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Abstract: Octaphosphane \{cyclo-(P₅Bu₃)₂\}₂ (1) undergoes an unexpected isomerization reaction to the constitutional isomer 2,2',2'',2''',3,3'-hexa-tert-butylicylo[3.3.0]octaphosphane (2) in the presence of Lewis acidic metal salts. The mechanism of this reaction is discussed and elucidated with DFT calculations. The results underline the fascinating similarity between phosphorus-rich and isolobal carbon compounds. The new bicyclic octaphosphane 2 shows a dynamic behavior in solution and reacts with [AuCl(tht)] (tht = tetrahydrothiophene) to give a mono- \{[AuCl(2-{κP₃})]\}, 3 and a dinuclear complex \{[[AuCl]₂(2-{κP₃},xP²)]\}, 4. With cis-[PdCl₂(cod)] (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene), the chelate complex \{PdCl₂(2-{κ²P₂},P²)\}, 5 with a different coordination mode of the ligand was obtained.
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2. Experimental Section

General Remarks

All experiments were carried out under nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques. Toluene, dichloromethane, diethyl ether, pentanes as well as hexanes (isomeric mixtures) and acetonitrile were dried and degassed with an MB SPS-800 Solvent Purification System (Mbraun) and kept over molecular sieves (4 Å). THF was distilled from potassium and benzophenone and kept over molecular sieves (4 Å). The compounds [cyclo-({P₆Bu₆})₃] (1) and [AuCl(tht)] [2] were prepared as described in the literature. All other chemicals were purchased and used without further purification.

Elemental Analysis. A VARIO EL (HERAES) microanalyzer was used to determine the elemental composition of the compounds.

Infra-red Spectroscopy. Samples were prepared as KBr pellets in a nitrogen-filled glove box and the infrared spectra were recorded in a range of 400 - 4000 cm⁻¹. A PERKIN-ELMER (System 2000) FT-IR spectrometer was used for compound 2 and a BRUKER (TENSOR 27) FT-IR spectrometer for compounds 3 and 4. Abbreviation for labeling vibrations: w – weak; m – medium; s – strong; δs – symmetric deformation vibration; δas – asymmetric deformation vibration; v₁ – symmetric stretching vibration; v₃as – asymmetric stretching vibration; p – rocking vibration.

Luminescence. Luminescence was tested at 254 and 366 nm using a common UV lamp.
2,2',2'',2''',3,3'-Hexa-tert-butyl-bicyclo[3.3.0]octaphosphenate (2)

15 mL of toluene were added to a mixture of octaphosphane 1 (82.3 mg, 139.4 mmol, 1.0 eq) and anhydrous ZnCl₂ (780 mg, 5723 mmol, 41 eq) and heated to reflux for 10 days. The mixture was filtered, and 5 mL of solvent were removed under reduced pressure. After one day at −15 °C colorless crystals of 1 formed. The solution was filtered and stored at −15 °C which gave colorless crystals of 2 after 3 days. These were washed with 2 mL of hexanes and dried in vacuum for 10 minutes.

Yield: 62 mg (76%, colorless crystalline solid).

MP: 267 °C (Decomp.)

\[ ^{1}H\] NMR (THF-d₈, 25 °C): δ = 1.44 (s, 18H, \( \text{H}_2\text{C}_3\text{C}-\text{P}_3 \)), 1.38 (s, 36H, \( \text{H}_2\text{C}_3\text{C}-\text{P}_3 \)) ppm.

\[ ^{13}C\] NMR (THF-d₈): δ = 32.3 (s, C-P₃), 31.5 (s, \( \text{H}_2\text{C}_3\text{C}-\text{P}_3 \)), 30.2 (s, \( \text{H}_2\text{C}_3\text{C}-\text{P}_3 \)), 28.9 (s, C-P₃) ppm.

