

1 *Running title: New classification of the LLCP*

2

3 TYPE OF ARTICLE

4 ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION

5

6 **Title: Lateral lamella of the cribriform plate, a keystone landmark:**  
7 **proposal for a novel classification system**

8

9 Authors

10 Gera R<sup>1</sup>, Mozzanica F<sup>1</sup>, Karligkiotis A<sup>2</sup>, Preti A<sup>2</sup>, Bandi F<sup>2</sup>, Gallo S<sup>2</sup>, Schindler A<sup>3</sup>, Bulgheroni C<sup>1</sup>,  
11 Ottaviani F<sup>1</sup>, Castelnovo P<sup>2</sup>

12

13 Affiliation

14 <sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

15 <sup>2</sup> Department of Biotechnology and Life Sciences, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy.

16 <sup>3</sup> Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

17

18

19

1 SUMMARY

2 *Background: the aim of this study is to propose a classification of the angle formed by the lateral lamella*  
3 *of the cribriform plate (LLCP) and the horizontal plane passing through the cribriform plate. In*  
4 *particular, the angle was classified into class I (> 80 degrees), class II (45 to 80 degrees, and class III*  
5 *(< 45 degrees)*

6 *Methodology: a total of 190 computed tomography scans were retrospectively reviewed in order to*  
7 *obtain four sets of measurements. 1) depth of the cribriform, 2) angle, 3) length of the LLCP, 4) width of*  
8 *the fovea ethmoidalis. The relationship among these measurements were analyzed.*

9 *Results: the angle was significantly correlated with the depth of the cribriform and the length of the*  
10 *fovea, while it was negatively correlated with the length of the LLCP. Significant negative correlation*  
11 *was also found between the length of the LLCP and the width of the fovea.*

12 *Conclusions: this angle classification is based on the theoretical risk of iatrogenic injuries, but it could*  
13 *be helpful also in clinical practice by providing indirect information on the thickness of the anterior skull*  
14 *base. As the angle decreases, in fact, the portion of the anterior skull base composed by the LLCP,*  
15 *increases.*

16

17 *Key words: anatomy; radiography, diagnosis, skull base, surgery*

18

19

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) is a well established approach for the management of sinonasal  
3 diseases<sup>(1)</sup>. Although technological advances, proper instrumentation, surgical refinements, use of  
4 imaging techniques and better understanding of the regional anatomy have served to make surgeries more  
5 secure, ESS is not devoid of complications<sup>(2)</sup>. These latter can be divided into minor<sup>(3)</sup>, and major ones,  
6 including cerebrospinal fluid leak (CSF-L) as well as ocular and intracranial injuries<sup>(4)</sup>. Most of these  
7 major complications occur during ethmoidectomy and can be related to anatomical variation of the  
8 anterior skull base<sup>(5)</sup>.

9 The anterior skull base is composed by the cribriform plate and by the fovea ethmoidalis. These structures  
10 converge at the lateral lamella of the cribriform plate (LLCP) that delimits laterally the cribriform fossa,  
11 a weak area known to be a common site of iatrogenic skull-base injuries due to direct penetration or  
12 fracture during middle turbinate manipulation or lack of awareness of instrument placement<sup>(6)</sup>. This fossa,  
13 in fact, is the thinnest and the most vulnerable structure of the anterior skull base since the thickness of  
14 the LLCP can be only 0.05 mm<sup>(6)</sup>.

15 According to Keros' classification<sup>(7)</sup> it is possible to distinguish between 3 types of cribriform fossa  
16 depending on its depth: type 1, 1-3 mm long, type 2, 4-7 mm long and type 3, 8-16 mm long. It has been  
17 previously reported that Keros type 3 patients have greatest risk of intracranial entry since the great  
18 extension in depth of the cribriform fossa and the thickness of its bone-wall make this area more  
19 susceptible to iatrogenic skull-base injuries<sup>(8)</sup>. Although Keros' classification is still commonly referred  
20 to, several authors highlighted the limitations of this classification system in fully describing the shape  
21 of the skull base and predicting the risk of intracranial entry<sup>(9-13)</sup>. In particular, Heaton et al. <sup>(9)</sup> in their  
22 retrospective case-control study reported that a greater slope of the anterior skull base in the coronal  
23 plane and a low cribriform height relative to the ethmoidal roof predispose the patient to CSF-L during  
24 ESS. It could be speculated that these anatomic characteristics may predispose the injuries of the medial  
25 part of the skull base during the dissection of the superior ethmoidal cells, when the surgical instruments  
26 are in close proximity to the cribriform plate<sup>(12)</sup>.

