 12:71–77. doi:  
510 10.1111/j.1469-1795.2008.00226.x

511 Brambilla M, Rubolini D, Guidali F (2007b) Between land abandonment and agricultural  
512 intensification: habitat preferences of Red-backed Shrikes *Lanius collurio* in lowintensity farming  
513 conditions. *Bird Study* 54:160–167. doi: 10.1080/00063650709461471

514 Brambilla M, Saporetti F (2014) Modelling distribution of habitats required for different uses by the  
515 same species: implications for conservation at the regional scale. *Biol Conserv* 174:39-46. doi:  
516 10.1016/j.biocon.2014.03.018

517 Brichetti P, Fasola (1990) Atlante degli uccelli nidificanti in Lombardia (1983-1987). Editoriale  
518 Ramperto, Brescia

519 Brotons L, Herrando S, Pons P (2008) Wildfires and the expansion of threatened farmland birds: the  
520 ortolan bunting *Emberiza hortulana* in Mediterranean landscapes. *J Appl Ecol* 45:1059–1066. doi:  
521 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01467.x

522 Chamberlain DE, Negro M, Caprio E, Rolando A (2013) Assessing the sensitivity of alpine birds to  
523 potential future changes in habitat and climate to inform management strategies. *Biol Conserv*  
524 167:127-135. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.07.036

525 Chiatante GP, Brambilla M, Bogliani G (2014) Spatially explicit conservation issues for threatened  
526 bird species in Mediterranean farmland landscapes. *J Nat Conserv* 22:103-112. doi:  
527 10.1016/j.jnc.2013.09.006

528 Cramp S, Perrins CM (Eds) (1994) *The birds of the Western Palearctic, Volume IX*. Oxford  
529 University Press, Oxford

530 Dale VH, Kline KL, Wright LL, Perlack RD, Downing M, Graham RL (2011) Interactions among  
531 bioenergy feedstock choices, landscape dynamics, and land use. *Ecol Appl* 21:1039–1054. doi:  
532 10.1890/09-0501.1

533 Dale S, Manceau N (2003) Habitat selection of two locally sympatric species of *Emberiza* buntings  
534 (*E. citrinella* and *E. hortulana*). *J Ornithol* 144:58–68. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0361.2003.02059.x

535 Elith J, Graham CH, Anderson RP, Dudík M, Ferrier S, Guisan A, Hijmans RJ, Huettmann F,  
536 Leathwick JR, Lehmann A, Li J, Lohmann LG, Loiselle BA, Manion G, Moritz C, Nakamura M,  
537 Nakazawa Y, Overton JM, Peterson AT, Phillips SJ, Richardson KS, Scachetti-Pereira R, Schapire  
538 RE, Soberon J, Williams S, Wisz MS, Zimmermann NE (2006) Novel methods improve prediction  
539 of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography* 29:129–151. doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0906-  
540 7590.04596.x

541 Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, Dudík M, Chee YE, Yates CJ (2011) A statistical explanation of  
542 MaxEnt for ecologists. *Divers Distrib* 17:43–57. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00725.x

543 Engler JO, Rödder D, Stiels D, Förchler MI (2014) Suitable, reachable but not colonised: seasonal  
544 niche duality in an endemic mountainous songbird. *J Ornithol* 155:657–669. doi: 0.1007/s10336-  
545 014-1049-5

546 Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, Chapin FS, Coe MT, Daily GC,  
547 Gibbs HK, Helkowski JH, Holloway T, Howard EA, Kucharik CJ, Monfreda C, Patz JA, Prentice  
548 IC, Ramankutty N, Snyder PK (2005) Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309:570–574. doi:  
549 10.1126/science.1111772

550 Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente (2015) Programma di monitoraggio scientifico  
551 della Rete Natura 2000 in Lombardia – parte prima: fauna. [http://www.naturachevale.it/wp-](http://www.naturachevale.it/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/D.1Programma-di-monitoraggio-scientifico-della-rete_fauna_SITO.pdf)  
552 [content/uploads/2014/04/D.1Programma-di-monitoraggio-scientifico-della-rete\\_fauna\\_SITO.pdf](http://www.naturachevale.it/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/D.1Programma-di-monitoraggio-scientifico-della-rete_fauna_SITO.pdf)

553 Gołowski A, Dombrowski A (2002) Habitat use of yellowhammers *Emberiza citrinella*, ortolan  
554 buntings *E. hortulana*, and corn buntings *Miliaria calandra* in farmland of east-central Poland.  
555 *Ornis Fenn* 79:164–172

556 Guerrieri G, Miglio M, Santucci B (2006) Habitat e riproduzione dell'Ortolano, *Emberiza*  
557 *hortulana*, in ambienti agricoli marginali dell'Italia centrale. *Riv Ital Ornitol* 76:47-68

