

1 **Evaluation of a synthetic emulsifier product supplementation on broiler chicks**

2

3

4 V. Bontempo^a, M. Comi^a, X.R. Jiang^b, R. Rebucci^{a,*}, V. Caprarulo^a, C. Giromini^a, D. Gottardo^a, E.
5 Fusi^a, S. Stella^a, E. Tirloni^a, D. Cattaneo^a, A. Baldi^a

6 ^aDepartment of Health, Animal Science and Food Safety, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan,
7 20133, Italy

8 ^bKey Laboratory of Feed Biotechnology of the Ministry of Agriculture, Feed Research Institute,
9 Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, 100081, China

10

11

12 **Abstract**

13 The digestive physiology of young chickens is characterized by inefficient digestion and absorption
14 of fat due to a low level of natural endogenous lipase production. These evidences have increased the
15 interest on the use of emulsifiers to improve utilization of fats in young chickens and growth
16 performance of broiler. The effect of a synthetic emulsifier on growth performance, meat quality,
17 caecum microbial count, plasma metabolites and hepatic apolipoprotein gene expression was
18 investigated in male broiler chicks. A total of 600 one-day-old ROSS 308 broiler chicks were assigned
19 to 2 experimental groups consisting of 15 pens with 20 birds/ pen each, to compare the different
20 dietary treatments: control diet (CTR) or diet supplemented with AVI-MUL TOP (AMT) at 1 g/kg
21 from day 0 to 12, 0.75 g/kg from day 12 to 22 and 0.5 g/kg from day 22 to 44. Growth performance
22 was determined on days 0, 12, 22, and 44. At the end of the trial (day 44), one chick from each pen
23 was chosen on body weight (BW) basis and sacrificed and samples of blood, liver, caecum content
24 and breast were collected for analysis. AMT supplementation increased BW on days 12 and 22 (P=
25 0.02; P= 0.02) and ADG from day 0 to 12 (P= 0.02), while reduced FCR from day 22 to 44 (P= 0.047)
26 and from day 0 to 44 (P= 0.02). AMT supplementation modified carcass and meat characteristics,

27 increasing dressing percentage(P= 0.01) and b* (yellowness) (P= 0.01) compared to control group.
28 Moreover, AMT dietary supplementation increased total cholesterol (P= 0.02) and HDL cholesterol
29 (P= 0.02) plasma concentrations. No differences between the two treatments were observed in caecum
30 microbial counts and hepatic apolipoprotein gene expression. In conclusion, our findings show that
31 AMT supplementation to broiler chicks may have a beneficial effect on growth performances (BW,
32 ADG and FCR) and carcass dressing and may affect meat colour (b* yellowness) and lipid me-
33 tabolism (cholesterol and HDL)

34

35 **Keywords:** Broiler chicks, Synthetic emulsifier, Growth performance, Meat quality, Plasma
36 metabolite profile, Hepatic gene expression

37

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40 In poultry nutrition, the use of feed additives is a consolidated routine in order to help the birds express
41 their genetic potentials. The digestive physiology of young chickens is characterised by low levels of
42 natural endogenous lipase production, which may alter the digestive metabolism (Noy and Sklan,
43 1995). Therefore, supplementation of emulsifiers, particularly at early stages of developmental
44 growth, allows the chicks to improve digestion and absorption of the fats usually added to their diet
45 in order to increase energy concentration and growth performance (San Tan et al., 2016). Emulsifiers
46 can reduce the surface tension of water, increase penetration and improve the distribution of water in
47 press meal (vander Heijden and de Haan, 2010). Roy et al. (2010) reported that supplementation of
48 exogenous emulsifiers in diets containing moderate quantities of added vegetable fats might
49 substantially improve broiler performance. These results were confirmed by Bontempo et al. (2016)
50 who showed that supplementation with a synthetic emulsifier could improve growth performance of
51 broiler chicks. Emulsifier, such as lecithin, has been reported to reduce free fatty acid absorption,
52 probably by increasing the size of bile salt micelles, which diffuse more slowly through the luminal

53 water interface, hindering the delivery of free fatty acids to the absorptive cell surface (Saunders and
54 Sillery, 1976). Furthermore, Zhang et al. (2011) demonstrated that the supplementation of emulsifier
55 improved the growth performance of broiler chickens in the starter period by increasing fatty acid
56 digestibility. However, the effects of emulsifiers—in association with vegetable oils—on fat utilization
57 have not been thoroughly investigated yet, even though the interest in using exogenous emulsifiers
58 has increased in the last several decades. Thus, this study was conducted to assess the effect of a
59 synthetic emulsifier product AVI-MUL TOP (AMT), consisting of a vegetal bidistilled oleic acid and
60 glycerol polyethylene glycolricinoleate. In particular, the effect of AMT addition was evaluated on:
61 growth performance, meat quality and caecum microbial counts, plasma metabolite profile and
62 hepatic gene expression of Apolipoprotein A-I (Apo A-I) and Apolipoprotein B (Apo B) as relevant
63 fat transportation related genes in male broiler chicks.