27 Even if the role of olfactory fossa depth has been previously stressed<sup>(8,14)</sup>, only limited data regarding the  
28 slope of the anterior skull base and, in particular, of the angulation of the LLCP in the coronal plane are  
29 available<sup>(12,13)</sup>. This angle might affect the level of the ethmoidal roof relative to the cribriform plate<sup>(12)</sup>,  
30 and consequently could play an important role when approaching the frontal sinus and during the  
31 dissection of the more medial ethmoidal cells. The aim of this study is to propose a novel classification  
32 of the angulation of the LLCP in the coronal plane based on the theoretical risk of iatrogenic injuries in  
33 the thinnest and the most vulnerable structure of the anterior skull base. It must be noted that the evidence  
34 of an increased risk for complications related to the angulation of the LLCP is really very limited (only  
35 a case control study measured the angle or the fovea ethmoidalis at the level of the posterior ethmoid in  
36 a group of patients with CFS leak<sup>9)</sup>. Therefore, the classification here proposed is strictly hypothetical,  
37 although it seems logical and reasonable. In addition, normative data of the angulation of the LLCP in  
38 the coronal plane obtained in a large sample of CT scans were provided. Finally, the relationship between  
39 this new classification and the Keros' one was assessed.

40 The importance of this study lies in the fact that this new classification in association with the Keros'

1 one, may lead to a deeper standardization and systematic approach during the preoperative sinus imaging  
2 assessment and could be of further help in preventing major complications.

3

4

## 1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2 *Participants*

3 In this retrospective cohort study, computed tomography (CT) scans obtained in a group of one-hundred-  
4 ninety subjects (118 males and 72 females) who present themselves to our department for the  
5 investigation of possible or evident sinonasal disease were retrospectively reviewed. All CT scans were  
6 performed on high-speed spiral CT scanners using non-contrast axial 1.5-mm sections. Exclusion criteria  
7 were: age lower than 18 years, previous trauma, sinonasal tumor, sinonasal polyposis (that precluded the  
8 visualization of skull base anatomy), skull-base defects, previous ESS and congenital abnormalities of  
9 the facial growth. Scans that deviated from a true coronal plane by more than 5° from the perpendicular  
10 plane of the floor of the nose were also excluded. The study was carried out according to the Declaration  
11 of Helsinki and it was previously approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital.

12 Similar to Ramakrishnan et al. study<sup>(10)</sup>, high-resolution direct non-contrast axial 1.5-mm sections were  
13 analyzed. A specialized computer software “picture archiving and communication system” (PACS) was  
14 used for morphological evaluation of the CT scans<sup>(15)</sup> in order to obtain four sets of measurements. These  
15 measurements were taken, using a 3-D multi-planar reconstruction (MPR), at the convergence between  
16 the LLCP and the most anterosuperior part of the anterior ethmoidal roof at the level of the posterior  
17 margin of the frontal recess<sup>(16)</sup> on the coronal plane, and at the level of the posterior margin of frontal  
18 recess on the sagittal plane (Figure 1). This point was selected since it is well identifiable and represent  
19 an important landmark for the dissection of the ethmoidal cells and during the approach to the frontal  
20 sinus.

