

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

Copper modified TiO₂ and ZrTiO₄: Cu oxidation state evolution during photocatalytic hydrogen production

Journal:	<i>ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces</i>
Manuscript ID	am-2018-055288.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Polliotto, Valeria; Università degli Studi di Torino, Dipartimento di Chimica Livraghi, Stefano; Università di Torino, Chimica krukowska, Anna; University of Gdansk, Poland, Faculty of Chemistry Dozzi, Maria Vittoria; University of Milan, Department of Chemistry Zaleska-Medynska, Adriana; University of Gdansk, Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Environmental Technology Selli, Elena; University of Milan, Department of Chemistry Giamello, Elio; Università di Torino, Dipartimento di Chimica

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Copper modified TiO₂ and ZrTiO₄: Cu oxidation state evolution during photocatalytic hydrogen production

Valeria Polliotto¹, Stefano Livraghi^{1}, Anna Krukowska², Maria Vittoria Dozzi³, Adriana Zaleska-Medynska², Elena Selli³ and Elio Giamello¹*

¹Dipartimento di Chimica and NIS, Università di Torino, Via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy.

²Department of Environmental Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Gdansk, 80-308 Gdansk, Poland.

³Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Golgi 19, Milano, Italy

Keywords: copper, Cu₂O, Cu²⁺, HER, EPR, TiO₂, ZrTiO₄

ABSTRACT

In the present work two H₂ evolution photocatalysts were prepared employing two different oxides, TiO₂ and zirconium titanate (ZrTiO₄), as support of the various copper phases. For both the supports the same Cu loading (0.5% w/w) was adopted, but two different impregnation procedures have been followed leading to different forms of Cu in the final composite material that are: i) Cu(II) species dispersed on the oxide surface; ii) Cu₂O particles dispersed on the oxide surface.

The present paper based on the parallel use of photocatalytic test and spectroscopic analysis performed in catalytic conditions illustrates the evolution of photocatalytic systems occurring during the H₂

1
2
3 evolution reaction tests, pointing out that the as prepared materials represent a pre-catalyst and they are
4
5 modified during irradiation leading to the real working systems different from the starting ones.
6

7
8 The here presented spectroscopic analysis aims to contribute to the living debate on the oxidation state
9
10 of copper in mixed Cu/oxide materials and on its role in hydrogen evolution under photocatalytic
11
12 conditions.
13

14 15 16 17 18 1. INTRODUCTION 19

20
21 The increased demand of energy resources coupled with the necessity to face environmental pollution
22
23 led to a growing interest in H₂ production.¹ Among the possible strategies to produce H₂, both water
24
25 photo-splitting and photo-reforming of small hydrocarbons are attracting a continuously growing
26
27 interest. Up to date, several different materials have been proposed as candidates for these
28
29 applications.²
30

31
32 Among the possible materials suitable for photocatalytic applications, titanium dioxide still remains the
33
34 most investigated one, mainly because of its peculiar physical and chemical properties.³ In spite of the
35
36 great potential of this material in several photocatalytic applications, H₂ production does not efficiently
37
38 proceed on titanium dioxide, at least in its bare form, mainly due to the rapid recombination of the
39
40 photogenerated charge carriers and the insufficient reduction potential at the conduction band edge. To
41
42 increase the rate of H₂ formation several strategies have been developed, e.g. by co-catalysts (Pt, Au
43
44 ...) addition or by coupling the solid with other semiconducting materials with suitable band
45
46 potentials.⁴⁻⁷
47
48
49

50
51 The modification of semiconducting oxides with copper represents a strategy of great interest due to
52
53 the remarkable H₂ evolution rates attained under irradiation and for the low cost of copper with respect
54
55 to other co-catalysts such as platinum and gold. Nevertheless, up today, there is not a general consensus
56
57

1
2
3 in the interpretation of the mechanism behind the relatively high efficiency of Cu/TiO₂. This is mainly
4 due to the capability of copper to easily attain different oxidation states and to the fact that the
5 preparation pathway can affect the ratio among these different forms of Cu.
6
7

8
9
10 As far as the different forms of copper and the related activities are concerned, the following
11 information can be drawn from the available literature.
12
13

14
15 a) Cu(II) species. The presence of cupric ions on the surface of Cu-modified oxides is indicated by
16 some authors as the origin of the photoactivity of these materials under visible light. Such activity
17 is ascribed to the charge transfer from the oxide valence band to the Cu²⁺ surface states, which in
18 turn, generate Cu⁺ species capable of one-electron transfer to adsorbed species to some extent.^{8,9}
19 Concerning H₂ evolution, however, the surface sites and the involved mechanism are still under
20 debate and conflicting models can be found in the literature. The sole presence of isolated Cu²⁺
21 ions, in fact, is not enough to explain the high activity of Cu(II) modified materials since the
22 reduction potential for the Cu²⁺/Cu⁺ redox couple is positive (0.16 V).⁸ To overcome this
23 contradiction, since CuO is reported to be active in the H₂ photoproduction,¹⁰ it was proposed
24 however that the decoration with Cu(II) ions of an oxide surface, such as TiO₂, can lead to the
25 formation of CuO-like (CuO_x) structures.¹¹ Nevertheless, up today there is not a general consensus
26 about the mechanism operating in presence of this oxide. Some authors in fact claim that CuO is
27 not able, in principle, to photo-produce H₂ due to the unfavourable conduction band potential and
28 fast recombination of the charge carriers,¹²⁻¹⁵ It is also reported however that the surface
29 impregnation procedure, usually adopted to prepare this kind of composite materials, can lead to
30 the formation of a thin layer of CuO at the surface of the supporting oxide. This kind of layer, due
31 to quantum size effects, should be characterized by a larger band gap than that of bulk CuO with
32 the conduction band edge shifted to a more negative potential so enabling the enhancement of H₂
33 evolution rate.^{16,17} Recently it has also been proposed that, during the photocatalytic process, the
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surface CuO_x species are converted in low oxidation forms of copper (Cu^+)¹⁸⁻²⁰ and Cu_2O
4
5 represents the real active species.^{21,22}
6

7
8 b) Cu(I) species. Several studies have shown that the presence of cuprous oxide (Cu_2O) on the surface
9
10 of materials such as TiO_2 or ZnO drastically enhances the H_2 evolution rate with respect to the bare
11
12 material. Again Cu_2O is a semiconducting oxide (E_g 2.0-2.5 eV) but, differently from the case of
13
14 cupric oxide, the high H_2 evolution rate, in this case, is unambiguously ascribed to the features of
15
16 the formed oxide-oxide heterojunction. In this case, in fact, the band gap alignment of the two
17
18 oxides improves the charge carriers separation and, consequently, the H_2 evolution capability.^{19,21-}
19
20
21 24

22
23
24 c) Metallic Cu. Copper nanoparticles supported on oxides were widely studied as catalysts for carbon
25
26 monoxide oxidation and more recently, Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)-driven photocatalysis
27
28 has been demonstrated in titania/copper systems under visible-light irradiation.²⁵ As far as H_2
29
30 photo-production is concerned some papers show that, in the presence of metallic Cu, the H_2
31
32 evolution rate increases suggesting that copper can act as a co-catalyst also this form, promoting
33
34 H_2 evolution as in the case of other noble metals.^{15,21,26-30} Some authors also report that during the
35
36 H_2 photoformation process on Cu_2O modified materials the formation of metallic copper can
37
38 occur.^{23,24}
39
40
41

42 The present work is based on the joint use of Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy
43
44 and UV-Visible Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (DRS) to investigate the state and the role of copper
45
46 compounds deposited (0.5% w/w) on two distinct semiconducting oxides. In the systems under
47
48 investigation both Cu^{2+} ions ($3d^9$) and the paramagnetic centres, originated by the stabilization of
49
50 photogenerated charge carriers in the supporting oxide, can be investigated by EPR spectroscopy. As to
51
52 the other possible copper based species (Cu(I) and Cu(0)), these can be appropriately studied by optical
53
54 spectroscopy (DRS) since they have specific absorption in the visible region.
55
56
57

Two different oxides were chosen as support of the various copper phases, namely TiO_2 and zirconium titanate (ZrTiO_4), in order to investigate the role of different oxidic supports on the charge carriers fate and H_2 evolution rate. The interest in zirconium titanate is due to its conduction band potential which is slightly more negative than that of TiO_2 , thus a higher reductive potential of photoexcited electrons is expected, making this material a possible candidate for hydrogen evolution via water photoreduction.