\[ ^{31}P\] NMR (THF-d₈, 25 °C): AA'AA''BB'CC' spin system (Cᵥ symmetry):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical shifts [ppm]</th>
<th>Linewidth [Hz]</th>
<th>R-Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δₐ = +66.3350(1)</td>
<td>LW(A) = 4.26(3)</td>
<td>0.9353%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δₐ = +35.0192(2)</td>
<td>LW(B) = 6.89(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δₐ = +23.7065(1)</td>
<td>LW(C) = 3.64(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coupling Constants [Hz]

\[ ^{2}J_{AB} = ^{2}J_{AC} = ^{2}J_{BC} = -12.99(5) \]
\[ ^{2}J_{AB} = ^{2}J_{AC} = ^{2}J_{BC} = +143.18(8) \]
\[ ^{3}J_{AB} = ^{3}J_{AC} = ^{3}J_{BC} = +6.21(3) \]
\[ ^{1}J_{AB} = ^{1}J_{AC} = ^{1}J_{BC} = -292.30(3) \]
\[ ^{1}J_{AB} = ^{1}J_{AC} = ^{1}J_{BC} = -6.12(3) \]
\[ ^{1}J_{AC} = ^{1}J_{AB} = -262.67(3) \]
\[ ^{2}J_{AC} = ^{2}J_{AB} = ^{2}J_{BC} = -7.53(3) \]
\[ ^{1}J_{AB} = -1.45(5) \]
\[ ^{1}J_{AC} = ^{1}J_{BC} = -2.67(3) \]
\[ ^{1}J_{CC} = -311.99(12) \]

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

MS (ESI(+), MeCN/THF 1:1): m/z = 239.0 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (1%), 255.0 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{OH}^{+} \)] (1%), 271.0 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (1%), 287.0 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (1%), 303.0 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (1%), 327.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{H}^{+} \)] (1%), 343.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{OH}^{+} \)] (3%), 359.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (2%), 375.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (2%), 415.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{H}^{+} \)] (2%), 431.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{OH}^{+} \)] (2%), 447.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{OH}^{+} \)] (2%), 463.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (7%), 479.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (2%), 495.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (2%), 503.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (2%), 519.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (10%), 535.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (5%), 551.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (3%), 567.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (5%), 583.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (20%), 599.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (11%), 607.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (18%), 615.1 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (1%), 623.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (100%), 629.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (3%), 639.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (77%), 645.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (17%), 655.2 [\( \text{P}_7\text{Bu}_3\text{O}_5\text{H}^{+} \)] (26%), 661.2
Elemental Analysis for C_{24}H_{54}P

**Found (%)**
- C: 49.04
- H: 9.56

**Calculated (%)**
- C: 48.82
- H: 9.22

**Reaction Study of the Isomerization of Octaphosphanes 1 and 2**

The reactions were carried out in sealed glass NMR tubes. The respective octaphosphate and ZnCl₂ were added and evacuated, then 0.6 mL C₆D₆ were added. The suspension was kept at 70 °C in a thermostat without stirring. For the reaction with 0.1 equivalents of ZnCl₂, the right amount of ZnCl₂ was added by preparing a stock solution of ZnCl₂ in water, adding this to the NMR tube and evaporating the solvent. The composition of the reaction mixture was determined based on the relative intensity of all tBu protons from ^{1}H{^{31}P} NMR spectroscopy. Heating was stopped for approximately 30 minutes for each measurement. The amounts of the starting materials in the different reactions were:

- **Phosphane 1** (16.6 mg, 1.0 eq), ZnCl₂ (0.3 mg, 0.1 eq)
- **Phosphane 1** (16.3 mg, 1.0 eq), ZnCl₂ (3.0 mg, 0.8 eq)
- **Phosphane 1** (18.4 mg, 1.0 eq), ZnCl₂ (23.0 mg, 5.4 eq)
- **Phosphane 2** (21.8 mg, 1.0 eq), ZnCl₂ (12.3 mg, 2.4 eq).

**Percentage of 2 [%]**

![Figure S1. Results of the reaction study.](image-url)
Synthesis of [AuCl(2)] (3)

A solution of [AuCl(tht)] (26 mg, 81.1 mmol, 1.0 eq) in 8 mL THF was added dropwise to a solution of octaphosphane 2 (47 mg, 79.6 mmol, 1.0 eq) in 7 mL THF resulting in a pale yellow solution. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave a pale yellow powder. The compound showed no luminescent behavior.

Yield: 63 mg (96%).

MP: 217 °C

$^1$H$^{31}$P NMR (THF-d$_8$): $\delta = 1.55 - 1.20$ (various s, CH$_3$) ppm.

$^{13}$C$^{31}$P NMR (THF-d$_8$): $\delta = 35.5 - 120$ (various s, CH$_3$ and CP) ppm.