- 21 • Depth of the cribriform: the first measurement was the depth of the cribriform plate, measured  
22 as the vertical height of the olfactory fossa in the coronal plane on each side (Figure 2).  
23 According to Keros’ classification system, each CT scan was defined as type 1 (1-3 mm depth),  
24 type 2 (4-7 mm depth) or type 3 (more than 7 mm depth). Asymmetry in the depth (difference  
25 of more than 3 mm) between the right and left cribriform plates was also recorded.
- 26 • Angle: the second measurement was the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the  
27 horizontal plane passing through the cribriform plate (Figure 3). The angle was classified into  
28 3 classes depending on its amplitude and on the hypothetical risk of iatrogenic injuries: class I  
29 (> 80 degrees, low risk), class II (45 to 80 degrees, medium risk) and class III (< 45 degrees,  
30 high risk). The cutoff angles were decided a priori. In particular, the 45 degrees was selected  
31 because it is very simple to assess and consequently it should quickly alert the surgeon of  
32 potential risk to have the LLCP in a sloped plane. For the same reasons, the other cutoff angle  
33 should be 90 degrees. However, preliminary measurements highlighted that no patients scored  
34 higher than 90 degrees. The 80 degrees was chosen because on a “quasi” vertical plane and  
35 consequently easily to assess.
- 36 • Length of the LLCP: the third measurement was the length of the LLCP (Figure 4), considered  
37 as the thinnest part of the angulated bony structure connecting the cribriform plate to the  
38 ethmoidal roof.
- 39 • Width of the fovea: the fourth measurement was the length of the portion of fovea ethmoidalis  
40 included between the LLCP and a vertical line passing at the level of the lamina papiracea in

1           the frontal plane reconstruction (Figure 5).  
2 Each CT scan was reviewed by two independent raters (named rater 1 and rater 2), specialized in  
3 sinonasal disease and with an experience of at least 20 years in ESS. Correlations between the four sets  
4 of measurements were analyzed. In addition, in order to evaluate the inter rater reliability of these  
5 measurements, the results obtained by rater 1 and 2 were compared. Finally, in order to evaluate the intra  
6 rater reliability, rater 1 analyzed a random sample of 50 CT scans twice, with 2 weeks of interval. During  
7 the second evaluation the rater was blind to the results obtained during the first evaluation.

8

#### 9 *Statistical Analysis*

10 Statistical tests were performed using the SPSS 21.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Mean  
11  $\pm$  standard deviation and ranges were calculated for the measurements. The differences in the distribution  
12 of the measurements between males and females were evaluated through Student t for continuous  
13 variables and through Chi-square test for categorical variables. The degree of association between the  
14 angle width, LLCP length, depth of the cribriform plate and length of the portion of fovea ethmoidalis  
15 was estimated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. The same test was also used to analyze the inter-  
16 and intra-rater reliability of the measurements.

17

18

## 1 RESULTS

2 A total of 190 paranasal sinuses CT scans were retrospectively analyzed. The mean age of the patients  
3 included in the study was  $43.6 \pm 7.2$  years (range, 19-67 years). One-hundred eighteen patients were  
4 males (62.1%), the remaining 72 patients were females (37.9%). The mean age of male patients was  $43.2$   
5  $\pm 8.1$  years (range, 19-66 years), while the mean age of female patients was  $46.2 \pm 6.9$  years (range, 20-  
6 67 years). No differences were found in the distribution of age among males and females on Student t  
7 test ( $p = 0.434$ ).

- 8 • Depth of the cribriform: the mean depth of the cribriform plate was  $5.4 \pm 1.7$  mm (range, 2.4-  
9 10.3 mm). The mean depth of the cribriform plate in males was  $5.6 \pm 1.7$  mm (range, 2.4-10.1  
10 mm) while in females it was  $5.2 \pm 1.7$  mm (range, 2.9-10.3 mm). These differences were found  
11 not significant on Student t test ( $p = 0.909$ ). The most common anatomic variation was Keros  
12 type 2 (64.7% of cases), followed by Keros type 1 (20% of cases) and Keros type 3 (15.3% of  
13 cases). No significant differences in the distribution of Keros classification among males and  
14 females were found on Chi-square test ( $p = 0.510$ ). Asymmetry in the depth of the cribriform  
15 plate was reported in 12.3% of patients. In 60.2% of them the height of the fovea ethmoidalis  
16 was lower at the right side compared to the left one.
- 17 • Angle: the mean degree of the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the horizontal  
18 plane passing through the cribriform plate was  $71.7 \pm 12.1^\circ$  (range 27-89°). The mean angle  
19 degree in males was  $71.5 \pm 13.7^\circ$  (range, 27-87°) while in females it was  $72.1 \pm 10.7^\circ$  (range,  
20 32-89°). These differences were found not significant on Student t test ( $p = 0.179$ ). In 62.7% of  
21 cases, the angle ranged from 45 to 80 degrees (class II, medium risk). In 32.6% of cases the  
22 angle measured more than 80 degrees (class I, low risk) and in 4.7% of cases the angle measure  
23 less than 45 degrees (class III, high risk). No significant differences in the distribution of angle  
24 classification among males and females were found on Chi-square test ( $p = 0.108$ ).
- 25 • Length of the LLCP: the mean length of the LLCP was  $4.7 \pm 1.4$  mm (range, 2.9-10.7 mm). The  
26 mean length of LLCP in males was  $5.0 \pm 1.5$  mm (range, 2.9-10.7 mm) while in females it was  
27  $4.5 \pm 1.7$  (range, 3.1-10.4 mm). These differences were found not significant on Student t test  
28 ( $p = 0.097$ ).
- 29 • Width of the fovea: the mean length of the fovea ethmoidalis was  $8.8 \pm 2.3$  mm (range, 2.4-16.7  
30 mm). The mean length in males was  $8.8 \pm 2.4$  mm (range, 2.4-16.7 mm) while in females it was  
31  $8.9 \pm 2.2$  (range, 2.5-16.4 mm). These differences were found not significant on Student t test  
32 ( $p = 0.276$ ).