For the surface modification of the bare oxides with Cu, the same loading has been adopted for each sample (0.5% w/w), but two different impregnation procedures have been followed leading to different forms of copper in the final composite material that are: i) surface impregnation of the oxides with a $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution. In this case the resulting starting material is essentially based on Cu(II) species dispersed on the oxide surface; ii) surface impregnation with pre-formed Cu_2O oxide.

The present paper illustrates the evolution of photocatalytic systems based on a semiconducting oxide (either TiO_2 or ZrTiO_4) modified at the surface, as described above, with copper containing species. It will be shown in the following that the starting materials (or pre-catalysts) undergo dramatic modifications during irradiation leading to working systems quite different from the starting ones.

The here presented spectroscopic analysis aims to contribute to the living debate on the oxidation state of copper in mixed Cu/oxide materials and on its role in hydrogen evolution under photocatalytic conditions.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Samples preparation

The two oxide adopted as copper support (TiO_2 and ZrTiO_4) were prepared according to the Sol-Gel synthesis reported in previous works.^{31,32} In a second step the modification with copper (0.5% w/w) was obtained via the two procedure below reported.

1
2
3 The $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-TiO}_2$ (hereafter $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-T}$) and $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-ZrTiO}_4$ (hereafter $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-ZT}$) powders were prepared
4
5 by Cu(II) chemical reduction (via Benedict reaction) followed by a deposition procedure. To 10 ml of
6
7 $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ water solution ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M) 0.06 g of glucose and 15 ml of NaOH $2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M were
8
9 added. The solution was stirred for 50 min at 343 K. At the end of the reaction, a red suspension was
10
11 formed (suspension A). In this step of the preparation procedure, the glucose reduces the Cu^{2+} ions to
12
13 Cu^+ ions and the corresponding oxide (Cu_2O) is formed. 1 g of oxide (TiO_2 or ZrTiO_4) was suspended
14
15 in 20 ml of deionized water and the suspension was sonicated at 333 K for 10 min (suspension B).
16
17 Suspension A was added to suspension B and stirred for 10 min at 343 K. The so obtained pale pink
18
19 powder was filtered and washed three times with water and ethanol in order to remove the reaction
20
21 residues and finally dried at 343 K.
22
23
24

25
26 The $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-TiO}_2$ (hereafter $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-T}$) and $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-ZrTiO}_4$ (hereafter $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-ZT}$) powders were prepared by
27
28 the wet impregnation method. 1 g of oxide (TiO_2 or ZrTiO_4) was suspended in 20 ml of deionized
29
30 water and the suspension was sonicated at 333 K for 10 min. 10 ml of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ $7.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M were
31
32 added to the oxide suspension and sonicated for 50 min at 333 K. The so obtained suspension was
33
34 stirred at 353 K until the formation of a dried pale blue powder. The powder was calcined in air at 623
35
36 K for 4 h and then washed three times with water.
37
38

39
40 Summarizing, since the copper loading was kept constant in all cases we investigated in this work four
41
42 different systems, namely Cu^{2+}/T , $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{T}$ (based on TiO_2) and Cu^{2+}/ZT , $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{ZT}$ (based on ZrTiO_4).
43
44

45 2.2. Characterization methods

46 2.2.1. Characterization of the as prepared samples

47
48 The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was recorded with a PANalytical PW3040/60 X'Pert
49
50 PRO MPD diffractometer using a copper $\text{K}\alpha$ radiation source. The diffraction patterns were obtained in
51
52 the 2θ range between 20° and 80° . The X'Pert High-Score software was used for data handling.
53
54
55
56
57

1
2
3 The UV-Visible Diffuse Reflectance (DR-UV-Vis) spectra of the prepared solid were recorded
4 using a Varian Cary 5000 spectrometer. A Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) sample was used as the
5 reference. The spectra were recorded in the 200–800 nm range at a scan rate of 240 nm/min with a step
6 size of 1 nm. The measured reflectance was converted with the Kubelka-Munk function.
7
8
9

10
11
12 The specific surface area was measured with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 apparatus using the
13 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) model for N₂ adsorption measurements. Prior to N₂ adsorption, the
14 sample was outgassed at 573 K for 2 h.
15
16
17
18

19 Continuous Wave Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (CW-EPR) experiments were performed with
20 a Bruker EMX spectrometer operating at X-band (9.5 GHz), equipped with a cylindrical cavity
21 operating at 100 kHz field modulation. All the spectra were recorded in vacuum condition at 77 K in a
22 EPR cell that can be connected to a conventional high-vacuum apparatus (residual pressure < 10⁻⁴
23 mbar). In all cases the parameters setup was Modulation Amplitude 0.2 mT and microwave power of
24 10 mW.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 2.2.2. Photocatalytic test

33
34 The hydrogen evolution tests were performed in a 100 ml quartz reactor with a rubber septum for
35 bubbling and sampling. 0.1 g of photocatalyst were suspended in a 10% v/v methanol aqueous solution
36 (72 ml H₂O and 8 ml of methanol) and sonicated for 10 min. The irradiation source was a 150 W
37 Hamamatsu L2274 Xe lamp with a UV irradiance of 400 W/m². A magnetic stirrer was placed at the
38 bottom of the reactor to keep the particles in suspension during the experiment. Prior to illumination,
39 nitrogen was purged into the reactor for 30 min to remove dissolved oxygen. The photocatalytic
40 hydrogen activity was then studied by illuminating the suspension for 4 hours. To evaluate hydrogen
41 generation, a 200 μl gas sample was taken every 30 min from the reactor head space through a rubber
42 septum. The gas samples were then injected into a Perkin Elmer Clarus 500 gas chromatograph (GC)
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 equipped with HayeSep Q (80/100) column and thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Nitrogen was
4
5 used as the GC carrier gas, at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min.
6
7

8 2.2.3. Characterization of irradiated materials 9

10 The EPR spectra of the powders upon irradiation and in vacuum condition were recorded in the
11 EPR cavity illuminated by a 1600 W Newport Xe lamp (irradiance 300 W/m²). The samples were kept
12
13 at 77 K in liquid nitrogen.
14
15

16 The EPR spectra characterization along the H₂ evolution process were obtained irradiating, with a
17
18 500 W Newport Hg-Xe lamp (irradiance 100 W/m²) 10 mg of sample dispersed in 100 μL of
19
20 water/methanol solution (10% v/v) in an EPR tube. Prior to illumination, the EPR cell was evacuated to
21
22 remove O₂ while keeping the sample at low temperature in order to avoid the evaporation of the
23
24 water/methanol solution.
25
26

27 The experiments were performed stepwise. After each irradiation step the sample was rapidly frozen
28
29 from RT to 77 K and transferred in the EPR cavity to record the spectrum.
30
31

32 The parameters used for all the EPR spectra were Modulation Amplitude 0.2 mT and microwave power
33
34 of 10 mW.
35
36

37 The DR-UV-Vis investigations during H₂ evolution process were performed irradiating with a 500 W
38
39 Newport Hg-Xe lamp (irradiance 100 W/m²) 0.4 g of samples suspended in 2 ml of water/methanol
40
41 solution (10% v/v) in a quartz cell for DR-UV-Vis measurements. After irradiation, prior to the spectra
42
43 acquisition the sample were let to sediment on the bottom of the cell. The DR-UV-Vis spectra were
44
45 recorded in the 200–2500 nm range at a scan rate of 240 nm/min with a step size of 1 nm. Since the
46
47 samples could not be stirred during irradiation, after every irradiation step the samples were sonicated
48
49 in order to disperse the powders homogenously.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Structural and optical characterization.