$^{31}$P$^1$H NMR (THF-d$_8$): $\delta = 89.1$ (m, 1P, PA), 73.9 (m, 2P, PC), 44.5 (m, 2P, P0), 7.4 (m, 1P, PE) ppm.

IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3459$ (m), 2944 (s, $\nu$ as (C–H)), 2886 (s, $\nu$ s (C–H)), 2854 (s, $\nu$ (C–H)), 1634 (w), 1459 (s, $\delta$ as (CH$_3$)), 1388 (m, $\delta$ s (CH$_3$)), 1361 (s, $\delta$ s (CH$_3$)), 1261 (w), 1166 (s, $\rho$(CH$_3$) + $\nu$(C–C)), 1105 (s), 1012 (w, $\rho$(CH$_3$) + $\nu$(C–C)), 934 (w, $\rho$(CH$_3$) + $\nu$(C–C)), 804 (m, $\nu$(C–C)), 571 (w), 516 (w) cm$^{-1}$.

MS (ESI(+), MeCN): $m/z = 787.2$ [LAu]$^+$ (100%). L = C$_{24}$H$_{54}$P$_8$.

Elemental Analysis for C$_{24}$H$_{54}$AuClP$_8$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Found (%)</th>
<th>Calculated (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C: 35.00</td>
<td>C: 35.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H: 6.89</td>
<td>H: 6.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synthesis of [[(AuCl)$_2$]2THF (4·2THF)]

A solution of [AuCl(tht)] (58 mg, 180.9 mmol, 2.0 eq) in 8 mL THF was added dropwise to a solution of octaphosphane 2 (53 mg, 89.9 mmol, 1.0 eq) in 7 mL THF resulting in a deep yellow solution. Subsequently, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue dissolved in 3 mL THF and carefully layered with 12 mL of hexanes. After three days at 3 °C, large colorless crystals had formed which were isolated by decantation, washed with 2 mL of hexanes and dried in vacuum. The compound showed no luminescent behavior. The crystals can be dissolved in THF and the solvent removed under reduced pressure yielding the solvent free complex as a colorless powder.

Yield: 87 mg (80%).

MP: 225 °C

$^1$H$^{31}$P NMR (THF-d$_8$): $\delta = 1.42 - 1.20$ (various s, CH$_3$) ppm.

$^{13}$C$^{31}$P NMR (THF-d$_8$): $\delta = 32.1$ (s, (H$_3$C)$_3$C–CP$_A$), 31.4 (s, (H$_3$C)$_3$C–CP$_B$), 30.7 (s, (H$_3$C)$_3$C–CP$_C$) ppm. Quaternary carbon atoms could not be detected.

$^{31}$P$^1$H NMR (THF-d$_8$): $\delta = 52.4$ (m, 4P, PA), 50.3 (m, 2P, P0) – 9.9 (m, 2P, PC) ppm.
Synthesis of Coordination Polymer \([([\text{AuCl}]_2)_n]\)

15 mL of THF were added to a mixture of [AuCl(tht)] (90 mg, 280.1 mmol, 3.9 eq) in 8 mL THF and octaphosphan 2 (43 mg, 72.3 mmol, 1.0 eq) resulting in a white suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight and filtered. A white precipitate formed which was filtered off and dried in vacuum. The precipitate showed no solubility in any common solvent.

**MP: 256 °C**

**IR (KBr):** \(\bar{\nu} = 3446 \text{ (s)}, 2953 \text{ (s, } \nu_3(\text{C–H})\text{), } 2891 \text{ (s, } \nu_3(\text{C–H})\text{), } 2856 \text{ (s, } \nu_3(\text{C–H})\text{), } 1624 \text{ (m), } 1457 \text{ (s, } \delta_3(\text{CH}_3)\text{), } 1391 \text{ (m, } \delta_3(\text{CH}_3)\text{), } 1362 \text{ (s, } \delta_3(\text{CH}_3)\text{), } 1265 \text{ (m), } 1165 \text{ (s, } \rho(\text{CH}_3) + \nu_3(\text{C–C})\text{), } 1098 \text{ (m), } 1011 \text{ (m, } \rho(\text{CH}_3)\text{), } 988 \text{ (m), } 936 \text{ (w, } \rho(\text{CH}_3) + \nu_3(\text{C–C})\text{), } 802 \text{ (m, } \nu_3(\text{C–C})\text{), } 716 \text{ (w), } 576 \text{ (w), } 529 \text{ (w), } 501 \text{ (m) cm}^{-1}\).

