PROOF COVER SHEET

Journal acronym	: IERH
Author(s):	Elisabetta Degasperi, Flavio Caprioli, Omar El Sherif, David Back, Massimo Colombo and Alessio Aghemo
Article title:	Challenges in treating patients with inflammatory bowel disease and concurrent viral hepatitis infection
Article no:	1246181
Enclosures:	1) Query sheet 2) Article proofs

Dear Author,

1. Please check these proofs carefully. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check these and approve or amend them. A second proof is not normally provided. Taylor & Francis cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the production process. Once your corrections have been added to the article, it will be considered ready for publication.

Please limit changes at this stage to the correction of errors. You should not make trivial changes, improve prose style, add new material, or delete existing material at this stage. You may be charged if your corrections are excessive (we would not expect corrections to exceed 30 changes).

For detailed guidance on how to check your proofs, please paste this address into a new browser window: http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/checkingproofs.asp

Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can comment on the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat. If you wish to do this, please save the file to your hard disk first. For further information on marking corrections using Acrobat, please paste this address into a new browser window: http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/acrobat.asp

2. Please review the table of contributors below and confirm that the first and last names are structured correctly and that the authors are listed in the correct order of contribution. This check is to ensure that your name will appear correctly online and when the article is indexed.

Sequence	Prefix	Given name(s)	Surname	Suffix
1		Elisabetta	Degasperi	
2		Flavio	Caprioli	
3	$\langle \bigcirc \rangle >$	Omar	El Sherif	
4		David	Back	
5		Massimo	Colombo	
6		Alessio	Aghemo	

Queries are marked in the margins of the proofs, and you can also click the hyperlinks below.

Content changes made during copy-editing are shown as tracked changes. Inserted text is in red font and revisions have a red indicator \checkmark . Changes can also be viewed using the list comments function. To correct the proofs, you should insert or delete text following the instructions below, but **do not add comments to the existing tracked changes.**

AUTHOR QUERIES

General points:

- 1. **Permissions:** You have warranted that you have secured the necessary written permission from the appropriate copyright owner for the reproduction of any text, illustration, or other material in your article. Please see http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/permissions/usingThirdPartyMaterial.asp.
- 2. **Third-party content:** If there is third-party content in your article, please check that the rightsholder details for re-use are shown correctly.
- 3. **Affiliation:** The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that address and email details are correct for all the co-authors. Affiliations given in the article should be the affiliation at the time the research was conducted. Please see http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/writing.asp.
- 4. **Funding:** Was your research for this article funded by a funding agency? If so, please insert 'This work was supported by <insert the name of the funding agency in full>', followed by the grant number in square brackets '[grant number xxxx]'.
- 5. Supplemental data and underlying research materials: Do you wish to include the location of the underlying research materials (e.g. data, samples or models) for your article? If so, please insert this sentence before the reference section: 'The underlying research materials for this article can be accessed at <full link> / description of location [author to complete]'. If your article includes supplemental data, the link will also be provided in this paragraph. See <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/multimedia.asp> for further explanation of supplemental data and underlying research materials.
- 6. The **CrossRef database** (www.**crossref**.org/) has been used to validate the references. Changes resulting from mismatches are tracked in red font.
- AQ1 Please check whether the inserted city names for affiliations "e" and "f" are correct.
- AQ2 Please check the sentence "Similar incidence rates have been reported..." for clarity.
- AQ3 Please check the sentence "Reinforcing the concept that ..." for clarity.
- AQ4 Please check whether the edits made in the sentence "Importantly, adequate serological response ..." convey the intended meaning.
- AQ5 Please check whether the edits made in the sentence "Nonetheless, an accelerated schedule ..." convey the intended meaning.
- AQ6 Please check whether the edits made in the sentence "In patients with negative ..." convey the intended meaning.
- AQ7 The PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) and CrossRef (www.crossref.org/) databases have been used to validate the references. Mismatches between the original manuscript and PubMed or CrossRef are tracked in red font. Please provide a revision if the change is incorrect. Do not comment on correct changes.
- AQ8 Please provide missing volume number and page number for Ref. [67].
- AQ9 Please provide missing year of publication for Ref. [80].
- AQ10 Please provide missing year of publication for Ref. [81].
- AQ11 Please provide missing publisher location and publisher name for Ref. [83].
- AQ12 Please provide missing publisher location for Ref. [90].

How to make corrections to your proofs using Adobe Acrobat/Reader

Taylor & Francis offers you a choice of options to help you make corrections to your proofs. Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can mark up the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat/Reader. This is the simplest and best way for you to ensure that your corrections will be incorporated. If you wish to do this, please follow these instructions:

1. Save the file to your hard disk.

2. Check which version of Adobe Acrobat/Reader you have on your computer. You can do this by clicking on the "Help" tab, and then "About".

If Adobe Reader is not installed, you can get the latest version free from http://get.adobe.com/reader/.

3. If you have Adobe Acrobat/Reader 10 or a later version, click on the "Comment" link at the right-hand side to view the Comments pane.

4. You can then select any text and mark it up for deletion or replacement, or insert new text as needed. Please note that these will clearly be displayed in the Comments pane and secondary annotation is not needed to draw attention to your corrections. If you need to include new sections of text, it is also possible to add a comment to the proofs. To do this, use the Sticky Note tool in the task bar. Please also see our FAQs here: http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/index.asp.

5. Make sure that you save the file when you close the document before uploading it to CATS using the "Upload File" button on the online correction form. If you have more than one file, please zip them together and then upload the zip file.

If you prefer, you can make your corrections using the CATS online correction form.

Troubleshooting

Acrobat help: http://helpx.adobe.com/acrobat.html Reader help: http://helpx.adobe.com/reader.html

Please note that full user guides for earlier versions of these programs are available from the Adobe Help pages by clicking on the link "Previous versions" under the "Help and tutorials" heading from the relevant link above. Commenting functionality is available from Adobe Reader 8.0 onwards and from Adobe Acrobat 7.0 onwards.

Firefox users: Firefox's inbuilt PDF Viewer is set to the default; please see the following for instructions on how to use this and download the PDF to your hard drive:

http://support.mozilla.org/en-US/kb/view-pdf-files-firefox-without-downloading-them#w_using-a-pdf-reader-plugin

EXPERT REVIEW OF GASTROENTEROLOGY & HEPATOLOGY, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2016.1246181



REVIEW

Challenges in treating patients with inflammatory bowel disease and concurrent viral hepatitis infection

Elisabetta Degasperia, Flavio Caprioli^{b,c}, Omar El Sherif^{d,e}, David Back^f, Massimo Colomboa and Alessio Aghemoa

^aA.M. and A. Migliavacca Center for Liver Disease, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Fondazione IRCCS CA' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy; ^bDepartment of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy; ^cGastroenterology and Endoscopy Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy; ^dGastroenterology Specialist Registrar, St. James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; ^eResearch Fellow, School of Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland; ^fDepartment of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

10 ABSTRACT

15

20

Introduction: Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) require long-term administration of immunomodulatory treatments to maintain disease remission. Due to the high worldwide prevalence of hepatitis B (HBV) or C (HCV) virus infections, presence of concurrent hepatitis can be a relevant clinical issue to manage when treating IBD.

Areas covered: The paper summarizes epidemiological data about IBD and HBV/HCV infection and reviews current knowledge about the natural history of HBV and HCV in the IBD setting, concentrating on risk of hepatitis reactivation during immunosuppressive treatment. Most updated recommendations for management of HBV and HCV infections in IBD patients are discussed.

Expert commentary: The development of new drugs for IBD with different molecular targets and the availability of potent and efficacious antiviral drugs for HBV and HCV will simplify management of hepatitis infection in IBD patients in the near future.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 7 August 2016 Accepted 6 October 2016 Published online xx xxx xxxx

KEYWORDS

Anti-TNF; HBV; HCV; immunosuppressive treatment; inflammatory bowel diseases; viral hepatitis

50

1. Introduction

The management of patients with chronic viral hepatitis or inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) has been revolutionized in the last years by the introduction of highly effective treatments. The availability of potent antivirals against hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV), as well as the introduction of anti-TNF biological drugs, has improved patients' survival and guality of life. Although viral hepatitis and IBD do

not share any common pathological pathway and thus are usually not clinically associated, it is not uncommon to find patients who are both chronically infected with HBV or HCV and have IBD, given the relatively high prevalence of these

35 diseases. As shown by several studies across Europe, the prevalence of patients with coexisting viral hepatitis and IBD usually follows the epidemiology of viral hepatitis in the single country [1,2]. From a clinical standpoint, the management of these patients is challenging due to many reasons: drugs used

- 40 in the treatment of IBD may result in liver toxicity, thus leading to worsening of the coexisting liver disease; immunosuppressive regimens used to treat IBD may lead to viral reactivation which can progress to liver failure in selected cases; moreover, drug-drug interactions (DDIs) between therapies administered
- 45 for viral hepatitis or IBD may lead to reduced response rates or unexpected adverse events. In this review, we will analyze the most recent literature on these topics to determine the optimal management of viral hepatitis in patients with IBD in Europe.