Fig. 1 reports the XRD and the UV-Visible DRS characterization of the two sets of Cu modified samples (T and ZT respectively) compared with the corresponding pristine materials. XRD diffractograms indicate that, for both oxidic supports (Fig. 1 A and B), the procedures adopted for the surface modification with copper do not alter the crystallographic features of the oxides. Also the surface area is not affected by the two modification procedures (Table 1).

It is worth to note that the presence of the additional fraction of copper species can be detected by XRD in the case of the materials decorated with the Cu₂O particles only. Both Cu₂O-T and Cu₂O-ZT samples, indeed, show a very weak additional diffraction peak at about $\theta = 36.5^\circ$ due to the (111) reflection of the Cu₂O lattice. This indicates that, even though the Cu loading adopted for the two surface modification procedures is the same (0.5% w/w), the surface distribution of the copper is different in the two kinds of materials. In the case of materials prepared via wet impregnation (Cu²⁺-T and Cu²⁺-ZT, panel A) copper is dispersed on the oxide surface, whereas in the case of the Cu₂O-T and Cu₂O-ZT samples the formation of supported Cu₂O particles (with a consequent oxide-oxide interface) is evident.

Table 1. Structure, BET specific surface area and energy gap values of copper-modified TiO₂ and ZrTiO₄ based samples.

Sample	Label	Cu loading (%w/w)	Support Structure	B.E.T. Area (m ² g ⁻¹)	E _g (eV)
TiO ₂	T	-	100 % TiO ₂ anatase	65	3.22
Cu ²⁺ -TiO ₂	Cu ²⁺ -T	0.5	100 %TiO ₂ anatase	59	3.21
Cu ₂ O- TiO ₂	Cu ₂ O-T	0.5	100 %TiO ₂ anatase	59	3.21
ZrTiO ₄	ZT	-	100 % ZrTiO ₄ scrutinyite	62	3.81
Cu ²⁺ - ZrTiO ₄	Cu ²⁺ -ZT	0.5	100 % ZrTiO ₄ scrutinyite	58	3.82
Cu ₂ O- ZrTiO ₄	Cu ₂ O-ZT	0.5	100 % ZrTiO ₄ scrutinyite	59	3.81

1
2
3 The two procedures of surface modification drastically affect the optical properties of the oxidic
4 supports. Samples Cu^{2+} -T e Cu^{2+} -ZT show pale green and light blue colour respectively, while the
5
6 Cu_2O -T and Cu_2O -ZT samples show pale pink colour. The UV-Vis reflectance spectra of all modified
7
8 samples (Fig.1 C and D) show, beside the band gap transition in the UV region, typical of the two
9
10 supporting oxides, further absorption in the visible region. The two surface impregnated samples (Cu^{2+} -
11
12 T and Cu^{2+} -ZT, spectra C(c) and D(c) respectively) show two new absorption features, one in the 600-
13
14 800 nm wavelength range is related to the d-d transition of Cu(II) ,^{19,33} the other shows up in the 400-
15
16 500 nm range, partially overlapping the main band gap absorption, and is ascribed to the charge
17
18 transfer from the valence band (VB) of the oxides to surface Cu(II) species.⁸
19
20
21
22

23
24 The two samples decorated with Cu_2O particles (Cu_2O -T C(b) and Cu_2O -ZT D(b)) show a stronger
25
26 absorption in the 400-600 nm range with a specific absorption at 475 nm typical of Cu_2O
27
28 particles.^{23,34,35} Also in this case the absorbance in the 600-800 nm region is slightly higher than that of
29
30 the bare materials. This second feature seems to suggest that, beside the Cu_2O particles, also a small
31
32 fraction of Cu(II) is present in these two samples.
33
34

35 Table 1 summarizes the main features of the samples employed in this work.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57




Figure 1. XRD diffractograms (panel A and B) and DR-UV-Vis spectra (panel C and D) of the bare oxides and the corresponding Cu modified materials. Panel A and C. TiO_2 based materials: a) T, b) Cu_2O -T and c) Cu^{2+} -T. Panel B and D. ZrTiO_4 based materials: a) ZT, b) Cu_2O -ZT and c) Cu^{2+} -ZT. The diffraction patterns shown in panel A are due to the anatase polymorph of TiO_2 except for the feature shown in the inset which corresponds to the (111) reflection of cuprite (Cu_2O). The diffraction patterns shown in panel B correspond to the scrutinyite structure (ZrTiO_4). Again, the feature highlighted in the inset corresponds to the (111) reflection of cuprite (Cu_2O).




Figure 2. EPR spectra of the Cu modified samples recorded at low temperature under vacuum condition. a) Cu_2O -T, b) Cu_2O -ZT, c) Cu^{2+} -T and d) Cu^{2+} -ZT sample.

1
2
3 Cu^{2+} ions ($3d^9$) bear one unpaired electron and for this reason they can be directly monitored by
4 means of the EPR technique. The EPR spectra of the whole set of as prepared, copper modified
5 samples recorded at 77 K under vacuum are shown in Fig. 2. All samples show EPR signals that appear
6 as the typical fingerprint of Cu^{2+} species. These are much more intense in the case of materials
7 prepared via wet impregnation (Fig. 2c and d). A typical Cu^{2+} signal exhibits an axial g tensor splitted
8 in 4 hyperfine lines since the Cu nucleus has nuclear spin $I=3/2$ and the line multiplicity is $n=2I+1=$
9 4. In all samples the EPR spectrum is characterized by similar spectroscopic features based on the
10 overlap of a broad and asymmetric signal, which dominates the spectrum, with a second one due to a
11 Cu(II) species having partially resolved hyperfine structure in the parallel component ($g_{\parallel} = 2.35$ and A_{\parallel}
12 = 13.4 mT) and non-resolved in the perpendicular one. The first species (broad, unresolved signal)
13 indicates the presence of a family of Cu^{2+} ions mutually interacting with each other via dipolar
14 interaction. The second kind of paramagnetic species is ascribed to isolated Cu(II) ions. Values of the
15 parallel hyperfine coupling constants similar to those found in this case were found also in the case of
16 surface Cu^{2+} species on TiO_2 in an amorphous phase, while in the case of Cu^{2+} incorporated in the TiO_2
17 matrix the reported A values are smaller (8-9 mT).^{8,9,36} Cu^{2+} ions present in all samples are thus
18 traceable to Cu^{2+} species (isolated or mutually interacting) dispersed on the T and ZT surface, while the
19 presence of CuO bulk is unlikely. Clear evidence of CuO are reported for samples with Cu loading
20 higher than that adopted in this work (0.5%w/w), furthermore the EPR features are certainly not due to
21 CuO but rather to individual Cu^{2+} ionic species. Finally, DR-UV-vis spectra are not compatible with
22 the presence of bulk CuO, which usually shows a continuous absorption in the range UV-vis-NIR.
23 Peculiar is the fact that in Cu_2O modified samples (Fig. 2 a and b), in principle expected to contain
24 Cu(I) ions only, the presence of Cu(II) ions is also observed. Since the EPR spectrum of bare,
25 unsupported, Cu_2O particles doesn't show any Cu^{2+} signal (data not shown for sake of brevity), it can
26 be inferred that the EPR signals observed for Cu_2O -T and Cu_2O -ZT (Fig. 2a, 2b) samples are not due to
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Cu^{2+} defects in the original Cu_2O nanoparticles, but, rather to a fraction of the starting Cu(I) ions that is unavoidably oxidized during the preparation procedure and that remains dispersed on the oxide surface. Combining XRD, DR-UV-Vis and EPR results it can be assumed that the samples prepared by wet impregnation (Cu^{2+} -T, Cu^{2+} -ZT) contain a dispersion of Cu^{2+} ions on the surface of the oxide supports. At variance, the Cu_2O modified samples exhibit, in addition to a small fraction of Cu^{2+} dispersed on the surface of the supporting oxides, Cu_2O particles anchored on the main oxide (T or ZT), thus originating a solid-solid interface (heterojunction).

