

1 **Analysis and modelling of processes involved with salt tolerance and rice**

2

3 Sofia Tartarini^{1,*}, Livia Paleari^{1,*}, Ermes Movedi¹, Gian Attilio Sacchi², Fabio Francesco
4 Nocito², Roberto Confalonieri¹

5

6 ¹: Università degli Studi di Milano, ESP, Cassandra lab, via Celoria 2, 20133 Milan, Italy

7 ²: Università degli Studi di Milano, DISAA, via Celoria 2, 20133 Milan, Italy

8 * Corresponding authors: sofia.tartarini@unimi.it (S. Tartarini), livia.paleari@unimi.it (L.
9 Paleari)

10

11 **Abbreviations:** AGB, above ground biomass; CRM, coefficient of residual mass; DVS,
12 Development stage; EF modelling efficiency; $G \times E \times M$, genotype \times environment \times management
13 interaction; gLAI, Green Leaf Area Index; MAE, mean absolute error; SLA, Specific Leaf Area.

14 **Keywords:** breeding programs, *Oryza sativa* L., salinity stress, salt tolerance, trait-based model.

15 **Highlights:**

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- We evaluated a trait-based model for the ionic component of salt stress
- Large variability in the effect of salt stress was observed among rice cultivars
- Different physiological mechanisms were involved in response to salinity
- The model successfully reproduced Na^+ dynamics and impacts for different genotypes
- Model parameter distributions for ideotyping studies are provided

23 **Abstract**

24 Salinity is a worldwide problem for rice cultivation and a number of breeding programs targeting
25 increased salt tolerance are ongoing. A new trait-based mathematical model for salt stress on rice
26 was recently proposed, characterized by a high level of detail in the description of physiological
27 mechanisms dealing with crop response to salinity. In this study, dedicated growth chamber
28 experiments were carried out where three rice cultivars with different degree of tolerance were
29 grown under different salinity levels. The aims were to improve the understanding of physiological
30 mechanisms like Na^+ uptake and sequestration in structural tissues, and to validate the model using
31 new datasets where temporal dynamics in plant response to salt stress were analyzed. Model
32 evaluation demonstrated a good agreement between measured and simulated dry weights of plant
33 organs (e.g., R^2 ranged from 0.88 to 0.97 for aboveground biomass), $[\text{Na}^+]$ in plant tissues (R^2 from
34 0.73 to 0.88), and green leaf area index (R^2 from 0.71 to 0.99). These results demonstrate the
35 reliability of the model, and support its adoption within studies aimed at analyzing or predicting the
36 response of different cultivars to temporal dynamics of Na^+ concentration in soil and water.

37

38 **1. Introduction**

39 With almost 160 million ha harvested worldwide (www.faostat.fao.org), rice represents the staple
40 food for more than half of the world's population (IRRI, 2006), influencing the livelihood of more
41 than three billion people and the economy of several countries. World rice production must increase
42 by about 1% per year to meet the growing demand for food that will result from an ever-increasing
43 population (FAO, 2009). Among the main obstacles to increase rice production in many areas
44 worldwide, a key role is played by salinization, being rice the most sensitive cereal to salt-induced
45 stress (Munns and Tester, 2008). The extent of salt-affected soils (more than 830 million hectares,
46 6% of the world's land; FAO, 2008) led to identify salinization as a major process of land
47 degradation, and the main cause of land desertification in arid or semi-arid regions (Aringhieri,
48 2010). Ecological, climatic and management conditions can lead salt accretion in top soil to be
49 caused by different factors (Reynolds et al., 2001), ranging from irrigation with saline water in poor
50 draining soils to natural causes (i.e., tsunami, deposition of oceanic salts, saline wedge intrusion).
51 In case of saline soils, plants have to cope with two major stresses: osmotic and ionic (Horie, 2012).
52 The former rapidly affects plants once salt concentration outside the roots increases, reducing water
53 uptake, cell expansion, and lateral bud development (Munns and Tester, 2008). The ionic stress,
54 instead, occurs later, when toxic ions like Na^+ accumulate in tissues (especially leaves) until
55 exceeding a threshold concentration. In this case, effects are leaf chlorosis and necrosis, a decrease
56 in the activity of essential cellular metabolic processes, including photosynthesis (Glenn et al.
57 1999), and spikelet sterility (Hossain et al., 2015).

58 A quantitative understanding of the different mechanisms involved with salt stress would represent
59 a solution for increasing food production (Reddy et al., 2017), given it would allow developing
60 cultivars improved for the specific traits that guarantee the largest benefits for the salinity dynamics
61 affecting a given rice district (Paleari et al., 2017a). However, progresses in dedicated breeding
62 programs were limited by (i) gaps in the knowledge of the genetic basis of tolerance, (ii) the

63 involvement of different complex tolerance mechanisms, (iii) inadequate screening techniques, and
64 (iv) low selection efficiency (Yeo and Flower, 1986; Reddy et al., 2014).

65 Ecophysiological models can be used to support breeding programs by means of different strategies
66 to relate model parameters to genotypic and phenotypic plant features (Parent and Tardieu, 2014;
67 Casadebaig et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2017). The combined use of models and mathematical
68 procedures to explore parameter hyperspaces allows evaluating in a quantitative way the traits
69 breeders are working on, in turn allowing the identification of most promising ones under specific
70 agro-climatic and management conditions (Martre et al., 2015) and suggesting optimum values for
71 them (Paleari et al., 2017b). Relationships between model parameters and QTLs (Yin et al., 1999)
72 or SNPs (Cooper et al., 2016) were instead used to predict the performance of genotypes starting
73 from genetic information. Given the relevance of salt stress for agricultural productions, different
74 models reproducing crop response to salt stress were developed (e.g., Ferrer Alegre et al., 1997;
75 Karlberg et al., 2006, Radanielson et al., 2018). However, they mainly focus on the effect of the
76 osmotic stress on water uptake, without explicitly considering the ionic effect of Na^+ which,
77 instead, is a key component of salt stress (Munns and Tester 2008; Faiyue et al., 2012), especially in
78 rice (Negrão et al., 2011). Furthermore, these approaches lack of an explicit representation of
79 morphological and physiological traits in model parameters.