2. Epidemiology of HBV and HCV

Prevalence of chronic Hepatitis B in Europe ranges from 0.4–0.5% reported in Northern Europe and countries such as Spain to 3.4% and 5.6% reported in Greece and Romania, respectively, to more than 8% in the strict European neighborhood like Turkey [3,4]. 55 Eastern countries, including Eastern Europe, Russia, and Eastern Asia represent areas with intermediate-high HBV endemicity, with HBV prevalence ranging from 2% to more than 8% in Southeast Asian countries. Although new incident cases are steadily declining due to the implementation of a vaccination policy for HBV in most European countries, the increase in migra-60 tion from areas of high endemicity that Europe is witnessing might significantly alter the epidemiology profile of HBV in Europe in the next decade. The impact of migration can already be witnessed in countries with a historically high prevalence, such as Italy, where two different populations of chronically 65 HBV-infected patients exist. The first consists mostly of men in their 50-60s that were infected locally decades ago, and usually present with mild moderate liver disease. The second group is mostly constituted by migrants who are of younger age, are often coinfected with HDV or HIV and have features of advanced 70 disease [5]. This second group of HBV patients is often undiagnosed, or not linked to specialist care.

Chronic infection with HCV is found in 0.1–3.2% of the European population, once again with significant heterogeneity between European countries. There is a north–south 75 gradient with an estimated prevalence in Northern Europe of

CONTACT Alessio Aghemo 🐼 alessio.aghemo@policlinico.mi.it 💽 Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano Via F. Sforza 35, 20122 Milan, Italy around 0.3% increasing to 1-2% in Italy and Greece. Chronic HCV is also highly prevalent in Eastern Europe (Romania reporting 3.2% prevalence) and in the Southern Italian regions,

- 80 where HCV prevalence is more than 6-8% [3,6-8]. Most HCV cases in Europe can be traced to two global pandemias: the first one occurred from 1940 to 1970 and is related to iatrogenic transmission of the virus through contaminated blood products, while the second one followed the spreading of
- 85 intravenous-drug use in the 1970s and 1980s [9]. The local epidemiology of HCV in one country can thus be estimated by understanding which pandemia influenced the spread of HCV locally. In Southern Europe, where most HCV cases were infected due to iatrogenic spread, patients are older and the
- 90 burden of HCV has already peaked and is now declining due to death for liver or not liver-related causes of the original cohort of patients. In Northern Europe on the other hand where nearly 75% of HCV cases can be found in people who inject drugs (PWID), patients are younger and the burden of
- 95 the disease is still increasing and is expected to peak in the next 10-15 years [10]. Given that HBV, HCV, and IBD do not share common disease pathways, and since the risk of acquiring viral hepatitis through iatrogenic procedures is now extremely low, there is no specific reason to suspect that patients 100 with IBD should more often be chronically infected with HBV or HCV. Supporting this theory are data gathered throughout
- Europe that demonstrate that the prevalence of chronic HBV or HCV infection in IBD patients mimics what reported in the general population of the specific country [1,2,11–15]. Studies 105 concerning HBV and HCV prevalence in IBD patients are sum-
- marized in Table 1.

110

Many studies have underlined the low prevalence of anti-HBV vaccination in IBD patients, ranging from 12% reported by a Spanish multicenter study to 23% in a single-center Italian experience [1,14]. This results both from little awareness

- and implementation of immunization policies by physicians and also from reduced efficacy of anti-HBV vaccination in immunocompromised patients (see paragraph 4). However, the development of nationwide HBV vaccination programs in 115 many European countries is expected to raise prevalence of
- HBV vaccination in the general population in the near future, starting from younger generations.

2.1. Epidemiology of IBD

In close analogy with other immune-mediated diseases, IBD 120 incidence is characterized by a north-south and a urban-rural

gradient [16]; additionally, most epidemiological studies have reported a higher prevalence of IBD in Western with respect to Eastern countries. Even if the reasons underlying these geographical trends have not been elucidated, differences in diet, sun exposure, and intestinal microbiome have been implicated [17]. Notably, of the 5 million people worldwide affected by IBD, it is estimated that 1.5 million reside in the United States and nearly B million in Europe [18], where a west-east gradient has been reported. However, these data should be interpreted with caution as they are limited by the paucity of 130 high-guality population-based studies available in Eastern and developing countries. Recent studies estimate an annual ulcerative colitis (UC) incidence ranging from 0 to 19.2 per 100,000 and from 0.6 to 24.3 per 100,000/year in North America and Europe, accounting for a prevalence of 37.5-248.6 per 100,000 and 4.9-505 per 100,000, respectively [19]. Similar incidence rates have been reported for Crohn's disease (CD) (0-20.2 per 100,000/year in North America and 0.3-12.7 per 100,000 in Europe). On the contrary, the recent Asia-Pacific Crohn's and Colitis Epidemiology Study (ACCES), including 140 China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, Macau, Malaysia, Singapore, and Thailand, reported an average incidence of IBD in Asia of 1.4 per 100,000 individuals, significantly lower than the incidence in the nearby Australia (24 per 100,000) [20]. A more in-depth analysis of disease subtypes led to the 145 demonstration that UC is more prevalent than CD in Europe and in Asia, while the opposite is true for Australia; in the United States, CD and UC are equally distributed [19]. Only scant data are available regarding IBD diffusion in other countries: in Brazil, an incidence of 4.5 and 3.5 per 100,000 indivi-150 duals has been reported for UC and CD, respectively [21]; in the Punjab state of Northern India, an incidence of 6 per 100,000 has been reported for UC [22].

As IBD prevalence is due to rise in the next years due to compounding prevalence (i.e. an exponential rise in preva-155 lence due to cumulative addition of incident cases in a chronic disease that has a young age of onset and low mortality), several studies suggest that IBD incidence also is on the rise in both Western and Eastern countries. No clear explanations 160 have been provided for the rising incidence of IBD worldwide, even if it has been hypothesized that it may result from a combination of advances in healthcare infrastructure (e.g. easier and guicker access to endoscopy) and environmental factors. The latter explanation is further corroborated by the significantly increased IBD incidence in migrants with respect 165 to that observed in their countries of origin [23].

Study	Year	IBD type	Patients	HBsAg %	Anti-HBc %	Anti-HCV %
Biancone et al. [11] Multicentre, Italy	2001	CD/UC	332/162	2.1/0.64	11/11.5	7.4/0.6
Esteve et al. [12] Multicentre, Spain	2004	CD	80	3.7	7.5	1.2
Tolentino et al. [13] Single-center, Brazil	2008	CD + UC	176	2.3	17	-
Loras et al. [14] REPENTINA-1 Spain	2009	CD/UC	1128/928	0.6/0.8	7.1/8	2.3/1.3
Chevaux et al. [15] Single-center, France	2010	CD/UC	252/63	0.79/1.59	2.8/1.6	0.79/1.59
Veightened prevalence			3121	1%	8.1%	3.3%

125



The natural history of HBV or HCV infection in the setting of

170 IBD is poorly understood and studied, due to the scarcity of prospective data and presence of many confounding factors: indeed, exposure to multiple and sequential immunosuppressive treatments for IBD management could theoretically determine a more rapid progression of HBV or HCV-related liver

175 disease. On the other hand, presence of a immune-mediated disease like IBD was considered a relative contraindication to Interferon (IFN)-based treatment of HBV or HCV in the past, due to the increased risk of IBD exacerbation, so that IBD patients were less likely to receive antiviral treatment for 180 HCV in the IFN-based era, thus providing a potential bias in determining a worse outcome of IBD-HCV patients with respect to the HCV general population. In the HBV setting, administration of nucleoside or nucleotide analogs (NUCs), to treat HBV-related chronic hepatitis or prevent HBV reactivation 185 during immunosuppressive therapy, impairs the possibility to

evaluate the natural history of HBV infection in IBD patients. The largest patient series providing information about long-

term outcome of liver disease in IBD patients comes from a Spanish multicenter study (REPENTINA study), including 25 190 HBsAg-positive and 74 HCV-infected patients with known diagnosis of IBD and available data during a follow-up of more than 20 years: 4 out of 25 HBsAg-positive patients (16%) developed liver cirrhosis after a mean of 13.5 ± 4.2 years from the diagnosis of HBV infection, while the corresponding figures for HCV were 8/

195 74 (10.8%) after a median of 16.7 \pm 4.8 years. No association was reported between cirrhosis development and prolonged or combined immunosuppressive treatment [24], suggesting that progression of liver disease in IBD patients seems to be similar to what reported in the general HBV or HCV population and that 200 administration of immunosuppressants does not result in accelerated liver disease progression as reported instead for immunosuppressive therapy in the organ transplantation setting.