The electronic structure of the modified starting materials can be preliminarily modelled according to the schemes reported in Fig. 3. Both modification procedures induce the formation of surface isolated Cu^{2+} species (whose reductive potentials are located at +0.16 eV with respect to the H^+/H_2 reduction potential)⁸ more abundant in the case of the photocatalysts prepared via wet impregnation. The materials decorated with Cu_2O nanoparticles are additionally characterized by a $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}/\text{oxide}$ heterojunction.








Figure 3. Schematic representation of the band structure alignment of bare and Cu modified samples. The case here reported is that of TiO_2 (T). In the case of zirconium titanate (ZT) the situation is analogous.

3.2. Photocatalytic H_2 evolution test


The basic mechanism involved in H_2 photoproduction in presence of a generic semiconducting oxide can be described by the following steps. First of all, the absorption of photons with energy equal to or larger than the band gap of the semiconductor and the electron-hole pairs formation (eq. 1).



The photogenerated electrons then reduce the hydrogen according to the following reactions



Simultaneously photogenerated holes are involved in the oxygen evolution process.



Even though photoactive oxides like TiO_2 can be photoexcited under band gap irradiation (eq. 1), it is well known the H_2O molecule cannot be efficiently photosplit on bare oxides. For this reason, co-catalyst for both H_2 and O_2 evolution reactions (HER and OER respectively) are usually added to the semiconducting system. In the present case since we were focussed on the role of copper compounds in HER, photocatalytic H_2 evolution was tested irradiating the samples in presence of a 10% v/v methanol aqueous solution, methanol playing the role of sacrificial reactant for oxidation. Adding of a fraction of this alcohol as a sacrificial agent represents a well-established procedure to scavenge the photogenerated holes so that the one can more easily focus on hydrogen evolution.^{4,37} It is worth to mention however that, in this case, a fraction of the photoformed H_2 could derive from the photoreforming of methanol (that competes with the full mineralisation of the alcohol) according to the following reactions:^{38,39}





Fig. 4 reports the hydrogen evolution rate curves under UV-Vis irradiation for the whole set of Cu modified photocatalysts compared with the corresponding bare materials. For both oxides, the materials

modified with Cu_2O are more active than the materials prepared via wet impregnation which, in turn, show higher activity than the bare materials. For both the bare oxidic matrices (T and ZT) H_2 evolution is basically negligible. The modified TiO_2 samples show higher activity than the corresponding ZrTiO_4 samples. With the only exception of $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-T}$, which has a high H_2 evolution rate since the beginning of irradiation, all Cu-modified materials show a low rate of H_2 production in the first 30 min. After this time lag (connected to the photoinduced transformation of the materials, see below) the rate of photocatalytic H_2 production increases with a roughly linear trend reaching a plateau in the case of $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-T}$ only.





Figure 4. Hydrogen evolution rate ($\text{mmol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$) obtained with (A) TiO_2 based samples; (B) ZrTiO_4 based samples. a) bare oxides, b) Cu^{2+} -oxides and c) Cu_2O -oxides.

3.3. Characterization of the photocatalysts under irradiation

3.3.1. EPR characterization at the solid-gas interface

1
2
3 Previous studies have shown that Cu(II) centres in TiO₂ can act as traps for photogenerated electrons
4 and the same behaviour occurs in the photocatalysts investigated in the present work.^{14,33,40} Upon UV
5 irradiation in vacuum at 77 K (red spectra in Fig. 5) for the whole set of samples the EPR intensity of
6 the Cu(II) species decreases due to the reduction of the paramagnetic Cu²⁺ centres to diamagnetic
7 forms of copper (Cu⁺/Cu⁰). The initial processes can be described as follows:
8
9
10
11
12
13



14
15
16
17 In metal oxide the photogenerated holes usually get stabilized on a lattice oxygen producing O^{•-} ions
18 which are, at least in part, EPR detectable.⁴¹ In the present case the relatively weak O^{•-} signal is buried
19 under the Cu²⁺ one which falls in the same spectral region.
20
21
22

23
24 It is worthy to note that, by interrupting irradiation (blue spectra in Fig. 5), the behaviour of the two
25 samples modified with cuprous oxide (Cu₂O-T and Cu₂O-ZT, Fig. 5A and C respectively) differs from
26 that of the samples prepared via wet impregnation (Cu²⁺-T and Cu²⁺-ZT, Fig. 5B and D respectively).
27
28 In this second case after interrupting the light, the Cu(II) EPR intensity is fully recovered (indicating
29 that the initial oxidation state is regained due to fast charge recombination) and no new signals are
30 photoformed. In the case of Cu₂O-T and Cu₂O-ZT samples, at variance, the starting intensity of the
31 Cu(II) signal is not fully recovered after light-off and a new weak signal ($g_{\text{average}} = 1.93$), more intense
32 in the Cu₂O-T sample, appears. This signal, unambiguously ascribed to Ti³⁺ centres, is originate by
33 electron trapping on Ti⁴⁺ cations as described by the equation 2a.⁴²
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44
45 Such difference indicates that the effect on charge carriers separation is remarkably different in the two
46 cases and, in the case of Cu₂O modified samples, a longer lifetime of the separated charge carriers is
47 observed. In the case of Cu²⁺/oxide materials the more abundant Cu²⁺ sites (Fig. 2) act as sink for all
48 photogenerated electrons.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. EPR spectra of the as prepared Cu modified samples (black spectra), upon UV-Vis irradiation in vacuum at 77 K (red spectra) and after turning off the light (blue spectra). Panel A and C: Cu_2O modified samples. Panel B and D: Cu^{2+} -oxides samples.

3.3.2. EPR characterization at the Solid-Liquid interface

In order to better understand the fate of the charge carriers and the role of the Cu species during H_2 evolution, EPR measurements were performed, at regular intervals, during irradiation of sample suspensions in a 10% v/v methanol/water solution (same condition of the H_2 evolution test). For each measurement the irradiation was stopped and an EPR spectrum was recorded, after each stop, having previously frozen the samples at 77 K in order to block the process and to increase the quality of the spectra. The EPR spectra during H_2 evolution, reported in Fig. 6, represents an EPR picture of the working photocatalysts. Only three spectra ($t = 0, 5$ and 30 min) are shown for clarity. Inspection of the spectra in Fig. 6 indicates that the presence of Ti^{3+} in conditions of H_2 evolution is much more evident

with respect to the case of vacuum irradiation (Fig. 5). In all cases Ti^{3+} is observed in the spectra and it is the dominant species in TiO_2 -based materials (Fig. 6 A, B).





Figure 6. EPR spectra of the suspension of the as prepared Cu modified samples in water-methanol solution (black spectra), after 5 min of UV-Vis irradiation at RT (red spectra) and after 30 min of UV-Vis irradiation at RT (blue spectra). Panel A and C: Cu_2O modified samples. Panel B and D: Cu^{2+} -oxides samples. All the spectra were recorded at 77 K.

