

- 28 Tel. +39 02 503 15607, Fax +39 02 503 15597
- 29 E-Mail: diego.gatta@unimi.it

53 The high-temperature studies of the hydrous members of the cancrinite subgroup reveal a 54 slow dehydration process, often irreversible at the time-scale of the experiments and leading to 55 quasi-anhydrous high-temperature forms which keep their crystallinity even up to 800-900 K (at 56 room *P*). The experiments at high pressure on the cancrinite-group minerals show a high-*P* stability, 57 at least up to 7-8 GPa (at room-*T*), which is quite surprising if we consider their microporous 58 nature. The *P*-induced stability is the effect of a pronounced structural flexibility, which in turn is 59 based mainly on tilting of rigid tetrahedra around O atoms that behave as hinges. The character and 60 the mechanisms that govern the (P, T) -induced $P6₃$ -to- $P6₃/m$ phase transition in the compounds of 61 davyne subgroup are also discussed.

62

63 **Keywords**: Feldspathoids; cancrinite; davyne; vishnevite; balliranoite; temperature; pressure; 64 elastic behavior; host-guest interactions; framework deformation.

65 **Introduction**

66 Feldspathoids are a loosely-defined class of minerals with a structure characterized by a 67 three-dimensional framework of linked SiO_4 and AlO_4 (more rarely PO₄ or BeO₄) tetrahedra. This 68 framework contains open cavities (in the form of channels and cages), usually occupied by cations 69 (mainly Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺), anions (mainly $CO₃²$, SO₄², Cl⁻) and molecules (mainly H₂O). Compared 70 with feldspars, the aluminosilicate feldspathoids are deficient in $SiO₂$. They occur in a wide variety 71 of geological environments and conditions, from sedimentary to alkali-rich $SiO₂$ -poor igneous rocks 72 or metamorphic and metasomatic rocks, from crustal to upper mantle conditions. Some 73 feldspathoids have been found in meteorites (*e.g.* in carbonaceous chondrites, Grossman 1980).

74 This class of minerals is the major host of C, S or Cl structurally incorporated in silicates. 75 The structural diversity of feldspathoids is reflected by their complex systematics with at least three 76 different principal families: analcime-leucite (*e.g*. Gatta et al. 2006, 2008a), nepheline-kalsilite (*e.g*. 77 Gatta and Angel 2007; Gatta et al. 2010, 2011) and cancrinite-sodalite (*e.g*. Gatta et al. 2012a; Lotti 78 et al. 2012; Löns and Schulz 1967). Minerals of the melilite family (*i.e*. melilite *sensu stricto*, 79 gehlenite and akermanite) are also considered as feldspathoids (Edgar 1984). A comprehensive 80 overview on the structures of feldspathoids is reported in Merlino (1984).

81 When compared to other silicates, only a modest number of experiments have been devoted 82 to cancrinite-group minerals at high pressure or high temperature. Some *in situ* experiments at high 83 temperature on the most important member of the group (*i.e*. cancrinite *sensu stricto*) actually 84 provided conflicting results (Hassan 1996a, 1996b; Sirbescu and Jenkins 1999; Hassan et al. 2006; 85 Isupova et al. 2010; Gatta et al. 2014). A full characterization of the phase stability fields of 86 cancrinite-group minerals and of the reactions at the field boundaries is still missing or limited to 87 restricted experimental conditions (*e.g.* Sirbescu and Jenkins 1999 and references therein). To 88 overcome this deficiency, we have recently conducted a series of *in situ* experiments on the 89 behavior of cancrinite-group minerals at non-ambient conditions (*i.e.* high pressure, low and high

90 temperature), as part of a wider research project on microporous materials at extreme conditions 91 (*e.g.* Gatta et al. 2012a, 2013a, 2013b; 2014; Lotti et al. 2012, 2014a, 2014b; Lotti 2014).

92 The aim of this manuscript is a synthesis of previously published data on the behavior of 93 cancrinite-group minerals at non-ambient conditions, in order to provide a model of their thermo-94 elastic behavior and of the main deformation mechanisms, at the atomic scale, in response to the 95 applied temperature (*T*) and pressure (*P*). In particular, the role played by the different 96 extraframework constituents is reviewed and discussed.

97

98 **Crystal chemistry of cancrinite-group minerals**

99 Minerals of the cancrinite group share the tetrahedral [CAN] framework-type, built by the 100 stacking of layers made by unconnected single six-membered rings of tetrahedra (hereafter S6R's) 101 occupying A or B positions, respectively, according to an $\cdot\cdot$ AB(A) $\cdot\cdot$ sequence (Gies et al. 1999; 102 Baerlocher et al. 2007). Each S6R is connected to three rings in adjacent layers, giving rise to the 103 three-dimensional framework (Figure 1, see also http://www.iza-104 structure.org/databases/Catalog/ABC_6.pdf). The [CAN] framework contains columns of base-105 sharing cages (*i.e*. *can* units or cancrinite cages), where the bases correspond to the single six-106 membered rings perpendicular to the **c**-axis (S6R⊥[0001], Figure 1). These columns surround 107 parallel channels to [0001] and are confined by twelve-membered rings of tetrahedra (12R) (Figure 108 1). The framework can also be described as made of double *zigzag* chains of tetrahedra (*dzc* units, 109 Smith 2000), made by edge-sharing four-membered rings (S4R), running along the **c**-axis, which 110 border 6R-windows∠[0001] linking cages and channels (Figure 1). The topological symmetry of 111 the [CAN] framework is $P6_3/mmc$ (Baerlocher et al. 2007). Overall, materials with [CAN] 112 framework type are considered as "microporous materials" (*sensu* Rouquerol et al. 1994), having 113 cavities with free diameters shorter than 2 nm.

114 Twelve mineralogical species sharing the [CAN] topology have so far been reported 115 (Bonaccorsi and Merlino 2005; Pekov et al. 2011a) (Table 1) and eleven of them show an 116 aluminosilicate framework; the only exception is tiptopite, with a P/Be-framework (Table 1; Peacor 117 et al. 1987). In cancrinite-group minerals, the Si/Al-distribution of the $(Al_6Si_6O_{24})^6$ -framework is 118 fully ordered, with a lowering of the symmetry from $P6_3/mmc$ to $P6_3$ (or $P6_3/m$), with only rare 119 exceptions (Rastsvetaeva et al. 2007; Ogorodova et al. 2009). In this group of minerals, the 120 extraframework population can be subdivided according to the structural voids, namely: 1) the *can* 121 cages and 2) the 12R-channels. Only two mutually exclusive configurations of the cage constituents 122 are shown by the aluminosilicate cancrinite-group minerals: the first is given by the repetition of $[Na·H₂O]$ ⁺ clusters, so that chains along the columns of *can* units arise, and, similarly, the second 124 configuration is represented by chains of $[Ca \cdot Cl]^+$ clusters (Figure 2). In contrast, a complex 125 chemical variability is shown by the 12R-channel population, made by cations, anions and 126 molecular components, though a general scheme can be extrapolated. Na⁺ is always the prevailing 127 cation, it can be partially replaced by Ca^{2+} and K^+ in one or two crystallographic sites close to the 128 channel walls (Figure 3); a more complex positional disorder was also reported (*e.g*. Gatta et al. 129 2013a). Nevertheless, a similar bonding environment of cations can be described, with 4-5 bonds to 130 the framework oxygen atoms on the wall-side and a variable coordination with the anionic groups 131 or molecules on the other side. Anions and molecules occupy the center of the channel (Figure 3), 132 often in mutually-exclusive split positions, so that several possible configurations can occur within 133 the same channel. These configurations can be ordered, leading to superstructures (see next 134 section). The most common anionic and molecular species in cancrinite-group minerals are: $CO₃²$, 135 SO_4^2 , Cl, $C_2O_4^2$, PO_4^3 , OH and H₂O. The most abundant anion is conventionally used as 136 "species-defining criterion" (Bonaccorsi and Merlino 2005; Pekov et al. 2011a).