It is now well known that immunosuppressive treatment confers an increased risk of viral reactivation in HBV infection: this is due to the fact that humoral and, especially, cellular immune responses are crucial for the control of HBV replication [25]. Indeed, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and IFN- γ , targeted by immunosuppressants and anti-TNF drugs are key cytokines involved in HBV clearance from infected hepato-

210 cytes, through elimination of cytosolic HBV replicative intermediates and nuclear covalently close circular DNA (cccDNA). Enhanced viral replication during the administration of immunosuppressive regimens results in hepatitis reactivation after the discontinuation of immunosuppressive drugs when

215 immune reconstitution occurs and infected hepatocytes are targeted by the immune system. As a consequence, hepatitis reactivation typically occurs after immunosuppressive treatment is withdrawn [26].

220

205

Current evidence suggests that risk of HBV reactivation is proportionally linked to the level of immunosuppression achieved. 'Conventional' drugs such as corticosteroids and azathioprine (AZA) seem to provide milder immunosuppressive levels and, consequently, a low risk of HBV reactivation has been reported. However, while an Italian group did not observe any reactivation in four HBsAq-positive patients treated with AZA or 225 steroids across a 1-year follow-up period [11], some HBV reactivations during steroids and AZA treatment are described, resulting in fulminant hepatitis and hepatic failure in few cases [24,27,28]. In the REPENTINA trial, 9 out of 25 (36%) HBsAgpositive IBD patients receiving different immunosuppressive 230 regimens experienced HBV reactivation, defined as a 1.5-2-fold increase in aminotransferase values from baseline and HBV-DNA reappearance or increase >2000 IU/ml. Seven out of these nine cases occurred in patients treated with corticosteroids and/or AZA, most cases during combined administration of corticoster-235 oids + AZA, while only two patients experienced HBV reactivation during steroid monotherapy. Six patients were treated with the NUC Lamivudine to manage HBV reactivation however, in three patients, liver transplantation was required due to development of hepatic failure. Three patients did not receive antiviral 240 treatment and HBV reactivation was managed by tapering the immunosuppressive treatment. Combined immunosuppressive regimen was confirmed at the multivariate analysis as the strongest risk factor for HBV reactivation (OR 8.75, 95% CI 1.16-245 65.66) [24].

Reinforcing the concept that more pronounced immunosuppression is associated with higher risk of reactivation is the growing number of case reports of HBV reactivations during treatment with anti-TNF drugs, that are characterized by different mechanism of action with respect to standard immunosuppressants [12,29-34]. Taken together, these case reports account for **eight** HBV reactivations occurring during Infliximab (IFX)-based treatment: CD was the underlying IBD in all cases, and the vast majority of patients received combined treatment with almost another immunosuppressive 255 drug (corticosteroids and/or AZA). Timing for reactivation ranged from 1 IFX infusion to 24-month treatment course; severity of HBV reactivation varied from mild aminotransferase increase to fulminant hepatitis and two out of eight patients died. Six patients received anti-HBV therapy with Lamivudine 260 during viral reactivation, with four patients successfully recovering following antiviral therapy. In the REPENTINA study, IFX was the anti-TNF involved in three out the nine HBV reactivations reported, always in combination regimens with steroids and/or AZA: two patients were treated with Lamivudine or the second-generation NUC Adefovir, which were not able to prevent liver failure and death in one case. The only patient who did not received anti-HBV treatment recovered after discontinuation of immunosuppressants and surgical bowel resec-270 tion [24].

Another key factor differentiating the risk of HBV reactivation in the IBD setting from patients receiving immunosuppressants for oncologic diseases is the prolonged treatment duration; while chemotherapy is administered for short and repeated cycles, treatment of IBD patients is prolonged long 275 term, although the level of immunosuppression achieved is lower than classical chemotherapy. The importance of prolonged treatment duration has been confirmed by a retrospective Korean study, evaluating 134 HBsAg-positive IBD patients treated with different kinds of immunosuppressive treatments (corticosteroids, IFX, and AZA/6-mercaptopurine): liver dysfunction occurred in 23 patients (17.2%), prolonged

250

265

(>3 months) immunosuppression representing the strongest risk factor [35].

285 No cases of HBV reactivation with the other anti-TNF drug Adalimumab (ADA) in IBD patients have been described till now; however, HBV reactivations are reported in two patients receiving ADA as anti-TNF treatment for rheumatological diseases, resulting in severe hepatic failure and death in one case [36–38].

290 In HBsAg-negative anti-HBc-positive patients, that is, patients with previous HBV contact, risk of HBV reactivation due to immunosuppressive treatment seems negligible. Literature reports only four cases of HBV reactivation in anti-HBc-positive patients receiving anti-TNF treatment, efficiently managed 295 through anti-HBV treatment administration, except for one patient developing severe hepatic failure [38-41]. On the other hand, many case series in the rheumatological setting confirm absence of HBV reactivation in more than 200 HBsAgnegative patients receiving different anti-TNF drugs [42].

- 300 Concerning HCV-infected patients, administration of immunosuppressive treatment was historically thought to worsen the outcome of liver disease, this hypothesis being reinforced by the accelerated fibrosis progression in HCV patients receiving immunosuppressants for liver transplantation and by the observation 305 that steroid treatment could increase viral load [43,44]. However, these concepts have not been confirmed in the setting of IBD patients, where immunosuppression does not seem to significantly affect liver disease progression [24]. Conversely, modulation of TNF-α pathways has been claimed even beneficial in HCV
- 310 patients, as TNF-a is involved in liver inflammation and hepatocyte apoptosis, and upregulation of TNF pathways was thought to affect nonresponse to IFN-based treatments [45,46]. Indeed, a randomized controlled trial in HCV-infected patients, investigating the role of the anti-TNF Etanercept in combination with IFN

315 and Ribavirin for anti-HCV treatment, showed a significant reduction in aminotransferase values and a higher HCV-RNA decline in the Etanercept arm [47].

320

Few data exist about outcome of immunosuppressive therapy for IBD in HCV patients, however presence of HCV infection does not seem to represent a major concern in treatment of IBD patients: in the REPENTINA study, 8/51 (16%) HCV patients treated for IBD developed a 1.5–2-fold increase in aminotransferase values or a significant increase in HCV viral load, however these alterations

were not clinically relevant [24]. Similarly, Morisco and colleagues 325 reported mild elevation of transaminases and viral load in 1 out of 10 HCV patients treated for IBD [41] and two more reports about 4 CD patients treated with IFX showed no significant change in liver function tests and serum HCV-RNA during a maximum of 12 months treatment course [48,49]. Apart from IBD patients, 330 many reports concerning anti-TNF treatment for rheumatologic diseases show no significant safety concern in HCV patients in more than 153 patients [42,50]. Finally, methotrexate and AZA have also been shown safe in HCV-infected patients, both in treating rheumatologic diseases and in liver transplanted patients [51].

4. Treatment of viral hepatitis and hepatitis 335 reactivation

Current guidelines from the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) recommend testing for HBV and HCV markers before starting immunosuppressive treatment for IBD. Concerning HBV, complete serology is required to deter-340 mine the patient's virological status: in detail, assessment of HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc is recommended and, in HBsAgpositive patients, HBeAg, anti-HBe, and HBV-DNA quantification are required. These tests allow to define the HBV profile 345 (active carrier, inactive carrier, or anti-HBc positivity), since this status dictates the need for HBV treatment, prophylaxis, or simple monitoring. In HBV patients with IBD, administration of IFN is not recommended due to the potential risk of IBD exacerbations and thanks to the availability of safe NUCs, management of HBV infection in IBD patients is performed 350 only with these latter drugs [52].

Active carriers are defined as HBsAg-positive, HBeAg- or anti-HBeAg-positive patients, with persistently or fluctuating elevated aminotransferases and active viral replication (HBV-DNA > 2000 |U/m|: this condition, which is defined chronic 355 hepatitis, is associated with progressive liver disease and requires HBV treatment independently from administration of immunosuppressive therapy for IBD. Third-generation NUCs Entecavir or Tenofovir are the recommended drugs, due to the potent antiviral activity, resulting in rapid viral suppression, and high barrier 360 to resistance [53]. As shown by registration trials and real-life studies, these drugs achieve HBV-DNA negativity in more than 99% patients with none or negligible resistance rates long term [54,55] and, consequently, have optimal profile also to manage long-term immunosuppressive treatments required for IBD. 365 Safety and efficacy of NUCs for treating HBV infection in IBD patients have been confirmed in case series and study cohorts [55]. Treatment duration in active carriers is dictated by the need of treating HBV chronic hepatitis per se, independently from immunosuppressive therapy for IBD; at present, NUCs adminis-370 tration is intended life long, although some studies are concentrating on the possibility to stop NUCs administration after many years of efficient viral suppression or after achievement of HBsAg negativity.