Fig. 7 reports the integrated intensities of the EPR signals of the Cu(II) and Ti^{3+} species monitored in the whole experiment (60 min). The first evidence derived from Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 is that the working catalysts is considerably reduced with respect to the starting materials. The concentration of Cu^{2+} , in all cases, drastically decrease (electron trapping, eq. 7) and, though at a different extent for the various samples, Ti^{3+} is formed again by electron trapping.

The deeper extent of photoreduction recorded during H_2 evolution is due, differently from the case of the irradiation under vacuum, to the presence of methanol in the liquid phase, which acts as hole scavenger, enhancing the lifetime of the photoformed electrons. In parallel, inspection of Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 also indicates that, in the case of TiO_2 based samples, after 5 min the Cu(II) species completely disappear in $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-T}$, whereas, for $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-T}$ containing more isolated cupric ions (Fig. 2), it reaches a

1
2
3 minimum in intensity. Apart for the concentration of Ti^{3+} ions which is systematically higher in T
4 samples, the intensity trend of the two paramagnetic species (Cu(II) and Ti^{3+}) is similar in the two cases
5
6
7 (T, ZT). For both $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-T}$ and $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-T}$, Ti^{3+} grows after 5 min irradiation in parallel to the Cu(II)
8
9 decrease. In the case of the ZT based samples, Ti^{3+} centers show up later when the Cu(II) species tend
10
11 to their minimum intensity. In the case of $\text{Cu}_2\text{O-ZT}$, Ti^{3+} begins to grow up after 10 min of irradiation
12
13 and for $\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{-ZT}$ after 20 min of irradiation.

14
15
16
17 Summarizing, during irradiation in liquid phase during H_2 evolution, the presence of an hole scavenger
18
19 (CH_3OH) emphasizes the role of photogenerated electrons. The working photocatalysts is rich of
20
21 trapped electrons that, firstly, reduce Cu^{2+} ions and only later Ti^{4+} cations to Ti^{3+} . In fact, Ti^{3+} centers
22
23 show up only when the Cu(II) are exhausted or reach a minimum in intensity.
24
25



26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 **Figure 7.** EPR intensities of Cu^{2+} (straight lines) and Ti^{3+} species (dashed lines) at different times of UV-Vis irradiation of
55 Cu_2O -oxides (panels A and C, red lines) and Cu^{2+} -oxides (panels B and D, blue lines) in methanol/water suspension.

56 3.3.3. DR-UV-Vis characterization at the Solid-Liquid interface

Fig. 8 reports the same experiment shown in Fig. 6 which is, in this case, followed by optical spectroscopy. The effect of irradiation on the oxidic materials (bare and Cu-modified), in the presence of a methanol/water solution (10% v/v), is shown after 30 and 60 min (curves b and c in Fig. 8, curve a refers to the starting material). The presence of the solution causes the onset of two absorption bands, ascribable to the infra-red active groups of the water/methanol solution, centered at about 1000 nm and 1200 nm. which will not be further discussed in the following.




Figure 8. DR-UV-Vis spectra of the different systems in presence of methanol/water solution (10% v/v). a) as prepared samples, b) after 30 min of UV-Vis irradiation and c) after 60 min of UV-Vis irradiation.

1
2
3 As far as the bare materials (T and ZT in Fig. 8) are concerned a continuous absorption in the visible
4 and NIR regions grows along the irradiation process which is due to Ti^{3+} ions formation.⁴³ Zirconium
5 titanate appears, as already discussed, less reducible than titanium dioxide.³²
6
7

8
9
10 Concerning Cu modified samples the spectra obtained during irradiation are complex due to the
11 presence of copper species in various oxidation states (see Fig. 1). More in detail, in the case of the
12 Cu_2O containing samples (Cu_2O -T and Cu_2O -ZT in Fig. 8) the starting spectroscopic features of the
13 Cu_2O particles, in the region between 400 nm and 600 nm, are preserved after irradiation as indicated
14 by the specific absorption at 475 nm. Simultaneously, after 30 minutes of irradiation, a further
15 absorption peak at about 580 nm clearly appears in both cases. Such feature corresponds to the Surface
16 Plasmonic Resonances (SPR) of metallic copper particles^{44,45} and unambiguously indicates that the
17 reduction of the Cu species proceeds up to the zero oxidation state. Since the EPR spectra (Fig. 6 A and
18 C) indicate that the Cu^{2+} species undergo reduction during irradiation, the formation of metallic copper
19 occurs at the expense of Cu^{2+} species dispersed on the surface of the samples. This implies the partial
20 migration of the Cu(II) species through the solution and the subsequent reduction (*vide infra*) or,
21 alternatively, the reduction to Cu(0) and the migration at the surface of these species with consequent
22 aggregation of small metal particles. The latter process is favoured by the reduction to a neutral Cu(0)
23 species not affected by the surface electric fields.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 In the case of Cu^{2+} -T and Cu^{2+} -ZT samples, after each irradiation step, a new absorption feature arises
43 in the region between 400 nm and 600 nm similar to that reported for the Cu_2O decorated materials.
44 This feature suggests that the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) occurs.⁴⁶ This does not necessarily mean that
45 true Cu_2O particles are formed. The peculiar spectroscopic feature of bulk Cu_2O at 475 nm is, in fact,
46 absent. Most likely the isolated Cu^{2+} species are reduced to similar Cu^+ ones. Furthermore, it is worth
47 to note that after 60 min of irradiation, also in this case, the formation of metallic copper occurs.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Thus, in Cu₂O containing materials (Cu₂O-T and ZT) metallic copper particles are formed upon
4 irradiation, beside Cu₂O ones. Similar metallic Cu particles are present on the working Cu²⁺ based
5 materials. In this case (Cu²⁺-T and ZT), however, Cu⁺ does not form Cu₂O aggregates but, most likely,
6 remains dispersed at the surface as supported Cu⁺ ions.
7
8
9
10
11

12 3.4. Charge carriers dynamics during H₂ evolution reaction

13
14
15
16 On the basis of the EPR and optical results, a description of both the chemical modifications of the
17 catalysts occurring during irradiation and the hydrogen evolution mechanism can be proposed
18 according to the schemes reported in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, respectively.
19
20
21

22
23 Since the experimental results suggest that the behavior of the ZT based samples is basically the same
24 observed for the T based samples, although overall less effective, in the following the two sets of
25 materials (T and ZT based samples) will be discussed together.
26
27
28

29
30 All the investigated Cu-modified samples show, upon UV light irradiation, a higher hydrogen evolution
31 than the corresponding bare materials. The mechanism operating on the two kinds of modified
32 materials is very likely different in the two cases considering the different chemical nature of the
33 copper in the starting samples (mainly Cu(II) in Cu²⁺-T/ZT and mainly Cu(I) in Cu₂O-T/ZT).
34
35
36

37
38 Concerning Cu²⁺ containing samples the EPR characterization shows that Cu²⁺ is easily consumed
39 upon irradiation under vacuum (Fig. 5) and, even more efficiently, in the operative conditions of the
40 photocatalytic reactions (Fig. 6). It is worth to note, furthermore, that Ti³⁺ ions can be photogenerated
41 (eq. 2a) only when the Cu(II) amount is reduced (eq. 7) to a minimum value (Fig. 7). When the
42 photocatalytic reaction reaches a steady state, Cu(II) is absent (Cu₂O-T) or nearly absent in the
43 systems. For this reason, the photocatalytic activity of Cu²⁺-T/ZT samples in H⁺ reduction, has to be
44 ascribed to reduced copper species forming during the irradiation and not to cupric ions. The optical
45 spectroscopy experiments indicate, indeed, that the formation of Cu(0) occurs mainly at the expenses of
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

isolated Cu^{2+} species which are progressively photoreduced to form, after migration on the surface, small $\text{Cu}(0)$ aggregates (see Fig. 9, right-hand side).