33 The results of the correlation analysis are reported in Table 1. Figures 6-8 reported the scatterplots  
34 showing the relation between the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the horizontal plane  
35 passing through the cribriform plate and the others parameters. The angle was positively correlated with  
36 the depth of the cribriform and the width of the fovea, while it was negatively correlated with the length  
37 of the LLCP. The length of the LLCP was negatively correlated with the angle and with the length of the  
38 fovea. In particular, class I patients (angle width more than 80 degrees), scored higher in the width of the  
39 fovea and in the depth of the cribriform. On the contrary, class III patients (angle width less than 45  
40 degrees) presented higher values in LLCP length.

1 The results of intra- and inter-rater reliability analysis are reported in Table 2. The intra- and inter-rater  
2 reliability was higher than  $r = 0.82$  for all the 4 measurements and reached the value of  $r = 0.92$ ,  $p =$   
3  $0.022$  and  $r = 0.90$ ,  $p = 0.32$  for the intra- and inter-rater reliability of the measurement of the angle  
4 between the LLCP and the cribriform plate respectively.

5 The distribution of the angle classes and Keros types among the 190 enrolled patients is reported in Table  
6 3. The majority of patients were classified as Keros type 2 and angle class II, followed by patients  
7 classified as Keros type 1 and angle class II and patients classified as Keros type 3 and angle class II.

8



## 1 DISCUSSION

2 In this study the slope of the anterior skull base in the coronal plane and its relation with the Keros'  
3 classification was analyzed in a large group of patients complaining sinonasal disease. The underlining  
4 hypothesis is that a more pronounced slope of the anterior skull base on the coronal plane, may predispose  
5 to injuries of the medial part of the skull base during the dissection of the more medial ethmoidal cells  
6 and during the approach to the frontal sinus. In fact, during these surgical steps the instruments are in  
7 close proximity to the LLCP and the dissection is carried from posterior to anterior; thus it is possible  
8 that a more pronounced ethmoidal roof slope may predispose surgeons to inadvertent skull base violation.  
9 Consequently, a deeper understanding of this anatomical region is needed in order to reduce the risk of  
10 complications during ESS. To the best of our knowledge only few studies analyzed the slope of the  
11 anterior skull base<sup>(10,12,13)</sup> but scarce information is available regarding its relationship with other  
12 measurements of the ethmoid analyzed through computed tomography. In addition, in none of the  
13 previous studies the measurement of the degree of the ethmoidal roof slope in the coronal plane was  
14 performed. Only Heaton et al.<sup>(9)</sup> compared the slope of the skull base on the coronal plane in CSF-leak  
15 patients and in a control group and concluded that CSF-leak patients have a larger slope of the skull base  
16 than those of the control group. However, no information regarding the degree of the angle of the skull  
17 base were provided. In the present study, data on the degree of the angle formed by the LLCP and the  
18 continuation of the horizontal plane passing through the cribriform plate in a population complaining  
19 sinonasal disease were provided and a new 3-points classification of the slope of the anterior skull base  
20 in the coronal plane was proposed. In particular, we propose to classify the angle into 3 classes,  
21 depending on its amplitude and on the theoretical risk of iatrogenic injuries: class I (> 80 degrees, low  
22 risk), class II (45 to 80 degrees, medium risk) and class III (< 45 degrees, high risk). In the present series  
23 the large majority of the patients were classified as class I (low risk) and II (moderate risk), while only  
24 4.6% of patients were classified as at high risk for iatrogenic injuries. Among the patients classified as  
25 class III angle, 3.6% demonstrated a Keros type 1, 0.5% demonstrated a Keros type 2 and 0.5%  
26 demonstrated a Keros type 3 on the right side, whereas 4.2% demonstrated a Keros type 1, 0.5%  
27 demonstrated a Keros type 2 and 0% demonstrated a Keros type 3 on the left side (Table 3). It might be  
28 consequently speculated that a significant percentage of patients classified as low risk according to  
29 Keros' classification, may have a high risk of iatrogenic injuries because of a more pronounced slope of  
30 the anterior skull base.