375 Inactive carriers are defined as HBsAg-positive, anti-HBepositive patients with persistently normal aminotransferases and HBV-DNA < 2000 IU/ml: these patients have no evidence of progressive liver disease and do not require antiviral treatment in the HBV general population. On the contrary, as discussed above, risk of HBV reactivation in HBsAg-positive 380 IBD patients undergoing immunosuppressive therapy ranges from 20% to more than 30% and requires prophylaxis of HBV reactivation by NUCs administration. Risk of HBV reactivation depends on the type of immunosuppressive agent administered: anti-TNF drugs, integrin inhibitors, and a course of 385 prednisone \leq 10 mg/day for at least 4 weeks are considered at moderate risk, so that prophylaxis is mandatory [56]. There has been much debate about the possibility of using a less potent NUC like Lamivudine to prevent HBV reactivation in 390 inactive carriers, who typically display low levels of HBV replication and, consequently, could be managed by a less expensive drug than third-generation NUCs Entecavir and Tenofovir. However, the prolonged administration of immunosuppression for IBD advises against using a drug with low barrier to resistance as Lamivudine, as some cases of resistance to 395 Lamivudine prophylaxis are described [33], so that today

third-generation NUCs are the preferred drugs for prophylaxis in HBV inactive carriers [52]. Absence of correct prophylaxis strategies and use of weak drugs like Lamivudine could justify bad outcomes reported by studies concentrating on HBV reactivation in IBD patients in past years. HBV prophylaxis should be carried on for the entire duration of immunosuppressive treatment and prolonged after the discontinuation of immunosuppressants due to the fact that the risk of HBV reactivation is higher when immune reconstitution occurs [26,53,56,57]. There is currently much debate about the recommended duration of HBV prophylaxis after discontinuation of immunosuppressive therapy, as at least 6-12 months have been suggested, depending also on the type of immunosuppressive agent administered [26,56].

400

405

410

415

To simplify and summarize management of HBsAg-positive patients who need immunosuppressive treatment for concurrent IBD, administration of third-generation NUCs Entecavir or Tenofovir is recommended to treat HBV (active carriers) or as a prophylaxis for HBV reactivation (inactive carriers); the duration depends on HBV status, as active carriers need to be treated life long, whereas prophylaxis in inactive carriers can be discontinued

6-12 months after the cessation of immunosuppressive treatment.

- HBsAg-negative, anti-HBc-positive patients efficiently 420 resolved HBV infection and do not display serological viral replication. However, HBV ccDNA can be found in hepatocytes and a profound immunosuppression can result in restarting viral replication and HBsAg serum reappearance, the so-called seroreversion [26]. The highest risk of HBV seroreversion is found in onco-
- 425 hematologic setting, especially in case of potent CD20 B cell antagonists (Rituximab), so that HBV prophylaxis is required. In IBD patients, as well as in rheumatologic diseases, the level of immunosuppression is lower and only isolated cases of seroreversion are described, so that guidelines recommend only peri-
- 430 odical (every 3–4 months) monitoring of HBsAg and HBV-DNA, to early detect potential seroreversion and start HBV treatment promptly. The suggested NUC agents to treat seroreversion are again third-generation NUCs Entecavir and Tenofovir, due to the potent antiviral activity and fast virological suppression. This 435 strategy allows to quickly control HBV replication and should be preferred to Lamivudine administration [26,53,55].

Anti-HBV vaccination is recommended in all IBD patients with negative HBV serology: in patients receiving concurrent treatment with immunosuppressants, the standard schedule for HBV vaccination has been shown ineffective in conferring 440 adequate seroprotection [58]. Importantly, adequate serological response to anti-HBs vaccination has been recently reported in healthy subjects exposed to the $\alpha 4\beta 7_1$ integrin inhibitor vedolizumab, as to suggest that the gut selectivity of this monoclonal antibody may be associated with reduced 445 immunosuppressive effects following exposure to parenteral vaccines [59]. Nonetheless, an accelerated schedule with double-dose recombinant HBsAg (40 mcg at 0-12 months) has been advocated as the best vaccination strategy for IBD patients: in the REPENTINA-3 multicenter study, administration 450 of accelerated double-dose rHBsAg provided seroprotection (anti-HBs title 10-100 mIU/mL) in 110/254 (43%) IBD patients and effective vaccination (anti-HBs > 100 mIU/mL) in 67/254 (27%) [60]. Another study in 241 IBD patients showed higher efficacy rates, respectively, 59% for seroprotection and 42% for 455 vaccination [61]. Serological response to vaccination should be checked after 1 or 2 months, and revaccination is recommended in patients failing to achieve adequate response after a first vaccine course. As seroprotection loss can occur long term, regular monitoring of anti-HBs titles should be 460 performed yearly or every 2 years, and a unique booster dose is recommended to restore anti-HBs title > 100 mIU/ mL, especially in patients undergoing anti-TNF treatment [52].

Given the relative safety of immunosuppressive drugs for /BD in HCV-infected patients, current recommendations do not 465 contraindicate immunomodulators in HCV patients, although the decision should be based on the severity of both IBD and liver disease. Regular monitoring of liver function tests and aminotransferases every 3 months is suggested; no safety data exist about treatment of patients suffering from liver dysfunc-470 tion with immunomodulators and especially anti-TNF drugs, so that they are currently not recommended in decompensated cirrhosis [52].

Current guidelines on management of HBV or HCV-infected IBD patients receiving immunosuppressive treatment are sum-475 marized in Table 2.

~	S



All patients should be screened for HBV infection: HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc
In HBsAg+ patients → HBeAg, anti-HBe and HBV-DNA assessment
In patients with negative HBV markers $ ightarrow$ anti-HBV vaccination (rHBsAg 40 mcg 0–12 months)
Check serological response by anti-HBs dosing 1 or 2 months after vaccination
Monitor anti-HBs title every 2 years
Anti-HBV therapy with third-generation NUCs (Etecavir or Tenofovir)
Duration dictated by liver disease treatment
Prophylaxis with third-generation NUCs (Entecavir or Tenofovir)
To be administered until at least 6–12 months after discontinuation of immunosuppressive treatment
Monitor HBsAg and HBV-DNA every 3–4 months
Start NUC treatment if evidence of seroreversion
All patients should be screened for anti-HCV; in anti-HCV+ \rightarrow HCV-RNA assessment
Administration of immunomodulators should be decided basing on liver disease severity
Anti-TNF drugs contraindicated in decompensated cirrhosis
Monitor liver tests every 3 months (ALT, ALP, GGT, bilirubin, albumin, platelets

Table 2. Recommendations for management of HBV or HCV-infected IBD patients receiving immunosuppressive treatment.

480

In HCV patients, concurrent IBD represented in the past a relative contraindication to IFN-based treatment due to the risk of IBD exacerbation. This was true especially for CD, where administration of IFN was associated with CD onset in previously asymptomatic patients [62,63] or exacerbations of known CD, although an Austrian study on 11 CD patients

receiving PegIFN and Ribavirin treatment demonstrated that,

- in 6 patients exhibiting CD reactivation, the disease could be 485 efficiently managed by optimizing immunosuppressive treatment with steroids [64]. In addition, antiviral treatment in CD patients showed comparable efficacy with respect to the general HCV population. On the other hand, IFN had been initially claimed as a potential adjuvant treatment to induce UC remis-
- 490 sion, however a randomized-controlled trial in 60 UC patients failed to confirm this hypothesis, although it demonstrated that PegIFN administration in UC patients did not translate in increased adverse events [65]. A review of existing literature concluded that IFN-based treatment could be feasible in 495 patients with stable IBD remission [66].

This notwithstanding, the recent development of directacting antiviral drugs (DAAs) to cure HCV infection paved the way to safely achieve viral eradication in IBD patients. Indeed, the elimination of IFN from the recommended standard of 500 care treatment has allowed to extend eligibility to antiviral therapy also in patients suffering from immune-mediated diseases like IBD [67]. While efficacy of DAAs in registration trials and real-life studies exceeds 95% across nearly all genotypes in the HCV general population, more data are currently 505 needed in special populations like IBD patients, although there is no theoretical reason to suspect a reduced DAAs efficacy in this patient population. Concerning safety, the only topic to address are the potential DDIs between DAAs and immunosuppressive drugs, especially anti-TNF.