64

65 **2. Materials and methods**

66 The experimental protocol was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of
67 the University of Milan. The experiment was performed at the facility of Animal Production Research
68 and Teaching Centre of the Polo Veterinario, Università degli Studi di Milano (Lodi, Italy). The
69 emulsifier product AVI-MUL TOP (AMT, SEVECOM S.P.A., Milan, Italy), consisting of 50
70 g/kg vegetal bi-distilled oleic acid emulsified with 50 g/kg ethoxylated castor oil E484, which belongs
71 to the glycerol polyethylene glycolricinoleate family (Community Register of Feed Additives - EU
72 Reg. No. 1831/2003), was mixed with the other ingredients before the pelleting process to increase
73 the humidity, reduce the pellet press energy consumption and improve pellet quality by modulating
74 the moisture content during the pelleting process. Animal fat consisting of 50 g/kg poultry fat and 50
75 g/kg lard was used in the grower and finisher phases, while vegetable oils (soybean oil) was used in
76 the starter phase.

77

78 *2.1. Animals and housing*

79 A total of 600 male birds ROSS 308 were obtained from a local hatchery at one day of age, weighed
80 and randomly assigned to one of two experimental groups: control diet without emulsifier
81 supplementation (CTR) and control diet containing AMT (1 g/kg in-clusion rate of feed from day 0
82 to 12, 0.75 g/kg of feed from day 12 to 22 and 0.5 g/kg of feed from day 22 to 44 of the trial). Each
83 group consisted of 15 pens (replicate) containing 20 birds per pen (2.5 m × 1.00 m). All chickens
84 were vaccinated against Marek's disease, Newcastle disease and infectious bronchitis via coarse
85 spraying at hatching. The diets (Table 1) were formulated to meet the nutrient requirements defined
86 by the National Research Council (NRC) (1994) for a starter from day 0 to 12, a grower from day 12
87 to 22 and a finisher from day 22 to 44. Pens, equipped with chain feeder system and automatic nipple
88 cup drinker for water distribution, were bedded with shavings of white wood. Feed and water were
89 provided for ad libitum consumption. Light cycle and temperature were the same in the two
90 experimental groups. The photoperiod was 24 h of light from day 0 to day 7 and 23 h of light from
91 day 7 to the end of the trial. Room temperature was 35 °C from day one to day three and was then
92 decreased by 2.5 °C per week to a final temperature of 21 °C at the end of the trial (44 days).

93

94 *2.2. Data collection and sampling*

95 Body weight and feed intake were recorded at 0, 12, 22, and 44 days of age for each replicate to
96 determine average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed conversion ratio
97 (FCR). Mortality was recorded twice daily and dead animals were removed and weighted. At the end
98 of the trial (44 days), one bird from each pen was selected on average pen weight basis and sacrificed
99 by exsanguination from jugular vein. Previously, the birds were stunned in a water bath (125 Hz AC,
100 80 mA/birds, 5 s). Blood samples were collected into heparinized test tubes and immediately
101 centrifuged at 3 000 ×g for 10 min at 4 °C. Plasma aliquots were stored at -20 °C until analysis for
102 plasma metabolite profile. Portions (50–100 mg) of liver were sampled, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen
103 and stored at -80 °C until analysis for lipid content and apolipoprotein gene expression. The dressing
104 was calculated by dividing the eviscerated weight by the live weight. Breast muscle was removed and

105 weighed and the breast muscle yield was calculated as the percentage of eviscerated weight. Breast
106 muscles were stored individually in plastic bags at 4 °C until subsequent analysis of meat quality.

107

108 *2.3. Meat quality*

109 Breast muscle pH was tested at a depth of 2.5 cm below the surface 24 h after sacrifice, using a
110 combined glass-penetrating electrode (Ingold, Mettler Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland). Colour
111 measurements were performed on the carcass surface over the breast muscles and on a freshly
112 exposed cut surface of muscle. A Minolta CR-300 chromameter (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) was set to
113 the L* (lightness), a* (redness), and b* (yellowness) according to the CIE scale (CIE, 1986).