80 A new model for the simulation of the ionic component of salt stress was recently proposed by
81 Paleari et al. (2017a). The model focuses on the key mechanisms that regulate Na^+ uptake, Na^+
82 sequestration into different organs and related impact on photosynthesis, leaf senescence, and
83 spikelet sterility. Given it was developed to support breeding activities, it explicitly accounts for
84 genotype-specific traits contributing to salt tolerance.

85 Na^+ uptake at root level is reproduced considering both apoplastic (bypass flow) and symplastic
86 pathways. Bypass flow- Na^+ uptake, one of the key factors which may determine genotypic
87 differences for salt tolerance in rice (Faiyue et al., 2012), is driven by plant transpiration, by the
88 fraction of water passing through the apoplast, and by the development of Casparian bands and

89 suberin lamellae in root tissues which reduce water movement through the apoplast. Root suberin
90 content is estimated according to plant age and to the genotype-specific efficiency in root suberin
91 deposition as response to salinity in the soil medium. To cope with the Na^+ entering the xylematic
92 stream and translocated to the shoot, rice developed tolerance mechanisms aimed at preventing
93 toxic ions from reaching photosynthetically active tissues. They involve sequestration of Na^+ in
94 structural tissues (culm base and leaf sheath), and in senescent leaves. In the model, the former is
95 reproduced by accounting for genotype-specific culm storage potential and for feedback
96 mechanisms driven by the Na^+ already accumulated in culms, whereas the latter is estimated
97 considering the genotype-specific potential to sequester Na^+ in leaves of different age. A fraction of
98 the Na^+ uptaken to the shoot also reaches panicles, driven by translocation of photosynthates. The
99 reduction of pollen viability driven by the increase in Na^+ concentration in the panicles is estimated
100 by considering the genotypic sensitivity to salt-induced sterility. The negative impact of Na^+ on
101 photosynthetic rate and leaf senescence is derived as a function of Na^+ concentration in the leaf and
102 of the capability of the genotype to sequester toxic ions in the vacuole and to counterbalance the
103 osmotic pressure via the synthesis of cytosol-compatible osmolytes. This strategy is often observed
104 in tolerant rice genotypes, since it allows the plant to withstand higher leaf Na^+ concentration
105 (Jacoby et al., 2011).

106 This study aimed at (i) collecting specific datasets (from growth chamber experiments) to
107 investigate at plant organ level the effects of the ionic component of salt stress, and (ii)
108 parameterizing and evaluating the salinity model developed by Paleari et al. (2017a) for three
109 cultivars differing for salt tolerance.

110

111 2. Materials and methods

112 2.1. Experimental data

113 Data were collected in two growth chamber experiments, with different rice cultivars grown in
114 hydroponic solutions under different NaCl concentrations. For each cultivar, 180 caryopses were
115 sterilized with 50% (v/v) Ca(ClO)₂ for 30 min, thoroughly rinsed with deionized water and placed
116 on wet filter paper at 26°C in the dark for four days. Seven days old seedlings were then transferred
117 to 42 cm × 30 cm × 25 cm (the latter is the height) plastic pots containing the following nutrient
118 solution (Nocito et al., 2011): 1.5 mM KNO₃, 1 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 500 μM MgSO₄, 250 μM
119 NH₄H₂PO₄, 25 μM C₄H₄FeO₆, 46 μM H₃BO₃, 9 μM MnCl₂, 0.8 μM ZnSO₄, 0.3 μM CuSO₄, 0.1 μM
120 (NH₄)₆MO₇O₂₄, and 30 μM Na₂O₃Si (pH 6.5). One pot was used for each combination cultivar ×
121 treatment, each containing 25 plants. The number of transplanted plants was larger than the foreseen
122 sampling requirements to allow different sampling events without altering too much the plant
123 density in the pots. Polystyrene foam sheets were used to hold seedlings and to allow renewing the
124 hydroponic solutions without touching the plants to avoid any potential damage to the roots (which
125 could cause Na⁺ entering directly from root lesions). Nutrient solutions were renewed every three
126 days. Growing conditions were: 14 h photoperiod, 26°C/18°C day/night temperature for the first
127 experiment, and 12 h photoperiod, 26°C/19°C day/night temperature for the second.
128 Photosynthetically active radiation (400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was supplied by fluorescent lamps.
129 In the first experiment, two Italian cultivars, Baldo and Vialone Nano (sensitive and highly sensitive
130 to salt stress, respectively; Formentin et al., 2018, Bertazzini et al., 2018), were grown. Five NaCl
131 treatments were applied starting from three weeks after sowing until maturity: 0, 10, 25, 35, and 50
132 mM. Three plants for each combination cultivar × treatment were harvested at late heading (BBCH
133 code 51; Lancashire et al., 1991) and maturity (BBCH 92), and divided into stems, panicles and
134 leaves. The latter were further separated into apical (the two youngest), mid-canopy, and basal
135 (senescent) leaves to detect potential changes in Na⁺ distribution among leaves of different ages. Plant

136 height, number of tillers and the dry weight of aboveground biomass (AGB; t ha⁻¹) and of biomass of
137 different organs were determined. Grounded dry biomass samples (500 mg) were digested with HNO₃
138 (10 ml) in a microwave digester at 100°C (Amari et al., 2014). The mineralized material was dissolved
139 in 5 mL of 0.1 M HNO₃, and Na⁺ content was measured by inductively coupled plasma mass
140 spectrometry. Immediately before the first sampling event, photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance
141 and transpiration rate were measured on the youngest fully expanded leaf using a CIRAS-3 Portable
142 Photosynthesis System (PP Systems, MA, USA). Apical and mid-canopy leaves were then digitalized
143 to determine green leaf area index (gLAI, -) and specific leaf area (SLA, m² kg⁻¹), the latter calculated
144 as leaf area to dry mass ratio. At harvest, spikelet sterility was determined.