31 The distribution of Keros' classification across the 190 patients enrolled in this study was similar to the  
32 majority of previous reports<sup>(17-22)</sup> (see Table 4). Also in our sample a higher frequency of Keros type 2  
33 (64.7%) was found, followed by type 1 (20%), and type 3 (15.3%). Guler et al.<sup>(21)</sup> found the mean height  
34 of the ethmoidal roof ranging from 3.9 mm to 4.5 mm at the right side and from 3.9 mm to 4.4 mm at the  
35 left side. Erdem et al.<sup>(23)</sup> found the mean height of the ethmoid roof as 6.1 mm at the right side and 6.3  
36 mm at the left side. Meloni et al.<sup>(24)</sup> defined the mean depth of the cribriform as 5.9 mm in 106 Italian  
37 patients. This value appears very similar to the findings of the present study, and it is possible to speculate  
38 that the differences in the anatomic development in the ethmoidal roof might be related not only with  
39 heredity, environmental factors and previous chronic infections that could have affected the development  
40 of the sinuses, but also with ethnicity<sup>(25)</sup>.

1 No differences in the distribution of Keros olfactory fossa or in the mean height of the ethmoidal roof  
2 was demonstrated between males and females. These results appear in contrast with those reported by  
3 Elwany et al.<sup>1</sup> who demonstrated that Keros type 2 was more common in men, while type 1 was  
4 commoner in women. However, also in Remarkrishan et al.<sup>(10)</sup> study no differences in the distribution of  
5 olfactory fossa height was found among males and females.

6 In the present study, asymmetry in the depth of the cribriform was reported in 12.3% of patients and in  
7 the majority of the cases the height of the fovea ethmoidalis was lower at the right side compared with  
8 the left side. These findings are similar to those of Lebowitz et al.<sup>(12)</sup>, who analyzed 200 CT scans, and  
9 reported an asymmetry of the ethmoidal roof in 9.5% with a fovea ethmoidalis lower on the right side in  
10 the majority of cases. Dessi et al.<sup>(26)</sup>, found the right fovea ethmoidalis lower than the left fovea  
11 ethmoidalis in patients with olfactory fossa asymmetry. Also Cumberworth et al (27) who analyzed  
12 through postal questionnaires (completed by members of the British Association of Otolaryngologist)  
13 the incidence of major complications during ESS, reported higher incidence of CSF leak on the right  
14 side, possibly related to asymmetry in the depth of the cribriform.

15 The high frequency of ethmoidal roof asymmetry further suggests careful preoperative review of the  
16 anatomical variations with CT scan in order to prevent the complications.