558 Kosicki JZ, Chylarecki P (2012) Habitat selection of the Ortolan bunting *Emberiza hortulana* in  
559 Poland: predictions from large-scale habitat elements. *Ecol Res* :347-355. doi: 10.1007/s11284-011-  
560 0906-4

561 Laiolo P, Dondero F, Ciliento E, Rolando A (2004) Consequences of pastoral abandonment for the  
562 structure and diversity of the alpine avifauna. *J Appl Ecol* 41:294–304. doi: 10.1111/j.0021-  
563 8901.2004.00893.x

564 Leemans R, Zuidema G (1995) Evaluating changes in land cover and their importance for global  
565 change. *Trends Ecol Evol* 10:76–81. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(00)88981-8

566 Lobo JM, Jiménez-Valverde A, Real R (2008) AUC: a misleading measure of the performance of  
567 predictive distribution models. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 17:145–151. doi: 10.1111/j.1466-  
568 8238.2007.00358.x

569 Menz MHM, Arlettaz R (2012) The precipitous decline of the ortolan bunting *Emberiza hortulana*:  
570 time to build on scientific evidence to inform conservation management. *Oryx* 46:122-129. doi:  
571 10.1017/S0030605311000032

572 Menz MHM, Brotons L, Arlettaz R (2009) Habitat selection by ortolan buntings *Emberiza*  
573 *hortulana* in post-fire succession in Catalonia: implications for the conservation of farmland  
574 populations. *Ibis* 151:752–761. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2009.00961.x

575 Morelli F (2012) Correlations between landscape features and crop type and the occurrence of the  
576 Ortolan Bunting *Emberiza hortulana* in farmlands of Central Italy. *Ornis Fenn* 89:264-272

577 Nardelli R, Andreotti A, Bianchi E, Brambilla M, Brecciaroli B, Celada C, Dupré E, Gustin M,  
578 Longoni V, Pirrello S, Spina F, Volponi S, Serra L (2015) Rapporto sull'applicazione della Direttiva  
579 147/2009/CE in Italia: dimensione, distribuzione e trend delle popolazioni di uccelli (2008-2013).  
580 Serie Rapporti 219/2015, ISPRA, Rome

581 Newbold T, Hudson LN, Hill SLL, Contu S, Lysenko I, Senior RA, Börger L, Bennett DJ, Choimes  
582 A, Collen B, Day J, De Palma A, Díaz S, Echeverria-Londoño S, Edgar MJ, Feldman A, Garon M,  
583 Harrison MLK, Alhusseini T, Ingram DJ, Itescu Y, Kattge J, Kemp V, Kirkpatrick L, Kleyer M,  
584 Correia DLG, Martin CD, Meiri S, Novosolov M, Pan Y, Phillips HRP, Purves DW, Robinson A,  
585 Simpson J, Tuck SL, Weiher E, White HJ, Ewers RM, Mace GM, Scharlemann JPW, Purvis A  
586 (2015). Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. *Nature* 520:45-50. doi:

587 10.1038/nature14324

588 Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic  
589 distributions. *Ecol Model* 190:231–259. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026

590 Pruscini F, Morelli F, Perna P, Felicetti N, Santolini R (2013) L’ortolano *Emberiza hortulana* nella  
591 Regione Marche: analisi della distribuzione e preferenze ambientali. *Avocetta* 37:15-20

592 Regos A, Domínguez J, Gil-Tena A, Brotons L, Ninyerola M, Pons X (2016) Rural abandoned  
593 landscapes and bird assemblages: winners and losers in the rewilding of a marginal mountain area  
594 (NW Spain). *Reg Environ Chang* 16:199-211. doi: 10.1007/s10113-014-0740-7

595 Regione Lombardia, ERSAF (2014) DUSAF 4.0. [https://www.dati.lombardia.it/Territorio/Usodel-](https://www.dati.lombardia.it/Territorio/Usodel-suolo-DUSAF-4-0-Anno-2012/2ze8-fyd2)  
596 [suolo-DUSAF-4-0-Anno-2012/2ze8-fyd2](https://www.dati.lombardia.it/Territorio/Usodel-suolo-DUSAF-4-0-Anno-2012/2ze8-fyd2)

597 Revaz E, Posse B, Gerber A, Sierro A, Arlettaz R (2005) Quel avenir pour le Bruant ortolan  
598 *Emberiza hortulana* en Suisse? *Nos Oiseaux* 52:67–82

599 Sirami C, Brotons L, Martin JL (2007) Vegetation and songbird response to land abandonment:  
600 from landscape to census plot. *Divers Distrib* 13:42–52. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2006.00297.x