145 In the second experiment, cultivars Baldo and Pokkali were grown, the latter being considered one of
146 the most salt-tolerant worldwide (Khan et al., 1987). In this case, the main objective was to explore
147 temporal dynamics in plant response to salt stress. Two NaCl treatments (0 and 50 mM) were applied
148 from three weeks after sowing until maturity, and three plants were collected for each combination
149 cultivar × treatment at late tillering (BBCH 29), mid stem elongation (BBCH 37), flowering (BBCH
150 65), and maturity (BBCH 92). Cultivar Vialone Nano was not grown in this experiment given it
151 demonstrated a response similar to Baldo at 50 mM during the first experiment. Plants were divided
152 into stems, panicles, and apical, mid-canopy and senescent leaves. Plant height, number of tillers, dry
153 weight of AGB and of biomass of the different organs, and Na⁺ content of each organ were measured,
154 as well as gLAI. Daily evapotranspiration was estimated by applying a gravimetric method each three
155 days, i.e., each time the hydroponic solution was renewed. Spikelet sterility was determined at
156 flowering and harvest.

157 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to evaluate the effect of salt treatment and genotype
158 on crop response to salt stress; the Kruskal-Wallis test (Kruskal and Wallis, 1952) was used in case
159 of violation of the assumption of normality. Statistical analysis was performed using R 3.1.0 (R core
160 team, 2014).

161 **2.2. The Model**

162 The salinity model proposed by Paleari et al. (2017a) was used, coupled to the crop model
163 WOFOST (Van Diepen et al., 1989). In particular, we used the version of WOFOST proposed by
164 Stella et al. (2014), available at: <http://www.cassandralab.com/components/1>.

165 The parameter set for Baldo was calibrated and validated using data from the second and first
166 experiments, respectively. For Vialone Nano, data from the 0 mM and 50 mM treatments (first
167 experiment) were used for calibration and data from remaining treatments for validation. For
168 Pokkali, data from the second experiment were used for calibration, whereas validation was carried
169 out by using data from the experiment described by Yeo et al. (1986). In this case, data were
170 collected during a growth chamber experiment with seedlings grown under four NaCl treatments (0,
171 25, 50, and 100 mM).

172 The agreement between measured and simulated values was evaluated using mean absolute error
173 (MAE, 0 to $+\infty$, optimum 0), root mean square error (RMSE, 0 to $+\infty$, optimum 0), Nash and Sutcliffe
174 modelling efficiency (EF, $-\infty$ to 1, optimum 1), coefficient of residual mass (CRM, $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, optimum
175 0), and coefficient of determination (R^2).

176

177 **3. Results**

178 **3.1. Growth chamber experiments**

179 A marked heterogeneity in cultivar response to salinity was observed in both experiments (Figs. 1
180 and 2). In the first one, salinity strongly affected growth of both Baldo and Vialone Nano at heading
181 (Fig. 1a), with the latter showing a more linear reduction of AGB for increasing salinity levels. At
182 the same phenological stage, larger differences between cultivars were observed for gLAI (Fig. 1b),
183 with Vialone Nano presenting higher reduction rates. Vialone Nano also presented a higher
184 tendency to reduce the number of tillers in salt-treated plants: the maximum reduction was 66%
185 (compared to 45% for Baldo). No differences between cultivars were found in terms of decrease in
186 plant height (around 45% for both cultivars).

187 The analysis of gas exchange traits (Table 1) revealed clear differences in reduction of transpiration
188 rates (maximum reduction of 60% and 25% for Vialone Nano and Baldo, respectively) and, in
189 contrast, a lower variability in terms of impact on net photosynthesis (maximum reduction of 31%
190 and 43% for Vialone Nano and Baldo). As expected, Na⁺ content in plant tissues increased
191 according to salinity levels, especially in Baldo (Fig. 1c). Analysis of Na⁺ content and concentration
192 in different organs at heading (Figs. 1d and 1e) revealed a clear Na⁺ partitioning pattern in both
193 cultivars, with most Na⁺ (more than 90% on average) stored in stems and senescent leaves. Similar
194 dynamics were observed at maturity (data not shown), with small amounts of Na⁺ stored in panicles
195 (2.5% of total Na⁺ content in Baldo and 5.6% in Vialone Nano). Considering the impact of salt
196 stress on panicle biomass at harvest (Fig. 1f), the mean reduction for Baldo as compared to salt-free
197 conditions was of 71%, whereas it was 51% for Vialone Nano. Baldo also showed the highest
198 reduction (from 39% to 94%) of grain number per plant (it ranged from 10% to 69% for Vialone
199 Nano). The reduction of grain weight was instead similar for the two cultivars, with minimum value
200 of 1% and maximum of 21%.

201 For Baldo, salt treatments led to a delay in heading date that was proportional to the salinity level
202 (from 16% at 10 mM to 32% at 50 mM). For Vialone Nano, the delay was observed only at 50 mM
203 (17%).

204 Differences between cultivars were clearer in the second experiment (Fig. 2). The largest impact of
205 salinity was observed for Baldo, for which the mean reduction (as compared to the control) of AGB
206 and gLAI was 71% and 86%, respectively (Figs. 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d). For this cultivar, clear symptoms of
207 salt stress were observed also at early stages (i.e., tillering). For Pokkali, the impact of salinity on
208 plant growth and leaf area expansion was less evident (mean reduction of AGB and gLAI was
209 4.35% and 25.14%). Similar results were observed for panicle biomass, with reduction at 50 mM
210 equal to 89% for Baldo and 13% for Pokkali. The pronounced tolerance of Pokkali was further
211 demonstrated by the slight reduction of plant height (0.7%) and tiller number (7%); the
212 corresponding values for Baldo were 27% and 62%.