17 A significant positive correlation between the depth of the cribriform fossa, the length of LLCPC and the  
18 degree of the angle between the LLCPC and the cribriform plate was found. This agrees with the findings  
19 of Elwany et al.<sup>(1)</sup> who reported that the depth of the olfactory fossa depends upon the length and  
20 angulation of the lateral lamella. Also Souza et al.<sup>(19)</sup> observed that the ethmoidal roof asymmetry was  
21 related to the angulation of the lateral lamella. It must be noted that the length of the LLCPC was negatively  
22 correlated with the length of the fovea ethmoidalis and the degree of the angle. These data suggest that  
23 subjects with a more pronounced slope of the anterior skull base on the coronal plane could have a longer  
24 LLCPC and a shorter fovea ethmoidalis, than subjects with a less pronounced slope of the anterior skull  
25 base. It is consequently possible to speculate that the degree of the angle formed by the LLCPC and the  
26 continuation of the horizontal plane passing through the cribriform plate could provide indirect  
27 information regarding the thickness of the anterior skull base since, as the angle decreases, the portion  
28 of the anterior skull base composed by the LLCPC, increases. Interestingly, the correlation between the  
29 LLCPC and the depth of the cribriform was the poorest of all, in addition, the depth of the cribriform  
30 appeared longer than the LLCPC. This datum could be related to several factors. First of all, in this study  
31 we measured only the length of the LLCPC (considered as the thinnest part of the angulated bony structure  
32 connecting the cribriform plate to the ethmoidal roof) and is consequently not surprising that the depth  
33 of the cribriform could be longer than the LLCPC. Moreover, the poor correlation between the depth of  
34 the cribriform and the length of the LLCPC could be also related to the angulation of the latter (for example  
35 in cases where the LLCPC is long but very angulated on the coronal plane and consequently the depth of  
36 the cribriform was considered small).

37 Information regarding the intra- and inter-rater reliability of ethmoidal measurements were provided for  
38 the first time. Both intra- and inter-rater reliability were high for all the 4 measurements suggesting a  
39 good reproducibility over time. This data might be related to the anatomical landmarks used for the  
40 measurements. All the measurements, in fact, were performed at the convergence between the LLCPC and

1 the most anterosuperior part of the anterior ethmoidal roof at the level of the posterior margin of the  
2 frontal recess<sup>(17)</sup> on the coronal plane, and at the level of the posterior margin of frontal recess on the  
3 sagittal plane. Consequently, it is possible that the use of a well identifiable landmarks as well as of MPR  
4 could have improved the reliability of the measurements.

5 In conclusion, in the preoperative evaluation of a patient with sinonasal disease, careful evaluation of the  
6 CT scan is mandatory. In the past years, the height of the ethmoidal skull base was considered as the  
7 most important factor for identification of high-risk anatomic situations within the ethmoid. However,  
8 the presence of significant correlations among different ethmoidal measurements highlights the  
9 importance of assessing more than just the height of the ethmoidal skull base. In particular, the evaluation  
10 of the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the horizontal plane passing through the  
11 ethmoidal plate could provide indirect information regarding the thickness of the anterior skull base,  
12 which is, in the opinion of the Authors, crucial before surgery. A better analysis of the convergence  
13 between the frontal sinus and the ethmoid on the skull base could assure a 'safer' surgical approach to  
14 this area. We believe that the application of the classification system here proposed could be  
15 consequently useful in the preoperative assessment of sinus imaging in order to prevent major  
16 complications. However, future studies including patients with CSF leak caused by injuries at the level  
17 of LLCP occurred during ESS procedures are required to validate the classification here proposed.

18  
19  
20

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

2 No funding sources to declare

3

4 AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

5 Gera R<sup>1</sup>, Mozzanica F<sup>1</sup>, Karligkiotis A<sup>2</sup>, Preti A<sup>2</sup>, Bandi F<sup>2</sup>, Gallo S<sup>2</sup>, Schindler A<sup>3</sup>, Bulgheroni C<sup>1</sup>,  
6 Ottaviani F<sup>1</sup>, Castelnovo P<sup>2</sup>

7 Gera R, Castelnovo P, Schindler A, Ottaviani F: Substantial contributions to the conception or design  
8 of the work, revising it critically for important intellectual content, final approval of the version to be  
9 published.

10 Preti A, Bandi F, Gallo S: Substantial contributions to the acquisition of data for the work, revising it  
11 critically for important intellectual content and final approval of the version to be published.

12 Mozzanica F, Karligkiotis A: Substantial contributions to the analysis and interpretation of data for the  
13 work. Drafting the work. Final approval of the version to be published.