**Elemental Analysis** for \(\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{54}\text{Au}_4\text{Cl}_4\text{P}_8\)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Found (%)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calculated (%)**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction of Octaphosphan 2 with \([([\text{AuCl}]_2)_2]\) (3)

10 mL of THF were added to a mixture of octaphosphan 2 (12 mg, 20 mmol, 1.0 eq) and complex of 4 (22 mg, 20 mmol, 1.0 eq). After 5 minutes, the suspension became clear and turned pale yellow. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the resulting residue dried in vacuum and investigated with NMR spectroscopy. The substance was analytically identical to 3.
1,4-Dioxane (5 mL) was added to a mixture of [PdCl₂(cod)] (68.9 mg, 241.3 mmol, 3.3 eq) and octaphosphane 2 (43.8 mg, 74.1 mmol, 1.0 eq). Heating to reflux for 2 h resulted in a deep red solution. After cooling to rt, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue washed twice with 10 mL hexanes each and extracted 3 times with 5 mL toluene each. Evaporation of the solvent of the combined phases lead to a brown powder, which was dried in vacuum for 15 minutes.

Yield: 15.3 mg (27%).

MP: 247 °C

^1H{^31P} NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 1.93 (s, 18H, (H₃C)₃C-Pₐ), 1.64 (s, 18H, (H₃C)₃C-Pₐ), 1.10 (s, 18H, (H₃C)₃C-Pₐ) ppm.

^13C{¹H,^31P} NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 45.9 (s, (H₃C)₃C-C-Pₐ), 35.2 (s, (H₃C)₃C-C-Pₐ), 33.7 (s, (H₃C)₃C-C-Pₐ) ppm, 33.26 (s, (H₃C)₃C-C-Pₐ), 32.26 (s, (H₃C)₃C-C-Pₐ), 31.2 (s, (H₃C)₃C-C-Pₐ) ppm.

^31P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 156.4 (m, 2P, Pₐ), 21.2 (m, 2P, Pₐ), -4.3 (m, 2P, Pₐ), -66.3 (m, 2P, Pₐ) ppm.

IR (KBr): \( \tilde{\nu} = 3450 \) (m), 2958 (s, \( \nu_{as}(\text{C–H}) \)), 2886 (s, \( \nu_{s}(\text{C–H}) \)), 2855 (s, \( \nu_{as}(\text{C–H}) \)), 1634 (w), 1459 (s, \( \delta_{as}(\text{CH₃}) \)), 1389 (m, \( \delta_{s}(\text{CH₃}) \)), 1362 (s, \( \delta_{as}(\text{CH₃}) \)), 1261 (w), 1164 (s, \( \nu_{as}(\text{C–C}) \)), 1099 (s), 1022 (w, \( \nu_{s}(\text{CH₃}) \)), 938 (w, \( \nu_{as}(\text{CH₃}) \)), 803 (m, \( \nu_{s}(\text{C–C}) \)), 667 (w), 585 (w), 525 (w), 497 (w), 423 (w) cm⁻¹.

MS (ESI(+), MeCN/C₆D₆ 9:1): \( m/z = 731.1 \) [LPdCl]⁺ (100%). L = C₂₆H₅₄P₈.
NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C with a BRUKER AVANCE DRX 400 spectrometer (1H NMR: 400.13 MHz, 13C NMR: 100.16 MHz, 31P NMR: 161.97 MHz). TMS was used as internal standard for 1H NMR spectroscopy. 13C and 31P NMR experiments were referenced to the Ξ scale.[3] Every signal labeling in this publication also extends to the chemically equivalent yet magnetically nonequivalent nuclei i.e. PA for PA', PA'', and so forth. The 31P NMR signals are labeled alphabetically starting from the nucleus which is most deshielded. All 31P NMR spectra were measured with a 90° pulse and reduced acquisition (0.6 s) as well as d1 time (1.0 s). At least 1000 scans were necessary to obtain acceptable spectra. The samples were usually saturated solutions of the respective compound. Suitable spectra for simulation required about 100,000 scans. Simulation of the NMR spectra was performed using the DAISY module implemented in the program TopSpin version 3.6.1 (BRUKER, BioSpin GmbH, Rheinstetten). For this, the 1JPP coupling constants were set negative.[4]

**NMR Spectra of 2,2',2'',2''',3,3'-Hexa-tert-butyl-bicyclo[3.3.0]octaphosphane (2)**

![Figure S2. 1H[31P] NMR spectrum of octaphosphane 2 in THF-d8.](image-url)
Figure S3. $^{31}$P[¹H] NMR spectrum of octaphosphan 2 in THF-$d_8$. 