213 Despite higher Na⁺ concentrations in plant tissues (Figs. 2e, 2f) were observed for Baldo, the total
214 amount of Na⁺ taken up by the two cultivars was similar (Figs. 2g, 2h). This suggests that the
215 differences in concentration were mainly due to the higher capability of Pokkali to maintain high
216 growth rates even after Na⁺ uptake rather than to the capability of excluding Na⁺ at root level.

217 Indeed, the lower concentrations observed for Pokkali were explained by the dilution of Na⁺ in a
218 larger amount of biomass. The sequestration of Na⁺ in culms and senescent leaves discussed for the
219 first experiment was observed also in the second (Figs. 2i, 2l). Despite Pokkali presented high Na⁺
220 concentration in panicle (56.81 mg g⁻¹), no effect on spikelet sterility was observed, whereas in
221 Baldo mean sterility was 23% at maturity (Na⁺ concentration in panicle being 15.12 mg g⁻¹).

222 For Baldo, the number of days from transplanting to heading for the salt-stressed treatment was
223 27% larger than in the control in the first experiment, whereas a 20%-shortening in grain filling
224 duration was observed in the second experiment. On average, the total crop cycle length for stressed
225 plants was almost 10%-shorter. Instead, no effect of salinity on phenology was observed for
226 Pokkali.

227 Concerning the effect of salinity on plant transpiration, low values were measured for both cultivars
228 for the 50 mM treatment. In particular, reduction in transpiration due to salinity ranged from 18%
229 (on DVS 29) to 80% (on DVS 92) for Baldo, whereas it remained nearly constant around 50% for
230 Pokkali.

231 **3.2. Model evaluation**

232 Despite the large variability observed among cultivars in terms of plant response to salinity for
233 different Na⁺ treatments, the model was overall able to reproduce time dynamics of AGB, gLAI,
234 panicle biomass, and Na⁺ concentration in plant tissues (Fig. 3).

235 Good agreement was found between measured and simulated aboveground and panicle biomass
236 (Figs. 3a, 3b) for both the calibration and validation datasets, with R² ranging from 0.88 and 0.99
237 (Table 2). The average mean absolute error (MAE; 0 to +∞, optimum 0) for the calibration and
238 validation datasets was 1.30 t ha⁻¹ and 0.97 t ha⁻¹ for AGB, whereas it was 0.85 t ha⁻¹ and 0.52 t ha⁻¹
239 for panicle biomass. The overall closeness of the agreement metrics calculated for the calibration
240 and validation datasets demonstrates the robustness of the parameterization. Values of modelling
241 efficiency (EF; -∞ to +1, optimum +1; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) were also satisfactory, with the
242 exception of the negative value achieved for Pokkali during validation. This is partly explained by
243 the limited variability of the observations retrieved from the study of Yeo et al. (1986), which led
244 small uncertainties in simulated data to reflect in a poor value of EF. No systematic over- or under-
245 estimation was observed, being the coefficient of residual mass (CRM; -∞ to +∞; optimum = 0;
246 positive values indicate underestimation and *vice versa*; Loague and Green, 1991) always close to 0.

247 The agreement between measured and simulated gLAI was slightly less satisfactory for both the
248 calibration and validation datasets (Fig. 3c; Table 2), with the model underestimating gLAI values
249 for Pokkali and overestimating those for Baldo and Vialone Nano. In general, the model had the
250 tendency to overestimate gLAI values during initial growth stages (gLAI lower than 5), and to
251 underestimate gLAI at later stages. With the exception of the validation dataset for Baldo, EF was

252 always largely positive, whereas average values of MAE and RMSE were 1.31 and 1.49,
253 respectively. For gLAI, some differences in model performances during calibration and validation
254 were found, with MAE ranging between 0.80 and 1.2 for the calibration dataset and from 1.47 to
255 2.45 for the validation one.

256 Higher uncertainty was achieved for the simulation of Na⁺ concentration and Na⁺ content, likely
257 because the dynamics involved with these variables are the result of many different processes
258 deeply interacting with each other, e.g., those involved with Na⁺ uptake, partitioning, sequestration
259 in structural (culm and leaf sheath) and senescent tissues, effect on plant growth. The values of R²
260 for Na⁺ concentration ranged from 0.55 to 0.96 for the calibration dataset and from 0.41 to 0.94 for
261 the validation one. Corresponding values of MAE were between 5.92 mg g⁻¹ and 9.63 mg g⁻¹ for
262 calibration and between 2.82 mg g⁻¹ and 7.75 mg g⁻¹ for validation. However, EF values were
263 sometimes negative (e.g., in two out of five cases for the simulation of Na⁺ concentration).

264 Parameter values derived for Vialone Nano, Baldo and Pokkali are shown in Appendix A, together
265 with a description of the corresponding tolerance traits. Mean and standard deviation were derived
266 from the values obtained for the three cultivars.

267 4. Discussion

268 Large variability in response to salinity was observed among the rice cultivars grown during the
269 experiments, both in terms of overall tolerance and of the underlying physiological mechanisms.
270 For Vialone Nano, the capability of reducing Na^+ uptake at root level appeared as the primary
271 tolerance strategy that, coupled with the sequestration of Na^+ in culm and senescent leaf tissues,
272 allowed the plant to survive under salt stress conditions. These tolerance mechanisms were already
273 described by other authors (Faiyue et al., 2012; Reddy et al., 2017), who observed how rice is able
274 to control the transport of salt at root level via selective uptake and/or reducing the flow through the
275 apoplastic pathway, as well as to accumulate toxic ions in senescent leaves and leaf sheaths to
276 protect meristematic and photosynthetically active tissues. However, this cultivar proved to be
277 tolerant only at low Na^+ concentrations, markedly reducing growth at salinity levels higher than 25
278 mM.