114 Water holding capacity (WHC) was determined on breast muscle using the method of Jauregui et al.
115 (1981), with some modifications. Briefly, 1.5 ± 0.3 g of lean muscle was inserted into a pre-weighed
116 (W1) funnel made of four layers of grade 1 filter paper (Whatman International, Maidstone, UK).
117 The funnel with the sample was weighed (W2), put into a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 15 000
118 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The muscle sample was then removed from the funnel, which was weighed
119 again (W3). WHC was calculated as the percentage of water weight lost from the sample, according
120 to the following formula:

$$121 \quad (W3-W1)/(W2-W1) * 100$$

122 where W3-W1=water weight (absorbed by the paper), and W2-W1=initial meat weight.

123 For cooking loss determination, each breast was weighed and sealed in a plastic cooking bag and
124 cooked by immersion in an 85 °C water bath until the internal endpoint temperature reached 80 °C.
125 Internal temperature was measured with cooking thermometers introduced into the thickest part of
126 each breast muscle in each cooking batch. After cooking, the samples were chilled by immersion of
127 the bags in an ice water bath for 30 min. Each piece of breast was then removed from its bag and
128 weighed, and cooking loss was calculated.

129 Muscles were tempered at 20 °C for 30 min to equilibrate temperatures, and then six probes (1.27 cm
130 in diameter) were obtained from the centre of each muscle in line with the fibres. The probes were

131 cut parallel to the longitudinal orientation of muscle fibres; the peak shear force was measured
132 (Warner-Bratzler blade speed 200 mm/min). The tenderness of the cooked breast samples was
133 determined as shear force by an Instron universal testing machine (Model 5 542, Instron Engineering
134 Corp., Canton, MA, U.S.A.), and the mean values were recorded (expressed in Newtons, N).

135

136 *2.4. Caecum microbial counts*

137 The caecum content of each sacrificed chick was collected during necropsy. Each sample was placed
138 in a small sterile container and immediately sent to the laboratory for microbiological analysis in
139 refrigerated conditions. The analyses were performed on the same day. From each sample, the caecum
140 was isolated and after external disinfection, the content was aseptically collected and used for the
141 microbiological analyses period. Pools of three subjects from the same dietary treatment were
142 randomly obtained (5 CTR and 5 AMT pools of caecum). One to three grams of each sample were
143 diluted 1:10 with sterile saline solution (NaCl 0.85 g/L, tryptone 0.1 g/L) and homogenized for 60 s
144 in a Stomacher 400 (Seward Medical, London, UK). Serial 10-fold dilutions were spread by sterile
145 spatula onto De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe agar medium incubated in anaerobic jars (Anaerojar, Oxoid,
146 Basingstoke, UK) with an Anaerogen kit (Oxoid) at 37 °C for 48 h for the enumeration of Lactobacilli,
147 and on tryptone bile X-glucuronide agar (Oxoid) incubated aerobically at 44 °C for 24 h for the
148 enumeration of Escherichia coli (International Organization for Standardization, ISO 16649-2).
149 Moreover, one to three grams of caecal content was diluted 1:10 with buffered peptone water (Oxoid)
150 and incubated at 37 °C for the detection of Salmonella spp. according to the International
151 Organization for Standardization (ISO) 6579 method. From the obtained counts, the Lactobacilli/E.
152 coli ratio was calculated as the log difference between the two parameters (Abu-Tarboush et al.,
153 1996).

154

155 *2.5. Plasma metabolite profile*

156 The concentrations of selected plasma parameters were measured with an automated
157 spectrophotometer with commercial assay kits developed and validated for use with ILAB 300 plus
158 (ILAB 300 plus, Instrumentation Laboratory S.p.a., Milan, Italy). Plasma samples were analysed for
159 cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), glucose, total protein and urea.
160 Lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) was obtained by difference (cholesterol-HDL). For
161 analysis of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs), kits from Randox Laboratories Ltd. (Crumlin, Co.
162 Antrium, UK) were used.

163

164 *2.6. Determination of lipid content in liver samples*

165 Lipids were extracted by Folch's method (Folch et al., 1957) with slight modifications. Briefly, liver
166 samples (± 1 g) were thawed and homogenized in an excess of chloroform:methanol (2:1) solution for
167 two minutes. The homogenate was filtered, placed in separator funnels and mixed with a saline
168 solution containing KCl 0.88 g/L. After separation in two phases, the lipid chloroform fraction
169 (bottom layer) was evaporated using a rotary evaporator and subsequently weighed.