$P_A$, $P_B$, $P_C$
Figure S4. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) $^{31}$P/$^1$H NMR spectrum of octaphospane 2 in THF-$d_8$.

Figure S5. $^{13}$C/$^1$H/$^{31}$P NMR spectrum of octaphospane 2 in CdX.
Figure S6. VT $^3$P-$^1$H NMR spectrum of octaphosphane 2 in THF-d8.
Figure S7. VT $^1$H($^{31}$P) NMR spectrum of octaphosphone 2 in THF-$d_8$. The asterisks mark the decoalescing and emerging signals.
NMR Spectra of [AuCl(2)] (3)

Figure S8. $^1$H($^{31}$P) NMR spectrum of complex 3 in THF-$d_8$.

Figure S9. $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectrum of complex 3 in THF-$d_8$. Additional signals for the octaphosphan 2 and the bimetallic complex 4 are detectable.
Figure S10. $^{13}$C($^1$H,$^{31}$P) NMR spectrum of complex 3 in THF-$d_8$. 
NMR Spectra of [(AuCl)₂](2) (4)

Figure S11. $^1$H{$^{31}$P} NMR spectrum of complex 4 in THF-$d_8$.

Figure S12. $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum of complex 4 in THF-$d_8$. The asterisks mark signals, which correspond to the second constitutional isomer of the complex.
Figure S13. $^{13}$C($^{1}$H, $^{31}$P) NMR spectrum of complex 4 in THF-$d_{8}$. 
NMR Spectra of \([\text{PdCl}_2(2)]\) (5)

Figure S14. $\text{^1H}{\text{^{31}P}}$ NMR spectrum of complex 5 in C$_6$D$_6$.

Figure S15. $\text{^{31}P}{\text{^{1H}}}$ NMR spectrum of complex 5 in C$_6$D$_6$. 
4. Mass spectrometry measurements

Mass spectrometry measurements were carried out as ESI-MS with a BRUKER DALTONICS FT-ICR-MS spectrometer (Type APEX II, 7 Tesla).

The identity of 2 is supported by mass spectrometry. Two ions attributable to the monoxide of 2 are detected as adducts with H⁺ or Na⁺, respectively. They occur together with the higher oxides as a series of six peaks [M(P₈Bu₆Oₓ)]⁺ (M = H, Na; x = 1–6) separated by m/z = 16. No peaks for [M(P₈Bu₆Oₓ)]⁺ ions with x = 7 or 8 are detectable. This is in accordance with the observations from mass spectrometry of octaphosphanol[1] as well as the literature, which indicate that these octaphosphanes can be oxidized to the hexoxide but no further.[5] Obviously, the tendency of the P atoms that carry no tBu group to be oxidized is extremely low. Another signal series that can be assigned to [Na(P₈Bu₆Oₓ)]⁺ with x = 2–9 is found. No peak for the unoxidized octaphosphan is detectable. This could be due to lower ionization efficiency for the parent octaphosphan 2 or because it is swiftly oxidized under the conditions of the MS measurement.
5. X-Ray Diffraction

Single Crystal Measurements

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected with a GEMINI CCD diffractometer (RIGAKU). The radiation source was a molybdenum anode (Mo-Kα, λ = 0.71073 Å). The absorption corrections were carried out semiempirically with the SCALE3 ABSPACK module[6]. All structures were solved by dual space methods with Sir92[7] and SHELXT-2017. Structure refinement was done with SHELXL-2015/2018[8] by using full-matrix least-square routines against F². All hydrogen atoms were calculated on idealized positions. The pictures were generated with the program ORTEP3[9]. In all pictures, hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are shown with 50% probability. CCDC 1956729 (2), CCDC 1956731 (3), CCDC 1956733 (4) and CCDC 1956734 (5) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary-form (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44)1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.uk). Refinement special details are

