RESPIRATORY CARE

The Science Journal of the American Association for Respiratory Care

Home

Search for an Article

Past Issues

Past Open Forum Abstracts

PubMed

Guidelines for Authors

Check Status of Your Manuscript

AARC Clinical Practice Guidelines

Send a Letter to the Editor

Past Podcasts

About/Contact RESPIRATORY CARE

About/Contact the AARC

2011 OPEN FORUM Abstracts

A VALID ACADEMIC PATH TO PROMOTE RESPIRATORY PHYSIOTHERAPY.

Emilia Privitera2, Vittoria Galimberti3, Anna Brivio5, Simone Gambazza1, Luciana Ptacinsky4, Mario Nosotti2, Luigi Santambrogio2; 1Rehabilitation Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria A. Meyer, Firenze, Italy; 2Thoracic Surgery and Lung Transplantation, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; 3Pulmonary Rehabilitation, Ospedale San Giuseppe Fatebenefratelli, Milano, Italy; 4A.O. Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda, Milano, Italy; 5Pediatrics CF Center, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy

BACKGROUND A one-year post-graduate Master in Physiotherapy and Pulmonary Rehabilitation has been offered within the University of Milan Medical School in collaboration with Associazione Italiana Riabilitatori dell'Insufficienza Respiratoria (ARIR). The aim is to cover a gap in Italian Physiotherapy academic curricula offering a course with theoretical and practical teaching that make students capable of using different techniques and procedures in respiratory physiotherapy. After the recognition by the International Education Recognition System (IERS), ARIR wanted to investigate if and how this course has affected students attitude and their profession. METHODS A structured questionnaire made up of 15 multiple-choices items (8 on perceived quality of education and 7 on professional change) was sent by email to all physiotherapists who graduated in the previous four editions of the Master. One month was given for completion. Age, gender, year of degree and year of Master where considered as

background variables. RESULTS We had a 78% response rate with 57 out of 73 physiotherapists sending the questionnaire back. Mean age was 37 years (23-60) and women were the majority (78%). Forty-two students (74%) worked in the respiratory field at the time of application but only 15 (36%) dealt with respiratory patients only. Expectations were completely met at the end of Master for 71% of physiotherapists. 96% reported greater professional and clinical skills after the master with a 67% saying working team relationship has improved. 28% improved their job position thanks to the master degree and physiotherapy working in the respiratory field increased by 22%. CONCLUSIONS This course seems to meet students expectations and offer a solid knowledge to better work within the field of respiratory physiotherapy. It is also a way to promote the profession of respiratory physiotherapy in Italy. Sponsored Research - None

You are here: RCJournal.com » Past Open Forum Abstracts

© The Journal RESPIRATORY CARE Company | ISSN: 0020-1324 e-ISSN: 1943-3654 9425 N. MacArthur Blvd., Suite 100, Irving TX 75063 | TeI: 1 972 243 2272 | Fax: 1 972 484 2720 Contact Us | Advertise with Us