279 Baldo did not control Na^+ uptake as effectively as Vialone Nano, whereas it showed to be more
280 capable to tolerate Na^+ concentration in tissues, in turn supporting crop growth even under salt
281 stress conditions. This is in agreement with Formentin et al. (2018), who reported that the pre-
282 activation of leaf tolerance mechanisms before the onset of ionic stress confers to this cultivar a
283 certain level of salt tolerance. However, the analysis of panicle biomass data suggested that Baldo
284 could be more susceptible to salt-induced sterility for grain yield, although further experiments are
285 needed to confirm this finding. Salt stress on both Baldo e Vialone Nano delayed flowering stage, in
286 agreement with what reported by Kathun and Flower (1995), who observed for cultivar IR36 a
287 reduction of pollen viability and a lengthening of the vegetative stage for increasing Na^+
288 concentration in a greenhouse experiment. Given the marked impact of salt stress on phenology and
289 its importance for the correct simulation of crop growth, further improvements of the model will
290 focus on including the effect of Na^+ on the length of different growth stages.

291 Pokkali confirmed its high tolerance to salt stress thanks to an efficient antioxidant defence system,
292 as reported by El Shabrawi et al. (2010). Indeed, this cultivar did not show specific strategies to
293 regulate Na⁺ uptake or partitioning to senescent leaf or culm tissues, being its tolerance strategy
294 mainly related with the capability of managing high Na⁺ content in active tissues while maintaining
295 high biomass accumulation rates. This resulted in a lower Na⁺ concentration in photosynthetic
296 tissues (Na⁺ diluted in a large amount of biomass), in turn demonstrating, as suggested by Platten et
297 al (2013), how plant vigour can be a successful strategy for salt tolerance.

298 The heterogeneity in plant responses observed during the growth chamber experiments and the
299 completeness in terms of both variables analysed and measuring period (from third-leaf stage to
300 maturity) allow considering the dataset used as valuable to effectively test the model capability to
301 reproduce the dynamics of Na⁺ uptake, accumulation and impact on rice growth. According to our
302 knowledge, this dataset represents one of the few cases where temporal dynamics of different
303 variables in response to salt stress were observed starting from the earlier vegetative stages until
304 maturity at different Na⁺ concentration, thus giving the possibility to evaluate the effect of Na⁺ on
305 the overall plant growth and on different tolerance mechanisms.

306 Data from the two experiments allowed validating the model proposed by Paleari et al. (2017),
307 given the good agreement achieved between measured and simulated data. Agreement metrics
308 confirmed indeed the capability of the model to correctly reproduce the impact of salt stress on crop
309 growth, especially the salt-induced decrease in AGB and panicle biomass. Further model
310 development will focus on improving the simulation of gLAI and Na⁺ content/concentration, these
311 being the outputs characterized by the highest uncertainty with respect to observations. To reach
312 this aim, a dedicated approach will be implemented for the impact of the osmotic component of salt
313 stress (e.g. Ferrer-Alegre et al., 1997), which represents the rapid response to the increase in
314 external osmotic pressure in terms of stomatal conductance, and thus it is responsible of a rapid
315 decrease in crop growth at the onset of salt stress. This will likely allow improving gLAI
316 simulation, which is now often overestimated during early stages. Moreover, given gLAI is one of

317 the main drivers of Na⁺ apoplastic uptake, reducing the uncertainty in the simulation of this variable
318 would improve also the simulation of Na⁺ content and concentration. Besides extending the model
319 for the osmotic component of salt stress using data from new growth chamber experiments, further
320 studies will be carried out to confirm its suitability for field conditions.

321 There is a need for developing new rice cultivars with higher and more stable yields across different
322 environmental and management conditions, and simulation models can represent a powerful tool to
323 support breeders.

324 We evaluated here a model recently developed to explicitly account for all salt-tolerance traits for
325 which breeding programs are ongoing. Indeed, as reported by Roy et al. (2014), the strategies for
326 improving salt tolerance can vary greatly according to the salinity level in the soil and to its
327 dynamics during the season, as well as to the climatic and management conditions characterizing
328 the context of cultivation. The explicit representation of key ecophysiological processes is thus
329 crucial to allow the model to properly interpret the complex G × E × M interactions characterizing
330 the underlying system. Moreover, the direct relationships between model parameters and plant
331 tolerance traits make this model suitable for decomposing complex traits (“salt-tolerance”) in
332 simple ones, in turn allowing to explore association between traits and molecular markers
333 (Dingkuhn et al., 2017). As an example, the parameter “Threshold leaf sodium concentration” could
334 relate to candidate genes NHX and AVP, involved with the sequestration of Na⁺ into leaf vacuoles
335 (Munns and Tester, 2008). This will open to new opportunities for using crop models to effectively
336 support breeding programs focusing on salt tolerance.