137 For a comparative and comprehensive analysis of the H*P*-H*T* crystal-chemistry of this 138 mineral group, two "subgroups" can be defined: the "cancrinite subgroup", showing chains of

139 $[Na·H₂O]$ ⁺ clusters, and the "davyne subgroup", with $[Ca·Cl]$ ⁺ chains (Figure 2). In the next 140 sections we describe the crystallochemical features and the occurrences of these isotypic minerals.

141

142 *- The "cancrinite subgroup"*

143 In the structural cages of this subgroup, the $Na⁺$ site is slightly displaced from the 144 S6R⊥[0001]-plane, with a stronger bond to one of the neighboring H2O-oxygen sites, positioned 145 out of the 3-fold axis approximately at the cage center (Figure 2). Therefore, each H_2O molecule 146 shows a shorter bond to one $Na⁺$ cation and a longer one to the other Na-neighbor, which, in turn, is 147 strongly bonded to the next H_2O molecule (Figure 2). Similarly, Na⁺ shows shorter bonds to the 148 three O2 oxygen sites than those to the three O1 sites. As a consequence, a significant deviation from the hexagonal shape is shown by the S6R \perp [0001] (Figure 1). The Na⁺ coordination 150 environment can, therefore, be described by a first tetrahedral shell (3⋅O2 + Ow′) along with a 151 second larger tetrahedral one (3⋅O1 + Ow′′), giving rise to a distorted ditrigonal bipyramid (C.N. = $152 \t 4 + 4$).

153 Cancrinite *sensu stricto* $[(Na, Ca)_6(CO_3)_{1.4-1.7}][NaH_2O]_2[Al_6Si_6O_{24}]$ (Table 1; Bonaccorsi and 154 Merlino 2005) is, by far, the most widespread mineral of the group. Its crystal structure was first 155 solved by Pauling (1930), and then refined by Jarchow (1965). Cancrinite contains $CO₃²$ as main 156 anionic component in the channel voids. The $CO₃²$ groups occupy the center of the channel in two 157 mutually exclusive and iso-oriented configurations, for which the neighboring cations face an edge 158 of the triangular anionic group, giving rise to two bonds to the closer oxygen atoms (Figure 3). Due 159 to the resulting short C-C contacts, not all the sub-cells can be occupied by the carbonate anions, 160 with positional order/disorder leading also to superstructures (*e.g*. Foit et al. 1973; Grundy and 161 Hassan 1982; Hassan and Buseck 1992).

162 Vishnevite $[(Na,K)_6(SO_4)][NaH_2O]₂[Al_6Si_6O_{24}]$ (Table 1; Hassan and Grundy 1984; Della 163 Ventura et al. 2007) is the $(SO₄²)$ -dominant end-member. Two distinct configurations can be 164 defined for the channel population. The first, with $[Na_3(SO_4)]^+$ clusters, is given by the Na⁺ cations 165 and sulfate tetrahedral groups at the center of the channel, for which the triangular basis is generally 166 found to point a vertex toward the neighboring $Na⁺$ atoms, so that a single Na-O_{SO4} bond occurs 167 (Figure 3). The second, with only Na and K sites bonded to the framework oxygens, is commonly 168 associated to vacancies at the anionic sites (at the channel center), in order to avoid too short K-O 169 bonds (Figure 3). A channel can be internally ordered. If there is an ordered distribution of these 170 configurations among adjacent channels, a superstructure with a new unit-cell **a**-parameter, equal to 171 √3**a**vish, arises. This gives rise to a new mineralogical species called pitiglianoite (Table 1; Merlino 172 et al. 1991; Della Ventura et al. 2005; Bonaccorsi et al. 2007), which shares with vishnevite a 173 common **c-**parameter.

Hydroxycancrinite (or "basic cancrinite") is the (OH)-dominant end-member of the 175 cancrinite subgroup (Table 1) and is often reported as a synthetic product. In Nature, it is rare and 176 usually represented by intermediate members of the cancrinite-hydroxycancrinite series in the 177 peralkaline plutons of the Kola peninsula, Russia (Pekov et al. 2011a). Kyanoxalite is the $C_2O_4^2$ 178 member (Table 1). It is very rare in Nature; minerals of the cancrinite-kyanoxalite series have, up to 179 now, been found only at the Lovozero alkaline pluton, Kola peninsula, Russia (Chukanov et al. 180 2010a; Olysych et al. 2011; Pekov et al. 2011a). Depmeierite is the PO₄³⁻-dominant member of the 181 cancrinite subgroup (Table 1) and has been reported only from Mt. Karnasurt, Kola peninsula, 182 Russia (Pekov et al. 2011b).

183 Cancrisilite is the only member of the cancrinite group of minerals showing the framework 184 enriched in Si^{4+} , with an ideal $Si^{4+}:Al^{3+}$ ratio of 7:5 (Table 1). This leads to a disordered $Si/Al-$ 185 distribution in the tetrahedral framework, with an increase in symmetry from $P6_3$ to $P6_3mc$ 186 (Ogorodova et al. 2009).

187

188 **-** *The "davyne subgroup"*

189 The davyne-subgroup minerals contain chains of alternating $[Ca \cdot Cl]^+$ clusters. The Ca^{2+} site 190 lies close to the S6R⊥[0001] "plane" (*i.e*. the cage basis) and is bonded to the three O2 and the 191 three O1 atoms, showing similar bond lengths (Figure 2). Therefore, only a small deviation from the 192 perfect hexagonal shape of the S6R⊥[0001] is observed in davyne-subgroup minerals (Figure 1). 193 The Cl⁻ anion is placed approximately at the center of the cage in three mutually exclusive positions 194 out of the 3-fold axis (Figure 2). Each Ca^{2+} cation is bonded to the two neighboring Cl, giving rise 195 to a slightly distorted hexagonal bipyramidal coordination shell $(C.N. = 8)$.

196 Davyne $[(Na,K)_6(SO_4,CI)][CaCl)_2[Al_6Si_6O_{24}]$ (Table 1; Hassan and Grundy 1990; 197 Bonaccorsi et al. 1990; Gatta et al. 2013a; Lotti et al. 2014a) and balliranoite $[(Na, Ca)_6(CO_3)]_{14}$. 198 $_{1.7}$ [CaCl]₂[Al₆Si₆O₂₄] (Table 1; Chukanov et al. 2010b; Gatta et al. 2013b; Lotti et al. 2014b) are 199 the $(SO₄², CI)$ - and $CO₃²$ -end-members, respectively, and show a channel configuration analogous 200 to that of vishnevite (for davyne) and cancrinite (for balliranoite) (Figure 3). In microsommite 201 (Table 1; Bonaccorsi et al. 2001), the ordered distribution along the channel of SO_4^2 groups and 202 vacancies at the anionic sites (at the channel center) leads to a superstructure similar to that shown by pitiglianoite ($\mathbf{a}_{\text{MIC}} = \sqrt{3} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\text{DAV}}$, $\mathbf{c}_{\text{MIC}} = \mathbf{c}_{\text{DAV}}$), whereas in the pure Cl-end-member, quadridavyne 204 (Table 1; Bonaccorsi et al. 1994), a different kind of superstructure occurs, with $\mathbf{a}_{\text{OLA}} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\text{DAV}}$ and 205 $\mathbf{c}_{\text{QUA}} = \mathbf{c}_{\text{DAV}}$.

206

207 *- Natural occurrence of cancrinite-group minerals*

208 Cancrinite-group minerals are typical of silica-undersaturated rocks and rich in alkalis, 209 particularly Na. They are commonly related to alkaline magmatism (Deer et al. 2004). Cancrinite210 group minerals are widespread both in intrusive igneous rocks, such as nepheline syenites, and in 211 extrusive rocks, such as phonolites. In addition, they can be found in metasomatic environments 212 related to alkaline magmas and/or limestone wallrocks.