14

15 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

16 None of the authors have any conflict of interest to declare

17

18

19

## 1 REFERENCES

- 2 1. Elwany S, Medanni A, Eid M, et al. Radiological observations on the olfactory fossa and ethmoid  
3 roof. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2010; 124: 1251-1256.
- 4 2. Bayram M, Sirikci A, Bayaznt Y. Important anatomic variations of the sinonasal anatomy in light  
5 of endoscopic surgery: a pictorial review. *Eur Radiol.* 2001; 11: 1991-1997.
- 6 3. Alassawi S, Omar R, Rahmat K, Alli K. Radiological analysis of the ethmoid roof in the Malaysian  
7 population. *Auris Nasus Larynx.* 2012; 39: 393-396.
- 8 4. Ulualp SO. Complications of endoscopic sinus surgery: appropriate management of complications.  
9 *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2008; 16: 252-259.
- 10 5. Dessi P, Castro F, Triglia JM, et al. Major complications of the sinus surgery: a review of 1192  
11 procedures. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1985; 108: 212-215.
- 12 6. Ohnishi T, Yanigasawa E. Lateral lamella of the cribriform plate an important high-risk area in  
13 endoscopic sinus surgery. *Ear Nose Throat J.* 1995; 74: 688-690.
- 14 7. Keros P. On the practical value of differences in the level of the lamina cribrosa of the ethmoid.  
15 *Laryngorhinootologie.* 1962; 41: 808-813.
- 16 8. Kainz J, Stammberger H. The roof of the anterior ethmoid: a locus minoris resistentiae in the skull  
17 base. *Laryngorhinootologie.* 1988; 66: 142-149.
- 18 9. Heaton CM, Goldberg AN, Pletcher SD, Glastonbury CM. Sinus Anatomy Associated With  
19 Inadvertent Cerebrospinal Fluid Leak During Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery. *Laryngoscope.*  
20 2012; 122: 1446-1449.
- 21 10. Ramakrishnan VR, Suh JD, Kennedy DW. Ethmoid skull-base height: a clinically relevant method  
22 of evaluation. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinology.* 2011; 11: 396-400.
- 23 11. Lee JC, Song YJ, Chung YS, et al. Height and shape of the skull base as risk factors for skull base  
24 penetration during endoscopic sinus surgery. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2007; 116: 199–205.
- 25 12. Lebowitz RA, Terk A, Jacobs JB, et al. Asymmetry of the ethmoid roof: analysis using coronal  
26 computed tomography. *Laryngoscope.* 2001; 111: 2122–2124.
- 27 13. Meyers RM, Valvassori G. Interpretation of anatomic variations of computed tomography scans of  
28 the sinuses: a surgeon's perspective. *Laryngoscope.* 1998; 108: 422–425.
- 29 14. Savvateeva DM, Guldner C, Murthum T, et al. Digital volume tomography (DVT) measurements of  
30 the olfactory cleft and olfactory fossa. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica.* 2010; 130: 398-404.
- 31 15. Basak S, Akdilli A, Karaman CZ. Assessment of some important anatomical variations and  
32 dangerous areas of the paranasal sinus by computed tomography in children. *Int J Pediatr*  
33 *Otolaryngol.* 2005; 55: 81-90.
- 34 16. Lund VJ, Stammberger H, Fokkens WJ, et al. European position paper on the anatomical  
35 terminology of the internal nose and paranasal sinuses. *Rhinol Suppl.* 2014 Mar;(24):1-34.
- 36 17. Jang YJ, Park HM, Kin HG. The radiographic incidence of bony defects in the lateral lamella of the  
37 cribriform plate. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci.* 1999; 24: 440-442.
- 38 18. Anderhuber W, Walch C, Fock C. Configuration of the ethmoid roof in children 0-14 years of age.  
39 *Laryngorhinootologie.* 2001; 80: 509-511.
- 40 19. Souza SA, Souza MM, Idagawa M, et al. Computed tomography assessment of the ethmoid roof: a