170

171 *2.7. Hepatic expression of Apo A-I and Apo B genes*

172 Total RNA was extracted from liver tissue using a commercial kit (SV Total RNA isolation system,
173 Promega, Milano, Italy) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The RNA integrity was assessed
174 by gel electrophoresis to detect 18 s and 28 s rRNA bands. An aliquot of total RNA was reverse
175 transcribed using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Biorad, Milan, Italy). The primer sequences used
176 are listed in Table 2. Primer pairs were first tested for their specificity in qualitative PCR, using the
177 pooled cDNA as a template (Jiang et al., 2014). The cycling profile for the assay consisted of 95 °C
178 for 10', followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95 °C for 15 s, 63° for 60 s). The quantitative analysis
179 of mRNA was carried out by SYBR green methodology as reported by Jiang et al., 2014 using a real
180 time PCR system (Stratagene Mx 3000p). The comparative CT method was used (Livak and
181 Schmittgen, 2001), determining fold changes in gene expression, calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$. The relative

182 quantity of Apo A-I and Apo B values were normalized to mRNA levels of 18 s rRNA and GAPDH
183 genes. The 18 s rRNA was chosen to calculate the threshold cycles because it had previously been
184 shown to be constant under all conditions used.

185

186 *2.8. Statistical analysis*

187 Data were analysed by one-way ANOVA using the MIXED procedure of SAS v. 9.4 (SAS, 2018).
188 The pen represented the experimental unit for growth performance parameters, while individual
189 chicks were the experimental units for the carcass characteristics, meat quality, cecum microbial
190 count, plasma metabolite profile and hepatic gene expression. Probability values of ≤ 0.05 were
191 considered significant.

192

193 **3. Results**

194 *3.1. Growth performance and carcass yield*

195 The effects of AMT on body weight (BW), average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake
196 (ADFI), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and mortality of male chicks are shown in Table 3. The
197 emulsifier supplementation increased BW on days 12 and 22 ($P=0.02$; $P=0.02$) and improved ADG
198 from days 0 to 12 ($P=0.02$) compared to CTR chicks. Average daily feed intake (ADFI) from days
199 12 to 22 was higher in supplemented chicks than in control ($P=0.03$), while FCR was lower in chicks
200 receiving AMT from day 22 to 44 and for the whole period of the trial ($P=0.047$ and 0.02 ,
201 respectively). Mortality was not statistically different between the two experimental groups. The
202 effects of AMT on the carcass characteristics are shown in Table 4. AMT supplementation increased
203 the dressing percentage compared with the CTR group ($P = 0.01$).

204

205 *3.2. Meat quality and caecum microbial counts*

206 The effects of AMT on meat quality of male chicks are shown in Table 5. Dietary AMT increased b^*
207 (yellowness) ($P = 0.01$), whereas no effects were observed for a^* (redness) and L^* (lightness) indexes.

208 None of the analysed samples showed the presence of Salmonella spp., No significant differences
209 were observed for E. coli and Lactobacilli counts between the two groups. Consequently, no changes
210 on the Lactobacilli/E. coli ratio were observed. Water holding capacity (WHC), cooking loss and
211 shear force did not show significant differences between the two groups.

212

213 *3.3. Plasma metabolite parameters*

214 The effects of AMT on selected metabolic parameters are shown in Table 6. AMT supplementation
215 increased cholesterol and HDL contents compared with the CTR group (P=0.02; P=0.02). No
216 differences were observed for the other parameters (LDL, NEFA, glucose, total protein, trygliceride
217 and urea).

218

219 *3.4. Lipid content and gene expression of apolipoprotein in liver*

220 In the present study, total hepatic lipid content of AMT group ($7.39 \pm 1.89\%$) did not differ from
221 CTR group ($6.54 \pm 1.76\%$). Furthermore, AMT supplementation did not modify the hepatic
222 expression of Apo A-I and Apo B genes compared to the control group.