- For the crystal structure of 2: The crystal was non-merohedrally twinned, and the diffraction pattern was indexed based on two orientation matrices related by the twin law [0.177 0 –0.823 / 0 –1 0 / –1.177 0 –0.177] which can be interpreted as a twofold rotation about [3 0 –2]. The twin scale factor was refined to 0.21367. Both domains were integrated simultaneously and used for refinement.
- For the crystal structure of 3: The complex consists of one octaphosphane molecule coordinated to an AuCl fragment close to a THF molecule. The THF molecule is partially replaced by a second AuCl fragment, which thus corresponds to the bimetallic complex 4. The THF molecule and the Cl atom of the second fragment could only be refined isotropically. The occupancy was refined to 4.442%.
- For the crystal structure of 4: There are contacts between the CH₃ (H11) group in the complex and the CH₂ (H25A) group of the solvent molecule. This is most likely no attractive intermolecular interaction but results from close packing of the molecules. The dihedral angle λ in all molecular structures was extracted from the crystal structures as the mean value of the two dihedral angles between the two bridgehead phosphorus atoms and two phosphorus atoms which are connected to them and part of the same ring.

Figure S17. Illustration of the determination of the dihedral angle λ. The grey circles mark one set of atoms which were used for the determination.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>P$_8$Bu$_6$ (2)</th>
<th>[[AuCl(2)]·THF (3·THF)]</th>
<th>[[AuCl(2)]·2THF (4·2THF)]</th>
<th>[PdCl(2)]·C$_6$D$_6$ (5·C$_6$D$_6$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCDC Number</td>
<td>1956729</td>
<td>1956731</td>
<td>1956733</td>
<td>1956734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{24}$H$</em>{54}$P$_8$</td>
<td>C$<em>{31.82}$H$</em>{69.64}$Au$<em>{1.04}$Cl$</em>{1.04}$O$_{1.96}$P$_8$</td>
<td>C$<em>{32}$H$</em>{70}$Au$_2$Cl$_2$O$_2$P$_8$</td>
<td>C$<em>{30}$H$</em>{60}$Cl$_2$P$_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_w$ (g·mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>590.43</td>
<td>974.18</td>
<td>1199.47</td>
<td>845.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>130(2)</td>
<td>130(2)</td>
<td>130(2)</td>
<td>130(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2$_1$/n</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>18.0664(6)</td>
<td>12.8286(2)</td>
<td>19.3577(7)</td>
<td>10.3154(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>9.6931(3)</td>
<td>24.7998(4)</td>
<td>12.9700(3)</td>
<td>3.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>20.2852(8)</td>
<td>13.8358(3)</td>
<td>18.1816(6)</td>
<td>6.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87.440(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ (°)</td>
<td>110.560(4)</td>
<td>98.144(2)</td>
<td>92.153(3)</td>
<td>85.901(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85.901(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume ($\text{Å}^3$)</td>
<td>3326.1(2)</td>
<td>4357.4(1)</td>
<td>4561.6(2)</td>
<td>1990.82(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (calc. in Mg·m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>1.411</td>
<td>1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>3.909</td>
<td>6.850</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>0.15 x 0.10 x 0.07</td>
<td>0.40 x 0.30 x 0.20</td>
<td>0.08 x 0.07 x 0.05</td>
<td>0.15 x 0.15 x 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-25 ≤ h ≤ 25, -18 ≤ k ≤ 18, −29 ≤ l ≤ 28,</td>
<td>-15 ≤ h ≤ 15, -18 ≤ k ≤ 19, −26 ≤ l ≤ 20,</td>
<td>-19 ≤ k ≤ 20, -17 ≤ l ≤ 19, −27 ≤ l ≤ 24,</td>
<td>-22 ≤ l ≤ 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>14710</td>
<td>40426</td>
<td>4561.6(2)</td>
<td>1990.82(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>14710</td>
<td>13287</td>
<td>15274</td>
<td>13196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%) to 25.24°</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. and min. transmission</td>
<td>1.00000 and 0.98600</td>
<td>1.00000 and 0.36317</td>
<td>1.00000 and 0.62742</td>
<td>1.00000 and 0.93386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>14710 / 0 / 308</td>
<td>13287 / 0 / 404</td>
<td>15274 / 0 / 418</td>
<td>13196 / 0 / 388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goof on $F^2$</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0569, R1 = 0.0355, R1 = 0.0597, R1 = 0.0281,</td>
<td>wR2 = 0.1010, wR2 = 0.0843, wR2 = 0.1202, wR2 = 0.0629,</td>
<td>R1 = 0.1296, R1 = 0.0350, R1 = 0.1450, R1 = 0.0661,</td>
<td>wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.1239, R1 = 0.0450, R1 = 0.1296, R1 = 0.0350,</td>
<td>wR2 = 0.1136, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.1450, wR2 = 0.0661,</td>
<td>wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889,</td>
<td>wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889, wR2 = 0.0889,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole e·Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.035 and $-0.576$</td>
<td>1.817 and $-2.387$</td>
<td>2.875 and $-3.164$</td>
<td>0.502 and $-0.846$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S18. Molecular structure of octaphosphane 2.