Figure 9. Chemical modifications occurring at the surface of the different Cu modified samples during H_2 evolution reaction.




Figure 10. Model of H_2 evolution mechanism occurring on Cu^{2+} -oxides (panel A) and Cu_2O -oxides (panel B) respectively. The band position are reported on the basis of the energy values reported in refs ^{47,48}.

This occurs mainly in the case of Cu^{2+} -T and Cu^{2+} -ZT samples where only dispersed $\text{Cu}(\text{II})$ ions are present. The same process however occurs, at a minor extent, on the samples containing Cu_2O particles that show a smaller but non-negligible concentration of dispersed Cu^{2+} ions (Fig. 2).

In the latter case however, the formation of a further small amount of $\text{Cu}(0)$ particles at the expenses of Cu_2O ones cannot be excluded. Cu oxides, in fact, can be dissolved in acid solutions to form Cu^{2+}

(leaching), because of the formation of organic acids as a consequence of the partial oxidation of methanol (eq. 5) The formation of acid molecules favour the partial dissolution of copper oxides⁴⁹ and the Cu^{2+} ions formed in so far can trap the excited electrons contributing to the formation of a further fraction of metallic Cu, which is deposited on the surface. Notably the Cu nanoparticles formed by photoreduction are only stable in the conditions of the photocatalytic reaction. In fact, along H_2 evolution the original pale colored materials become progressively darker, but exposing the methanol/water suspensions containing the photocatalysts to the atmosphere, they are progressively oxidized recovering the original colour. It is known in fact that copper metallic particle needs protection to avoid chemical corrosion.^{25,50} Nevertheless, a fraction of Cu_2O aggregates remains unaffected at the surface, as indicated by the specific absorption at 475 nm (Fig. 8). The Cu^{2+} - and Cu_2O -materials differs therefore, in operative conditions, in terms of the presence of a Cu_2O -oxide interface that is not present in the case of Cu^{2+} -T and Cu^{2+} -ZT systems while $\text{Cu}(0)$ particles are present in both cases. This specific composition and the role of Cu_2O are likely the reason of the superior activity of this kind of materials with respect to those prepared by wet impregnation.

The mechanism of H_2 evolution in the described photocatalysts can be tentatively discussed on the basis of the previously illustrated composition of the working systems and also considering the electronic energy of the species involved (Fig. 10). The presence of metallic copper, formed by reduction of Cu^{2+} under irradiation, is the key factor explaining the increased catalytic activity of Cu^{2+} -T and Cu^{2+} -ZT materials with respect to the corresponding bare oxides (Fig. 10A). In these samples $\text{Cu}(0)$ represents in fact the dominant Cu species. Metallic copper, contrarily to most prominent Pt or Pd co-catalysts for H_2 photoevolution, is not considered among the best co-catalyst for hydrogen activation, mainly due to the weak Cu affinity for hydrogen chemisorption.^{51,52} Nevertheless, metallic copper takes part to important processes such as methanol synthesis from CO and H_2 ^{53,54} and, although

1
2
3 further work is necessary for a full comprehension of the mechanism behind hydrogen photoevolution
4 on Cu(0), several Authors report that metallic Cu can act as a co-catalyst for hydrogen production.²⁶⁻²⁹
5
6
7 In the case of Cu₂O containing materials, which are more active than those based on Cu²⁺, the superior
8
9 activity must be due to the maintenance of a fraction of this cuprous oxide phase in working conditions.
10
11 A plausible mechanism is as follows. Irradiation causes a charge separation in both the Cu₂O particles
12
13 and the oxidic supports. Because of the relative position of the band potentials, the electrons transfer
14
15 from the conduction band of Cu₂O to that of the main photocatalytic oxide occurs (Fig. 10B).
16
17 Simultaneously the photoformed holes in the valence band of T or ZT move to the Cu₂O valence band
18
19 inhibiting the charge carrier recombination. This mechanism explains the fact that the Ti³⁺ ions
20
21 formation upon irradiation in vacuum (Fig. 5) is observed in this kind of materials only (Panel A, C)
22
23 and not in the Cu²⁺ samples (Panel B, D). Summarizing, the synergic effect due to the presence of the
24
25 Cu₂O/oxide heterojunction and of metallic copper particles leads to a H₂ evolution rate definitely
26
27 higher than that obtained on Cu²⁺-T and Cu²⁺-ZT samples that contain copper metal particles only.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Conclusive remarks.

36
37
38 In this work the photochemistry of copper containing photocatalysts constituted by TiO₂ and ZrTiO₄
39
40 has been investigated leading to a detailed picture of the composition of the various systems before the
41
42 reaction and in working conditions. In parallel, the role of the different forms of copper in H₂ evolution
43
44 reaction has been proposed.
45
46

47 The results obtained via the joint use of EPR and UV-Vis DRS spectroscopies clearly indicate that
48
49 during the irradiation all the as prepared solids undergo a chemical transformation and metallic copper
50
51 is generated. Consequently, the real photocatalyst involved in the H₂ evolution reaction is different
52
53 from the starting material in particular for the photocatalysts prepared via wet impregnation.
54
55 Furthermore, in the materials decorated with Cu₂O particles, charge carriers separation is more efficient
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 because of the presence of a Cu_2O /oxide heterojunction which is preserved during the reaction. The
4
5 better performance in H_2 evolution for this kind of material is essentially due to the presence of the
6
7 Cu_2O phase. Additionally, a synergic effect caused by the presence of metallic copper particles beside
8
9 the Cu_2O ones cannot be excluded.

10
11
12 Furthermore, the photoactivity of TiO_2 based materials is systematically higher than that of the ZrTiO_4 -
13
14 based ones. This occurs in spite of the more negative potential of the titanate conduction band that in
15
16 principle should favor H^+ reduction on this photocatalytic oxide. The reason of this behavior has to be
17
18 searched in the different capability of TiO_2 to stabilize photogenerated electrons (Fig. 6) or, in other
19
20 words, to be photoreduced. The presence, in working conditions, of a higher concentration of trapped
21
22 electrons (Ti^{3+}) witnesses a higher capability to limit the recombination of the charge carriers and,
23
24 therefore, a superior tendency/ability to promote the photocatalytic reduction of hydrogen ions.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 AUTHOR INFORMATION

37 **Corresponding Author**

38
39 * Stefano.livraghi@unito.it
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

47
48
49 Financial support from the Italian MIUR through the PRIN Project 2015K7FZLH, SMARTNESS
50
51 “Solar driven chemistry: new materials for photo- and electro-catalysis” is gratefully acknowledged.
52
53
54
55
56
57