213 Pekov et al. (2011a) suggested the distinction between cancrinite and davyne subgroups to 214 have also a genetic relevance, being the minerals of the first subgroup most commonly found in 215 intrusive environments and those of the second subgroup in effusive and metasomatic settings. 216 Cancrinite-subgroup minerals are widespread in intrusive alkaline magmatic complexes, where they 217 can crystallize: 1) as primary phases during the late-magmatic stage, typical of vein- and dyke-218 intrusions or pegmatites (*e.g*. Erd and Czamanske 1983; Deer et al. 2004), or 2) as secondary phases 219 from hydrothermal alteration of pre-existing minerals (*e.g*. Bell et al. 1996; Sindern and Kramm 220 2000; Fall et al. 2007).

221 The davyne-subgroup minerals can be typically found in effusive and metasomatic 222 environments, especially in lithic blocks within pyroclastic, tephritic and pumice deposits. They 223 generally occur in cavities of skarn-like rocks, likely formed as thermal metamorphic and 224 metasomatic products, around the magmatic chamber walls (*e.g.* Deer et al. 2004; Fulignati et al. 225 2005; Sapozhnikov 2010; Harlow and Bender 2013).

226

227 **Description of the compressional and expansion behavior: the equations of state**

228 For a comparative analysis of the elastic behavior of cancrinite-group minerals, their volume 229 compressibility and expansivity parameters are here determined by fitting the experimental unit-cell 230 data to *P*-*V* and *T*-*V* equations of state. The isothermal Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (BM-231 EoS) was adopted for the high-pressure data. The BM-EoS is based on the assumption that the 232 strain energy in a solid can be described as a Taylor series in the Eulerian finite strain,

233
$$
f_e = [(V_0/V)^{2/3} - 1]/2
$$
 (Birch 1947; Angel 2000).

234 In the H*P*-studies of cancrinite-group minerals (Lotti et al. 2012, 2014a, 2014b; Lotti 2014), 235 the polynomial truncated to third order in energy (III-BM EoS) was used, with the following form:

236
$$
P = 3K_0f_e(1+2f_e)^{5/2}\left[1+3/2(K'-4)f_e\right],
$$

237 where K_0 is the isothermal bulk modulus, *i.e.* the inverse of the volume compressibility:

238
$$
K_0 = 1/\beta_V = -V \cdot (\partial P/\partial V)
$$
,

239 and *K'* is its pressure-derivative (*i.e.* $K' = \partial K_0 / \partial P$). When a BM-EoS is truncated to second order in 240 energy, $K' = 4$ is implied. "Linearized" BM-equations of state, for which the cube of a linear 241 parameter (*e.g.* unit-cell edge) replaces the volume, were adopted to fit the experimental *l*-*P* data 242 and, therefore, to describe the anisotropy of the elastic behavior. The obtained "linear-*K*0" (Angel 243 2000) is one third of the inverse of the linear volume compressibility: $K_{l0} = 1/3\beta_l$.

244 The elastic behavior in the low-*T* range (ca. 110-293 K) was described by Gatta et al. 245 (2012a, 2013a, 2013b) fitting the experimental data with an equation directly derived from the 246 thermodynamic definition of the thermal expansion coefficient:

247
$$
\alpha_V = V^1(\partial V/\partial T), V(T) = V_0 e^{[\alpha (T-T)]},
$$

248 assuming a constant α_V value due to the low number of the experimental data. The high-temperature 249 elastic behavior of cancrinite was described by Gatta et al. (2014) with a modified second-order 250 polynomial equation proposed by Berman (1988) and implemented in the EOSFIT7 software 251 (Angel et al. 2014):

252
$$
V(T) = V_0[1 + a_0(T - T_0) - 2(10a_0 + a_1)(T^{1/2} - T_0^{-1/2})],
$$

253 where $a_V = a_0 + a_1(T - T_0)$, a_0 and a_1 are two refinable parameters.

255 **Compressional behavior of the cancrinite-group minerals**

256 The *P*-induced evolution of the unit-cell parameters of the studied cancrinite-group minerals 257 is shown in Figure 4, and the elastic parameters, refined from BM-EoS fits, are listed in Table 2. 258 These parameters show that all the studied minerals have similar bulk muduli at room conditions, 259 where the refined *K*0 values (∼47 GPa) fall in the range so far reported for open-framework silicates 260 (Gatta 2008, 2010; Gatta and Lee 2014). Moreover, all the members of the group share the same 261 elastic anisotropy scheme, where the most compressible direction, along the **c** crystallographic axis, 262 corresponds to the stacking of S6R-layers (Figure 1). Besides the similar anisotropy scheme, the 263 calculated K_a : K_c ratios (Table 2) suggest a different behavior for the cancrinite- and the davyne-264 subgroup minerals, with elastic anisotropy more pronounced in the formers.

265 If the *P*-induced elastic response of davyne and balliranoite is virtually identical within 266 estimated standard deviations (Figure 4; Table 2; Lotti et al. 2014a,b), a comparison of the 267 cancrinite and vishnevite behaviors shows a different scenario. Vishnevite clearly shows a 268 significant increase in compressibility, related to a structural re-arrangement of the channel 269 population (Lotti 2014) at *P* > 3.43 GPa. In cancrinite, an apparent softening is observed at higher 270 pressures (*P* > 4.62 GPa; Lotti et al. 2012) by the evolution of the unit-cell parameters with *P*, but 271 without any significant change in the evolution of structural parameters at the atomic scale. For both 272 cancrinite and vishnevite, the change in the elastic behavior at high pressure leads to an increase of 273 the elastic anisotropy (Table 2). Nevertheless, the elastic parameters reported in Table 2 suggest that 274 the minerals of this subgroup share the same bulk compressibility and elastic anisotropy at ambient 275 conditions and, more generally, in the low-*P* regime (Figure 4).

276 The same conclusion is valid for the synthetic hydroxycancrinite (Table 2), a cancrinite-277 subgroup compound studied at high-pressure by Oh et al. (2011). It is worth noting that Oh et al. 278 (2011) also reported a subtle discontinuity in the *P*-*V* data between 1.9 and 2.5 GPa, although not 279 supported by structural data. The only other compound of the cancrinite group studied at high

280 pressure is the synthetic $\text{Na}_6\text{Cs}_2[\text{Ga}_6\text{Ge}_6\text{O}_{24}]\text{·Ge}(\text{OH})_6$ (Gatta and Lee 2008), which is the only one 281 with a non-aluminosilicate framework studied at high pressure. To the different composition of the 282 tetrahedral framework is likely ascribable the reported higher bulk compressibility (Table 2). The 283 pronounced compression in the low-P regime of the $(Ga,Ge)O₄$ tetrahedra is, at least partially, 284 responsible for the lower K_0 and for the elastic stiffening with increasing pressure (as suggested by 285 the higher *K'*), if compared to the natural compounds all showing a "quasi-rigid" Si- or Al-286 tetrahedra.

287 All the cancrinite-group compounds studied at high pressure were found to be stable up to 288 the highest pressures investigated (Table 2), with no hints of structural collapse or amorphization. In 289 particular, davyne and balliranoite showed stability up to ∼ 7 GPa without phase transitions or 290 change of the compressional behavior (Lotti et al. 2014a, 2014b).