5. Drug-drug interactions 510

515

520

52.5

A pharmacokinetic drug interaction occurs when one drug (perpetrator) alters the concentrations of a second drug (victim). Pharmacokinetic interactions at the level of drug metabolism represent an important site for DDIs. Cytochrome P450 isoenzymes are responsible for the metabolism of many drugs. In particular, CYP3A is responsible for the oxidative metabolism of more than 40% of the drugs in clinical use [68]. Many DDIs are attributable to CYP450 enzyme inhibition or induction. There are limited pharmacokinetic DDL studies between HCV DAA and drugs used in the treatment of IBD. An understanding of drug disposition for drugs used in the treatment of IBD can guide in predicting potential DDIs in the absence of pharmacokinetic interaction studies. Steroids are frequently used systemically or topically in inducing remission in IBD. Systemic absorption of steroids may occur with topical rectal suppositories or foam enemas, but is rarely complete.

- Prednisolone, the active metabolite of prednisone is formed in vivo by 11β-hydroxydehydrogenase after oral administration. Prednisolone is subsequently metabolized through a
- 530 CYP3A4-mediated pathway [69]. Drugs that inhibit CYP3A will result in increased systemic exposure of prednisolone, with an increased risk of steroid-related side effects. Prednisone is also a substrate of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) [70,71].

Azathioprine is the prodrug of 6-mercaptopurine, which is further metabolized to natural purines by inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH) [72]. Methotrexate is a substrate of the OATP1B1 and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) drug transporters, and is predominantly eliminated unchanged by the kidneys with minimal metabolism [73,74]. Inhibitors of the OATP1B1 or BCRP drug transporters may 540 therefore increase methotrexate concentrations with potential toxicity.

5.1. Ledipasvir-sofosbuvir

Ledipasvir (90 mg) and sofosbuvir (400 mg) are co-formulated 545 in a fixed dose combination that is administered once daily. Neither sofosbuvir nor ledipasvir are metabolized by CYP450 enzymes. Ledipasvir is primarily excreted in bile as unchanged part drug. Sofosbuvir is a P-gp substrate, and ledipasvir is a weak inhibitor of P-gp and BCRP [75]. Coadministration of ledipasvir-sofosbuvir has not been studied with prednisone, 550 azathioprine, or methotrexate. However, a clinically significant interaction with prednisolone azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine, or methotrexate is unlikely based on their metabolic pathways.

5.2. Simeprevir-sofosbuvir

Simeprevir (an NS4/4A protease inhibitor) is metabolized by CYP3A and is a mild inhibitor of intestinal CYP3A and CYP1A2 [76]. No interaction is expected between simeprevir and azathioprine methotrexate. (6-mercaptopurine) or Coadministration of simeprevir with prednisone may result in 560 increased systemic exposure of prednisolone due to inhibition of intestinal CYP3A4 be simeprevir. While no dose adjustment of prednisone is required, monitoring for steroid side effects is recommended.

5.3. Daclatasvir-sofosbuvir

Daclatsavir (an NS5A inhibitor) is a substrate of CYP3A4 and P-gp, and an inhibitor of OATP1B1, P-gp and BCRP [77]. While coadministration has not been studied, no clinically significant interaction is expected between daclatasvir and azathioprine 570 (6-mercaptopurine) or prednisone. A potential interaction exists between daclatasvir and methotrexate. The administration of daclatasvir in a patient receiving methotrexate may result in increased concentrations of methotrexate due to daclatasvir-mediated inhibition of the OATP1B1 drug transporter. Hematological blood monitoring is required with this 575 combination.

5.4. Ritonavir-boosted paritaprevir, ombitasvir plus dasabuvir (P/r/O + D)

Paritaprevir is metabolized by CYP3A and is given with lowdose ritonavir, a potent CYP3A inhibitor to pptimize paritaprevir exposure. This combination has the potential for numerous ritonavir-related DDIs. Ritonavir is a potent hepatic and intestinal CYP3A inhibitor, and also inhibits P-gp and BCRP.

565

555

580

Paritaprevir is an inhibitor of OATP-1B1/1B3, P-gp and BCRP. Dasabuvir is an inhibitor of BCRP and P-gp [78-82].

There is no overlap in the metabolic pathways of P/r/O + Dand azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine. Therefore, no drug interactions are expected. Monitoring of hematological blood counts would be prudent if P/r/O + D is administered with

590 methotrexate due to BCRP inhibition by ritanovir, paritaprevir, and dasabuvir. Coadministration of P/r/O + D with prednisone has not been studied. Prednisolone exposure may be increased due to CYP3A4 inhibition by ritonavir. Patients should be monitored for steroid-related side effects.

595 5.5. Grazoprevir-elbasvir

585

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States has recently approved the combination of grazoprevir and elbasvir for the treatment of HCV [83]. Grazoprevir and elbasvir inhibit BCRP, while elbasvir also inhibits P-gp [84]. 600 Methotrexate levels may be increased in the presence of grazoprevir-elbasvir as a result of BCRP inhibition. Patients should be carefully monitored if this combination is used

azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine or prednisone.

with methotrexate. No interactions are expected with

605 5.6. Ribavirin

610

615

Ribavirin is frequently administered in combination with HCV DAA in patients with cirrhosis or previous treatment experience to maximizeresponse to therapy, and shorten treatment duration [85]. A significant potential interaction exists with ribavirin and azathioprine (6-mercaptopurine). Ribavirin has an inhibitory effect on IMPDH [86], an important enzyme in the metabolic pathway of 6-mercaptopurine. The coadministration of ribavirin with azathioprine or 6-merpatopurine may result in elevated levels of 6-methylthioinosine monophosphate (6-MTITP) through blockade of IMPDH activity [87]. Elevated levels of 6-MTITP have been shown to be associated with myelotoxicity (neutropenia, thrombocytopenia

and/or anemia) in patients treated with azathioprine for IBD [72]. Pancytopenia and bone marrow suppression have been 620 reported in eight patients receiving pegylated IFN ribavirin concomitantly with azathioprine [87]. The combination of ribavirin with azathioprine is contraindicated in patients with high thiopurine methyltransferase (TPMT) levels, and should also ideally be avoided in patients with normal TPMT activity 625 given the risk of myelotoxicity [87]. This potential interaction exists for up to 2 months after the cessation of ribavirin therapy because of its long half-life.

5.7. Biologics for IBD

630

Biologics including anti-TNF-a antibodies (e.g. infliximab, adalimumab) and anti-integrins (e.g. vedolizumab) have revolutionized the management of IBD. Infliximab and vedolizumab are given as an intravenous infusion, whereas adalimumab is administered via subcutaneous injection. The elimination pathways for these agents have not been characterized and no drug interaction studies have been performed between HCV DAA and biologic agents for IBD. Clinically significant

635

interactions would not however be expected as the biologics are not substrates of CYP450 and gut transporters.

5.8. Nucleoside analogs for hepatitis B

Nucleoside analogs have an excellent safety profile in patients 640 with hepatitis B, including those with decompensated cirrhosis [88]. However, given the low rate of HBsAg seroconversion seen with these agents, prolonged therapy is frequently required [89]. Lamivudine and entecavir are predominantly excreted unchanged in the urine [90,91]. The kidneys primarily 645 excrete tenofovir DF by both tubular filtration and active tubular secretion [92]. There is a potential for competitive inhibition for active tubular secretion if lamivudine and methotrexate are co-prescribed, which may result in increased serum concentrations of either or both drugs with associated 650 potential toxicity. This competitive inhibition for renal tubular secretion may also arise with tenofovir DF and methotrexate. This combination would require close monitoring of renal function.

Main DDIs between HBV/HCV DAAs and IBD agents are 655 summarized in Table 3.

6. Expert commentary

IBD (CD and UC) are chronic diseases affecting the intestinal tract, characterized also by a systemic involvement due to extraintestinal manifestations. The natural course of IBD typi-660 cally alternates periods of disease remission and recrudescence with reactivations displaying different degrees of severity, potentially resulting in need for surgical treatment. The long course of the disease, the specific bowel involvement resulting in discomforting symptoms, and the severe exacer-665 bations requiring hospitalization and surgery determine a strong impairment in patients' quality of life, in terms of social, working, and also relational disability.