Figure S19. Molecular structure of monometallic complex 3.
Figure S20. Molecular structure of homobimetallic complex 4.

Figure S21. Molecular structure of complex 5.
Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected on a STADI-P diffractometer (STOE) with a silicon solid-state detector Methyn-1K (DECTRIS) at room temperature. The radiation source was a copper anode (Cu-Kα, λ = 1.540598 Å) combined with a germanium single crystal monochromator. Samples were measured in sealed glass capillaries (inner diameter 0.5 mm, HILGENBERG) with Debye-Scherrer geometry. Processing of the raw data was carried out with the diffractometer software WinXPow[10] (STOE). The theoretical powder pattern from the single crystal structures was calculated using MERCURY[11].

Figure S22. Calculated (top) and experimental (bottom) powder X-ray diffraction pattern of 4.

Figure S23. Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of 5.
6. Quantum Chemical Calculations

General Remarks

All calculations were carried out with DFT using the program ORCA\textsuperscript{[12]} (version 3.0.2). For all calculations, the convergence criterion was increased to $10^{-8}$ Hartree, the atom-pairwise dispersion correction D3\textsuperscript{[13]} was used and, unless indicated otherwise, a simulation of the solvent environment was performed using the COSMO\textsuperscript{[14]} model for which the dielectric constant was set to 7.58 (THF). Relativistic effects were considered using effective core potentials\textsuperscript{[15]}. Furthermore, the RIJCOSX approximation was used to speed up the calculations.

Benchmark Study

The method for optimizing the molecular structures of the gold(I) complexes was benchmarked based on the molecular structure of the trinuclear complex of octaphospane 1 obtained from single crystal XRD measurements and was also used for the gold complexes presented in this work\textsuperscript{[1]} The BP86 functional\textsuperscript{[16,17]} in combination with the def2-SVP\textsuperscript{[18,19]} basis set was used due to the best agreement with the experimental data.

Librational Profile of Octaphospane 2

These calculations were carried out using the BP86\textsuperscript{[16,17]} functional in combination with the def2-TZVP\textsuperscript{[18,19]} basis set. A single point calculation of the optimized molecular geometries using the def2-TZVP basis set in combination with the PBE0\textsuperscript{[20,21]} functional was performed to obtain the final energies. The transition state was located by a relaxed surface scan of the dihedral angle between the bridgehead P atoms and two of the directly connected P atoms, leaving the second one unconstrained. The transition state structures were verified as such by showing only one negative eigenvalue of the Hesse matrix, which corresponds to the described chemical motion.

Scheme S1. Libration of octaphospane 2. The transition state corresponds to the average structure in solution. The remaining structures (left and right) correspond to the enantiomeric structures found in the solid state.
Mechanism of the Isomerization Reaction

These calculations were carried out using the B3LYP\textsuperscript{[22,23]} functional in combination with the def2-TZVP\textsuperscript{[18,19]} basis set.
Comparison of Isomers of $E_8R_6$

The energies of different isomers were calculated with the combinations of functional and basis sets shown in Table S2. The relative energies of the different structures are displayed in Table S3.

Scheme S3. Possible constitutional isomers of $C_8H_{14}$, $P_8H_6$ or $P_8Bu_6$. The names correspond to the organic molecules $C_8H_{14}$. 

$\circ = CH \ or \ P \qquad \bullet = CH_2, \ PH \ or \ P\text{Bu}$
7. References