223

224 **4. Discussion**

225 The results from the present study show that the emulsifier supplementation could improve growth
226 performances (BW, ADG, ADFI and FCR) of broilers despite the short production system. These
227 data are in agreement with the findings of our previous study (Bontempo et al., 2016). In the current
228 study, the AMT supplement was mixed with feed compounds before the pelleting process, which
229 may increase the humidity, reduce the pellet press energy consumption and improve pellet quality by
230 modulating the moisture content during the pelleting process, consequently improving feed intake
231 and animal performance. In other studies, the addition of an emulsifier improved the digestibility of
232 major nutrients (Dierick and Decuyper, 2004), reduced the viscosity of the digestive contents and
233 increased the transit of the digesta as well as the feed intake (Lázaro et al., 2004). In this study, the

234 incorporation of vegetal bidistilled oleic acid and glycerol polyethylene glycol ricinoleate may also
235 have improved the growth performance of animals via the emulsification of supplemental fatty acids
236 (Xing et al., 2004). In relation to carcass characteristics, Scheele (1997) observed that the growth of
237 the pectoral muscles primarily occurs during the late stages of developmental growth in fast-growing
238 birds. In the present study, an increased dressing was observed in the treated group, suggesting that
239 the relatively rapid growth of the AMT-fed chicks in the finisher phase may contribute to the increase
240 of carcass yield.

241 In our study, the AMT supplementation influenced the colorimetric indexes of breast. Meat colour is
242 one of the first characteristic of customer interest, especially in boneless products. Birren (1963)
243 underlined that colour has an important impact on processors and consumers and is often used to
244 determine economic value of food. Akit et al. (2014) demonstrated that dietary emulsifier improved
245 redness values and decreased lightness values but had no effect on pork meat pH and drip loss. In
246 contrast, Kim et al. (2008) found no differences in meat colour of pigs fed lecithin or control diets.
247 Ali et al., (2017) reported the effect of emulsifier on chicken breast meat quality. The authors reported
248 a decreased lightness, an increased redness and no significative effect on yellowness of breast muscle.
249 The changes in b* (yellowness) in the breast muscle observed in the group fed with AMT diet may
250 be explained by the role of emulsifier in increasing lipid-soluble pigments (e.g. xanthophyll)
251 accumulation in breast muscle (Laudadio and Tufarelli, 2010).

252 Many previous in vitro studies suggested that dietary emulsifiers might directly and detrimentally
253 impact the microbiota, leading to societal incidence of obesity/metabolic syndrome and inflammatory
254 diseases (Chassaing et al., 2015; Viennois and Chassaing, 2018). However, our study observed that
255 there was no dietary effect on E. coli and Lactobacilli counts and consequently on the Lactobacilli/E.
256 coli ratio, which may be due to the components of emulsifier and tested parameters in this study.
257 Thus, the effect of AMT supplementation on intestinal inflammation and diversity should be
258 investigated in further researches.

259 Few authors investigated the effects of emulsifier supplementation on the serum lipid profile in avian
260 species and the results are not univocal. In our study, AMT supplementation increased cholesterol
261 and HDL contents, thus indicating an improved lipid metabolism and transport. A study conducted
262 by Wang et al. (2016) showed increased concentrations of total and LDL cholesterol, but not HDL,
263 in the emulsifier treated chicks compared to the control group. The authors suggested that the
264 response could be related also to the type of fat sources (animal vs vegetable) added in the diet and
265 to the inclusion level. Roy et al. (2010) using an exogenous emulsifier suggested a higher removal
266 rate of lipids from the liver. It is clear that multiple potential mechanisms are involved in the
267 regulation of plasma cholesterol concentration, including hepatic uptake of high-density lipoprotein
268 and/or post-absorptive lipid metabolism.

269 It is well-known that liver is the primary site of lipid biosynthesis in avian species and almost all fat
270 accumulation in broiler adipose tissue derives from the liver or the diet (Jiang et al., 2014). The liver
271 and the intestine are major sites of lipoprotein synthesis and secretion in the adult chicken, and
272 pharmacological, dietary and physiological factors might affect concentration of apolipoprotein A-I
273 (Apo A-I) and consequently plasma HDL (Jiang et al., 2014). Apo A-I and apolipoprotein B (ApoB)
274 are the major protein components of chicken plasma high density and very low-density lipoproteins
275 (HDL and VLDL, respectively). In the present study the expression of Apo A-I and ApoB genes in
276 chicken liver was investigated for their essential role in the assembly and secretion of triglyceride-
277 rich lipoproteins and lipid transport. The lack of significant gene expression modulation of Apo A-I
278 and ApoB genes might be due to an adaptive response to the AMT supplementation (44 days of
279 treatment). The adaptive response was previously observe by Huang et al., 2013 who investigated the
280 role of epigallocatechin gallate as lipid metabolism modulator in ROSS 308.