291

292 **Thermal behavior of cancrinite-group minerals**

293 Several studies have been devoted to the high-temperature behavior of cancrinite-group 294 compounds. We will provide a brief overview of the behavior of natural samples, starting from the 295 *in situ* single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of cancrinite *sensu stricto* performed by Gatta et al. 296 (2014), who reported a very slow dehydration process at 748 K, which took 12 days to reach 297 structural relaxation. Similarly, Sirbescu and Jenkins (1999) reported a thermogravimetric analysis 298 of a synthetic sample of cancrinite (0.06 K/min average heating rate) which needed 10 days to fully 299 dehydrate at 1058 K, with an intense weight-loss peak in the range 570-770 K. These results are in 300 good agreement with the structure refinement performed by Gatta et al. (2014) at *T* above the 301 dehydration temperature (*i.e.* 748 K), showing the presence of residual H2O molecules within the 302 *can* unit cages. It is worth noting the stability, or metastability, of the "quasi-anhydrous" cancrinite 303 reported by Gatta et al. (2014) at least in the range 748-823 K, above which a broadening of the 304 diffraction peaks suggested an impending decomposition or a severe deterioration of the long-range

305 order of the crystal. Interestingly, the dehydration was reported to be irreversible at the time-scale 306 of the experiment, as confirmed by the diffraction data collected after the high-*T* ramp. The 307 dehydration of a natural cancrinite was also reported by Isupova et al. (2010) by *in situ* single-308 crystal X-ray diffraction at 623 K, above which a dehydrated form was reported to be crystalline at 309 least up to 823 K. An irreversible dehydration was also reported by Ballirano et al. (1995), on basis 310 of an *ex situ* single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiment at room-*T* on a cancrinite sample 311 previously heated up to 873 K.

312 These experimental observations may be coupled with the findings of natural partially 313 anhydrous cancrinite crystals in volcanic alkaline rocks at the Eifel region, for which Zubkova et al. 314 (2011) suggested the loss of H₂O in response to the roasting of the crystals during the effusive 315 process. The high-*T* behavior of a natural cancrinite, showing superstructure reflections along the **c**-316 axis, was also studied by Hassan et al. (2006) by *in situ* synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction, 317 reporting a discontinuity in the evolution of the unit-cell parameters at 777 K and a complete 318 dehydration at 898 K. Loss of $CO₂$ was reported to occur at 1223 K by Hassan (1996a) on the basis 319 of a TG-DTG analysis of a natural cancrinite, which was observed to melt at 1528 K. Sirbescu and 320 Jenkins (1999) reported unchanged $CO₃²$ signals from *ex situ* infra-red analysis of previously 321 heated synthetic cancrinite up to 1153 K, where decomposition to a mixture of nepheline + haüyne 322 was observed.

323 To the best of our knowledge, no high-temperature studies of the SO_4^2 -end member of the 324 cancrinite subgroup (*i.e.* vishnevite) are available in the open literature. However, the high-*T* 325 behavior of the K-rich pitiglianoite was performed by Bonaccorsi et al. (2007) by *in situ* single-326 crystal X-ray diffraction and FTIR spectroscopy. These authors reported a unit-cell expansion up to 327 499 K, followed by a dehydration process with unit-cell contraction in the range 499-676 K and a 328 further expansion between 676 and 914 K. The dehydration was coupled with a partial and 329 irreversible migration of the channel- K^+ cations into the *can* cages and cage-Na⁺ into the channel

330 sites, which likely played a role in the minor re-adsorption of H2O observed with decreasing 331 temperature. These data point out the significant influence of the extraframework constituents on 332 the (P,T) -induced transformations of cancrinite-group minerals. The presence of $CO₂$ molecules, 333 along with SO_4^2 anions, within the structural channels was confirmed by FTIR spectra, which 334 suggested, as reported by Bonaccorsi et al. (2007), a full loss of $CO₂$ at $T \ge 723$ K.

335 The major difference between the high-*T* behavior of the cancrinite- and davyne-subgroup 336 minerals can be found in the (nominally) anhydrous nature of the latter. Bonaccorsi et al. (1995) 337 reported the high-*T* study of both *P*6₃-davyne and *P*6₃-microsommite, by *in situ* single-crystal X-338 ray diffraction, observing a similar thermal expansion with a clear discontinuity in the evolution of 339 the cell parameters and a decrease of the thermal expansivity along the **c**-axis (Table 2) at 473 (for 340 davyne) and 433 K (for microsommite). This discontinuity is due to the displacive phase transition 341 to the *P*63/*m* space group, induced by the expansion of the *dzc* chains, *via* tetrahedral tilting, to the 342 geometric limit for which the inter-tetrahedral O3-O4-O3 angle is 180° (O3-O3-O3 in space group 343 *P*63/*m*, Figure 5). This symmetry-constraint prevents a further expansion of the *dzc* chains by 344 tetrahedral tilting and is responsible for the decrease of the thermal expansivity along the [0001] 345 direction.

346 Bonaccorsi et al. (1995) also reported the high-*T* behavior of a davyne sample with $P6_3/m$ 347 symmetry at room conditions, for which insignificant thermal expansion along the **c**-axis was 348 observed even at the low-temperature regime (Table 2). The high-*T* behavior of microsommite was 349 later studied by Bonaccorsi et al. (2001), by *in situ* single-crystal X-ray diffraction and Monte Carlo 350 simulation, in order to define the order-disorder processes of the extraframework population. The 351 authors reported a full disorder (*i.e*. in response to a complete microsommite-to-davyne structure 352 transformation) at the critical temperature of 1023 K.

353 If the *T*-induced dehydration may act as a destabilizing factor affecting the cancrinite-354 subgroup compounds, in the nominally anhydrous davyne-subgroup minerals a potential source of 355 thermal instability is represented by the *T*-induced phase transition to the $P63/m$ space group, which 356 hinders the deformation mechanisms able to accommodate the structural expansion along the **c**-axis 357 *via* tetrahedral tilting.

358 When not affected by dehydration processes, all minerals of the cancrinite group show 359 comparable bulk thermal expansivities (Hassan et al. 2006; Gatta et al. 2012a, 2013a, 2013b, 2014), 360 and the stacking direction of the 6-rings layers ([0001]-axis) is the most expandable one. Two 361 different anisotropic patterns can be defined for the minerals belonging to the two subgroups, more 362 pronounced for cancrinite-subgroup minerals (Table 2).

363

364 **Mechanisms of crystal structure deformation at non-ambient conditions**

365 *- Mechanisms of framework deformation*

366 The different coordination environment of the Na⁺ cation, compared with that of Ca^{2+} 367 (Figure 2), leads to a framework contraction of cancrinite and vishnevite in the (0001) plane already 368 at room conditions. Moreover, beside the different ditrigonal character of the S6R⊥[0001] (Figure 369 1), a different configuration of the channel voids is also observed among the cancrinite-group 370 minerals. In cancrinite subgroup, the ∼ 1:1 ratio of the symmetry-independent O1-O1_{12R} and O3-371 O412R diameters (Figure 6) gives an almost circular shape of the section perpendicular to the **c** axis; 372 in davyne subgroup, a markedly hexagonal section occurs (Figure 1), with a smaller effective pore 373 width (*sensu* Baerlocher et al. 2007). In addition, the presence of $[Ca \cdot Cl]^+$ clusters in the cages 374 and/or SO_4^2 anions (with associated K⁺ cations) in the channels leads to an expansion of the dzc 375 chains along [0001] already at room conditions. As a consequence, the *dzc* chains are more 376 expanded in vishnevite than cancrinite and in davyne than balliranoite.

377 The different extraframework population in cancrinite- and davyne-subgroup members is 378 not only the source for the different framework configurations at ambient conditions, but also the 379 cause of the different distortion mechanisms in response to temperature or pressure. In the 380 following paragraphs, we provide a model of the structural evolution of cancrinite-group minerals at 381 non-ambient conditions, on the basis of the high-*P* studies of Lotti (2014) and Lotti et al. (2012, 382 2014a, 2014b) and the low- and high-*T* studies of Bonaccorsi et al. (1995, 2007), Hassan et al. 383 (2006), Isupova et al. (2010) and Gatta et al. (2012a, 2013a, 2013b, 2014).