337

338

339 **References**

- 340 Amari, T, Ghnaya, T., Debez, A., Taamali, M., Ben Youssef, N., Lucchini, G., Sacchi, G.A.,
341 Abdelly, C., 2004. Comparative Ni tolerance and accumulation potentials between
342 *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* (halophyte) and *Brassica juncea*: metal accumulation, nutrient
343 status and photosynthetic activity. J. Plant. Physiol. 171, 1634-1644.
344 doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2014.06.020.
- 345 Aringhieri, R., 2010. The salt problem in soil: an overview. J. Environ. Qual. 5, 15-22.
346 doi:10.6092/issn.2281-4485/3801.
- 347 Bertazzini, M., Sacchi, G.A., Forlani, G., 2018. A different tolerance to mild salt stress condition
348 among six Italian rice genotypes does not rely on Na⁺ exclusion from shoots. J. Plant Physiol.
349 226, 145-153. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2018.04.011.
- 350 Casadebaig, P., Zheng, B., Chapman, S., Huth, N., Faivre, R., Chenu, K., 2016. Assessment of the
351 potential impacts of wheat plant traits across environments by combining crop modelling and
352 global sensitivity analysis. PLoS One. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146385>
- 353 Cooper, M., Technow, F., Messina, C., Gho, C., Radu Totir, L., 2016. Use of crop growth models
354 with whole-genome prediction: Application to a maize multienvironment trial. Crop. Sci. 56,
355 2141-2156. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2015.08.0512>
- 356 Dingkuhn, M., Pasco, R., Pasuquin, J.M., Damo, J., Soulié, J.C., Raboin L.M., Dusserre, J., Sow,
357 A., Manneh, B., Shrestha, S., Balde, A., Kretzschmar, T., 2017. Crop-model assisted phenomics
358 and genome-wide association study for climate adaptation of *indica* rice. 1 Phenology. J. Exp.
359 Bot., Vol. 68, No. 15 pp. 4369-4388. doi:10.1093/jxb/erx249.
- 360 El-Shabrawi, H., Kumar, B., Kaul, T., Reddy, M.K., Singla-Pareek, S.L., Sopory, S.K., 2010.
361 Redox homeostasis, antioxidant defense, and methylglyoxal detoxification as markers for salt
362 tolerance in Pokkali rice. Protoplasma 245: 85. doi:10.1007/s00709-010-0144-6.

- 363 Faiyue, B., Al-Azzawi, M.J., Flowers, T.J., 2012. A new screening technique for salinity resistance
364 in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings using bypass flow. *Plant. Cell. Environ.* 35, 1099-1108.
365 doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02475.x.
- 366 FAO. 2008. FAO Land and Plant Nutrition Management Service.
367 <http://www.fao.org/agb/agl/agll/spush/>
- 368 FAO. 2009. How to Feed the World in 2050.
369 http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/docs/expert_paper/How_to_Feed_the_World_in_2050.pdf
370
- 371 Ferrer-Alegre, F., Stöckle, C.O., 1999. A model for assessing crop response to salinity. *Irrig. Sci.*
372 19, 15-23. doi:10.1007/s002710050067.
- 373 Formentin, E., Sudiro, C., Perin, G., Riccadonna, S., Barizza, E., Baldoni, E., Lavezzo, E.,
374 Stevanato, P., Sacchi G.A., Fontana, P., Toppo, S., Morosinotto, T., Zottini, M., Lo Schiavo, F.,
375 2018. Transcriptome and cell physiological analyses in different rice cultivars provide new
376 insights into adaptive and salinity stress responses. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 9, 1-17.
377 doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00204.
- 378 Glenn, E.P., Brown, J.J., Blumwald, E., 1999. Salt tolerance and crop potential of halophytes. *Crit.*
379 *Rev. Plant. Sci.* 18, 227-255. doi:10.1080/07352689991309207.
- 380 Horie, T., Karahara, I., Katsuhara, M., 2012. Salinity tolerance mechanisms in glycophytes: An
381 overview with the central focus on rice plants. *Rice* 5, 11. doi:10.1186/1939-8433-5-11.
- 382 Hossain, H., Rahman, M.A., Alam, M.S., Singh, R.K., 2015. Mapping of quantitative trait loci
383 associated with reproductive-stage salt tolerance in rice. *J. Agro. Crop. Sci.* 201, 17-31.
384 doi:10.1111/jac.12086.
- 385 IRRI (International Rice Research Institute), 2006. Bringing hope, improving lives: Strategic Plan
386 2007-2015. IRRI, Manila, Philippines. 61 pp.
- 387 Jacoby, R.P., Taylor, N.L., Millar, A.H., 2011. The role of mitochondrial respiration in salinity
388 tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 614-623. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2011.08.002.

- 389 Karlberg, L., Ben-Gal, A., Jansson, P.E., Shani, U., 2006. Modelling transpiration and growth in
390 salinity stressed tomato under different climatic conditions. *J. Ecol. Model.* 190, 15-40.
391 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.04.015.
- 392 Khan, A.A., Akbar, M., Seshu, D.V., 1987. Ethylene as an indicator of salt tolerance in rice. *Crop*
393 *Sci.* 27: 1242-1247. doi:10.2135/cropsci1987.0011183X002700060031x.
- 394 Khatun, S., Flower, T.J., 1995. Effects of salinity on seed set in rice. *Plant Cell Environ.* 18, 61-67.
395 doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00544.x.
- 396 Kotula, L., Ranathunge, K., Schreiber, L., Steudle, E., 2009. Functional and chemical comparison
397 of apolipastic barriers to radial oxygen loss in roots of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in aerated and
398 deoxygenated solution. *J. exp. Bot.* 60, 2155-2167. doi:10.1093/jxb/erp089.
- 399 Kruskal, W.H., Wallis, W.A., 1952. Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. *J. Am. Stat.*
400 *Assoc.* 47, 583-621.
- 401 Lancashire, P.D., Bleiholder, H., Langelüddecke, P., Stauss, R., Van den Boom, T., Weber, E.,
402 Witzemberger, A., 1991. An uniform decimal code for growth stages of crops and weeds. *Ann.*
403 *Appl. Biol.* 119, 561-601. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1991.tb04895.x.
- 404 Martre, P., Quilot-Tourion, B., Luquet, D., Memmah, M.O., Chenu, K., Debaeke, P., 2015. Model-
405 assisted phenotyping and ideotype design. *Crop Physiology (second edition) Application for*
406 *genetic Improvement and Agronomy*, pp. 349-373. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-417104-6.00014-5.
- 407 Munns, R., Tester, M., 2008. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59, 651-681.
408 doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092911.
- 409 Nash, J.E., Sutcliffe, J., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models. Part I – A
410 discussion of principles. *J. Hydrol.* 10, 282-290. doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6.
- 411 Negrão, S., Curtois, B., Ahmadi, N., Abreu, I., Saibo, N., Oliveira, M.M., 2011. Recent updates on
412 salinity stress in rice: from physiological to molecular responses. *Plant Sci.*, 30, 329-377.
413 doi:10.1080/07352689.2011.587725.