281

282 **5. Conclusion**

283 Our results show that AMT supplementation to broiler chicks may have a beneficial effect on growth
284 performances (BW, ADG and FCR) and carcass dressing. and may affect meat colour (b* yellowness)

285 and lipid metabolism (cholesterol and HDL). Overall, our findings emphasize the possible future
286 utilisation of exogenous emulsifier as a tool for enhancing fat utilization in high-yielding chicken
287 through diets.

288

289 **Acknowledgements**

290 This work was funded by SEVECOM S.P.A., Milan, Italy. The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr
291 Roberto Bombardieri and Dr Roberto Zaupa and Paolo Chignola (Veronesi Group) for their support
292 and technical assistance. The authors wish to thank Dr. Cinzia Margherita Berteza (Unito) for technical
293 support.

294

295

296 **References**

297 Abu-Tarboush, H.M., Al-Saiady, M.Y., Keir El-Din, A.H., 1996. Evaluation of diet containing
298 lactobacilli on performance, fecal coliform, and lactobacilli of young dairy calves. *Anim. Feed Sci.*
299 *Technol.* 57, 39–49.

300 Akit, H., Collins, C.L., Fahri, F.T., Hung, A.T., D'Souza, D.N., Leury, B.J., Dunshea, F.R., 2014.
301 Dietary lecithin improves dressing percentage and decreases chewiness in the longissimus muscle in
302 finisher gilts. *Meat Sci.* 96, 1147–1151.

303 Ali, S.F., Chao, W., Xiaoli, W., Jintian, H., Mingfa, W., El-Hack, M.E.A., Tian, Z.X.W., 2017.
304 Growth, serum biochemical indices, antioxidant Status and meat quality of broiler chickens fed diet
305 supplemented with sodium Stearoyl-2 Lactylate. *Pak. Vet. J.* 37, 445–449.

306 Birren, R.F., 1963. Color and human appetite. *Food Technol.* 17, 553.

307 Bontempo, V., Comi, M., Jiang, X.R., 2016. The effects of a synthetic emulsifier supplementation on
308 growth performance of broiler chicks and weaned piglets. *Animal* 10, 592–596.

309 Chassaing, B., Koren, O., Goodrich, J.K., Poole, A.C., Srinivasan, S., Ley, R.E., Gewirtz, A.T., 2015.
310 Dietary emulsifiers impact the mouse gut microbiota promoting colitis and metabolic syndrome.
311 Nature 519, 92–96.

312 CIE, 1986. Commission International d’Eclairage). Colorimetry, 2nd ed. Publication CIE 15.2,
313 Vienna.

314 Dierick, N., Decuypere, J., 2004. Influence of lipase and/or emulsifier addition on the ileal and faecal
315 nutrient digestibility in growing pigs fed diets containing 4% animal fat. J. Sci. Food Agric. 84, 1443–
316 1450.

317 Folch, J., Lees, M., Sloane-Stanley, G.H., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification
318 of total lipids from animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem. 226, 497–509.

319 Huang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Z., Xie, Z., Zhang, J., Wan, X., 2013. Green tea polyphenols
320 alleviate obesity in broiler chickens through the regulation of lipid metabolism- related genes and
321 transcription factor expression. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61, 8565–8572.

322 International Organization for Standardization, 2001. ISO 16649-2:2001 Microbiology of Food and
323 Animal Feeding Stuffs. Horizontal Method for the Enumeration of Beta-Glucuronidase-Positive
324 Escherichia Coli. Part 2: Colony-Count Technique at 44 Degrees C Using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-
325 indolyl Beta-D-Glucuronide. International Standards Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

326 International Organization for Standardization, 2002. ISO 6579:2002. Microbiology of Food and
327 Animal Feeding Stuffs – Horizontal Method for the Detection of Salmonella Spp. International
328 Standards Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

329 Jauregui, C.A., Regenstein, J.M., Baker, R.C., 1981. A simple centrifugal method for measuring
330 expressible moisture, a water-binding property of muscle foods. J. Food Sci. 46, 1271–1273.

331 Jiang, R.R., Zhao, G.P., Zhao, J.P., Chen, J.L., Zheng, M.Q., Liu, R.R., Wen, J., 2014. Influence of
332 dietary nicotinic acid supplementation on lipid metabolism and related gene expression in two distinct
333 broiler breeds of female chickens. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 98, 822–829.

334 Kim, W.T., Shinde, P., Chae, B.J., 2008. Effect of lecithin with or without chitooligosaccharide on
335 the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood metabolites and pork quality of finishing pigs.
336 *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 88, 283–292.