REFERENCES

- (1) Maeda, K.; Domen, K. Photocatalytic Water Splitting: Recent Progress and Future Challenges. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1* (18), 2655-2661.
- (2) Kudo, A.; Miseki, Y. Heterogeneous Photocatalyst Materials for Water Splitting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38* (1), 253-278.
- (3) Henderson, M. A. A Surface Science Perspective on Photocatalysis. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2011**, *66* (6), 185-297.
- (4) Chiarello, G. L.; Dozzi, M. V.; Selli, E. TiO₂-Based Materials for Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production. *J. Energy Chem.* **2017**, *26* (2), 250-258.
- (5) Li, K.; Chai, B.; Peng, T.; Mao, J.; Zan, L. Preparation of AgIn₅S₈/TiO₂ Heterojunction Nanocomposite and its Enhanced Photocatalytic H₂ Production Property under Visible Light. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3* (2), 170-177.
- (6) Jiang, Z.; Jiang, D.; Yan, Z.; Liu, D.; Qian, K.; Xie, J. A New Visible Light Active Multifunctional Ternary Composite Based on TiO₂-In₂O₃ Nanocrystals Heterojunction Decorated Porous Graphitic Carbon Nitride for Photocatalytic Treatment of Hazardous Pollutant and H₂ Evolution. *Appl. Catal., B* **2015**, *170*, 195-205.
- (7) Meng, A.; Zhu, B.; Zhong, B.; Zhang, L.; Cheng, B. Direct Z-Scheme TiO₂/CdS Hierarchical Photocatalyst for Enhanced Photocatalytic H₂-Production Activity. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *442*, 518-527.
- (8) Irie, H.; Kamiya, K.; Shibamura, T.; Miura, S.; Tryk, D. A.; Yokoyama, T.; Hashimoto, K. Visible Light-Sensitive Cu(II)-Grafted TiO₂ Photocatalysts: Activities and X-ray Absorption Fine Structure Analyses. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113* (24), 10761-10766.
- (9) Nosaka, Y.; Takahashi, S.; Sakamoto, H.; Nosaka, A. Y. Reaction Mechanism of Cu(II)-Grafted Visible-Light Responsive TiO₂ and WO₃ Photocatalysts Studied by Means of ESR Spectroscopy and Chemiluminescence Photometry. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115* (43), 21283-21290.
- (10) Méndez-Medrano, M.; Kowalska, E.; Lehoux, A.; Herissan, A.; Ohtani, B.; Bahena, D.; Briois, V.; Colbeau-Justin, C.; Rodríguez-López, J.; Remita, H. Surface Modification of TiO₂ with Ag Nanoparticles and CuO Nanoclusters for Application in Photocatalysis. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120* (9), 5143-5154.
- (11) de Brito, J. F.; Tavella, F.; Genovese, C.; Ampelli, C.; Zanoni, M. V. B.; Centi, G.; Perathoner, S. Role of CuO in the Modification of the Photocatalytic Water Splitting Behavior of TiO₂ Nanotube Thin Films. *Appl. Catal., B* **2018**, *224*, 136-145.
- (12) Xu, Y.; Schoonen, M. A. The Absolute Energy Positions of Conduction and Valence Bands of Selected Semiconducting Minerals. *Am. Mineral.* **2000**, *85* (3-4), 543-556.
- (13) Barreca, D.; Carraro, G.; Gombac, V.; Gasparotto, A.; Maccato, C.; Fornasiero, P.; Tondello, E. Supported Metal Oxide Nanosystems for Hydrogen Photogeneration: Quo Vadis? *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2011**, *21* (14), 2611-2623.
- (14) Li, G.; Dimitrijevic, N. M.; Chen, L.; Rajh, T.; Gray, K. A. Role of Surface/Interfacial Cu²⁺ Sites in the Photocatalytic Activity of Coupled CuO-TiO₂ Nanocomposites. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112* (48), 19040-19044.
- (15) Hou, H.; Shang, M.; Gao, F.; Wang, L.; Liu, Q.; Zheng, J.; Yang, Z.; Yang, W. Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution in Ternary Hybrid TiO₂/CuO/Cu thoroughly Mesoporous Nanofibers. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8* (31), 20128-20137.
- (16) Chen, W.-T.; Jovic, V.; Sun-Waterhouse, D.; Idriss, H.; Waterhouse, G. I. The Role of CuO in Promoting Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production over TiO₂. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2013**, *38* (35), 15036-15048.
- (17) Artioli, G. A.; Mancini, A.; Barbieri, V. R.; Quattrini, M. C.; Quartarone, E.; Mozzati, M. C.; Drera, G.; Sangaletti, L.; Gombac, V.; Fornasiero, P.; Malavasi, L. Correlation between Deposition Parameters and Hydrogen Production in CuO Nanostructured Thin Films. *Langmuir* **2016**, *32* (6), 1510-1520.
- (18) Lalitha, K.; Sadanandam, G.; Kumari, V. D.; Subrahmanyam, M.; Sreedhar, B.; Hebalkar, N. Y. Highly Stabilized and Finely Dispersed Cu₂O/TiO₂: A Promising Visible Sensitive Photocatalyst for Continuous Production of Hydrogen from Glycerol: Water Mixtures. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114* (50), 22181-22189.
- (19) Liu, Y. X.; Wang, Z. L.; Huang, W. X. Influences of TiO₂ Phase Structures on the Structures and Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production of CuO_x/TiO₂ Photocatalysts. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *389*, 760-767.