- 414 Nocito, F.F, Lancilli, C., Dendena, B., Lucchini, G., Sacchi, G.A., 2011. Cadmium retention in rice
415 is influenced by cadmium availability, chelation and translocation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 34, 994-
416 1008. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02299.x.
- 417 Paleari, L., Movedi, E., Confalonieri, R., 2017a. Trait-based model development to support
418 breeding programs. A case study for salt tolerance and rice. *Sci. Rep.* doi:10.1038/s41598-017-
419 04022-y.
- 420 Paleari, L., Movedi, E., Cappelli, G., Wilson, L.T., Confalonieri, R., 2017b. Surfing parameter
421 hyperspaces under climate change scenarios to design future rice ideotypes. *Global Change Biol.*
422 23, 4651-4662. doi:10.1111/gcb.13682.
- 423 Parent, B., Tardieu, F., 2014. Can current crop models be used in the phenotyping era for predicting
424 the genetic variability of yield of plants subjected to drought or high temperature? *J. Exp. Bot.*
425 65, 6179-6189. doi:10.1093/jxb/eru223.
- 426 Platten, J.D., Egdane, J.A., Ismail, A.M., 2013. Salinity tolerance, Na⁺ exclusion and allele mining
427 of *HKT1;5* in *Oryza sativa* and *O. glaberrima*: Many sources, many genes, one mechanism?
428 *BMC Plant Biol.* 13, 32. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-13-32.
- 429 R Core Team, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. Vienna,
430 Austria, R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at <http://www.R-project.org/>
- 431 Radanielson, A.M., Gaydon, D.S., Li, T., Angeles, O., Roth, C.H., 2018. Modeling salinity effect
432 on rice growth and grain yield with ORYZA v3 and APSIM-Oryza. *Eur. J. Agron.* 100, 44-55.
433 doi:10.1016/j.eja.2018.01.015.
- 434 Reddy, A., Francies, R.M., Rasool, Sk, N., Venkata, R., Reddy, P., 2014. Breeding for tolerance to
435 stress triggered by salinity in rice. *Int. J. Appl. Biol. Pharm.* 5. doi:10.1016/j.rsci.2016.09.004.
- 436 Reddy, I.N.B.L., Kim, B.K., Yoon, I.S., Kim, K.H., Kwon, T.R., 2017. Salt Tolerance in Rice:
437 Focus on Mechanisms and Approaches. *Sci. Direct, Rice Sci.* 24, 123-144.
438 doi:10.1016/j.rsci.2016.09.004.

- 439 Reynolds, M.P., Ortiz-Monasterio, J.I., McNab, A., 2001. Application of Physiology in Wheat
440 Breeding. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.
- 441 Roy, S.J., Negrao, S., Tester, M., 2014. Salt resistant crop plants. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 26, 115-
442 124. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2013.12.004.
- 443 Stella, T., Frasso, N., Negrini, G., Bregaglio, S., Cappelli, G., Acutis, M., Confalonieri, R., 2014.
444 Model simplification and development via reuse, sensitivity analysis and composition: a case
445 study in crop modelling. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 59, 44-58. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.05.007.
- 446 Tao, F., Rötter, R.P., Palosuo, T., Diaz-Ambrona, C.G.H., Miniguez, M.I., Semenov, M.A.,
447 Kersebaum, k.c., Nendel, C., Cammarano, D., Hoffman, H., Ewert, F., Dambreville, A., Martre,
448 P., Rodriguez, L., Ruiz-Ramos, M., Gaiser, T., Hohn, J., Salo, T., Ferrise, R., Bindi, M.,
449 Schulman, A.H., 2017. Designing future barley ideotypes using a crop model ensemble. *Eur. J.*
450 *Agron.* 82, 144-162. doi:10.1016/j.eja.2016.10.012.
- 451 Van Diepen, C.A., Wolf, J., Van Keulen, H., Rappoldt, C., 1989. WOFOST: a simulation model of
452 crop production. *Soil Use Manage.* 5, 17-24. doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.1989.tb00755.x.
- 453 Yeo, A.R., Flowers, T.J., 1986. Salinity resistance in rice and a pyramiding approach to breeding
454 varieties for saline soils. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 13, 161-173. doi:10.1071/PP9860161.
- 455 Yin, X., Kropff, M.J., Stam, P., 1999. The role of ecophysiological models in QTLs analysis: the
456 example of specific leaf area in barley. *Nature, Heredity* 82, 415-421.
457 doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6885030.
- 458

459 **Table 1.** *Effect of salt treatments on transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and net photosynthesis*
 460 *rate at heading (% reduction compared to the control).*

	NaCl concentration (mM)	Relative reduction in transpiration rate (%)	Relative reduction in stomatal conductance (%)	Relative reduction in net photosynthesis rate (%)
Baldo	10	13.49	20.50	11.08
	25	21.37	45.45	9.16
	35	14.12	19.27	19.35
	50	24.62	38.59	43.38
Vialone Nano	10	10.43	8.46	-3.15
	25	22.78	26.11	-5.48
	35	22.09	32.11	5.21
	50	61.74	71.49	30.82

461

462

463 **Table 2.** Indices of agreement between measured and simulated values of aboveground biomass
 464 (AGB, $t\ ha^{-1}$), panicle biomass ($t\ ha^{-1}$), green leaf area index (gLAI, -), total plant Na^+
 465 concentration ($mg\ g^{-1}$) and total plant Na^+ content ($mg\ plant^{-1}$) for Baldo, Vialone Nano and
 466 Pokkali.