337 Laudadio, V., Tufarelli, V., 2010. Growth performance and carcass and meat quality of broiler
338 chickens fed diets containing micronized-dehulled peas (*Pisum sativum* cv. Spirale) as a substitute of
339 soybean meal. *Poult. Sci.* 89, 1537–1543.

340 Lázaro, R., Latorre, M.A., Medel, P., Gracia, M., Mateos, G.G., 2004. Feeding regimen and enzyme
341 supplementation to rye-based diets for broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 83, 152–160.

342 Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
343 quantitative PCR and the 2⁻ΔΔCT method. *Methods* 25, 402–408.

344 National Research Council, 1994. *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*, 9th revised edition. National
345 Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.

346 Noy, Y., Sklan, D., 1995. Digestion and absorption in the young chick. *Poult. Sci.* 74, 366–373.

347 Ocon-Grove, O.M., Krzysik-Walker, S.M., Maddineni, S.R., Hendricks, G.L., Hendricks 3rd, R.,
348 Ramachandran, R., 2008. Adiponectin and its receptors are expressed in the chicken testis: influence
349 of sexual maturation on testicular ADIPOR1 and ADIPOR2 mRNA abundance. *Reproduction* 136,
350 627–638.

351 Roy, A., Haldar, S., Mondal, S., Ghosh, T.K., 2010. Effects of supplemental exogenous emulsifier
352 on performance, nutrient metabolism, and serum lipid profile in broiler chickens. *Vet. Med. Int.* 2010,
353 9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4061/2010/262604>. 262604.

354 San Tan, H., Zulkifli, I., Soleimani Farjam, A., Meng Goh, Y., Croes, E., Karmakar Partha, S., Kiat
355 Tee, A., 2016. Effect of exogenous emulsifier on growth performance, fat digestibility, apparent
356 metabolisable energy in broiler chickens. *J. Biochem. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 4, 7–10.

357 SAS Institute, 2009. *SAS/STAT® 9.4 User's Guide*, 2nd ed. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

358 Saunders, D.R., Sillery, J., 1976. Lecithin inhibits fatty acid and bile salt absorption from rat small
359 intestine in vivo. *Lipids* 11, 830–832.

360 Scheele, C.W., 1997. Pathological changes in metabolism of poultry related to increasing production
361 levels. *Vet. Quart.* 19, 127–130.

362 Van der Heijden, M., de Haan, D., 2010. Optimizing moisture while maintaining feed quality. *All*
363 *About Feed* 1, 29–31. [http://www.allaboutfeed.net/Processing/](http://www.allaboutfeed.net/Processing/Cooling-Drying/2010/10/Optimising-moisture-while-maintaining-feed-quality-AAF011514W/) Cooling–
364 Drying/2010/10/Optimising-moisture-while-maintaining-feed-quality-AAF011514W/.

365 Viennois, E., Chassaing, B., 2018. First victim, later aggressor: how the intestinal microbiota drives
366 the pro-inflammatory effects of dietary emulsifiers? *Gut Microbes* 66, 1–4.

367 Wang, J.P., Zhang, Z.F., Yan, L., Kim, I.H., 2016. Effects of dietary supplementation of emulsifier
368 and carbohydrase on the growth performance, serum cholesterol and breast meat fatty acid profile of
369 broiler chickens. *Anim. Sci. J.* 87, 250–256.

370 Xing, J.J., van Heugten, E., Lit, D.F., Touchette, K.J., Coalson, J.A., Odgaard, R.L., Odle, J., 2004.
371 Effects of emulsification, fat encapsulation, and pelleting on weanling pig performance and nutrient
372 digestibility. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82, 2601–2609.

373 Zhang, S., Shi, H., Li, H., 2007. Cloning and tissue expression characterization of the chicken APOB
374 gene. *Anim. Biotechnol.* 18, 243–250.

375 Zhang, B., Haitao, L., Zhao, D., Guo, Y., Barri, A., 2011. Effect of fat type and
376 lysophosphatidylcholine addition to broiler diets on performance, apparent digestibility of fatty acids,
377 and apparent metabolizable energy content. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 163, 177–184.