At present, management of these patients is complicated by a limited knowledge of precise causative and pathophysio-670 logical mechanisms, so that current treatment strategy requires administration of immunosuppressing/immunomodulating drugs in order to achieve and maintain disease remission. These drugs require careful and specialist management because they are characterized by side effects both short term (i.e. steroid-induced diabetes) and long term (i.e. increased risk of malignancies), so that periodical monitoring is recommended and, in some cases, treatment is supplied only in hospital settings. In this scenario, patient comorbidities and concomitant diseases have to be carefully considered before 680 starting immunosuppressive treatments: as chronic infection with HBV or HCV affect, respectively, more than 400 and 150 million people worldwide, these liver diseases are likely to interplay with IBD according to local epidemiology in different countries. 685

Due to the potential risk of hepatitis reactivation during immunosuppressing therapy, current management of IBD patients requires screening for HBV and HCV markers before starting immunosuppressive treatment, in order to determine the patient's virological status and consequently the need for treating chronic hepatitis or administering adequate

	Prednisolone	Azathioprine/6-MP	Methotrexate
Sofosbuvir–ledipasvir	No interaction expected	No interaction expected	No interaction expected
Sofosbuvir–simeprevir	Potential for increased prednisolone concentrations due to simeprevir intestinal CYP3A inhibition; monitor for steroid side effects	No interaction expected	No interaction expected
Sofosbuvir–daclatasvir	No interaction expected	No interaction expected	Potential for increased methotrexate concentrations due to daclatasvir inhibition of OATP1B1; hematological monitoring required
Paritaprevir/ritonavir Ombitasvir Dasabuvir	Prednisolone exposure may be increased due to ritonavir CYP3A4 inhibition; monitor for steroid side effects	No interaction expected	Interaction not studied; no interaction expected, but hematological monitoring should be considered
Grazoprevir–elbasvir	No interaction expected	No interaction expected	Methotrexate concentrations may be increased due to BCRP & P-gp inhibition; Careful hematological monitoring is required
Ribavirin	No clear data	May result in elevated levels of 6-MTITP due to IMPDH inhibition with risk of myelotoxicity; coadministration contraindicated in patients with high TMPT levels and should be avoided in patients in normal TMPT levels	No pharmacokinetic interaction; this combination may result in anemia
Tenofovir–DF	No clear data	No interaction expected	Potential for increased serum concentrations of methotrexate and tenofovir due to competitive for active renal tubular secretion; renal monitoring required
Lamivudine	No clear data	No interaction expected	Potential for increased serum concentrations of methotrexate and lamivudine due to competitive for active renal tubular secretion; renal monitoring required

Table 3. Specific	drua-drua	interactions	between	HBV/HCV	DAA	and I	BD	agents

6-MP: 6-mercaptopurine; CYP3A: cytochrome P3A4; OATP1B1: organic anion-transporting polypeptide 1B1; BCRP: breast cancer resistance protein; P-gp: P-glycoprotein; MTITP: methylthioinosine monophosphate; IMPDH: inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase; TPMT: thiopurine methyltransferase

prophylaxis to prevent hepatitis reactivation in the HBV setting. As a consequence, this strategy is actually complex because it presumes good knowledge of different hepatitis serological markers and specialist referral for HBV/HCV treatment administration or monitoring.

695

However, research in the field of viral hepatitis is pushing very hard and the recent development of new DAAs has paved the way for a true revolution in HCV treatment, due 700 to the high efficacy rates and, most of all, the excellent safety profile allowing to treat patients formerly contraindicated to antiviral therapy in the IFN-based era. This is particularly appealing for IBD patients, as risk for IBD reactivation with IFN excluded many patients from the possibility to receive 705 HCV therapy in the past. If widespread of DAAs will be pursed at the global level, HCV eradication will be feasible in the next decades, so that concurrent HCV infection will no longer represent a clinical problem in IBD management. In the HBV

setting, on the other hand, current nucleotide/nucleoside ana-710 logs are already efficacious and safe, however they achieve only virological suppression and due to the specific HBV replication cycle, are not able to eradicate the virus (the so-called functional cure). This is the specific research area in the HBV field at the moment, where new compounds are intended to

715 target the cccDNA replication machinery, that is also the driving force of viral reactivation during immunosuppressive treatment. If the functional cure will be achieved, also HBV will not impact IBD clinical management so far. Finally, the most important research area in the near future will be the development of new compounds for IBD therapy with the primary 720 goal of achieving remission in patients failing to respond to currently available treatment options. Another primary goal will be to develop IBD drugs targeting different molecular pathways, in order to provide safer and manageable compounds with reduced need for monitoring and fewer side 725 effects. These goals will be achieved only through a deeper insight in pathophysiological mechanisms of IBD that should be pursued by strong effort in basic research.

7. Conclusions and 5-year view

The current management of patients with chronic HBV or HCV 730 and concomitant IBD requires precise definition of the viral disease status, viral replication levels, and disease stage. Internationally accepted guidelines which have been summarized in this paper provide an adequate tool to manage the risk of HBV reactivation, HBsAg seroconversion, and concomitant 735 chronic HCV infection. In the upcoming years, novel therapeutic strategies will be included into the therapeutic armamentarium for patients with active IBD [93]. Among these, it is presumable that a low risk of HBV or HCV reactivation will be observed following administration of compounds with gut-740 selective mechanisms of action (e.g. a4β7 integrin inhibitors)

or with negligible systemic absorption, (SMAD7 antisense inhibitors). On the contrary, further data are urgently needed to evaluate hepatic toxicity of a novel generation of immunosup-

- 745 pressive drugs for IBD, including JAK inhibitors and anti-IL12/ 23 monoclonal antibodies, which could potentially interfere with immunological surveillance of hepatotropic viruses. Similarly, further therapeutic advances are expected to enter the HBV and HCV field. For the treatment of HCV, next-gen-
- 750 eration DAAs will be optimized versions of currently available drugs, with pan-genotypic activity, optimal pharmacokinetic profile and high genetic barrier to resistance that will allow these drugs to be active also in treatment failures to <u>first-</u> and <u>second-generation</u> DAAs. Although it will be mandatory to
- 755 asses DDIs with these new compounds, the management of viral hepatitis C in patients with IBD is unlikely to be modified by these DAAs. On the other hand, treatment of HBV will be radically transformed in the next years by drugs that target the immune system as well as antivirals that inhibit different steps
- 760 of the HBV life cycle including modulation and silencing of ccc-DNA transcription. These drugs could solve the need for active prophylaxis of patients receiving immunosuppressive drugs and thus could play a major role in the management of HBV in patients with IBD.

765 Key issues

770

780

790

795

- Prevalence of HBV and HCV infections in IBD patients is the same as the general population, according to the local epidemiology of hepatitis infections.
- IBD prevalence and incidence is on the rise both in Western and Eastern countries.
- In HBV infection, immunosuppressive treatments carry an increased risk of hepatitis reactivation, depending on the patient's serological status (active carrier, inactive carrier or resolved HBV infection).
- HBV active carriers need to be treated for chronic hepatitis with NUC-based therapy, independently from administration of immunosuppressive treatment.
 - HBV inactive carriers should receive NUC-based prophylaxis for hepatitis reactivation, to be continued until at least 12 months after cessation of immunosuppressive treatment.
 - Patients with markers of previous HBV exposure need to be monitored every 3–4 months in order to detect potential seroreversion.
- Anti-HBV vaccination with double-dose accelerated schedule should be administered to all IBD patients with negative HBV markers before starting immunosuppressive treatment.
 - In HCV patients, administration of immunosuppressive treat-
 - ment should be decided basing on liver disease severity (anti-TNF are contraindicated in decompensated cirrhosis) and periodical monitoring of liver function tests is required.
 - Careful check of drug-drug interactions between immunosuppressive regimens and HBV/HCV drugs is required before starting treatment.

Funding

This paper was not funded.

Declaration of interest

A. Aghemo is a member of the advisory board for Abbvie, Gilead, Janssen, Merck and BMS. M. Colombo is a member of the advisory committees for Merck, Roche, Novartis, Bayer, BMS, Gilead, Janssen and Abbvie. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (••) to readers.