- 1 relevant region at risk in endoscopic sinus surgery. *Radiol Bras.* 2008; 41: 143-147.
- 2 20. Sahin C, Yilmaz Y, Titiz A, et al. Turk Toplumunda etmoid cati ve kafa tabani analizi. *KBB ve BBC*  
3 *dergisi.* 2007; 15: 1-6.
- 4 21. Guler C, Uysal IO, Polat K, et al. Analysis of the ethmoid roof and skull base with coronal section  
5 paranasal sinus computed tomography. *J Craniof Surg.* 2012; 23: 1460-1464.
- 6 22. Peber JEL, Cabato MSD, Villarta RL, et al. Radiographic analysis of the ethmoid roof based on  
7 Keros classification among Filipinos. *Philipp J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2008; 23: 15-19.
- 8 23. Erdem G1, Erdem T, Miman MC, Ozturan O. A radiological anatomic study of the cribriform plate  
9 compared with constant structures. *Rhinology.* 2004; 42: 225-229.
- 10 24. Meloni F, Mini R, Rovasio S, et al. Anatomic variations of surgical importance in ethmoid labyrinth  
11 and sphenoid sinus. A study of radiologic anatomy. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 1992; 14: 65-70.
- 12 25. Badia L, Lund VJ, Wei W, Ho WK. Ethnic variation in sinonasal anatomy on CT-scanning.  
13 *Rhinology.* 2005; 43: 210-214.
- 14 26. Dessi P, Moulin G, Triglia JM, et al. Difference in the height of the right and left ethmoidal roofs: a  
15 possible risk factor for ethmoidal surgery. Prospective study of 150 CT scans. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1994;  
16 108: 261-262.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

23 Francesco Mozzanica

24 Division of Otorhinolaryngology, Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University  
25 of Milan, Italy,

26 Telephone: +39 3404662852, Email: [Francesco.mozzanica@gmail.com](mailto:Francesco.mozzanica@gmail.com)

27

1 FIGURES

2 Figure 1: 3-D multi-planar reconstruction (MPR) was used to identify the convergence  
3 between the fovea ethmoidalis and the LLCP on the frontal plane at the level of the  
4 anterior wall of the first fovea ethmoidalis on the sagittal plane.

5 Figure 2: Depth of the cribriform measured as the largest vertical height of the olfactory  
6 fossa on the coronal plane.

7 Figure 3: Angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the horizontal plane  
8 passing through the cribriform plate.

9 Figure 4: Length of the LLCP.

10 Figure 5: Length of the portion of fovea ethmoidalis included between the LLCP and  
11 the vertical line passing at the level of the lamina papiracea.

12 Figure 6: Scatterplots showing the relation between the depth of the cribriform and the  
13 degree of the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the horizontal plane.

14 Figure 7: Scatterplots showing the relation between the length of the LLCP the degree  
15 of the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation of the horizontal plane.

16 Figure 8: Scatterplots showing the relation between the length of the portion of fovea  
17 ethmoidalis included between the LLCP and the vertical line passing at the level of the  
18 lamina papiracea and the degree of the angle formed by the LLCP and the continuation  
19 of the horizontal plane

20

21

22

23

1 Table 1: Results of the correlation analysis among the ethmoidal measurements.

2

	Depth of the cribriform	LLCP	Angle	Width of the fovea
Depth of the cribriform	1	0.338*	0.553**	0.385*
LLCP	0.338*	1	-0.397*	-0.342*
Angle	0.553**	-0.397*	1	0.336*
Width of the fovea	0.385*	-0.342*	0.336*	1

3 \* =  $p < 0.05$

4 \*\* =  $p < 0.01$

5



1 Table 2: Results of inter- and intra-rater reliability analysis.

2

	Intra-rater	Inter-rater 3	
Depth of the cribriform	0.85	0.82	4
LLCP	0.90	0.87	
Angle	0.92	0.90	
Width of the fovea	0.89	0.86	

1 Table 3: Distribution of Keros classification and angle formed by the LLCP and the  
 2 continuation of the horizontal plane passing through the cribriform plate.

	Right (%)			Left (%)		
	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class I	Class II	Class III
Keros 1	3.2	13.1	3.6	3.2	12.6	4.2
Keros 2	20.3	43.8	0.5	21.1	43.2	0.5
Keros 3	8.5	6.5	0.5	10.1	6.3	-
Total	32	63.4	4.6	33.2	62.1	4.7

3  
 4

1 Table 4: Distribution of Keros' classification in previous studies.

2

Author	N° patients	Keros' classification		
		1	2	3
Basak et al [15]	61	9%	53%	38%
Jang et al [16]	205	30.5%	69.5%	-
Anderhuber et al [17]	272	14.2%	70.6%	15.2%
Souza et al [18]	200	26.3%	73.3%	0.5%
Sahin et al [19]	100	10%	61%	29%
Guler et al [20]	300	26%	66%	8%
Remarkrishan et al [10]	200	42%	50%	8%
Peber et al [21]	109	81.6%	17.9%	0.5%

3

4