384 The analysis of the *T*- and *P*-induced evolution of the Si-O-Al inter-tetrahedral angles shows 385 that two distinct trends can be defined for the cancrinite- and davyne-subgroup minerals, 386 respectively. In cancrinite subgroup, all the four symmetry-independent Si-O-Al angles vary with *T* 387 or *P* (Figure 7, Tables 3 and 4 - deposited). In davyne subgroup, no significant variations of the Si-388 O2-Al angle are observed and the Si-O1-Al angle always shows the greatest change with *T* or *P* 389 (Figure 7, Tables 3 and 4). These different trends are the basis for different deformation 390 mechanisms in the two subgroups.

391 The framework deformation along [0001] is controlled by the tilting of the tetrahedra 392 forming the *dzc* chains, around the O3 and O4 hinges (Figures 1 and 5), which correlates with the 393 Si-O3-Al and Si-O4-Al inter-tetrahedral angles. The behavior of these angles with *P* and *T* does not 394 show any significant difference between the two subgroups of minerals (Tables 3 and 4). The same 395 deformation mechanism accommodates the strain along the **c**-axis: the expansion or compression of 396 the *dzc* chains is well described by the change in the O3-O4-O3 angle (Figures 5 and 6; Tables 3 397 and 4). When the maximum expansion limit of 180° is reached, the displacive phase transition from 398 the *P*6₃ to the *P*6₃/*m* space group occurs (Bonaccorsi et al. 1995). Similarly, the reverse transition 399 occurs when pressure is applied to a davyne sample showing *P*63/*m* symmetry at room conditions 400 (Lotti et al. 2014a).

411

412 *- Behavior of extraframework population at non-ambient conditions*

18 413 The high-*P* and low-*T* studies of cancrinite (Lotti et al. 2012; Gatta et al. 2012a) and 414 vishnevite (Lotti 2014) showed, in response to pressure or low temperature, a compression of the 415 first tetrahedral shell of the Na coordination environment into the cage (involving three O2 and the 416 closer Ow sites) and an anisotropic expansion of the second shell (Tables 3 and 4). In other words, 417 the distortion of the bipyramidal polyhedron is further enhanced in a "compressive" regime (*i.e*. 418 high-*P* and low-*T*), leading to an increasingly tetrahedral form of the Na-coordination. In contrast, 419 only minor changes occur for the Ca-O bonds in balliranoite and davyne at the same conditions 420 (Tables 3 and 4; Gatta et al. 2013a, 2013b; Lotti et al. 2014a, 2014b). This markedly different 421 behavior reflects the different evolution with *T* and *P* of the Si-O2-Al inter-tetrahedral angle 422 between the two subgroups (Tables 3 and 4). In fact, the inhibited tetrahedral rotation around the O2 423 hinge (Figure 6) in davyne-subgroup minerals prevents the migration of this oxygen toward the Ca^{2+} cation and, as a consequence, a significant compression of the Ca-O2 bonds (Figure 2). Such a 425 different behavior may be ascribed to the nature of the cage cations and their different coordination

426 configuration: the longer Ca-O bond lengths, if compared to Na-O ones, can explain the less 427 pronounced compression of the Ca-O2 bonds (than the Na-O2) in response to the applied *P* (or low-428 *T*), leading to different framework deformation mechanisms than those observed in Na-members.

429 The analysis of the high-temperature behavior of cancrinite showed the same mechanisms 430 previously observed at low-*T* and high-*P*, but opposite in sign, at least at temperatures below the 431 start of dehydration (Table 4; Hassan et al. 2006; Isupova et al. 2010; Gatta et al. 2014). In response 432 to dehydration, an inversion in the *T*-induced trend of the Si-O2-Al inter-tetrahedral angle can be 433 observed from the H*T* studies of Isupova et al. (2010) and Gatta et al. (2014) (Table 4), likely to 434 minimize both the Na-O1 and Na-O2 bond lengths in order to counterbalance the loss of H_2O -435 oxygen atoms. This mechanism concurs to the stability (or metastability) of the observed quasi-436 anhydrous form of cancrinite in Nature.

437 The compression/expansion of the chemical bonds of the channel cations $(M-O_{framework}, M =$ 438 Na, Ca, K) is strictly related to the distortion of the *dzc* chains along [0001]. In cancrinite, 439 balliranoite and davyne, no substantial change in the coordination of channel cations and anions 440 was observed at non-ambient conditions (Gatta et al. 2012a, 2013a, 2013b; Lotti et al. 2012, 2014a, 441 2014b). Therefore, for these minerals, the channel extraframework population apparently does not 442 have a significant effect on the behavior in response to the applied temperature or pressure. In 443 contrast, a re-arrangement of the channel population apparently occurs in vishnevite at $P \ge 3.43(4)$ 444 GPa, coupled with a significant increase in compressibility (Lotti 2014). In vishnevite, a 445 displacement of the SO_4^2 tetrahedra around the 63-axis is followed by a significant migration of the 446 Na⁺ cations towards the center of the channel. However, the marked increase in compressibility and 447 the unusual short K-O bonds suggest a metastable nature of the observed high-*P* configuration of 448 vishnevite (Lotti 2014). Nevertheless, these data show that even the channel constituents, and in 449 particular the cations bonding environment, might control the behavior and the stability of some 450 cancrinite-group species at non-ambient conditions. This is also substantiated by the high-*T*

451 behavior of pitiglianoite (Bonaccorsi et al. 2007), for which, at temperatures above the dehydration 452 process, an irreversible Na⁺ / K⁺ cationic exchange occur between channels and cages, with the 453 larger cations occupying the *can* units in the new high-*T* structural configuration.

454

455 **Implications**

456 The studies performed during the last decade on the behavior of cancrinite-group minerals at 457 non-ambient conditions, and here reviewed, allowed the experimental determination of the thermo-458 elastic parameters of the most important end-members of this group (Table 2), whose 459 implementation in the thermodynamic datasets can be used for a better modelling of the complex 460 equilibria relating to natural rocks. Often, thermodynamic data (here isothermal compressibility or 461 isobaric thermal expansivity) are "estimated", when not known experimentally (*e.g*. Holland and 462 Powell 2011), giving rise to potentially crude results.

463 The crystal chemistry of cancrinite-group minerals is somehow unique: they are among the 464 few silicates which contain structurally incorporated H₂O and anionic groups as CO_3^2 ², $C_2O_4^2$ ², 465 $SO₄²$ or Cl⁻. Their open-frameworks allow also additional molecules to be entrapped into the 466 cavities, including CO2. Della Ventura et al. (2007, 2008) reported, on the basis of infra-red 467 spectroscopic analyses, the presence of strong rim-to-core inhomogeneities in the volatile 468 composition of cancrinite-group minerals due to a CO_2/CO_3^2 substitution, pointing out the 469 sensitiveness of these compounds to the physical-chemical conditions of the crystallization 470 environments and suggesting their potential application as geochemical markers.

471 The high-*T* experiments made on the cancrinite group show that the anhydrous forms keep 472 their crystallinity even at 800-900 K, which is very high temperature for microporous structures. 473 High-*T* studies of cancrinite *sensu stricto* (*e.g*. Sirbescu and Jenkins 1999; Gatta et al. 2014) report 474 slow kinetics of dehydration under equilibrium conditions, if compared to other hydrous

475 microporous silicates, *e.g*. zeolites. Dehydration may likely occur under non-equilibrium conditions 476 in xenoliths involved in volcanic processes. Nevertheless, the stability, or mestability, of the 477 anhydrous (or partially-hydrous) form of cancrinite is reported in the literature (*e.g*. Ballirano et al. 478 1995; Hassan et al. 2006; Gatta et al. 2014) and is also confirmed by its occurrence in xenoliths 479 within alkaline basalts (Zubkova et al. 2011). The experiments on the *T*-induced dehydration of 480 cancrinite under equilibrium conditions (Sirbescu and Jenkins 1999; Gatta et al. 2014) suggests that 481 this process would occur at temperatures not lower than 650-750 K, at 0.0001 GPa. The combined 482 effect of *P* and *T* on the behavior of cancrinite-group minerals is basically unknown, and this, on 483 one hand, hinders a deep discussion on the petrological implications but, on the other hand, should 484 act as a stimulus for new experiments in this direction. The sub-solidus stabilities of some 485 cancrinite-group minerals were studied by Edgar (1964), who reported that cancrinite and 486 hydroxycancrinite were still stable at 1070 K and 0.13 GPa $P(H_2O)$, suggesting that, at a high-487 enough carbonate fugacity, cancrinite should be stable at liquidus conditions.