601 Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Belfort BL (2011) Global food demand and the sustainable  
602 intensification of agriculture. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:20260–20264. doi:  
603 10.1073/pnas.1116437108

604 Tilman D, Fargione J, Wolff B, D’Antonio C, Dobson A, Howarth R, Schindler D, Schlesinger WH,  
605 Simberloff D, Swackhamer D (2001) Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental  
606 change. *Science* 292:281–284. doi: 10.1126/science.1057544

607 Vepsäläinen V, Pakkala T, Piha M, Tiainen J (2005) Population crash of the ortolan bunting  
608 *Emberiza hortulana* in agricultural landscapes of southern Finland. *Annal Zool Fenn* 42:91–107

609 Vickery JA, Ewing SR, Smith KW, Pain DJ, Bairlein F, Škorpilová J, Gregory RD (2014) The  
610 decline of Afro-Palaeartic migrants and an assessment of potential causes. *Ibis* 156:1-22. doi:  
611 doi:10.1111/ibi.12118

612



613 Wright CK, Wimberly MC (2013) Recent land use change in the Western Corn Belt threatens  
614 grasslands and wetlands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: 4134-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215404110  
615 Wretenberg J, Lindström Å, Svensson S, Pärt T (2007) Linking agricultural policies to population  
616 trends of Swedish farmland birds in different agricultural regions. *J Appl Ecol* 44:933-941.  
617 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01349.x  
618 Wretenberg J, Pärt T, Berg Å (2010) Changes in local species richness of farmland birds in relation  
619 to land-use changes and landscape structure. *Biol Conserv* 143:375-381

620 **Table 1** Habitat variables used to model distribution (regional scale) and fine-scaled habitat  
 621 selection in ortolan buntings in northern Italy. Cover were measured (within the 100-m radius) in m<sup>2</sup>  
 622 x 100.  
 623

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Description</b>
abandoned areas	cover of recently abandoned grassland or fields progressively invaded by spontaneous vegetation (herbs or low shrubs)
arable land	cover of crops cultivated on arable land
bare soil	cover of sandy, rocky and other unvegetated (plant cover <20%) areas
forest cover	total cover of forest habitats
open water	total cover of open water
orchards	cover of fruit orchards
permanent grassland	cover of permanent grassland
shrubland with trees	cover of shrubland with high shrubs and/or scattered trees (cover of trees <10%)
urbanized	cover of urbanized areas
vineyards	cover of vineyards
slope	mean slope (°)
mean solar radiation	mean total solar radiation (calculated as for 21 <sup>st</sup> June)

624

625

626 **Table 2** Summary of the MaxEnt model for ortolan bunting in Oltrepò. Values for percentage  
 627 contribution, permutation importance and model gains are average ones from the 10-fold cross-  
 628 validated (first value) and the 100-bootstrap runs model (second value).  
 629

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Percentage contribution</b>	<b>Permutation importance</b>	<b>train gain without variable</b>	<b>train gain with only variable</b>
abandoned areas	0.46/1.14	0.32/0.61	2.26/2.42	0.04/0.05
arable land	2.76/3.66	0.16/1.35	2.26/2.43	0.07/0.08
bare soil	0.01/0.48	0.02/0.07	2.26/2.42	0.04/0.06
forest cover	27.10/25.87	47.70/45.63	1.83/2.04	0.40/0.40
open water	0.53/0.49	0.11/0.07	2.25/2.43	0.01/0.01
orchards	2.18/1.96	2.41/3.00	2.17/2.36	0.03/0.03
permanent grassland	17.47/16.59	0.28/0.19	2.19/2.35	0.37/0.40
shrubland with trees	12.74/11.88	0.14/0.27	2.23/2.39	0.32/0.35
urbanized	18.12/17.16	27.93/27.28	1.96/2.14	0.34/0.34
vineyards	1.95/2.13	4.72/2.68	2.19/2.38	0.03/0.06
slope	16.78/18.39	16.17/18.59	1.80/1.94	0.25/0.29
mean solar radiation	0.01/0.24	0.04/0.25	2.26/2.43	0.04/0.04

630

631

632 **Fig. 1** Species-habitat relationships for the most important variables (see previous Table 1) and bare  
633 soil. Values on the x-axis represent degrees (slope) or the relative cover (in  $m^2 * 100$ ; all other  
634 variables). The curves shown are those of single-species models worked out according to the 100-  
635 bootstrap procedure. The area shaded grey around the curve represents the relative standard  
636 deviation.

637

638

639 **Fig. 2** The predicted distribution of ortolan buntings within the study area during the three study  
640 periods (see text for details).

641

642

643