Activity	Cultivar	Variable	MAE	RMSE	EF	CRM	R ²
Calibration	Baldo	AGB	0.70	0.81	0.97	-0.09	0.98
		Panicle biomass	1.10	1.71	0.48	-0.25	0.96
		gLAI	1.02	1.15	0.80	-0.06	0.95
		Na^+ concentration	9.63	11.10	0.69	0.36	0.93
		Na^+ content	23.83	26.33	-5.14	0.19	0.65
	Vialone Nano	AGB	2.30	3.23	0.47	-0.16	0.88
		Panicle biomass	1.12	1.38	0.67	0.00	0.95
		gLAI	1.18	1.19	0.89	-0.26	0.99
		Na^+ concentration	8.27	13.59	0.26	-0.38	0.55
		Na^+ content	12.77	15.48	0.42	0.03	0.90
	Pokkali	AGB	0.90	1.28	0.93	-0.05	0.94
		Panicle biomass	0.33	0.36	0.62	0.10	0.91
		gLAI	1.18	0.91	0.75	0.12	0.88
		Na^+ concentration	5.92	6.34	-3.37	0.58	0.88
		Na^+ content	20.52	24.71	0.22	0.33	0.96
Validation	Baldo	AGB	1.44	2.17	0.84	-0.13	0.88
		Panicle biomass	0.78	1.17	0.87	-0.20	0.91
		gLAI	1.47	1.93	0.47	-0.07	0.87
		Na^+ concentration	7.75	14.20	-0.75	0.04	0.41
		Na^+ content	33.37	57.17	-1.21	0.54	0.33
	Vialone Nano	AGB	1.39	1.55	0.77	-0.25	0.97
		Panicle biomass	0.26	0.38	0.96	0.12	0.98
		gLAI	2.45	2.59	-3.54	-0.78	0.71
		Na^+ concentration	2.82	3.18	0.20	-0.46	0.88
		Na^+ content	22.10	22.82	-0.46	-0.73	0.94
Pokkali	Total AGB	0.09	0.12	-3.72	0.09	0.99	

467

468

469 **Figure captions**

470 **Figure 1.** First experiment: impact of increasing salt concentrations on Baldo (solid bars) and
471 Vialone Nano (striped bars) at late heading (a, b, c, d, e) and maturity (f). Relative aboveground
472 biomass (a), green leaf area index (b) and panicle biomass (f) refer to the ratio between salt-treated
473 and control (0 mM) plants. Na^+ content (c) refers to total Na^+ in the plant. For Na^+ concentration
474 (d) and partitioning (e) in different organs, tones from black to white refer to culms, basal leaves,
475 mid-canopy leaves, apical leaves, and panicles. Significant differences are indicated with * and **
476 for p-values lower than 0.05 and 0.01, respectively, and refer to (a, b, c, and f) cultivar, (d, e) plant
477 organ.

478 **Figure 2.** Second experiment: aboveground biomass (AGB), green Leaf Area Index (gLAI), Na^+
479 concentration, and Na^+ content at different growth stages in Baldo (left column, a, c, e, g) and Pokkali
480 (right column, b, d, f, h) at 0 mM (black bars) and 50 mM (grey bars). Na^+ concentration in different
481 plant organs at 50 mM is also reported (i, l; bars from black to white refer, respectively, to culms,
482 senescent, mid-canopy and apical leaves, panicles). Significant differences are indicated with *, **
483 and *** for p-values lower than 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively, and refer to (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)
484 salt treatment, (i,l) plant organ.

485 **Figure 3.** Measured and simulated values for (a) aboveground biomass, (b) biomass of panicles, (c)
486 green leaf area index, and (d) total plant Na^+ concentration. The grey line indicates perfect
487 agreement between measured and simulated data. Squares, triangles, and circles refer to cultivars
488 Baldo, Vialone Nano and Pokkali, respectively.

489

490

491

492

493

494 **Appendix A.** *Plant traits involved with tolerance to salinity and corresponding model parameters for Vialone Nano, Baldo and Pokkali with means and*
 495 *standard deviation.*
 496

Traits	Parameters	Acronym	Cultivars used in growth chamber experiments			Means	St.Dev.	Units
			Vialone Nano	Baldo	Pokkali			
Reduction of shoot Na ⁺ uptake	By-pass flow when the suberin content is maximum	(T1)RRBFmin	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	%
	Suberin Deposition Efficiency	(T1)SubDepEff	0.62	0.60	0.70	0.64	0.05	-
	Maximum Suberin Content*	(T1)SCmax	30.00	30.00	38.00	32.67	4.62	mg g ⁻¹
Sequestration of Na ⁺ in structural tissues	Potential culm sequestration rate	(T2)PotCSeq	0.03	0.03	0.90	0.32	0.50	mg plant ⁻¹
	Maximum culm sodium concentration	(T2)[Na ⁺]culmMax	22.00	25.00	25.00	24.00	1.73	mg g ⁻¹
Tolerance to salt-induced sterility	Susceptibility to salt-induced sterility	(T3)SuscSt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
	Sodium translocation factor to panicle	(T3)Na ⁺ ToPan	0.15	0.40	1.00	0.52	0.44	-
Compartmentation of Na ⁺ into senescent leaves	Sodium partitioning capability to older leaves	(T4)PartCap	0.70	0.70	0.90	0.77	0.12	-
Tissue tolerance	Threshold leaf sodium concentration	(T5)ThreshL	1.00	2.00	25.00	9.33	13.58	mg g ⁻¹
	Critical leaf sodium concentration	(T5)CritL	30.00	40.00	80.00	50.00	26.46	mg g ⁻¹

497

498 *parameter value already adapted to field conditions (i.e., accounting for the difference between root development in hydroponic and soil conditions, Kotula et al., 2009)