488 The experimental findings of the host-guest interactions and of the structural deformation 489 mechanisms at high *T* and *P* show the relevant role played by the extraframework constituents, and 490 in particular of the cage population (Figure 2), on the behavior of cancrinite-group minerals at non-491 ambient conditions. The *T*-induced mutual migration of K and Na between channels and cages in 492 pitiglianoite, at temperature above the dehydration process (Bonaccorsi et al. 2007), suggests the 493 potential high-thermal stability of the K-bearing members of the group, which should be further 494 investigated at high-*T* conditions. The modeling of the host-guest interactions at non-ambient 495 conditions provides a more robust background for the potential application of these compounds in 496 several technological fields: for example, by defining the high-*T* stability for a safe use of hydrous 497 cancrinite-subgroup compounds as solid storage-materials of alkaline wastes or for tailoring 498 preparation processes (Bao et al. 2005; Riley et al. 2012). Common precipitation of cancrinite-499 group compounds were also reported from caustic nuclear waste solutions (*e.g.* Buck and

500 McNamara 2004; Rivera et al. 2011; Wang and Um 2013). The knowledge of the host-guest 501 interactions in cancrinite-group materials with the structural cages occupied by large monovalent 502 cations (*e.g.* K^+ , Cs^+ , Rb^+) is still poor, as well as their behavior under *T* or *P*. This configuration is 503 shown only by tiptopite (which contains K^+) among the mineralogical species, but the synthesis of 504 several Cs-cancrinites has successfully been reported (*e.g*. Colella and de' Gennaro 1989; Norby et 505 al. 1991; Lee et al. 2000; Fechtelkord et al. 2001; Bieniok et al. 2005; Gatta and Lee 2008), whose 506 thermal (and compressional) stability is still unknown. The confinement of Cs^+ (or Rb^+) cations 507 inside the *can* units, with their small effective pore widths (*sensu* Baerlocher et al. 2007), suggests 508 the potential application of cancrinite-group minerals as nuclear waste storage materials, as recently 509 reported for other classes of microporous materials (*e.g.* Gatta et al. 2008b, 2009a, 2009b, 2012b; 510 Sanchez-Valle et al. 2010). In this light, experiments on the thermo-elastic behavior, (*P,T*)-phase 511 stability and chemical stability (by leaching processes) of Cs-cancrinites are necessary, for their 512 potential utilization.

513 The behavior at non-ambient conditions of the cancrinite-group minerals shows, beside the 514 potential destabilizing effects of temperature (*e.g*. dehydration in the cancrinite subgroup, *P*63-to-515 *P*63/*m* phase transition in the compounds of davyne subgroup), a high-*P* stability (at room-*T*), which 516 is quite surprising if we consider their microporous nature. The *P*-induced stability is the effect of a 517 structural flexibility, which in turn is based mainly on tilting of rigid tetrahedra around O atoms that 518 behave as hinges. In cancrinite-group minerals, the *P*-induced tilting of tetrahedra usually leads to 519 continuous re-arrangements of the framework without any phase transition. As observed in other 520 classes of open-framework silicates (*e.g.* zeolites), flexibility and microporosity do not necessarily 521 imply high compressibility: the cancrinite-group minerals are less or similarly compressible than 522 other rock-forming minerals (*e.g*. α-quartz, Angel et al. 1997; Na-rich feldspars, Benusa et al. 2005; 523 tri- and di-octahedral micas, Zanazzi and Pavese 2002). In this light, the high-*P* experimental

- 524 findings on cancrinite-group minerals, here reviewed, corroborate the conclusion of Gatta (2008) on
- 525 zeolites, which can also be extended to this group of feldspathoids: "*porous does not mean soft*".

526

528 **Acknowledgements**

529 The authors thank Fernando Càmara (Univ. of Turin), Volker Kahlenberg (Univ. of Innsbruck), 530 Marco Merlini and Nicola Rotiroti (Univ. of Milan) and Fabio Bellatreccia (Univ. of Roma Tre) for 531 their significant contribution to the research project on the behavior of cancrinite-group minerals at 532 non-ambient conditions. The Italian Ministry of Education, MIUR Project: "Futuro in Ricerca 2012 533 – ImPACT-RBFR12CLQD" is acknowledged. R.X. Fischer, M. Welch and M. Kunz are thanked 534 for the revision of the manuscript.

535

536 **References**

537 Angel, R.J. (2000) Equations of state. In R.M. Hazen, and R.T. Downs, Eds., High-538 Temperature and High-Pressure Crystal Chemistry, 41, p. 35-60. Reviews in Mineralogy and 539 Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America and Geochemical Society, Chantilly, Virginia.

540 Angel, R.J., Allan, D.R., Miletich, R., and Finger, L.W. (1997) The use of quartz as an 541 internal pressure standard in high-pressure crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 30, 542 461-466.

543 Angel, R.J., Alvaro, M., and Gonzalez-Platas, J. (2014) EosFit7c and a Fortran module 544 (library) for equation of state calculations. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie *,* 229, 405-419.

545 Baerlocher, C., McCusker, L.B., and Olson, D.H. (2007) Atlas of Zeolite Framework Types, 546 6th ed., 398 p. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

547 Ballirano, P., Maras, A., Caminiti, R., and Sadun, C. (1995) Carbonate-cancrinite: in situ 548 real-time thermal processes studied by means of energy-dispersive X-ray powder-diffractometry. 549 Powder Diffraction , 10, 173-177.

- 553 Bell, K., Dunworth, E.A., Bulakh, A.G., and Ivanikov, V.V. (1996) Alkaline rocks of the 554 Turiy pensisula, Russia, including type-locality turjaite and turjite: a review. Canadian Mineralogist 555 , 34, 265-280.
- 556 Benusa, M.T., Angel, R.J., and Ross, N.L.(2005) Compression of Albite, NaAl $Si₃O₈$. 557 American Mineralogist, 90, 1115-1120.

558 Berman, R.G. (1988) Internally-consistent thermodynamic data for minerals in the system 559 Na₂O-K₂O-CaO-MgO-FeO-Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-TiO₂-H₂O-CO₂. Journal of Petrology, 29, 445-522.

560 Bieniok, A., Brendel, U., Paulus, E.F., and Amthauer, G. (2005) Microporous cobalto- and 561 zinco-phosphates with the framework-type of cancrinite. European Journal of Mineralogy, 17, 813- 562 818.

563 Birch, F. (1947) Finite elastic strain of cubic crystals. Physical Review, 71, 809-824.

564 Bonaccorsi, E., and Merlino, S. (2005) Modular microporous minerals: cancrinite-davyne 565 group and C-S-H phases. In G. Ferraris, and S. Merlino, Eds., Micro- and Mesoporous Mineral 566 Phases, 57, p. 241-290. Reviewsin Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of 567 America and Geochemical Society, Chantilly, Virginia.

568 Bonaccorsi, E., Merlino, S., and Pasero, M. (1990). Davyne: its structural relationship with 569 cancrinite and vishnevite. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie-Monatshefte, 97-112.

570 Bonaccorsi, E., Merlino, S., Orlandi, P., Pasero, M., and Vezzalini, G. (1994) Quadridavyne 571 $\left[({\text{Na},K})_6\text{Cl}_2\right]\left[{\text{Ca}_2\text{Cl}_2}\right]\left[{\text{Si}_6\text{Al}_6\text{O}_{24}}\right]$, a new feldspathoid mineral from Vesuvius area. European Journal 572 of Mineralogy, 6, 481-487.