378

379 **Table 1**

380 Ingredients and chemical analysis of the basal diets for broiler chicks (as-fed basis).

381

382

383

384

385

386 **Table 2**

387 Oligonucleotide primer sequences.

Gene	Primer	Sequence	Reference	Product size, bp ^a
Apolipoprotein A-I (Apo A-I)	Forward	5'GTGACCCTCGCTGTGCTCTT3'	Jiang et al. (2014)	217
	Reverse	5'CACTCAGCGTGTCCAGGTTGT3'		
Apolipoprotein B (Apo B)	Forward	5'GACTTGGTTACACGCCTCA3'	Zhang et al. (2007)	196
	Reverse	5'TAACTTGCCTGTTATGCTC3'		
18s rRNA	Forward	5'GCGGCTTTGGTGACTCTA3'	Ocon-Grove et al. (2008)	194
	Reverse	5'CTGCCTTCCTTGGATGTG3'		
GAPDH	Forward	5'TGCTAAGGCTGTGGGGAAAG3'	Huang et al. (2013)	158
	Reverse	5'CAGCAGCCTTCACTACCCTC3'		

388 ^aBase pair.

389

390

391 **Table 3**

392 Effect of AVI-MUL TOP (AMT) on the growth performance of male broiler chicks.

393

Item	CTR	AMT	SEM	P-value
No. Pens	15	15		
BW (g)				
Day 0	40.89	40.97	0.19	0.80
Day 12	378.0	387.6	2.7	0.02
Day 22	1070	1092	6	0.02
Day 44	3411	3475	28	0.12
Day 0 to 12				
ADG (g/d)	28.09	28.88	0.22	0.02
ADFI (g/d)	32.28	32.72	0.28	0.28
FCR	1.149	1.133	0.008	0.17
Day 12 to 22				
ADG (g/d)	69.15	70.49	0.51	0.08
ADFI (g/d)	92.29 ^b	94.45 ^a	0.66	0.03
FCR	1.335	1.340	0.005	0.47
Day 22 to 44				
ADG (g/d)	106.4	108.3	1.2	0.27
ADFI (g/d)	180.9	180.6	1.7	0.91
FCR	1.700	1.669	0.011	0.047
Day 0 to 44				
ADG (g/d)	76.60	78.06	0.63	0.12
ADFI (g/d)	120.2	120.7	0.9	0.74

FCR	1.570	1.547	0.007	0.02
Mortality (%)	2.00	2.33	0.72	0.64

394

395 Different superscript letters indicate significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) significant.

396 BW, body weight; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; FCR, feed conversion

397 ratio.

398

399 **Table 4**

400 Effect of AVI-MUL TOP (AMT) on the carcass characteristics of male broiler chicks.

Item	CTR	AMT	SEM	P-value
No. Chicks	15	15		
Dressing (g/kg)	751.4	760.9	0.26	0.01
Breast muscle (g/kg)	319.6	326.7	0.58	0.41

401

402 Probability values of $P \leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

403

404 **Table 5**

405 Effect of AVI-MUL TOP (AMT) on meat quality and caecal microbial count of male broiler chicks.

Item	CTR	AMT	SEM	P-value
No. Chicks	15	15		
pH24	6.18	6.12	0.04	0.17
Colour				
L* (lightness)	54.77	54.11	0.84	0.86
a* (redness)	-0.12	-0.14	0.36	0.83
b* (yellowness)	6.64	8.58	0.39	0.01
WHC (g/g)	36.07	35.27	0.99	0.53
Cooking loss (g/g)	26.64	26.45	1.58	0.52
Shear force (N)	17.25	18.89	1.14	0.22
E. coli (log10 cfu/g)	7.34	7.60	0.16	0.28
Lactobacilli (log10 cfu/g)	8.10	7.98	0.28	0.77

406

407 Probability values of $P \leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

408 pH24, pH 24 h after sacrifice; WHC, water-holding capacity N, newton.

409

410

411 **Table 6**

412 Effect of AVI-MUL TOP (AMT) on some plasma metabolic parameters of male broiler chicks.

Item	CTR	AMT	SEM	P-value
No. Chicks	15	15		
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	123	134	3	0.02
HDL (mg/dl)	74.37	81.91	2.14	0.02
LDL (mg/dl)	48.46	51.81	1.82	0.21
NEFA (mmol/l)	0.82	0.64	0.10	0.24
Glucose (mg/dl)	256	256	4	1.00
Total protein (g/dl)	2.90	2.96	0.06	0.52
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	37.57	36.26	3.32	0.78
Urea (mg/dl)	5.32	4.97	0.41	0.55

413

414 Probability values of $P \leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

415 HDL, high-density lipoproteins; LDL, low-density lipoproteins; NEFA; non-esterified fatty acids.