- Papa A, Felice C, Marzo M, et al. Prevalence and natural history of hepatitis B and C infections in a large population of IBD patients treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor-α agents. J Crohns Colitis. 2013;7:113–119.
- Katsanos KH, Tsianos VE, Zois CD, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease and hepatitis B and C in Western Balkans: a referral centre study and review of the literature. J Crohns Colitis. 2010;4:450–465.
- 3. Hahné SJ, Veldhuijzen IK, Wiessing L, et al. Infection with hepatitis B and C virus in Europe: a systematic review of prevalence and cost-effectiveness of screening. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13:181.
- Schweitzer A, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, et al. Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. Lancet. 820 2015;386:1546–1555.
- Sagnelli E, Sagnelli C, Pisaturo M, et al. Epidemiology of acute and chronic hepatitis B and delta over the last 5 decades in Italy. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20:7635–7643.
- 6. Gower E, Estes C, Blach S, et al. Global epidemiology and genotype 825 distribution of the hepatitis C virus infection. J Hepatol. 2014;61:S45–57.
- 7. Messina JP, Humphreys I, Flaxman A, et al. Global distribution and prevalence of hepatitis C virus genotypes. Hepatology. 2015;61:77–87.
- Recent and most updated data about HCV epidemiology.
- 8. Esteban JI, Sauleda S, Quer J. The changing epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection in Europe. J Hepatol. 2008;48:148–162.
- 9. Razavi H, Waked I, Sarrazin C, et al. The present and future disease burden of hepatitis C virus infection with today's treatment paradigm. J Viral Hepat. 2014;21:S34–59.
- Tanaka Y, Kurbanov F, Mano S, et al. Molecular tracing of the global hepatitis C virus epidemic predicts regional patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma mortality. Gastroenterology. 2006;130:703–714.
- 11. Biancone L, Pavia M, Del Vecchio Blanco G, et al. Hepatitis B and C virus infection in Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2001;7:287–294.
- 12. Esteve M, Saro C, González-Huix F, et al. Chronic hepatitis B reactivities a statistic vation following infliximab therapy in Crohn's disease patients: need for primary prophylaxis. Gut. 2004;53:1363–1365.
- Tolentino YF, Fogaca HS, Zaltman C, et al. Hepatitis B virus prevalence and transmission risk factors in inflammatory bowel disease patients at Clementino Fraga Filho university hospital. World J 845 Gastroenterol. 2008;14:3201–3206.
- Loras C, Saro C, Gonzalez-Huix F, et al. Prevalence and factors related to hepatitis B and C in inflammatory bowel disease patients in Spain: a nationwide, multicenter study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104:57–63.
- 15. Chevaux JB, Nani A, Oussalah A, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis B and C and risk factors for nonvaccination in inflammatory bowel disease patients in Northeast France. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2010;16:916–924.
- 16. Soon IS, Molodecky NA, Rabi DM, et al. The relationship between 855 urban environment and the inflammatory bowel diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Gastroenterol. 2012;12:51.

805

810 AQ7

815

860

865

870

875

- 880
- 885
- 890
- 895
- 900

905

910

915

- 920
- 925

17. Kaplan GG. The global burden of IBD: from 2015 to 2025. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;12:720-727.

- Burisch J, Pedersen N, Cukovic-Cavka S, et al. Environmental factors in a population-based inception cohort of inflammatory bowel disease patients in Europe–an ECCO-EpiCom study. J Crohns Colitis. 2014;8:607–616.
- 19. Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. Gastroenterology. 2012;142:46–54.
 - Ng SC, Tang W, Ching JY, et al. Incidence and phenotype of inflammatory bowel disease based on results from the Asia-pacific Crohn's and colitis epidemiology study. Gastroenterology. 2013;145:158–165.
 - •• Recent epidemiologic study about IBD prevalence and incidence in Eastern countries.
 - Victoria CR, Sassak LY, Nunes HR. Incidence and prevalence rates of inflammatory bowel diseases, in midwestern of São Paulo State, Brazil. ARQ Gastroenterol. 2009;46:20–25.
 - 22. Sood A, Midha V, Sood N, et al. Incidence and prevalence of ulcerative colitis in Punjab, North India. Gut. 2003;52:1587–1590.
- Probert CS, Jayanthi V, Pinder D, et al. Epidemiological study of ulcerative proctocolitis in Indian migrants and the indigenous population of Leicestershire. Gut. 2004<u>5</u>3:1363–1365_
- 24. Loras C, Gisbert JP, Mínguez M, et al. Liver dysfunction related to hepatitis B and C in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with immunosuppressive therapy. Gut. 2010;59:1340–1346.

•• Large multicenter study concentrating on natural history and risk of HBV/HCV reactivation in IBD patients.

- 25. Lalazar G, Rund D, Shouval D. Screening, prevention and treatment of viral hepatitis B reactivation in patients with haematological malignancies. Br J Haematol. 2007;136:699–712.
- Marzano A, Angelucci E, Andreone P, et al. Prophylaxis and treatment of hepatitis B in immunocompromised patients. Dig Liver Dis. 2007;39:397–408.
- 27. Zeitz J, Mullhaupt B, Fruehauf H, et al. Hepatic failure due to hepatitis B reactivation in a patient with ulcerative colitis treated with prednisone. Hepatology. 2009;50:653–654.
- Sacco R, Bertini M, Bresci G, et al. Entecavir for hepatitis B virus flare treatment in patients with Crohn's disease. Hepatogastroenterology. 2010;57:242–245.
 - 29. Del Valle García-Sánchez M, Gómez-Camacho F, Poyato-González A, et al. Infliximab therapy in a patient with Crohn's disease and chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2004;10:701–702.
 - Ueno Y, Tanaka S, Shimamoto M, et al. Infliximab therapy for Crohn's disease in a patient with chronic hepatitis B. Dig Dis Sci. 2005;50:163–166.
- Millonig G, Kern M, Ludwiczek O, et al. Subfulminant hepatitis B after infliximab in Crohn's disease: need for HBV-screening? World J Gastroenterol. 2006;12:974–976.
 - Colbert C, Chavarria A, Berkelhammer C. Fulminant hepatic failure in chronic hepatitis B on withdrawal of corticosteroids, azathioprine and infliximab for Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2007;13:1453–1454.
- 33. Esteve M, Loras C, González-Huix F. Lamivudine resistance and exacerbation of hepatitis B in infliximab-treated Crohn's disease patient. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2007;13:1450–1451.
- Ojiro K, Naganuma M, Ebinuma H, et al. Reactivation of hepatitis B in a patient with Crohn's disease treated using infliximab. J Gastroenterol. 2008;43:397–401.
- 35. Park SH, Yang SK, Lim YS. Clinical courses of chronic hepatitis B virus infection and inflammatory bowel disease in patients with both diseases. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012;18:2004–2010.
- 36. Carroll MB, Forgione MA. Use of tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitors in hepatitis B surface antigen-positive patients: a literature review and potential mechanisms of action. Clin Rheumatol. 2010;29:1021–1029.
- Verhelst X, Orlent H, Colle I, et al. Subfulminant hepatitis B during treatment with adalimumab in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis and chronic hepatitis B. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010;22:494–499.
- 38. Matsumoto T, Marusawa H, Dogaki M. et al. Adalimumab-induced lethal hepatitis B virus reactivation in an HBsAg-negative patient

with clinically resolved hepatitis B virus infection. Liver Int. 2010;30:1241-1242.

- Montiel PM, Solis JA, Chirinos JA, et al. Hepatitis B virus reactivation during therapy with etanercept in an HBsAg-negative and anti-HBs-positive patient. Liver Int. 2008;28:718–720.
- Madonia S, Orlando A, Scimeca D, et al. Occult hepatitis B and infliximab-induced HBV reactivation. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2007;13:508–509.
- 41. Morisco F, Castiglione F, Rispo A, et al. Effect of immunosuppressive therapy on patients with inflammatory bowel diseases and hepatitis B or C virus infection. J Viral Hepat. 2013;20:200–208.
- Viganò M, Degasperi E, Aghemo A, et al. Anti-TNF drugs in patients with hepatitis B or C virus infection: safety and clinical management. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2012;12:193–207.
- Samonakis DN, Triantos CK, Thalheimer U, et al. Immunosuppression and donor age with respect to severity of HCV recurrence after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl. 2005;11:386–395.
- 44. Zekry A, Gleeson M, Guney S, et al. A prospective cross-over study comparing the effect of mycophenolate versus azathioprine on allograft function and viral load in liver transplant recipients with recurrent chronic HCV infection. Liver Transpl. 2004;10:52–57.
- 45. Zylberberg H, Rimaniol AC, Pol S, et al. Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors in chronic hepatitis C: a correlation with histological fibrosis and activity. J Hepatol. 1999;30:185–191.
- 46. Dill MT, Duong FH, Vogt JE, et al. Interferon-induced gene expression is a stronger predictor of treatment response than IL28B genotype in patients with hepatitis C. Gastroenterology. 2011;140:1021–1031.
- 47. Zein NN, Etanercept Study Group. Etanercept as an adjuvant to interferon and ribavirin in treatment-naive patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a phase 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Hepatol. 2005;42:315–322.
- Campbell S, Ghosh S. Infliximab therapy for Crohn's disease in the presence of chronic hepatitis C infection. Eur J Gastroenterol 960 Hepatol. 2001 Feb;13(2):191–192.
- 49. Holtmann MH, Galle PR, Neurath MF. Treatment of patients with Crohn's disease and concomitant chronic hepatitis C with a chimeric monoclonal antibody to TNF. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98:504–505.
- 50. Brunasso AM, Puntoni M, Gulia A, et al. Safety of anti-tumour 965 necrosis factor agents in patients with chronic hepatitis C infection: a systematic review. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2011;50:1700–1711.
- Nissen MJ, Fontanges E, Allam Y, et al. Rheumatological manifestations of hepatitis C: incidence in a rheumatology and non-rheumatology setting and the effect of methotrexate and interferon. 970 Rheumatology (Oxford). 2005;44:1016–1020.
- 52. Rahier JF, Magro F, Abreu C, et al. Second European evidencebased consensus on the prevention, diagnosis and management of opportunistic infections in inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2014;8:443–468.
- •• Most updated guidelines for management of concurrent HBV/ HCV infections in IBD patients.
- 53. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol. 2012;57:167–185..
- •• Current European guidelines for management of HBV infection.
- 54. Marcellin P, Heathcote EJ, Buti M, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus adefovir dipivoxil for chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:2442–2455.
- 55. Pol S, Lampertico P. First-line treatment of chronic hepatitis B with entecavir or tenofovir in 'real-life' settings: from clinical trials to clinical practice. J Viral Hepat. 2012;19:377–386.
- 56. Reddy KR, Beavers KL, Hammond SP, et al. American gastroenterological association institute guideline on the prevention and treatment of hepatitis B virus reactivation during immunosuppressive drug therapy. Gastroenterology. 2015;148:215–217
- 57. Terrault NA, Bzowej NH, Chang KM, et al. AASLD Guidelines for treatment of chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology. 2016;63:261–283.
- 58. Altunöz ME, Senateş E, Yeşil A, et al. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease have a lower response rate to HBV vaccination compared to controls. Dig Dis Sci. 2012;57:1039–1044.