573 Bonaccorsi, E., Comodi, P., and Merlino, S. (1995) Thermal behaviour of davyne-group 574 minerals. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 22, 367-374.

575 Bonaccorsi, E., Merlino, S., Pasero, M., and Macedonio, G. (2001) Microsommite: crystal 576 chemistry, phase transitions, Ising model and Monte Carlo simulations. Physics and Chemistry of 577 Minerals, 28, 509-522.

578 Bonaccorsi, E., Della Ventura, G., Bellatreccia, F., and Merlino, S. (2007) The thermal 579 behaviour and dehydration of pitiglianoite, a mineral of the cancrinite-group. Microporous and 580 Mesoporous Materials, 99, 225-235.

581 Brigatti, M.F., and Guggenheim, S. (2002) Mica crystal chemistry and the influence of 582 pressure, temperature and solid solution on atomistic models. In A. Mottana, F.P. Sassi, J.B. 583 Thompson, and S. Guggenheim, Micas: Crystal Chemistry and Metamorphic Petrology, 46, p. 1-98. 584 Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America and Geochemical 585 Society, Chantilly, Virginia.

586 Buck, E.C., and McNamara, B.K. (2004) Precipitation of nitrate-cancrinite in Hanford tank-587 sludge. Environmental Science and Technology, 38, 4432-4438.

588 Chukanov, N.V., Pekov, I.V., Olysych, L.V., Massa, W., Yakubovich, O.V., Zadov, A.E., 589 Rastsvetaeva, R.K., and Vigasina, M.F. (2010a) Kyanoxalite, a new cancrinite-group mineral 590 species with extraframework oxalate anion from the Lovozero alkaline pluton, Kola peninsula. 591 Geology of Ore Deposits, 52, 778-790.

592 Chukanov, N.V., Zubkova, N.V., Pekov, I.V., Olysych, L.V., Bonaccorsi, E., and 593 Pushcharovsky, D.Y. (2010b). Balliranoite, $(Na,K)_6Ca_2(Si_6Al_6O_{24})Cl_2(CO_3)$, a new cancrinite-group 594 mineral from Monte Somma - Vesuvio volcanic complex, Italy. European Journal of Mineralogy, 595 22, 113-119.

639 Gatta, G.D., Nestola, F., and Boffa Ballaran, T. (2006) Elastic behavior, phase transition and 640 pressure induced structural evolution of analcime. American Mineralogist, 91, 568-578.

660 Gatta, G.D., Lotti, P., Kahlenberg, V., and Haefeker, U. (2012a) The low-temperature 661 behaviour of cancrinite: an in situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Mineralogical Magazine, 662 76, 933-948.

666 Gatta, G.D., Lotti, P., Nénert, G., and Kahlenberg, V. (2013a) On the crystal structure and 667 low-temperature behaviour of davyne: A single-crystal X-ray and neutron diffraction study. 668 Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 185, 137-148.

669 Gatta, G.D., Lotti, P., and Kahlenberg, V. (2013b) The low-temperature behavior of 670 balliranoite (CAN topology): An in situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Microporous and 671 Mesoporous Materials, 174, 44-53.

672 Gatta, G.D., Comboni, D., Alvaro, M., Lotti, P., Càmara, F., and Domeneghetti, M.C. 673 (2014) Thermoelastic behavior and dehydration process of cancrinite. Physics and Chemestry of 674 Minerals, 41, 373-386.

675 Gies, H., Kirchner, R., van Koningsveld, H., and Treacey, M.M.J. (1999) Faulted Zeolite 676 framework structures. Proc. 12th International Zeolite Conference, Baltimore, Maryland, U.S.A., 677 July 5-11, 1998; eds. M.M.J. Treacey, B.K. Marcus, M.E. Bisher, J.B. Higgins, Materials Research 678 Society, Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 2999-3029.

679 Grossman, L. (1980) Refractory inclusions in the Allende meteorite. Annual Review of 680 Earth and Planetary Sciences, 8, 559-608.

681 Grundy, H.D., and Hassan, I. (1982) The crystal structure of a carbonate-rich cancrinite. 682 Canadian Mineralogist, 20, 239-251.

683 Harlow, G.E., and Bender, W. (2013) A study of ruby (corundum) composition from the 684 Mogok Belt, Myanmar: searching for chemical fingerprints. American Mineralogist, 98, 1120-1132.

685 Hassan, I. (1996a) The thermal behavior of cancrinite. Canadian Mineralogist, 34, 893-900.

- 686 Hassan, I. (1996b) Thermal expansion of cancrinite. Mineralogical Magazine, 60, 949-956.
- 687 Hassan, I., and Grundy, H.D. (1984) The character of cancrinite-vishnevite solid solution 688 series. Canadian Mineralogist, 22, 333-340.
- 689 Hassan, I., and Grundy, H.D. (1990) Structure of davyne and implications for stacking 690 faults. Canadian Mineralogist, 28, 341-349.
- 691 Hassan, I., and Buseck, P.R. (1992) The origin of the superstructure and modulations in 692 cancrinite. Canadian Mineralogist, 30, 49-59.
- 693 Hassan, I., Antao, S.M., and Parise, J.B. (2006) Cancrinite: Crystal structure, phase 694 transitions and dehydration behavior with temperature. American Mineralogist, 91, 1117-1124.
- 695 Holland, T.J.B. and Powell, R. (2011) An improved and extended internally consistent 696 thermodynamic dataset for phases of petrological interest, involving a new equation of state for 697 solids. Journal of Metamorphic Geology, 29, 333–383.
- 698 Isupova, D., Ida, A., Kihara, K., Morishita, T., and Bulka, G. (2010) Asymmetric thermal 699 vibrations of atoms and pyroelectricity in cancrinite. Journal of Mineralogical and Petrological 700 Sciences, 105, 29-41.
- 701 Jarchow, O. (1965) Atomanordnung und strukturverfeinerung von cancrinit. Zeitschrift für 702 Kristallographie, 122, 407-422.
- 703 Lee, Y., Parise, J.B., Tripathi, A., Kim, S.J., and Vogt, T. (2000) Synthesis and crystal 704 structures of gallium and germanium variants of cancrinite. Microporous and Mesoporous 705 Materials, 39, 445-455.
- 706 Löns, J. and Schulz, H. (1967) Strukturverfeinerung von Sodalith, Na₈Si₆A1₆O₂₄C1₂. Acta 707 Crystallographica, 23, 434-436.

749 Riley, B.J., Crum, J.V., Matyas, J., McCloy, J.S., and Lepry, W.C. (2012) Solution-derived, 750 chloride-containing minerals as a waste form for alkali chlorides. Journal of American Ceramic 751 Society, 95, 3115-3123.

- 776 Zubkova, N.V., Chukanov, N.V., Pekov, I.V., and Pushcharovsky, D.Y. (2011) Low-777 hydrous cancrinite: atomic structure and indicative importance. Doklady Earth Sciences, 439, 998-
- 778 1001.
- 779
- 780

781 **Table 1.** Cancrinite-group minerals.

Note: β_{V0} is the isothermal compressibility coefficient, K_{V0} and K_{V} are the isothermal bulk modulus and its *P*-derivative, respectively, and $\alpha_{V(293K)}$ is the thermal expansion coefficient of the unit-cell volume; β_{j0} , K_{j0} , K_{j} , and $\alpha_{j(293K)}$ are the co-respective axial coefficients (with $j = a$, *c*);

References - *a*: Lotti et al. (2012); *b*: Hassan et al. (2006); *c*: Gatta et al. (2012a); *d*: Gatta et al. (2014); *e*: Lotti (2014); *f*: Lotti et al. (2014b); *g*: Gatta et al. (2013b); *h*: Lotti et al. (2014a); *i*: Gatta et al. (2013a); *j*: Bonaccorsi et al. (1995); *k*: Oh et al. (2010); *l*: Gatta and Lee (2008); * Calculated from published data; # Synthetic compounds.