- 1
2
3 (20) Jung, M.; Scott, J.; Ng, Y. H.; Jiang, Y. J.; Amal, R. CuO_x Dispersion and Reducibility on TiO₂ and its Impact on
4 Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2014**, *39* (24), 12499-12506.
- 5 (21) Jung, M.; Hart, J. N.; Scott, J.; Ng, Y. H.; Jiang, Y.; Amal, R. Exploring Cu Oxidation State on TiO₂ and its
6 Transformation During Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Appl. Catal., A* **2016**, *521*, 190-201.
- 7 (22) Hu, Q. Q.; Huang, J. Q.; Li, G. J.; Chen, J.; Zhang, Z. J.; Deng, Z. H.; Jiang, Y. B.; Guo, W.; Cao, Y. G. Effective
8 Water Splitting using CuO_x/TiO₂ Composite Films: Role of Cu Species and Content in Hydrogen Generation.
9 *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *369*, 201-206.
- 10 (23) Tamiolakis, I.; Papadas, I. T.; Spyridopoulos, K. C.; Armatas, G. S. Mesoporous Assembled Structures of
11 Cu₂O and TiO₂ Nanoparticles for Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Hydrogen Generation from Water. *RSC Adv.*
12 **2016**, *6* (60), 54848-54855.
- 13 (24) Xi, Z. H.; Li, C. J.; Zhang, L.; Xing, M. Y.; Zhang, J. L. Synergistic Effect of Cu₂O/TiO₂ Heterostructure
14 Nanoparticle and its High H₂ Evolution Activity. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2014**, *39* (12), 6345-6353.
- 15 (25) DeSario, P. A.; Pietron, J. J.; Brintlinger, T. H.; McEntee, M.; Parker, J. F.; Baturina, O.; Stroud, R. M.;
16 Rolison, D. R. Oxidation-Stable Plasmonic Copper Nanoparticles in Photocatalytic TiO₂ Nanoarchitectures.
17 *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9* (32), 11720-11729.
- 18 (26) Ni, D.; Shen, H.; Li, H.; Ma, Y.; Zhai, T. Synthesis of High Efficient Cu/TiO₂ Photocatalysts by Grinding and
19 their Size-Dependent Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *409* (Supplement C), 241-249.
- 20 (27) Montini, T.; Gombac, V.; Sordelli, L.; Delgado, J. J.; Chen, X. W.; Adami, G.; Fornasiero, P. Nanostructured
21 Cu/TiO₂ Photocatalysts for H₂ Production from Ethanol and Glycerol Aqueous Solutions. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*
22 (3), 574-577.
- 23 (28) Lv, X. J.; Zhou, S. X.; Zhang, C.; Chang, H. X.; Chen, Y.; Fu, W. F. Synergetic Effect of Cu and Graphene as
24 Cocatalyst on TiO₂ for Enhanced Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution from Solar Water Splitting. *J. Mater. Chem.*
25 **2012**, *22* (35), 18542-18549.
- 26 (29) Tian, H.; Kang, S.-Z.; Li, X.; Qin, L.; Ji, M.; Mu, J. Fabrication of an Efficient Noble Metal-Free TiO₂-Based
27 Photocatalytic System Using Cu-Ni Bimetallic Deposit as an Active Center of H₂ Evolution from Water. *Sol.*
28 *Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2015**, *134*, 309-317.
- 29 (30) Nie, J.; Patrocínio, A. O.; Hamid, S.; Sieland, F.; Sann, J.; Xia, S.; Bahnemann, D. B. W. D.; Schneider, J. New
30 Insights into the Plasmonic Enhancement for Photocatalytic H₂ Production by Cu-TiO₂ upon Visible Light
31 Illumination. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20* 5264-5273.
- 32 (31) Panarelli, E. G.; Livraghi, S.; Maurelli, S.; Polliotto, V.; Chiesa, M.; Giamello, E. Role of Surface Water
33 Molecules in Stabilizing Trapped Hole Centres in Titanium Dioxide (Anatase) as Monitored by Electron
34 Paramagnetic Resonance. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **2016**, *322-323*, 27-34.
- 35 (32) Polliotto, V.; Albanese, E.; Livraghi, S.; Indyka, P.; Sojka, Z.; Pacchioni, G.; Giamello, E. Fifty-Fifty Zr-Ti Solid
36 Solution with a TiO₂-Type Structure: Electronic Structure and Photochemical Properties of Zirconium Titanate
37 ZrTiO₄. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121*, (10), 5487-5497.
- 38 (33) Dozzi, M. V.; Chiarello, G. L.; Pedroni, M.; Livraghi, S.; Giamello, E.; Selli, E. High Photocatalytic Hydrogen
39 Production on Cu(II) Pre-Grafted Pt/TiO₂. *Appl. Catal., B* **2017**, *209*, 417-428.
- 40 (34) Banerjee, S.; Chakravorty, D. Optical Absorption by Nanoparticles of Cu₂O. *Europhys. Lett.* **2000**, *52* (4),
41 468-473.
- 42 (35) Bi, F.; Ehsan, M. F.; Liu, W.; He, T. Visible-Light Photocatalytic Conversion of Carbon Dioxide into Methane
43 Using Cu₂O/TiO₂ Hollow Nanospheres. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2015**, *33* (1), 112-118.
- 44 (36) Córdoba, G.; Viniegra, M.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Padilla, J.; Arroyo, R. TPR, ESR, and XPS Study of Cu²⁺ Ions in Sol-
45 Gel-Derived TiO₂. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1998**, *138* (1), 1-6.
- 46 (37) Barreca, D.; Fornasiero, P.; Gasparotto, A.; Gombac, V.; Maccato, C.; Montini, T.; Tondello, E. The Potential
47 of Supported Cu₂O and CuO Nanosystems in Photocatalytic H₂ Production. *ChemSusChem* **2009**, *2* (3), 230-233.
- 48 (38) Galińska, A.; Walendziewski, J. Photocatalytic Water Splitting over Pt-TiO₂ in the Presence of Sacrificial
49 Reagents. *Energy Fuels* **2005**, *19* (3), 1143-1147.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (39) El-Roz, M.; Bazin, P.; Daturi, M.; Thibault-Starzyk, F. On the Mechanism of Methanol Photooxidation to
4 Methylformate and Carbon Dioxide on TiO₂: an Operando-FTIR Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17* (17),
5 11277-11283.
- 6 (40) Priebe, J. B.; Radnik, J.; Kreyenschulte, C.; Lennox, A. J.; Junge, H.; Beller, M.; Brückner, A. H₂ Generation
7 with (Mixed) Plasmonic Cu/Au-TiO₂ Photocatalysts: Structure–Reactivity Relationships Assessed by in Situ
8 Spectroscopy. *ChemCatChem* **2017**, *9* (6), 1025-1031.
- 9 (41) Gionco, C.; Livraghi, S.; Maurelli, S.; Giamello, E.; Tosoni, S.; Di Valentin, C.; Pacchioni, G. Al- and Ga-Doped
10 TiO₂, ZrO₂, and HfO₂: The Nature of O 2p Trapped Holes from a Combined Electron Paramagnetic Resonance
11 (EPR) and Density Functional Theory (DFT) Study. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27* (11), 3936-3945.
- 12 (42) Livraghi, S.; Rolando, M.; Maurelli, S.; Chiesa, M.; Paganini, M. C.; Giamello, E. Nature of Reduced States in
13 Titanium Dioxide as Monitored by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance. II: Rutile and Brookite Cases. *J. Phys.*
14 *Chem. C* **2014**, *118* (38), 22141-22148.
- 15 (43) Biedrzycki, J.; Livraghi, S.; Giamello, E.; Agnoli, S.; Granozzi, G. Fluorine- and Niobium-Doped TiO₂: Chemical
16 and Spectroscopic Properties of Polycrystalline n-Type-Doped Anatase. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118* (16), 8462-
17 8473.
- 18 (44) Parveen, F.; Sannakki, B.; Mandke, M. V.; Pathan, H. M. Copper Nanoparticles: Synthesis Methods and its
19 Light Harvesting Performance. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2016**, *144*, 371-382.
- 20 (45) Singh, M.; Sinha, I.; Premkumar, M.; Singh, A. K.; Mandal, R. K. Structural and Surface Plasmon Behavior of
21 Cu Nanoparticles Using Different Stabilizers. *Colloids Surf., A* **2010**, *359* (1), 88-94.
- 22 (46) Soroka, I. L.; Shchukarev, A.; Jonsson, M.; Tarakina, N. V.; Korzhavyi, P. A. Cuprous Hydroxide in a Solid
23 Form: Does It Exist? *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42* (26), 9585-9594.
- 24 (47) Huang, Q.; Kang, F.; Liu, H.; Li, Q.; Xiao, X. D. Highly Aligned Cu₂O/CuO/TiO₂ Core/Shell Nanowire Arrays as
25 Photocathodes for Water Photoelectrolysis. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1* (7), 2418-2425.
- 26 (48) Graetzel, M.; Frank, A. J. Interfacial Electron-Transfer Reactions in Colloidal Semiconductor Dispersions.
27 Kinetic Analysis. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1982**, *86* (15), 2964-2967.
- 28 (49) Gombac, V.; Sordelli, L.; Montini, T.; Delgado, J. J.; Adamski, A.; Adami, G.; Cargnello, M.; Bernal, S.;
29 Fornasiero, P. CuO_x-TiO₂ Photocatalysts for H₂ Production from Ethanol and Glycerol Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem.*
30 *A* **2009**, *114* (11), 3916-3925.
- 31 (50) Zhang, Z.; Dua, R.; Zhang, L.; Zhu, H.; Zhang, H.; Wang, P. Carbon-Layer-Protected Cuprous Oxide Nanowire
32 Arrays for Efficient Water Reduction. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7* (2), 1709-1717.
- 33 (51) Norskov, J. K.; Bligaard, T.; Logadottir, A.; Kitchin, J. R.; Chen, J. G.; Pandelov, S.; Norskov, J. K. Trends in the
34 Exchange Current for Hydrogen Evolution. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2005**, *152* (3), J23-J26.
- 35 (52) Alia, S. M.; Pivovar, B. S.; Yan, Y. S. Platinum-Coated Copper Nanowires with High Activity for Hydrogen
36 Oxidation Reaction in Base. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135* (36), 13473-13478.
- 37 (53) Yang, R. Q.; Yu, X. C.; Zhang, Y.; Li, W. Z.; Tsubaki, N. A New Method of Low-Temperature Methanol
38 Synthesis on Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ Catalysts from CO/CO₂/H₂. *Fuel* **2008**, *87* (4-5), 443-450.
- 39 (54) Meng, F. Z.; Zhang, Q. D.; Yang, G. H.; Yang, R. Q.; Yoneyama, Y.; Tsubaki, N. Structural and Kinetical
40 Studies on the Supercritical CO₂ dried Cu/ZnO Catalyst for Low-Temperature Methanol Synthesis. *Chem. Eng. J.*
41 **2016**, *295*, 160-166.
- 42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TOC