935

980

985

59. Wyant T, Leach T, Sankoh S, et al. Vedolizumab affects antibody responses to immunization selectively in the gastrointestinal tract: randomised controlled trial results. Gut. 2015;64:77–83.

1000

1005

1010

1015

1020

1025

1030

1035

1040

- 60. Loras C, Gisbert JP, Saro MC, et al. Impact of surveillance of hepatitis b and hepatitis c in patients with inflammatory bowel disease under anti-TNF therapies: multicenter prospective observational study (REPENTINA 3). J Crohns Colitis. 2014;8:1529–1538.
- Large multicenter study investigating efficacy of HBV vaccination in IBD patients.
 - Gisbert JP, Villagrasa JR, Rodríguez-Nogueiras A, et al. Efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination and revaccination and factors impacting on response in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2012;107:1460–1466.
- 62. Villa F, Rumi MG, Signorelli C, et al. Onset of inflammatory bowel diseases during combined alpha-interferon and ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C: report of two cases. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2005;17:1243–1245.
- Khalil A, Lucidarme D, Desurmont P, et al. Crohn's disease associated with interferon and ribavirin treatment for chronic hepatitis C. Gastroenterol Clin Biol. 2005;29:193–196.
- Scherzer TM, Staufer K, Novacek G, et al. Efficacy and safety of antiviral therapy in patients with Crohn's disease and chronic hepatitis C. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008;28:742–748.
 - 65. Tilg H, Vogelsang H, Ludwiczek O, et al. A randomised placebo controlled trial of pegylated interferon alpha in active ulcerative colitis. Gut. 2003;52:1728–1733.
- 66. Horn TL, Reynolds J, De Villiers W, et al. Hepatitis C virus and inflammatory bowel disease. Dig Dis Sci. 2009;54:1171–1177.
- 67. European Association for Study of Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatitis C virus infection. J Hepatol. 2016.
- Current European guidelines for management of HCV infection.
- Hodgson K. ADMET turning chemicals into drugs. Nat Biotechnol, 2001;19:722–726.
- Zurcher RM, Frey BM, Frey FJ, et al. Impact of ketoconazole on the metabolism of prednisolone. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1989;45:366–372.
- Karssen AM, Meijer OC, Van Der Sandt IC, et al. The role of the efflux transporter P-glycoprotein in brain penetration of prednisolone. J Endocrinol. 2002;175:251–260.
- 71. Levine AM, Tulpule A, Espina B, et al. Liposome-encapsulated doxorubicin in combination with standard agents (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone) in patients with newly diagnosed AIDS-related non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: results of therapy and correlates of response. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22:2662–2670.
- 72. Derijks LJ, Gilissen LP, Hooymans PM, et al. Thiopurines in inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2006;24:715–729.
- 1045 73. Kalliokoski A, Niemi M. Impact of OATP transporters on pharmacokinetics. Br J Pharmacol. 2009;158:693–705.
 - Volk EL, Schneider E. Wild-type breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) is a methotrexate polygultamate transporter. Cancer Res. 2003;63:5538–5543.
- 1050 75. German P, Pang PS, West S et al. Drug interactions between directacting anti-HCV antivirals sofosbuvir and ledipasvir and HIV antiretrovirals. Abstract: 15th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV & Hepatitis Therapy; 2014 May 19-21; Washington: DC.

- 76. Sekar V, Verloes R, Meyvisch P, et al. Evaluation of metabolic interactions for TMC435 via cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes in healthy volunteers. J Hepatol. 2010;52(S1):S416.
- 77. Eley T, You X, Wang R et al. Daclatasvir: Overview of drug-drug interactions with antiretroviral agents and other common concomitant drugs; Miami (FL). Abstract: HIV DART; 2014 Dec 912.
- 78. Bow DAJ, Liu J, Kavetskaia O et al. A mechanistic non-clinical assessment of drug-drug interactions (metabolism and transporters) with the HCV regimen: ABT-450/r, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir. Poster Presentation: AASLD/EASL Special Conference on Hepatitis C, 2014 Sep 1213.
- 79. Menon R, Badri P, Khatri A et al. ABT-450/ritonavir + ombitasvir + 1065
 dasabuvir: drug interactions mediated by transporters. Abstract:
 15th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV & Hepatitis Therapy, 2014 May 19-21.
- 80. Abbvie Corporation. Viekerax 12.5mg/75mg/50mg film-coated tablet. Maidenhead (UK): [Prescribing Information] Abbvie Ltd.
- 81. Abbvie Corporation. Exviera 250mg film-coated tablet. Maidenhead (UK): [Prescribing Information] Abbvie Ltd.
- 82. Menon R, Badri P, Wang T, et al. Drug-drug interaction profile of the all-oral Hepatitis C virus regimen of paritaprevir/ritonavir, ombitasvir and dasabuvir. J Hepatol. 2015;63:20–29.
- 83. US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) news release. FDA approves zepatier for treatment of chronic hepatitis C genotypes 1 and 4. 2016 Jan 28.
- 84. Yeh WW, Feng HP, Dunnington KM et al. No clinically meaningful pharmacokinetic interaction between HCV inhibitors grazoprevir/ elbasvir with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and prednisolone, but cyclosporine increases grazoprevir/elbasvir exposures in healthy subjects. Abstract – 66th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases 2015 Nov 13-17, San Francisco (CA).
- Reddy KR, Bourliere M, Sulkowski M, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir in patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection and compensated cirrhosis: an integrated safety and efficacy analysis. Hepatology. 2015;62:79–86.
- 86. Gishe RG. Treating HCV with ribavirin analogues and ribavirin-like 1090 molecules. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006;57:8–13.
- Peyrin-Biroulet L, Cadranel JF, Nousbaum JB, et al. Interaction of ribavirin with azathioprine metabolism potentially induces myelosuppression. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008;28:984–993.
- Fontana RK. Side effects of long-term antiviral therapy for hepatitis
 B. Hepatology. 2009;49:S185–195.
- 89. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B: update 2009. Hepatology. 2009;50:661–662.
- 90. ViiV Healthcare. Epvir 150mg and 300mg film-coated tablets [prescribing information]. ViiV Healthcare UK limited; 2016 July 07. cited 2016 July 18.
- Bristol-Myers Squibb. Baraclude 0.5mg, 1.0mg film coated tablets [prescribing information]. UK: Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharma EEIG; 2016 Apr 01. cited 2016 July 18.
- 92. Gilead Sciences. Viread 245 mg film-coated tablets [prescribing 1105 information]. UK: Gilead Sciences Ltd; 2016 Apr. cited 2016 July 18.
- 93. Caprioli F, Caruso R, Sarra M, et al. Disruption of inflammatory signals by cytokine-targeted therapies for inflammatory bowel diseases. Br J Pharmacol. 2012;165:820–828.