⁷⁹⁸**Table 3 (deposited).** Relevant inter-tetrahedral angles (°), voids diameters (Å), bond distances (Å) and the ditrigonal rotation angle (°) at different 799 pressures of cancrinite-group minerals.

800

⁸⁰²**Table 4 (deposited).** Relevant inter-tetrahedral angles (°), voids diameters (Å), bond distances (Å) and the ditrigonal rotation angle (°) at different 803 temperatures of cancrinite-group minerals.

Cancrinite (Gatta et al. 2012a)

Vishnevite (Lotti 2014)

Balliranoite (Gatta et al. 2013b)

Davyne (Gatta et al. 2013a)

Pitiglianoite** (Bonaccorsi et al. 2007)

298	155.9(4)	144.9(4)	143.2(4)	144.0(4)	$155.7*$	$11.25*$	$4.000*$	$8.953*$	8.835*	$2.954(5)^{Na}$	2.394 $(6)^{Na}$
730	152.7(5)	147.9(6)	139.3(6)	139.6(7)	$152.0*$	$10.03*$	$4.051*$	8.942*	$8.730*$	$2.917(9)^{Na}$	$2.42(1)^{Na}$ $2.82(1)^{K}$
298 $post-HT$	147.9(3)	148.0(2)	136.8(2)	136.5(2)	$147.6*$	$10.00*$	4.078*	8.868*	8.646*	$2.911(4)^{Na}$ 3.122(4) ^K	$2.397(8)^{Na}$ 2.760(5) ^K

 $\alpha_{\text{S6R}\perp[0001]} = \Sigma_i \{1/6\}$ [|120°-θ_i|/2]} (see Figure 6; parameter originally defined for phyllosilicates, Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002) *Calculated from published data; ** Structure refinements performed in the vishnevite subcell.

805 **Figures Captions**

- 806 **Figure 1***. (Top left)* The hexagonal array of single six-membered rings of tetrahedra in the plane 807 perpendicular to the **c**-axis (S6R⊥[0001]). (*Top right*) A portion of a column of *can* units. Two 808 base-sharing cages are shown, along with a portion of a *dzc* chain. *(Bottom left)* The [CAN] 809 framework viewed down [0001] of davyne and *(bottom right)* cancrinite. The S6R⊥[0001] cage-810 bases, the large 12R-channel and the S4R-units are shown.
- 811 **Figure 2.** (*Top*) Schematic view of the Na-H2O and Ca-Cl chains in the columns of *can* units of 812 cancrinite- and davyne-subgroup minerals, respectively. (*Bottom*) Cage-Na⁺ (*left side*) and cage-Ca2+ 813 (*right side*) coordination environments in cancrinite and davyne, respectively. Dashed lines 814 represent mutually exclusive bonds.
- 815 **Figure 3.** (*Left side*) Schematic view (down the **c**-axis) of the extraframework population in the 816 channels of cancrinite-group minerals, showing the cationic sites (C), close to the channel walls, 817 and the anions/molecules positions, at the center of the channel. (*Middle***)** Coordination shell of the $(Na⁺, Ca²⁺)$ cations in the channels of cancrinite and balliranoite. (*Right side*) The two possible and 819 mutually exclusive configurations of the channel population in vishnevite and davyne: the SO_4^2 820 group can coexist with an "external" Na site at the same *z* coordinate, but it is mutually exclusive 821 with the "internal" K site. If the maximum content of one SO_4^2 per formula unit is reached, the 822 channel must be internally ordered. The potential upward and downward configurations of the ${SO_4}^{2-}$ 823 tetrahedra are shown. Dashed lines represent mutually exclusive bonds.
- 824 **Figure 4.** The pressure-induced evolution of the unit cell volume, and *a* and *c* cell edges of 825 cancrinite (Lotti et al. 2012), vishnevite (Lotti 2014), balliranoite (Lotti et al. 2014b) and davyne 826 (Lotti et al. 2014a).
- 827 **Figure 5.** The inter-tetrahedral O3-O4-O3 angle in *P*63 davyne (*left side*) is symmetry-induced to 828 O3-O3-O3 = 180° in $P6_3/m$ davyne (*right side*), for the presence of mirror planes at $z = 0.25$ and 829 0.75.
- 830 **Figure 6.** The [CAN] framework of cancrinite viewed down [0001] (*left side*) and two base-sharing 831 *can* units (*right side*) showing the relevant structural parameters (diameters and angles) reported in 832 Tables 3 and 4.
- 833 **Figure 7.** The pressure-induced evolution of the inter-tetrahedral Si-O1-Al (squares), Si-O2-Al 834 (circles), Si-O3-Al (triangles) and Si-O4-Al (diamonds) angles of cancrinite (Lotti et al. 2012), 835 vishnevite (Lotti 2014), balliranoite (Lotti et al. 2014b) and davyne (Lotti et al. 2014a).
- 836
- 837
- 838
- 839
- 840

841 **Figure 1***. (Top left)* The hexagonal array of single six-membered rings of tetrahedra in the plane 842 perpendicular to the **c**-axis (S6R⊥[0001]). (*Top right*) A portion of a column of *can* units. Two 843 base-sharing cages are shown, along with a portion of a *dzc* chain. *(Bottom left)* The [CAN] 844 framework viewed down [0001] of davyne and *(bottom right)* cancrinite. The S6R⊥[0001] cage-845 bases, the large 12R-channel and the S4R-units are shown.

846

- 848 **Figure 2.** (*Top*) Schematic view of the Na-H2O and Ca-Cl chains in the columns of *can* units of
- 849 cancrinite- and davyne-subgroup minerals, respectively. (*Bottom*) Cage-Na⁺ (*left side*) and cage-
- Ca2+ 850 (*right side*) coordination environments in cancrinite and davyne, respectively. Dashed lines 851 represent mutually exclusive bonds.

854 **Figure 3.** (*Left side*) Schematic view (down the **c**-axis) of the extraframework population in the 855 channels of cancrinite-group minerals, showing the cationic sites (C), close to the channel walls, 856 and the anions/molecules positions, at the center of the channel. (*Middle***)** Coordination shell of the $(Na⁺, Ca²⁺)$ cations in the channels of cancrinite and balliranoite. (*Right side*) The two possible and 858 mutually exclusive configurations of the channel population in vishnevite and davyne: the SO_4^2 859 group can coexist with an "external" Na site at the same *z* coordinate, but it is mutually exclusive 860 with the "internal" K site. If the maximum content of one SO_4^2 per formula unit is reached, the 861 channel must be internally ordered. The potential upward and downward configurations of the SO_4^2 862 tetrahedra are shown. Dashed lines represent mutually exclusive bonds.

863

864

Figure 4. The pressure-induced evolution of the unit cell volume, and *a* and *c* cell edges of 867 cancrinite (Lotti et al. 2012), vishnevite (Lotti 2014), balliranoite (Lotti et al. 2014b) and davyne 868 (Lotti et al. 2014a).

- 871 **Figure 5.** The inter-tetrahedral O3-O4-O3 angle in $P6_3$ davyne (*left side*) is symmetry-induced to
- 872 O3-O3-O3 = 180° in $P6_3/m$ davyne (*right side*), for the presence of mirror planes at $z = 0.25$ and 873 0.75.

875

- **Figure 6.** The [CAN] framework of cancrinite viewed down [0001] (*left side*) and two base-sharing
- *can* units (*right side*) showing the relevant structural parameters (diameters and angles) reported in
- 878 Tables 3 and 4 (deposited).

- 881 **Figure 7.** The pressure-induced evolution of the inter-tetrahedral Si-O1-Al (squares), Si-O2-Al
- 882 (circles), Si-O3-Al (triangles) and Si-O4-Al (diamonds) angles of cancrinite (Lotti et al. 2012),

883 vishnevite (Lotti 2014), balliranoite (Lotti et al. 2014b) and davyne (Lotti et al. 2014a).

884

ow

