

Characterization of Pharyngeal Hypocontractility Patterns During Deglutition: High Resolution Impedance Manometry Findings

Nicole Pizzorni¹, Ann Goeleven^{2,3}, Ann Moonen^{3,4}, Taher Omari⁵, Nathalie Rommel^{3,4}

¹Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences "Luigi Sacco", University of Milan, Milan, Italy ²University Hospitals Leuven, ENT - MUCLA, Multidisciplinary Swallow Clinic, Leuven, Belgium ³University of Leuven, Neurosciences, Exp ORL, Deglutology, Leuven, Belgium ⁴University Hospitals Leuven, Gastroenterology, Multidisciplinary Swallow Clinic, Leuven, Belgium ⁵College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA, Australia

KU LEUVEN

Research Center

DEGLUTOLOGY



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

Introduction

Adequate pharyngeal contractility is important for the clearance of the bolus, the protection of the airways, and the triggering of esophageal peristalsis (Hendrix T, 1993; Yip H *et al*, 2007; O'Rourke A *et al*, 2014; Walczak C *et al*, 2017).

Pharyngeal contractile vigor can be objectively measured using high resolution impedance manometry (HRIM). Historically, two HRIM metrics have been mainly used to quantify pharyngeal contractility: the mean peak pressure (PeakP) and the pharyngeal contractile integral (PhCI). The international working group (Omari T *et al*, 2019) reached a consensus on the use of the PhCI and regional pharyngeal contractile integrals to study pharyngeal contractility in HRIM.

Aim

1. To investigate the existence of different patterns of pharyngeal hypocontractility and their distribution in patients with pharyngeal dysfunction
2. To investigate the association between pharyngeal hypocontractility and upper esophageal sphincter (UES) dysfunction in a clinical population

Methods

A retrospective chart review was conducted on patients consecutively for videomanometry between **January 1st, 2018** and **February 28th, 2019**.

Inclusion criteria

Abnormal (<5th percentile; Omari T, 2018) **pharyngeal contractile integral** or **regional** contractile integrals (velo- or meso- or hypo-pharyngeal integrals).


Data acquisition

HRIM with solid state catheter 36 pressure sensors and 16 impedance segments (Unisensor USA Inc., Portsmouth, NH). Boluses with 1% NaCl. Data acquired at 20 Hz (Solar GI, MMS, The Netherlands).



HRIM analysis

Pressure flow analysis was performed on **10ml liquid** swallows using the **Swallow Gateway™** open access analysis portal. PFA metrics for pharyngeal contractility and UES function were derived (Table 1). Patients were classified based on a **proposed HRIM scheme** (Table 2).



Metric	Abbreviation	Unit
UES Maximum Admittance	UES Max Adm	mS
Highest admittance value recorded during bolus flow through the UES		
Intra-Bolus pressure	IBP	mmHg
Pressure recorded at 1 cm superior to the UES apogee at the time point of maximal admittance		
UES Integrated Relaxation pressure	UES IRP	mmHg
Median of the lowest non-consecutive 0.20-0.25 second pressure within the UES relaxation window		
Pharyngeal Contractile Integral	PhCI	mmHg.cm.s
Average contractile pressure from the velopharynx to the upper margin of the UES during swallowing, multiplied by duration and length		
Velopharyngeal Contractile Integral	VCI	mmHg.cm.s
Analogous to the PhCI in the region of the velopharynx		
Mesopharyngeal Contractile Integral	MCI	mmHg.cm.s
Analogous to the PhCI in the region of the mesopharynx		
Hypopharyngeal Contractile Integral	HPCI	mmHg.cm.s
Analogous to the PhCI in the region of the hypopharynx		
Hypopharyngeal Peak Pressure	PeakP	mmHg
Average maximum contractile pressure recorded in the hypopharynx		

Table 1: HRIM metrics

CATEGORY	CRITERIA	SUBCATEGORIES OF PHARYNGEAL HYPOCONTRACTILITY
DISORDERS OF UES RESTRICTION	PROPULSIVE UES	UES IRP >95 th pc
	OUTFLOW RESTRICTION	Normal pharyngeal contractility
	NON-PROPULSIVE UES	UES IRP >95 th pc
	OUTFLOW RESTRICTION	Pharyngeal contractility <5 th pc
DISORDERS OF PHARYNGEAL PROPULSION	Normal UES IRP Pharyngeal contractility <5 th pc	ABSENT PHARYNGEAL CONTRACTILITY PhCI = 0 mmHg INEFFECTIVE PHARYNGEAL CONTRACTILITY PhCI <5 th pc FRAGMENTED PHARYNGEAL CONTRACTILITY Normal PhCI with VCI/MCI/ HPCI <5 th pc
NORMAL UES AND PHARYNGEAL PROPULSION	Normal UES IRP Normal pharyngeal contractility	

Table 2. HRIM clinical scheme for pharyngeal swallowing

LEGEND UES IRP = integrated relaxation pressure at the upper esophageal sphincter; PhCI = pharyngeal contractile integral; VCI = velopharyngeal contractile integral; MCI = mesopharyngeal contractile integral; HPCI = hypopharyngeal contractile integral; pc = percentile

Results

In total, **38 patients** were included and **137 swallows** were analysed

Abnormal PhCI was found in **67%** of the patients. Regional weakness was observed in the **mesopharynx (95%** of the patients), the **hypopharynx (50%)** and the **velopharynx (16%)**.




Figure 1. Distribution of patients (N=38) in the subcategories of the HRIM clinical categorization

Absent pharyngeal contractility was found in 5% of the patients, ineffective pharyngeal contractility in 68% of the patients, and fragmented pharyngeal contractility in 27% of the patients.

Disorders of pharyngeal contractility were associated to disorders of UES outflow restriction in 45% of the patients.

UES PFA metric	Spearman's correlation
	r p
UES Max Adm	-0.124 0.149
IBP	0.247 0.004
UES IRP	0.301 <.001

Table 3. Correlations distributions between PhCI and UES HRIM metrics
PhCI significantly correlated to IBP and UES IRP

UES HRIM metric		Normal PhCI N=45	Abnormal PhCI N=92	Chi-squared χ^2	p
UES Max Adm	normal	37 (27%)	73 (53.3%)	1.3	.521
	abnormal	8 (5.8%)	19 (13.9%)		
IBP	normal	41 (29.9%)	86 (62.8%)	3.19	.203
	abnormal	4 (2.9%)	6 (4.4%)		
UES IRP	normal	19 (13.9%)	69 (50.4%)	14.6	.001
	abnormal	26 (19%)	23 (16.8%)		

Table 4. Chi-squared analysis of the distributions of normal and abnormal UES HRIM metrics between PhCI normalcy categories (N=137 swallows)

Patients with a fragmented pharyngeal contractility (normal PhCI) were more likely to present an abnormal UES IRP

Conclusion

Based on the pharyngeal contractile integrals, two main types of pharyngeal hypocontractility are present in the clinical population of patients: ineffective and fragmented pharyngeal contractility. Totally absent peristalsis is uncommon. In almost half of the patients, pharyngeal propulsion disorders are combined to disorders of UES restriction. Results of the study can guide clinicians and researchers to define a HRIM-based classification of pharyngeal motility disorders, analogous to the Chicago Classification of esophageal motility disorders.

Disclosure

TO and NR hold patent on AIMplot technology. No financial disclosures by all authors.

More info

nathalie.rommel@kuleuven.be
deglutology@kuleuven.be